

# Reinforcement Learning - Exercises Lectures 1-5

Maurice Frank

11650656

[maurice.frank@posteo.de](mailto:maurice.frank@posteo.de)

Code: [github](#)

September 17, 2019

## Lecture 0:

### 0.1 Linear algebra and multivariable derivatives

1.

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{12} \\ a_{22}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$AB^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{21} \\ a_{22}b_{12} & a_{22}b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$d^T B d = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$= d_1^2 b_{11} + d_1 d_2 b_{12} + d_1 d_2 b_{21} + d_2^2 b_{22} \quad (6)$$

2.

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{b_{11}b_{22} - b_{21}b_{12}} \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & -b_{21} \\ -b_{12} & b_{11} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

3.

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x \\ \frac{1}{yx} \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial e} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x & 1 \\ \frac{1}{yx} & -\ln(x)y^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

4.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i^N ix_i \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = [1, \dots, N] \quad (12)$$

## 0.2 Probability theory

1.

$$\mathbb{E}[X + \alpha Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \alpha \mathbb{E}[Y] \quad (13)$$

$$= \mu + \alpha \nu \quad (14)$$

2.

$$\text{Var}[X + \alpha Y] = \text{Var}[X] + \alpha^2 \text{Var}[Y] + 2\alpha \text{cov}[X, Y] \quad (15)$$

3.

At last we have  $\sigma^2$  which is just the variance of the noise in the measurements. This is model independent (we can not train it away). The bias term tells us how good our estimator estimates the sample data points. The estimator variance tells us how jumpy our estimator is. If the model has little parameters ('smooth') it will have high bias but low variance (if regularizes over the evident points but doesn't estimate the data that good anymore). If the model is a complex one with high capacity it will have low bias but high variance.

4.

This is called the bias-variance trade-off as in machine learning we are mostly interested in building a model which has high bias and high variance. The trade-off shows us that these two are opposite in their objective and that optimizing both is not easy.

### 0.3 OLS, linear projection and gradient descent

We have training set  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  with targets  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have a linear model  $f_{\beta}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X} \cdot \beta$ .

1.

$$\beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= \arg \min_{\beta} (\mathbf{y} - f_{\beta}(\mathbf{X}))^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\beta}} (\mathbf{y} - f_{\hat{\beta}}(\mathbf{X}))^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\beta}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^2 \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\beta}} \mathbf{y}^2 - 2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^2 \\ &= 2\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} - 2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{X} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \\ &\iff \\ \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} &= \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y} \\ &\iff \\ \hat{\beta} &= (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}\end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\epsilon_{\beta} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}$$

4.

5.

6.

7.

## Lecture 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

1.

The curse of dimensionality are multiple sad observation called one will make when working with high-dimensional data. In general the problems arise from

the fact that the number of value combinations rises exponentially, with the dimension in the exponential. E.g. in hyper-parameter optimization using grid-search the number of needed models to be tested rises exponentially with the number of hyper-parameters. Another example we often see in machine learning with high-dimensional data. With a limited number of trainings samples the distribution of those might be highly sparse in its space akin like a set of dirac functions. Trying to approximate that might be difficult.

2.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{states}} &= N_{\text{predator states}} \cdot N_{\text{prey states}} \\ &= 5^2 \cdot 5^2 \\ &= 625 \end{aligned}$$

(b) As it is a toroid we just have to remember the differences of the two entities. So the state is just the offset in toroidal coordinates.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} N'_{\text{states}} &= 5 \cdot 5 \\ &= 25 \end{aligned}$$

(d) The advantage of this approach is that we have fewer states and now multiple states that have to learn the same response to it. Thus we can assume faster training of our predator.

(e) For Tic-Tac-Toe we could reduce the state space by using the point symmetry of the game board. Of all starting states that are symmetric through the center only keep one.

3.

(a) The greedy agent will perform better. Tic-tac-toe is a solved game as such a trained agent can know the perfect move to any situation and no exploration is necessary.

4.

(a) We decrease the exploration probability  $\epsilon$  each step with a discount factor  $\eta$ . We can write the exploration probability at step  $\epsilon_t$  with:

$$\epsilon_t = \epsilon \cdot \eta^t$$

- (b) No, that method would not work if the opponent changes strategy. It continuously decreases exploration over time independent of the game dynamics. We can adapt our strategy by introducing the time step of the last strategy change of the opponent  $t_{\text{change}}$ . Then we restart from the beginning if the strategy changes:

$$\epsilon_t = \epsilon \cdot \eta^{t-t_{\text{change}}}$$

## 1.2 Exploration

1.

$$(1 - \epsilon) + \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

2.

