

Unsupervised music source separation with deep generative priors

March 8, 2020

Contents

1	Proposal	1
1.1	Abstract	1
1.2	Research Question	1
1.3	Related works	1
1.3.1	Deep Latent-Variable Models	1
1.3.2	Sound	3
1.3.3	Source separation	3
1.4	Methodology	3
1.4.1	Datasets	3
1.5	Planning	3

Chapter 1

Proposal

1.1 Abstract

1.2 Research Question

Source separation is the task of finding a set of latent sources $\mathbf{s} = [s_1, \dots, s_n]$ to an observed mix of those sources \mathbf{m} . The induced model proposes a mixing function $\mathbf{m} = f(\mathbf{s})$ which might just be a liner mixing $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{s}$. The task is to find the inverse model $f^{-1}(\cdot)$ which retrieves \mathbf{s} .

Can we learn an sound source separation model in an unsupervised manner. Unsupervised relating to missing pairing of sources to mixes.

$$p(s'|m) \cdot p(s) \tag{1.1}$$

1.3 Related works

In this chapter we discuss previous research in supervised and semi-supervised source separation.

1.3.1 Deep Latent-Variable Models

amortized inference [7]

variational principle [10]

[15]

Latent variables are random variables that are part of our graphical model but are not observed.

We assume some stuff

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathbf{x} \sim p^*(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.3)$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \log \int p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z} \quad (1.5)$$

$$= \log \int \frac{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\geq -KL[q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})||p(\mathbf{z})] \quad (1.7)$$

The VAE framework

VAE [14][22]

β -VAE [8] - introduces β as controlling hyperparameter in the VAE objective - constraint that controls the capacity of the latent space - gives trade off between reconstruction quality and representation simplicity - similar to information bottleneck [1]

VQ-VAE [26]

Flow based models

$$\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) \quad (1.8)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) \quad (1.9)$$

change of variable

NICE [4] - coupling layer - triangular shape

Normalizing Flow [21]

RealNVP [5]

Glow [13] - invertible 1x1 convs - ActNorm - zero init

[24] introduced WaveNet an autoregressive generative model for raw (*time-domain*) audio. WaveNet closely similar to the earlier PixelCNN [25] but adapted for the audio domain. Unmodified Cnns are unsuitable to the application to raw audio because of the form of data. as digital audio is sampled at an extremely high sample rate commonly 16kHz up to 44kHz the features of interest lie at scale of stringly different magnitudes. On the one hand recognizing phase, frequency of a wave might require features at those ms scales on the other hand the modelling of speech or music audio happens at the scale of seconds or minutes. As such a generative model for this domain has to capture those different time scales. The wavenet accomplishes this by using dilated convolutions a common tool in signal

processing [6]. A dilated convolutions uses a kernel with an inner stride. Using a stack of dialted convolutions increases the recpetive field of the features without increasing the comutational complexity.

- gated convs -pixelcnn -lstm[9] - dilated convs - global conditioning -
-law encoding [19] - slow cause autoreg (better with [17]) -
PixelCNN++ [23]

1.3.2 Sound

NSynth [11]

In [18]

FloWaveNet [12]

1.3.3 Source separation

WaveNet for Speech denoising[20]

WaveNet-VAE unsupervised speech rep learning[2]

Wave-U-NetdanielstollerWaveUNet2018

DeMucs[3]

Source Sep in Time Domain[16]

