

NOTES

Independent Auditors' Report

To VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

REPORT ON THE AUDIT OF THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND OF
THE GROUP MANAGEMENT REPORT

OPINIONS

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Wolfsburg, and its subsidiaries (the Group), which comprise the consolidated income statement and consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021, and the consolidated balance sheet as at 31 December 2021, consolidated statement of changes in equity and consolidated cash flow statement for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. In addition, we have audited the group management report of VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, which is combined with the Company's management report, for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021. In accordance with German legal requirements, we have not audited the content of the parts of the group management report specified in the appendix to the auditor's report and the company information stated therein that is provided outside of the annual report and is referenced in the group management report.

In our opinion, on the basis of the knowledge obtained in the audit,

- the accompanying consolidated financial statements comply, in all material respects, with the IFRSs as adopted by the EU, and the additional requirements of German commercial law pursuant to Sec. 315e (1) HGB ["Handelsgesetzbuch": German Commercial Code] and, in compliance with these requirements, give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities and financial position of the Group as at 31 December 2021 and of its financial performance for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021, and
- the accompanying group management report as a whole provides an appropriate view of the Group's position. In all material respects, this group management report is consistent with the consolidated financial statements, complies with German legal requirements and appropriately presents the opportunities and risks of future development. Our opinion on the group management report does not cover the content of the parts of the group

management report listed in the appendix to the auditor's report.

Pursuant to Sec. 322 (3) Sentence 1 HGB, we declare that our audit has not led to any reservations relating to the legal compliance of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report.

Basis for the opinions

We conducted our audit of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report in accordance with Sec. 317 HGB and the EU Audit Regulation (No 537/2014, referred to subsequently as "EU Audit Regulation") and in compliance with German Generally Accepted Standards for Financial Statement Audits promulgated by the Institut der Wirtschaftsprüfer [Institute of Public Auditors in Germany] (IDW). Our responsibilities under those requirements and principles are further described in the "Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report" section of our auditor's report. We are independent of the Group entities in accordance with the requirements of European law and German commercial and professional law, and we have fulfilled our other German professional responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. In addition, in accordance with Art. 10 (2) f) of the EU Audit Regulation, we declare that we have not provided non-audit services prohibited under Art. 5 (1) of the EU Audit Regulation. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions on the consolidated financial statements and on the group management report.

Key audit matters in the audit of the consolidated financial statements

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon; we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

Below, we describe what we consider to be the key audit matters:

1. Accounting treatment of the risk provisions for the diesel issue

Reasons why the matter was determined to be a key

audit matter

Due to indications of irregularities in connection with exhaust gas emissions from diesel engines in certain vehicles of the VW Group, regulatory authorities in numerous countries (particularly in Europe, the USA and Canada) commenced investigations in the past few years, some of which are still ongoing.

On the basis of its own findings and those of the authorities, the VW Group implemented various measures, which differed according to the country in some cases and included hardware and software measures, vehicle buybacks and early termination of leases as well as compensation payments to vehicle owners in some instances. The hardware and software measures had largely been completed as of the reporting date. The risk provisions for the diesel issue mainly include provisions for criminal, administrative and civil proceedings. Furthermore, there are legal risks from other criminal and administrative proceedings as well as civil actions, particularly by customers and holders of securities.

The provisions recognized as of 31 December 2021 and the contingent liabilities disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements are subject to a significant estimation risk in view of the extensive ongoing criminal and administrative investigations and proceedings, the complexity of the different issues, developments in court rulings and market conditions for used diesel vehicles. Whether provisions need to be recognized or contingent liabilities disclosed for the legal risks from the diesel issue, and in what amount, depends to a large extent on the assessments and assumptions made by the executive directors. As described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, the executive directors considered in their assessments in particular the fact that, based on the various measures taken and meanwhile largely concluded to resolve the diesel issue, there is still no confirmation that members of the Board of Management were aware of any deliberate manipulation of the engine control unit software prior to the summer of 2015.

In light of the significance of the risk provisions and the extent of the assumptions and scope for judgment by the executive directors, this matter was a key audit matter.

