




Problems using deep generative models for probabilistic audio source separation

Idea: Train generative prior models for different sources of musical sounds. Use those probability density functions to solve multi-instrument tasks like audio source separation. For example we can pose source separation as the sampling procedure of finding the set of fitting samples from each distribution. This could be achieved with Langevin dynamics, but ...


What happens if we train a generative model for sound sources separately?




The flow model can discriminate between in and out-of distribution samples for the simple toy-dataset while the wavenet can only slightly so. Both confuse the out-of-distribution samples if trained on real world musical sounds. Even more problematic models are too peaked around true samples to be used as prior distributions. We find the likelihood of in-class samples quickly reduces with added even the smallest amount of noise.

Recent work has suggested that the peakedness of the learned distribution can be alleviated by training the network with noised input samples thereby approximating the corresponding smoothed out distribution.

Add noise to data \Rightarrow Smooth out distribution



Fine-tune with more noise



Fine-tune with more noise

Notice that with even the smallest amount of added Gaussian noise the discriminative power in both models decreases rapidly.

Therefore we can conclude that, yes noising the input data does smooth the latent distribution. But it does level the distribution out to a point where a previously discriminative distribution is not discriminative anymore.

For your generative audio priors, pick one:

Discriminative
but
peaked

or

Smooth
but
not-discriminative