1.4 Methodology

1.4.1 Datasets

ToyData

MusDB

1.5 Planning

Bibliography

- [1] C. P. Burgess, I. Higgins, A. Pal, *et al.*, “Understanding disentangling in Beta-VAE,” 2018. arXiv: 1804.03599 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [2] J. Chorowski, R. J. Weiss, S. Bengio, and A. van den Oord, “Un-supervised speech representation learning using WaveNet autoencoders,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 2041–2053, 2019. arXiv: 1901.08810 (cit. on p. 3).
- [3] A. Défossez, N. Usunier, L. Bottou, and F. Bach, “Demucs: Deep Extractor for Music Sources with extra unlabeled data remixed,” 2019. arXiv: 1909.01174 [cs, eess, stat] (cit. on p. 3).
- [4] L. Dinh, D. Krueger, and Y. Bengio, “NICE: Non-linear Independent Components Estimation,” 2015. arXiv: 1410.8516 [cs] (cit. on p. 2).
- [5] L. Dinh, J. Sohl-Dickstein, and S. Bengio, “Density estimation using Real NVP,” 2017. arXiv: 1605.08803 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [6] P. Dutilleul, “An Implementation of the algorithm à trous to Compute the Wavelet Transform,” in *Wavelets*, J.-M. Combes, A. Grossmann, and P. Tchamitchian, Eds., ser. Inverse Problems and Theoretical Imaging, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1990, pp. 298–304 (cit. on p. 3).
- [7] S. Gershman and N. Goodman, “Amortized inference in probabilistic reasoning,” in *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, vol. 36, 2014 (cit. on p. 1).
- [8] I. Higgins, L. Matthey, A. Pal, *et al.*, “Beta-VAE: Learning Basic Visual Concepts with a Constrained Variational Framework,” 2016 (cit. on p. 2).
- [9] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, “Long Short-Term Memory,” *Neural Computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, 1997 (cit. on p. 3).
- [10] M. I. Jordan, Z. Ghahramani, T. S. Jaakkola, and L. K. Saul, “An Introduction to Variational Methods for Graphical Models,” *Machine Learning*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 183–233, 1999 (cit. on p. 1).

- [11] N. Kalchbrenner, E. Elsen, K. Simonyan, *et al.*, “Efficient Neural Audio Synthesis,” 2018. arXiv: 1802.08435 [cs, eess] (cit. on p. 3).
- [12] S. Kim, S.-g. Lee, J. Song, J. Kim, and S. Yoon, “FloWaveNet : A Generative Flow for Raw Audio,” 2019. arXiv: 1811.02155 [cs, eess] (cit. on p. 3).
- [13] D. P. Kingma and P. Dhariwal, “Glow: Generative Flow with Invertible 1x1 Convolutions,” 2018. arXiv: 1807.03039 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [14] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, “Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes,” 2014. arXiv: 1312.6114 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [15] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, “An Introduction to Variational Autoencoders,” 2019. arXiv: 1906.02691 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 1).
- [16] F. Lluís, J. Pons, and X. Serra, “End-to-end music source separation: Is it possible in the waveform domain?,” 2019. arXiv: 1810.12187 [cs, eess] (cit. on p. 3).
- [17] T. L. Paine, P. Khorrami, S. Chang, *et al.*, “Fast Wavenet Generation Algorithm,” 2016. arXiv: 1611.09482 [cs] (cit. on p. 3).
- [18] R. Prenger, R. Valle, and B. Catanzaro, “WaveGlow: A Flow-based Generative Network for Speech Synthesis,” 2018. arXiv: 1811.00002 [cs, eess, stat] (cit. on p. 3).
- [19] *Recommendation {}G{}.* 711. *Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) of voice frequencies*, 1988 (cit. on p. 3).
- [20] D. Rethage, J. Pons, and X. Serra, “A Wavenet for Speech Denoising,” 2018. arXiv: 1706.07162 [cs] (cit. on p. 3).
- [21] D. J. Rezende and S. Mohamed, “Variational Inference with Normalizing Flows,” 2016. arXiv: 1505.05770 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [22] D. J. Rezende, S. Mohamed, and D. Wierstra, “Stochastic Backpropagation and Approximate Inference in Deep Generative Models,” 2014. arXiv: 1401.4082 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 2).
- [23] T. Salimans, A. Karpathy, X. Chen, and D. P. Kingma, “PixelCNN++: Improving the PixelCNN with Discretized Logistic Mixture Likelihood and Other Modifications,” 2017. arXiv: 1701.05517 [cs, stat] (cit. on p. 3).
- [24] A. van den Oord, S. Dieleman, H. Zen, *et al.*, “WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio,” 2016. arXiv: 1609.03499 [cs] (cit. on p. 2).
- [25] A. van den Oord, N. Kalchbrenner, O. Vinyals, *et al.*, “Conditional Image Generation with PixelCNN Decoders,” 2016. arXiv: 1606.05328 [cs] (cit. on p. 2).

- [26] A. van den Oord, O. Vinyals, and K. Kavukcuoglu, “Neural Discrete Representation Learning,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, *et al.*, Eds., Curran Associates, Inc., 2017, pp. 6306–6315 (cit. on p. 2).