Auditor's response

To assess the recognition and measurement of the provisions for legal risks and the disclosure of contingent liabilities arising from the diesel issue, we considered, in particular, work and opinions by experts engaged by the executive directors of the VW Group in addition to available official notices and court judgments as part of a risk-based selection of significant transactions. Moreover, with the involvement of our own legal and forensic specialists, we held regular meetings with the Legal department and the external lawyers engaged by the executive directors of the VW Group to obtain oral explanations about the

current developments and reasons leading to the assessments of the ongoing proceedings. We compared confirmations received from external lawyers with the risk assessment by the executive directors. We also regularly reviewed publicly available information, such as media reports, to assess the completeness of the provisions and contingent liabilities.

In addition, we reviewed on a sample basis the input factors (quantity and value) of the provisions and contingent liabilities for individual matters using statements of claims received, settlement agreements and court judgments. With regard to the valuation, we also compared the current assessments by the executive directors with past experience, where observable. For significant additions to provisions, we examined whether they were due to new matters or to changes in the estimation inputs and obtained corresponding evidence. To analyze significant utilizations of the provisions, we obtained an understanding of the procedural controls implemented and examined a sample to determine whether they were based on settlement agreements or court judgments and whether corresponding payments were made.

Furthermore, external reports and investigation results were inspected and inquiries were made of the external law firm engaged to carry out the investigation, with the assistance of our own forensic specialists, in order to understand and assess the special investigation completed in fiscal year 2021 in terms of when former and current members of the Board of Management became aware of the diesel issue.

Our audit procedures did not lead to any reservations relating to the accounting treatment of the risk provisions for the diesel issue.

Reference to related disclosures

The information presented and the statements made in connection with the diesel issue, including the comments on the underlying causes, on when the members of the Board of Management became aware of the issue and on the effects on the accompanying financial statements are contained in the “[Key events](#)” and “[Accounting policies](#)” sections, on management’s estimates and assessments in the “[Balance sheet disclosures](#)” section, note 30, “[Noncurrent and current provisions](#),” note 38, “[Contingent liabilities](#)” and note 39, “[Litigation](#)” of the notes to the consolidated financial statements and in the “[Report on Risks and Opportunities](#)” chapter of the group management report, “[Legal risks](#)” section, subsection “Diesel issue.”

2. Recoverability of goodwill and the acquired brand names

Reasons why the matter was determined to be a key

audit matter

The result of the impairment testing of goodwill and the acquired brand names is highly dependent on the executive directors' estimate of future cash flows and which discount rates they use. The recoverable amount of the cash-generating units is calculated on the basis of their value in use, applying discounted cash flow models.

In addition to the Covid-19 pandemic, temporary production stops due to semiconductor supply shortages in particular had a negative effect on the cash inflows of the VW Group and its brands in fiscal year 2021. The executive directors of the VW Group expect cash inflows to continue to be affected in fiscal year 2022.

In addition, the acquisition of the Navistar Group in fiscal year 2021 increased the carrying amount of goodwill and the acquired brand names.

The ongoing transformation of the core business toward electromobility and digitalization, the transition to autonomous vehicles and growing environmental regulation lead to uncertainties in the estimation of market shares and margins for electric vehicles and the long-term growth rates. These estimates by the executive directors are subject to risk and may be revised in response to changes in environmental regulation and market conditions.

In addition, the executive directors have scope for judgment in determining the cash-generating units for impairment testing, in determining the discount rates used and the long-term growth rates assumed.

In view of the foregoing, the materiality of goodwill and the acquired brand names in relation to total assets, the complexity of the valuation and the judgment exercised during valuation, the impairment testing of goodwill and the acquired brands was a key audit matter.

Auditor's response

During our audit, we involved valuation specialists to assess among other things the methodology used to perform the impairment tests in light of the provisions of IAS 36. We also checked the arithmetical accuracy of the valuation models used.

On the basis of the VW Group's internal reporting, we assessed for the acquired brands whether the brands represent the lowest level within the VW Group at which independent cash inflows are generated and whether goodwill is monitored at brand level for internal management purposes.