$A_3$  and  $A_4$ . The first one could be greedy as all states have the same average. Same for the second as 2 and 3 have the greedy average. The third action could be greedy as state 2 has the top average then. The next two are suboptimal thus have to be exploration.

3.

$R_0 = -1$  and  $R_1 = +1$ . By random we choose  $A_0$  in the first step. See the development of the Q-values (bold is the chooses action with greedy policy):

step	$Q_0^{\text{pessi}}$	$Q_1^{\text{pessi}}$	$Q_0^{\text{opti}}$	$Q_1^{\text{opti}}$
0	-5	-5	5	5
1	<b>-1</b>	-5	<b>-1</b>	5
2	<b>-1</b>	-5	-1	<b>1</b>
3	<b>-1</b>	-5	-1	<b>1</b>

4.

The optimistic initialization leads to the higher return (== 1) than with the pessimistic initialization (== -3). If broken the tie the other way:

step	$Q_0^{\text{pessi}}$	$Q_1^{\text{pessi}}$	$Q_0^{\text{opti}}$	$Q_1^{\text{opti}}$
0	-5	-5	5	5
1	-5	<b>1</b>	5	<b>1</b>
2	-5	<b>1</b>	<b>-1</b>	1
3	-5	<b>1</b>	-1	<b>1</b>

In this case the pessimistic initialization lead to the higher return (== 3) than the optimistic initialization (== 1).

5.

The optimistic initialization leads to the better estimate of the Q-values.

6.

The optimistic initialization works better for exploration as its basic assumption is that any action could be the best until proven otherwise. As such it will lead to everything being tried using the high initialization values.

## Lecture 2: MDPs and dynamic programming

### 2.1 Markov Decision Processes

1.

(a) Description of the games defined in Section 1.2 in Sutton/Barton:

Game	State Space	Action Space	Reward Signal
Master chess	All possible chess game sequences	Set of single legal moves in chess	
Adaptive petroleum refinery controller	Current state of the refinery (e.g. yield, quality, fillness) + state of the marginal costs	Yield, cost and quality levers	RoI
Newborn gazelle	basically all possible worlds around the gazelle	any physical action possible as a newborn gazelle	health and joy
Trash robot	physical state, power level, history of movement trajectories	possible movements	How much trash taken + not losing all charge

(b) Another example of an Reinforcement learning application:

Game	State space	Action space	Reward signal
Composing musician	all possible partly composed songs	adding new notes / instruments	beauty of the song

(c) An example for a game that is hard to represent with an MDP is Age of Empires with Fog of War set to on. As the fog of war hides the game boards current state to the actor we can not actually build a state space that describes the games state.

(d) One could think of a maze with parts that only can be overcome with enough stamina. In this case stamina would be a state variable.

- (e) With these three actions we can reach any state the robot might get into as such they are sufficient actions for the game. The disadvantage though is that they are quite low level actions for the robot. It might be more usefull for the RL algorithm to focus on the high-level control decision. Actually driving the robot from A to B can be solved otherwise.
- (f) One could separate the two problems, driving and decision making. Solve both with their own RL algorithm.

2.

(a)

$$G = \sum_{i=0}^N \gamma^i \cdot R_i$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k &= 1 + \gamma \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \\ &\iff \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k - \gamma \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k &= 1 \\ &\iff \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \cdot (1 - \gamma) &= 1 \\ &\iff \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k &= \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Because we made the task episodic we assumed the run through the maze to be always the same length. Thus our robot does not focus on learning to solve the maze in shorter time lengths.
- (d) A discount factor of  $\gamma < 1$  would help with this because it would discount the return of solving the maze more the longer the robot needed to exit it. Thus the robot will learn to solve it faster.
- (e) We could add a negative reward for each done step (moving is hard!). To avoid the negative reward the robot would learn to do fewer steps to solve the maze.

## 2.2 Homework: Dynamic Programming

1.

First the stochastic case:

$$\begin{aligned} v^\pi(s) &= \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) [r + \gamma \cdot v_\pi(s')] \\ &= \sum_a \pi(a|s) \cdot q^\pi(s,a) \end{aligned}$$

and the deterministic policy:

$$\begin{aligned} v^\pi(s) &= \arg \max_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) [r + \gamma \cdot v_\pi(s')] \\ &= \arg \max_a \pi(a|s) \cdot q^\pi(s,a) \end{aligned}$$



- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

### **Lecture 3: Monte Carlo methods**

#### **3.1 Homework: Monte carlo**

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

### **Lecture 4: Temporal difference methods**

#### **4.1 Temporal difference learning (Application)**

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

#### **4.2 Contraction mapping**

- 1.
- 2.

#### **4.3 Temporal difference learning (theory)**

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

#### **4.4 Homework: Maximization bias**

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.