Connected Stocks: Evidence from Tehran Stock Exchange

S.M. Aghajanzadeh* M. Heidari* M. Mohseni*

July, 2021

Hypothesis 1: Simple measures of institutional connnectedness statistically and economically improve forecasts of cross-sectional variation in the correlation. The effect is stronger when pairs are in the same business groups

	Dependent Variable: Future Monthly Correlation of 4F+Industry Residuals								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
FCA*	0.00320***	0.00235***			0.00154	0.00105	0.00103	0.000548	0.000948
	(4.05)	(3.90)			(1.73)	(1.51)	(1.12)	(0.80)	(1.37)
Same Group			0.0194***	0.0183***	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0111***	0.00952**	0.00829*
			(9.72)	(6.03)	(7.15)	(5.09)	(3.53)	(2.73)	(2.25)
$(FCA^*) \times SameGroup$							0.00679*	0.00744**	0.00734**
							(2.41)	(3.32)	(3.30)
Observations	436735	434850	436735	434850	436735	434850	436735	434850	434850
Group Effect	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
\mathbb{R}^2	0.000306	0.0360	0.000496	0.0363	0.000719	0.0364	0.000909	0.0366	0.0432

t statistics in parentheses

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ Tehran Institute for Advanced Studies, Khatam University, Tehran, Iran

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Hypothesis 2: Pairs of companies belonging to the same business group have a higher correlation than pairs not in the same group. In addition, Pairs that belong to the same group and have a common ownership co-move more than pairs that don't have common ownership.

Table 1: one of these tables

	Future Monthly Correlation of 4F+Industry Residuals					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
(FCA > Median[FCA])		-0.00168	-0.00337**	0.00855**		-0.00513***
		(-1.45)	(-2.89)	(2.76)		(-4.32)
SameGroup	0.0122***	*	0.0135***			0.00574*
	(5.81)		(6.48)			(2.02)
$(FCA > Median[FCA]) \times SameGroup$	р					0.0181***
						(5.91)
FCA*					0.00174*	
					(2.43)	
Observations	5148109	5148109	5148109	76240	76240	5148109
Sub Sample	Total	Total	Total	SameGroups	SameGroups	Total
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R^2	0.000455	0.000439	0.000485	0.0136	0.0135	0.000513
t statistics in parentheses						
* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$						
		Future Mor	thly Correla	tion of 4F+Ind	ustry Residuals	3
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Common Ownership		-0.00350**	-0.00445***	0.00651*		-0.00527***
		(-3.30)	(-4.22)	(2.48)		(-4.72)
SameGroup	0.0122***		0.0140***			0.00607^*
	(5.81)		(7.01)			(2.09)
						(=)
Common Ownership \times SameGroup						0.0157***
Common Ownership × Same Group						,
Common Ownership × Same Group $\label{eq:FCA*} \mbox{FCA*}$					0.00174*	0.0157***
FCA*					(2.43)	0.0157*** (5.51)
FCA* Observations	5148109	5148109	5148109	76240	(2.43) 76240	0.0157*** (5.51) 5148109
FCA*	5148109 Total	5148109 Total	Total	76240 SameGroups	(2.43)	0.0157*** (5.51)
FCA* Observations					(2.43) 76240	0.0157*** (5.51) 5148109

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Hypothesis 3: Return of business group improve forecasts of cross-sectional variation in stocks' return.

	$Return_i - r_f = R_i$						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
R_M	0.216***	0.181***	0.124***	0.173***	0.118***		
	(12.43)	(11.10)	(9.91)	(11.07)	(9.98)		
$R_{Industry}$		0.119***	0.119***	0.130***	0.130***		
		(6.41)	(6.41)	(7.62)	(7.62)		
$R_{Businessgroup}$				0.0549***	0.0549***		
				(14.81)	(14.81)		
SMB			0.0194**		0.0193**		
			(2.95)		(3.11)		
UMD			0.00751		0.00681		
			(1.31)		(1.27)		
HML			0.0105*		0.0105^{*}		
			(1.98)		(2.22)		
Constant	0.0155	-0.00383	-0.00387	-0.000620	-0.00107		
	(0.66)	(-0.18)	(-0.39)	(-0.03)	(-0.11)		
Observations	207552	207552	207552	207552	207552		
R^2	0.000	0.054	0.054	0.133	0.133		

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Channels:

	Future Monthly Corr. of 4F+Ind. Residuals						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
FCA*	0.00116	0.00114	0.00106		0.00574*	0.00107	0.00154*
	(1.66)	(1.66)	(1.53)		(2.44)	(1.56)	(2.14)
Same Group	0.0165***	0.0166***	0.00974*	0.0108**		0.00977*	0.00850*
•	(4.74)	(4.61)	(2.40)	(2.82)		(2.40)	(2.05)
Low Imbalance std		-0.000538	-0.00249	-0.00260	0.0222***	-0.00249	-0.00177
		(-0.48)	(-1.92)	(-1.97)	(5.40)	(-1.92)	(-0.54)
Low Imbalance std \times SameGroup			0.0284***	0.0285***		0.0282***	0.0286***
•			(5.95)	(6.00)		(4.09)	(3.99)
Low Imbalance std \times SameGroup \times FCA*						-0.000322	-0.000725
1						(-0.06)	(-0.13)
Observations	434850	434850	434850	434850	38382	434850	434850
Group Effect	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Sub-sample	Total	Total	Total	Total	Same Groups	Total	Total
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R^2	0.0364	0.0366	0.0369	0.0367	0.0691	0.0370	0.0433

Table 2: Estimate regression for each stock across trading days

	Dependent Variable: Δ TurnOver _i						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Δ TurnOver _{Market}	0.448***	0.387***	0.445***	0.353***			
	(5.61)	(7.80)	(11.13)	(10.18)			
$\Delta TurnOver_{Group}$		0.231**	0.234*	0.245***			
		(2.67)	(2.07)	(8.22)			
$\Delta TurnOver_{Industry}$	0.0993	-0.0558	-0.0970	0.0365			
	(1.55)	(-0.61)	(-0.84)	(0.68)			
$\ln(\text{size})_{i,t}$	-0.00571	-0.0136***	-0.0210**	-0.0119**			
	(-0.03)	(-5.21)	(-3.06)	(-3.24)			
Constant	-0.303	0.380***	0.610**	0.334**			
	(-0.05)	(5.03)	(2.86)	(3.11)			
Observations	293264	184699	184699	184699			
Group Weight	-	$MC \times CR$	MC	Equal			
R^2	0.111	0.213	0.215	0.124			

t statistics in parentheses

Table 3: Estimate regression for each stock across trading days $\,$

	Dependent Variable: Δ Amihud _i							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Δ Amihud _{Market}	0.324***	0.549^*	0.373***	0.343***	0.391***	0.361***		
	(6.46)	(2.23)	(13.09)	(12.01)	(13.09)	(12.14)		
$\Delta { m Amihud}_{ m Group}$			0.165**	0.153^{*}	0.143*	0.129*		
			(2.60)	(2.57)	(2.07)	(1.98)		
$\Delta Amihud_{Industry}$	0.0567	0.121	-0.00390	-0.00670	-0.00322	-0.00430		
	(1.21)	(1.36)	(-0.06)	(-0.10)	(-0.04)	(-0.06)		
Observations	293264	291933	184699	183301	184699	183301		
Weight	-	-	$MC \times CR$	$MC \times CR$	MC	MC		
Control	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		
R^2	0.0976	0.132	0.194	0.220	0.199	0.224		

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001