We analyzed the planning process established in the VW Group and tested the operating effectiveness of the controls implemented in the planning process. As a starting point, we compared the VW Group's five-year operational plan

prepared by the executive directors and acknowledged by the Supervisory Board with the forecast figures in the underlying impairment tests. We discussed the key planning assumptions for selected brands to which significant goodwill and acquired brand names are allocated with the executive directors and compared them with past earnings and cash inflows to assess the planning accuracy. We based plausibility testing of the inputs for the impairment tests among other things on a comparison with general and industry-specific market expectations underlying the expected cash inflows. We discussed with the executive directors the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic and the semiconductor supply shortages on the development of cash inflows in the individual cash-generating units and compared them with current market expectations. We also investigated the expectations regarding the development of market shares for battery electric vehicles and the effects on the planned investments and their indirect effects on the long-term cash inflows expected by the executive directors.

With respect to the rollforward from the medium-term plan to the long-term forecast, we assessed the plausibility of the assumed growth rates by comparing them with observable data. To assess the discount rates and growth rates applied, we analyzed the inputs used to determine them on the basis of publicly available information and obtained an understanding of the methods used with regard to the relevant requirements of IAS 36.

We also assessed the sensitivity analyses performed by the executive directors in order to estimate any potential impairment risk associated with a reasonably possible change in one of the significant assumptions used in the valuation.

Our procedures did not lead to any reservations relating to the recoverability of goodwill and the acquired brand names.

Reference to related disclosures

With regard to the recognition and measurement policies applied for goodwill and the acquired brand names, refer to the disclosure on intangible assets in the "Accounting policies" section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. For the related disclosures on judgments by the executive directors and sources of estimation uncertainty as well as the disclosures on goodwill and the acquired brand names, refer to the disclosure in the ["Accounting policies"](#) section on estimates and assumptions by management and note 12, ["Intangible assets"](#) in the "Balance Sheet disclosures" section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. In the group management report, refer to the "Report on Risks and Opportunities" chapter, ["Risks and opportunities"](#) section, subsection "Risks arising from the recoverability of goodwill or brand names and from equity investments."

3. Capitalization and recoverability of development costs

Reasons why the matter was determined to be a key audit matter

Key criteria for capitalizing development costs are the ability to implement the development projects (including their technical feasibility, the intention to complete them and the ability to use them) as well as the realization of an expected future economic benefit. The complexity of research and development projects is mounting in view of the technological transformation of the VW Group and the resulting new development areas (including high investments in electromobility, software and autonomous driving). Assessments of project feasibility are playing an ever greater role in this connection and entail the use of considerable judgment.

Where capitalized development costs are not yet subject to amortization, they must be tested for impairment as part of the related cash-generating unit at least annually at the level of the brands defined as cash-generating units. The assumption of realizing future economic benefits and the result of testing the recoverability of capitalized development costs during the analyses and impairment tests performed are highly dependent on the executive directors' estimate of future cash flows and which discount rates they use. The recoverable amount of the cash-generating units is calculated on the basis of their value in use, applying discounted cash flow models.

In addition to the Covid-19 pandemic, temporary production stops due to semiconductor supply shortages in particular had a negative effect on the cash inflows of the VW Group and its brands in fiscal year 2021. The executive directors of the VW Group expect cash inflows to continue to be affected in fiscal year 2022.

The ongoing transformation of the core business toward electromobility and digitalization, the transition to autonomous vehicles and growing environmental regulation lead to uncertainties in the estimation of market shares and margins for electric vehicles and the long-term growth rates. Growth expectations of the executive directors are subject to risk and may be revised in response to changes in environmental regulation and market conditions.

In addition, the executive directors have scope for judgment in determining the cash-generating units for impairment testing, in determining the discount rates used and the long-term growth rates assumed.

In light of the foregoing, the materiality of the capitalized development costs in relation to total assets, the total amount of research and development costs and the judgment exercised during valuation, the capitalization of development costs and the impairment test were a key audit matter.

Auditor's response

During our audit, we examined the process for identifying the research and development costs, particularly with reference to the criteria for capitalization. In this connection, we carried out analytical audit procedures such as comparisons of project budgets and capitalization rates, inspected documentation on project feasibility and tested process-related controls in some areas. We also assessed the future economic benefit criterion for capitalization based on the assumptions regarding the cash inflows of the cash-generating unit to which the capitalized development work is allocated.

