

26.1 Bounded Difference Inequality

Definition 1. (Y_j, \mathcal{F}_j) is a martingale if

1. $\mathbb{E}Y_j < \infty$
2. Y_j is \mathcal{F}_j -measurable
3. $\mathbb{E}[Y_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] = Y_{j-1}$.

Example 1. X_1, \dots, X_n iid with finite mean, $S_k = \sum_1^k X_j - \mathbb{E}X_j$, $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is a martingale.

$$\mathbb{E}[S_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = \mathbb{E}[S_{k-1} + X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = S_{k-1} + \mathbb{E}[X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = S_{k-1}.$$

Theorem 1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid. Assume that $Z(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is such that

$$|Z(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) - Z(x_1, \dots, x'_j, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_1$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_j, x'_j, \dots, x_n$. Then

$$P(|Z(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \mathbb{E}Z(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum c_j^2}\right).$$

Proof: First, we will find V_1, \dots, V_n such that (letting $Z(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Z$),

1. $Z - \mathbb{E}Z = \sum V_j$
2. $\mathbb{E}[V_j | V_1, \dots, V_{j-1}] = 0$
3. $V_j = V_j(X_1, \dots, X_j)$

This is called the martingale difference decomposition; the V_j are called martingale differences because $S_k = \sum V_j$ is a martingale. Let Y_j be independent copies of the X_j , and let

$$V_j = \mathbb{E}_Y Z(X_1, \dots, X_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, Y_n) - \mathbb{E}_Y [Z(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, Y_j, \dots, Y_n)].$$

Note that

$$V_j = \mathbb{E}[Z | X_1, \dots, X_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, Y_n] - \mathbb{E}[Z | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, Y_j, \dots, Y_n].$$

This means

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_1 + \cdots + V_n &= \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1] - \mathbb{E}Z + \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, X_2] - \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, X_2, X_3] - \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, X_2] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, \dots, X_n] - \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \\
 &= Z - \mathbb{E}Z.
 \end{aligned}$$

To show 2. note that by integrating out X_j ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, \dots, X_j] - \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}] | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}] = \mathbb{E}[Z|X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}] - \mathbb{E}Z | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1} = 0.$$

Next, for 3.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq t) &= P(e^{\lambda(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)} \geq e^{\lambda t}) \\
 &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum V_j} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \sum^{n-1} V_j} e^{\lambda V_n} | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum^{n-1} V_j} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda V_n} | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \\
 |V_n| &= |\mathbb{E}_Y[Z|X_1, \dots, X_n] - \mathbb{E}_Y[Z|X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_n]| \leq |c_n| \text{ a.s., so } V_n \in SG(c_n^2) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \lambda c_n^2 / 2 \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum^{n-1} V_j} \\
 \dots &\leq e^{\lambda^2 / 2 \sum c_j^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$P(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t + \lambda^2 / 2 \sum c_j^2} \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum c_j^2}}.$$

Using the same technique to get a bound for $P(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \leq t)$, we finish.