

## Question 1

The functions are defined in the `math425hw2.m` file, under the section `%% Question 1`.

### Part A

See `math425hw2.m`.

### Part B

Using `myPartialPivot`, `myRank` simply counts the number of non-zero pivots in the upper triangular matrix returned from `myPartialPivot`.

Note that, even with partial pivoting, the computation still suffer from the precision issue of floating-point arithmetic. For this reason, in the implementation for `myRank`, I have rounded pivot entries to the tenth decimal place to determine whether they are really zeroes.

For example, consider a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the first pivot, we would row swap  $R_3$  and  $R_1$  and perform the row eliminations as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{4}{7}R_1+R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 6/7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{7}R_1+R_3 \rightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 6/7 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 12/7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, for the second pivot, we perform the row operation  $-\frac{1}{2}R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$ . This also happens to take out the third pivot. Thus, the rank is 2.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 12/7 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 6/7 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{2}R_2+R_3 \rightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 12/7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The above should be our upper triangular matrix,  $U$ . However, due floating point error, the result is not quiet zero. In MATLAB, when we display  $U$  using `format rational`, it displays:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 12/7 \\ 0 & * & * \end{array}$$

where the asterisks denote that the denominator is too large. By using `format long` we can see that the result is pretty much zero:

```

7.0000000000000000    8.0000000000000000    9.0000000000000000
      0    0.857142857142857    1.714285714285714
      0    0.0000000000000000    0.0000000000000000

```

Using `format longG` we can see that the stored values aren't quite zero. Hence, I have rounded the digits to ten decimal places.

```

      7                      8                      9
0      0.857142857142857      1.71428571428571
0      5.55111512312578e-17    1.11022302462516e-16

```

## Part C

Yes, the function virtually always returns 3. Since the question did not specify the bounds for the values from which a matrix could be generated, I simply used `rand(5, 3)` and `rand(3, 5)` to generate a  $5 \times 3$  and  $3 \times 5$  matrix, respectively. As such, it is virtually impossible for two or more rows to be identical or be a multiple of each other.

Furthermore, the floating-point precision issue discussed in part (b) would almost certainly ensure that the result appears to be full rank — especially when the values in the matrix are floating-point numbers to begin with — as values that should be zero may instead be interpreted as non-zero.