Moreover, we involved valuation specialists to assess among other things the methodology used to determine the relevant cash-generating units and perform the impairment tests in light of the provisions of IAS 36. We also checked the arithmetical accuracy of the valuation models used.

We analyzed the planning process established in the VW Group and tested the operating effectiveness of the controls implemented in the planning process. As a starting point, we compared the VW Group's five-year operational plan prepared by the executive directors and acknowledged by the Supervisory Board with the forecast figures in the underlying impairment tests. We discussed with the executive directors the key planning assumptions for a sample we selected of brands with significant capitalized development costs and compared them with past earnings and cash inflows to assess the planning accuracy. We based plausibility testing of the inputs for the impairment tests among other things on a comparison with general and industry-specific market expectations underlying the expected cash inflows. We discussed with the executive directors the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic and the semiconductor supply shortages on the development of cash inflows in the individual cash-generating units and compared them with current market expectations. We also investigated the expectations regarding the development of market shares for battery electric vehicles and the effects on the planned investments and their indirect effects on the long-term cash inflows expected by the executive directors.

With respect to the rollforward from the medium-term plan to the long-term forecast, we assessed the plausibility of the assumed growth rates by comparing them with observable data. To assess the discount rates and growth rates applied, we analyzed the inputs used to determine them on the basis of publicly available information and obtained an understanding of the methods used with regard to the relevant requirements of IAS 36.

We also assessed the sensitivity analyses performed by the executive directors in order to estimate any potential impairment risk associated with a reasonably possible change in one of the significant assumptions used in the valuation.

Our procedures did not lead to any reservations relating to the recognition and recoverability of the capitalized development costs.

Reference to related disclosures

With regard to the recognition and measurement policies applied for capitalized development costs, refer to the disclosure on intangible assets in the “Accounting policies” section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. For the related disclosures on judgments by the executive directors and sources of estimation uncertainty as well as the disclosures on capitalized development costs, refer to the disclosures in the [“Accounting policies”](#) section on estimates and assumptions by management and note 12, [“Intangible assets”](#) in the [“Balance Sheet disclosures”](#) section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

4. Completeness and measurement of provisions for warranty obligations

Reasons why the matter was determined to be a key audit matter

Obligations for warranty claims are calculated on the basis of estimated warranty costs and ex gratia arrangements. Where unusual individual technical risks are anticipated, an individual assessment is made whether and, if so, to what extent measures are required to remediate them and provisions need to be recognized.

The amount of provisions for warranty claims is significant overall. Besides the general use of judgment in selecting the valuation methods and assessing the obligations, increasing estimation uncertainty stems from the growing proportion of hybrid and battery electric vehicles entering the market and a lack of experience of their susceptibility to faults. In light of the amount of the provisions and the judgment exercised during valuation, the completeness and measurement of provisions for warranty obligations was a key audit matter.

Auditor's response

With regard to the accounting for the provisions for warranty obligations, we examined the underlying processes for recording previous claims, calculating and valuing the estimated future warranty costs and recognizing the provisions, and tested controls in some areas.

In light of the uncertainty in relation to the estimated future warranty costs, we assessed the underlying valuation assumptions, especially the expected claim rate per vehicle and the cost thereof, using analyses of historical data. Where there was a lack of past experience, we obtained an understanding of the assumptions made by the executive directors and tested their plausibility using historical data for comparable items. Using the calculation bases derived from these historical data, we checked the estimated costs for expected claims per

vehicle. To assess the completeness of the provisions, we also reconciled the number of sold vehicles used to recognize the provision with the sales volumes. We obtained an understanding of the method used for calculating the provisions, including the discounting, and reperformed the calculations.

For significant individual technical risks, we assessed the expected incidence of technical faults and the calculation of expected costs per claim/vehicle using documentation on previous claims, inspecting resolutions passed by technical committees and holding discussions with the departments responsible.

Our audit procedures did not lead to any reservations relating to the completeness and valuation of provisions for warranty obligations.

Reference to related disclosures

With regard to the recognition and measurement policies applied in accounting for provisions for warranty obligations, refer to the disclosures in the “Accounting policies” section on estimates and assessments by management and note 30, “Noncurrent and current other provisions” in the “Balance Sheet disclosures” section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

5. Calculation of the expected residual values of lease assets during impairment testing

Reasons why the matter was determined to be a key audit matter

The lease assets balance sheet item comprises vehicles under current leases. There is an impairment risk for these vehicles which is primarily dependent on the residual value expected at the end of the lease.

Internal and external marketing results as well as estimates of future market price development are used to review the expected residual value in a quarterly impairment test.

As it is not possible to make a conclusive assessment of the impact of the ongoing global Covid-19 pandemic and given the semiconductor supply shortages, the estimation uncertainty in relation to the calculation of the expected residual values remains significantly heightened in fiscal year 2021.

In light of the judgment exercised in calculating the residual values, the existing estimation uncertainty in impairment testing and the significance of the amount of lease assets, the calculation of expected residual values was a key audit matter.

Auditor's response

During our audit, we analyzed the process implemented for calculating and monitoring the residual values to identify any risks of material misstatement and obtained an understanding of the process steps and controls. On this basis, we tested at significant components the operating effectiveness of the implemented controls over the calculation and monitoring of the expected residual values. To assess the forecasting models used to calculate the residual values, we assessed the validation plans on the basis of the respective model designs to determine whether the validation procedures described in the plans allow an assessment of the models' forecast quality. We investigated whether the validation procedures performed according to the validation plans and the backtesting performed led to any indications of model weaknesses or any need to adjust the models. Furthermore, we assessed whether the assumptions underlying the forecasting model and the inputs used for calculating the expected residual values were clearly documented. To this end, we obtained evidence for the main inputs and assumptions used for mileage, age and lifecycle phase of the vehicles to calculate the residual values and examined them for currentness and transparency. We assessed whether the marketing assumptions used reflect industry-specific and general market expectations as well as, in particular, current marketing results.

Our audit procedures did not lead to any reservations relating to the calculation of the expected residual values of the lease assets during impairment testing.

Reference to related disclosures

With regard to the recognition and measurement policies applied for lease assets, refer to the disclosure on intangible assets in the "Accounting policies" section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. For the related disclosures on judgments by the executive directors and sources of estimation uncertainty, refer to the disclosures in the "Accounting policies" section on estimates and assumptions by management" and note 14, "Lease assets and investment property" in the "Balance Sheet disclosures" section of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Emphasis of matter paragraph – Immanent risk due to uncertainties regarding the legal conformity of the interpretation of the EU Taxonomy Regulation

We draw attention to the executive directors' comments on the EU Taxonomy disclosures in the "EU Taxonomy" section of the group management report, where it is stated that the EU Taxonomy Regulation and the Delegated Acts adopted thereunder contain wording and terms that are still subject to interpretation uncertainties and for which clarifications have not yet been

published in every case. The executive directors describe how they interpreted the EU Taxonomy Regulation and the Delegated Acts adopted thereunder. Due to the immanent risk that undefined legal terms may be interpreted differently, the legal conformity of the interpretation is subject to uncertainties. Our opinion on the group management report is not modified in this respect.

Other information

The Supervisory Board is responsible for the Report of the Supervisory Board. The executive directors and the Supervisory Board are responsible for the declaration pursuant to Sec. 161 AktG [“Aktiengesetz”: German Stock Corporation Act] on the German Corporate Governance Code, which is part of the group corporate governance declaration, and for the remuneration report pursuant to Sec. 162 AktG. In all other respects, the executive directors are responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the parts of the annual report listed in the appendix to the auditor’s report.

Our opinions on the consolidated financial statements and on the group management report do not cover the other information, and consequently we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in so doing, to consider whether the other information

- is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements, with the group management report or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or
- otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

Responsibilities of the executive directors and the Supervisory Board for the consolidated financial statements and the group management report

The executive directors are responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements that comply, in all material respects, with IFRSs as adopted by the EU and the additional requirements of German commercial law pursuant to Sec. 315e (1) HGB, and that the consolidated financial statements, in compliance with these requirements, give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and financial performance of the Group. In addition, the executive directors are responsible for such internal control as they have determined necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, the executive directors are responsible for assessing the Group’s ability to continue as a going concern. They

also have the responsibility for disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern. In addition, they are responsible for financial reporting based on the going concern basis of accounting unless there is an intention to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or there is no realistic alternative but to do so.

Furthermore, the executive directors are responsible for the preparation of the group management report that, as a whole, provides an appropriate view of the Group's position and is, in all material respects, consistent with the consolidated financial statements, complies with German legal requirements, and appropriately presents the opportunities and risks of future development. In addition, the executive directors are responsible for such arrangements and measures (systems) as they have considered necessary to enable the preparation of a group management report that is in accordance with the applicable German legal requirements, and to be able to provide sufficient appropriate evidence for the assertions in the group management report.

The Supervisory Board is responsible for overseeing the Group's financial reporting process for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and whether the group management report as a whole provides an appropriate view of the Group's position and, in all material respects, is consistent with the consolidated financial statements and the knowledge obtained in the audit, complies with the German legal requirements and appropriately presents the opportunities and risks of future development, as well as to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions on the consolidated financial statements and on the group management report.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Sec. 317 HGB and the EU Audit Regulation and in compliance with German Generally Accepted Standards for Financial Statement Audits promulgated by the Institut der Wirtschaftsprüfer (IDW) will always detect a material misstatement. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements and this group management report.

We exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism

throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit of the consolidated financial statements and of arrangements and measures (systems) relevant to the audit of the group management report in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of these systems.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used by the executive directors and the reasonableness of estimates made by the executive directors and related disclosures.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of the executive directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Group's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in the auditor's report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements and in the group management report or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our respective opinions. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to be able to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements present the underlying transactions and events in a manner that the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and financial performance of the Group in compliance with IFRSs as adopted by the EU and the additional requirements of German commercial law pursuant to Sec. 315e (1) HGB.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group to express opinions on the consolidated financial statements and on the group management report. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinions.
- Evaluate the consistency of the group management report with the consolidated financial statements, its conformity with [German] law, and the

view of the Group's position it provides.

- Perform audit procedures on the prospective information presented by the executive directors in the group management report. On the basis of sufficient appropriate audit evidence we evaluate, in particular, the significant assumptions used by the executive directors as a basis for the prospective information, and evaluate the proper derivation of the prospective information from these assumptions. We do not express a separate opinion on the prospective information and on the assumptions used as a basis. There is a substantial unavoidable risk that future events will differ materially from the prospective information.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with the relevant independence requirements, and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and where applicable, the related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with those charged with governance, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter.

Other legal and regulatory requirements

Report on the assurance on the electronic rendering of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report prepared for publication purposes in accordance with Sec. 317 (3a) HGB

Opinion

We have performed assurance work in accordance with Sec. 317 (3a) HGB to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the rendering of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report (hereinafter the "ESEF documents") contained in VWAG_JFB_Konzern_2021-12-31 (SHA-256 checksum: 8d5619f3161fad9 deca8a14e45fe91403e85622d03959c410c8926dc1d7a905a) and prepared for publication purposes complies in all material respects with the

requirements of Sec. 328 (1) HGB for the electronic reporting format ("ESEF format"). In accordance with German legal requirements, this assurance work extends only to the conversion of the information contained in the consolidated financial statements and the group management report into the ESEF format and therefore relates neither to the information contained within these renderings nor to any other information contained in the file identified above.

In our opinion, the rendering of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report contained in the file identified above and prepared for publication purposes complies in all material respects with the requirements of Sec. 328 (1) HGB for the electronic reporting format. Beyond this assurance opinion and our audit opinions on the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the accompanying group management report for the fiscal year from 1 January to 31 December 2021 contained in the "Report on the audit of the consolidated financial statements and of the group management report" above, we do not express any assurance opinion on the information contained within these renderings or on the other information contained in the file identified above.

Basis for the opinion

We conducted our assurance work on the rendering of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report contained in the file identified above in accordance with Sec. 317 (3a) HGB and the IDW Assurance Standard: Assurance on the Electronic Rendering of Financial Statements and Management Reports Prepared for Publication Purposes in Accordance with Sec. 317 (3a) HGB (IDW AsS 410) (10.2021). Our responsibility in accordance therewith is further described in the "Group auditor's responsibilities for the assurance work on the ESEF documents" section. Our audit firm applies the IDW Standard on Quality Management 1: Requirements for Quality Management in the Audit Firm (IDW QS 1).

Responsibilities of the executive directors and the Supervisory Board for the ESEF documents

The executive directors of the Company are responsible for the preparation of the ESEF documents including the electronic rendering of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report in accordance with Sec. 328 (1) Sentence 4 No. 1 HGB and for the tagging of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Sec. 328 (1) Sentence 4 No. 2 HGB.

In addition, the executive directors of the Company are responsible for such internal control as they have determined necessary to enable the preparation of ESEF documents that are free from material intentional or unintentional non-compliance with the requirements of Sec. 328 (1) HGB for the electronic reporting format.

The Supervisory Board is responsible for overseeing the process for preparing the ESEF documents as part of the financial reporting process.

Group auditor's responsibilities for the assurance work on the ESEF documents

Our objective is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the ESEF documents are free from material intentional or unintentional non-compliance with the requirements of Sec. 328 (1) HGB. We exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the assurance work. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material intentional or unintentional non-compliance with the requirements of Sec. 328 (1) HGB, design and perform assurance procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain assurance evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our assurance opinion.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the assurance on the ESEF documents in order to design assurance procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an assurance opinion on the effectiveness of these controls.
- Evaluate the technical validity of the ESEF documents, i.e., whether the file containing the ESEF documents meets the requirements of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/815, in the version in force at the date of the financial statements, on the technical specification for this file.
- Evaluate whether the ESEF documents enable an XHTML rendering with content equivalent to the audited consolidated financial statements and to the audited group management report.
- Evaluate whether the tagging of the ESEF documents with Inline XBRL technology (iXBRL) in accordance with the requirements of Arts. 4 and 6 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/815, in the version in force at the date of the financial statements, enables an appropriate and complete machine-readable XBRL copy of the XHTML rendering.

Further information pursuant to Art. 10 of the EU Audit Regulation

We were elected as group auditor by the Annual General Meeting on 22 July 2021. We were engaged by the Supervisory Board on 1 September 2021. We have been the group auditor of VOLKSWAGEN AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT since fiscal year 2020.

We declare that the opinions expressed in this auditor's report are consistent with the additional report to the Audit Committee pursuant to Art. 11 of the EU Audit Regulation (long-form audit report).

Other matter – Use of the auditor's report

Our auditor's report must always be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements and the audited group management report as well as the assured ESEF documents. The consolidated financial statements and the group management report converted to the ESEF format – including the versions to be published in the *Bundesanzeiger* [German Federal Gazette] – are merely electronic renderings of the audited consolidated financial statements and the audited group management report and do not take their place. In particular, the ESEF report and our assurance opinion contained therein are to be used solely together with the assured ESEF documents made available in electronic form.

German Public Auditor responsible for the engagement

The German Public Auditor responsible for the engagement is Martin Matischiok.

Appendix to the auditor's report:

1. Parts of the group management report whose content is unaudited

We have not audited the content of the following part of the group management report:

- The group corporate governance declaration which is published on the website stated in the group management report and is part of the group management report.

2. Further other information

The other information comprises the following parts of the annual report, of which we obtained a copy prior to issuing this auditor's report:

- The Group Non-Financial Report

The other information also comprises other parts to be included in the annual report, of which we obtained a copy prior to issuing this auditor's report, in particular the sections:

- To our Shareholders
- Divisions
- Group Corporate Governance Declaration

- Remuneration Report
- Responsibility Statement; and
- Additional Information

but not the consolidated financial statements, not the group management report disclosures whose content is audited and not our auditor's report thereon.

3. Company information outside of the annual report referenced in the group management report

The group management report contains other cross-references to webpages of the Group. We have not audited the content of the information to which these cross-references refer.

Hanover, 11 March 2022

Ernst & Young GmbH
Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft

Meyer
Wirtschaftsprüfer
[German Public Auditor]

Matischiok
Wirtschaftsprüfer
[German Public Auditor]