

My notes on STAT 330 – Mathematical Statistics

Dillon Chan

Preliminaries

Sample space The set of all possible outcomes of an experiment, S .

σ -field Let S be a sample space with power set $\mathbb{P}(S)$. A collection of sets $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S)$ is a σ -field / σ -algebra on S if

1. $\emptyset, S \in \mathcal{B}$
2. \mathcal{B} closed under complementation
3. \mathcal{B} closed under countable unions

Measurable space Let S be a sample space and \mathcal{B} be a σ -algebra. Then the pair (S, \mathcal{B}) is a measurable space.

Measure Let X be a set and Σ be a σ -algebra over X . A function

$$\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

is called a measure if it satisfies:

- Non-negativity: For all $E \in \Sigma$, $\mu(E) \geq 0$
- Null empty set: $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- Countable additivity: For all countable collections $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of pairwise disjoint sets¹ in Σ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Definition from [wikipedia](#)

Probability Measure Let S be a sample space for a random experiment. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ be a σ -field on S . A probability measure is a function $\Pr : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that satisfies:

- $\Pr(A) \geq 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$.
- $\Pr(S) = 1$
- If $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ are disjoint events then $\Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr(A_i)$.

Measure space A measure space is a **measurable space** equipped with a **measure**

Probability space A probability space is a **measureable space** equipped with a **probability measure**.

Theorem: Boole's Inequality If A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of events, then $\Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr(A_i)$.

Proof: In the case where each A_i are independent, the result follows by the definition of a probability measure. Suppose any A_i, A_j are not independent. Then we have that $\Pr(A_i \cup A_j) = \Pr(A_i) + \Pr(A_j) - \Pr(A_i \cap A_j)$ which is certainly less than the case where they are independent. The proof follows by induction.

¹For any two elements in the set, them being disjoint is pairwise disjoint.

Theorem: Bonferroni's Inequality If A_1, \dots, A_k are events, then $\Pr(\cap_{i=1}^k A_i) \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \Pr(A_i^C)$.

Proof: Recall [De Morgan's Laws](#), which states that $(A \cup B)^C = A^C \cap B^C$, and also that $(A \cap B)^C = A^C \cup B^C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\cap_{i=1}^k A_i) &= \Pr(\cap_{i=1}^k (A_i^C)^C) \\ &= \Pr(\cup_{i=1}^k A_i^C) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \Pr(A_i^C) \quad \text{Boole's inequality} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \Pr(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

The result has been proven.

Theorem: Continuity property If $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence where $A = \cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \Pr(A)$.

Proof: We note that for any A_i, A_{i+1} we have that $\Pr(A_i \cap A_{i+1}) = \Pr(A_{i+1})$. Consider some finite n . Then we have that $\Pr(\cap_{i=1}^n A_i) = \Pr(A_n)$. Assuming that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_n) = \Pr(A)$.

Random Variable Consider a **probability space** (S, \mathcal{B}, \Pr) . The function $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Random Variable* if $\Pr(X \leq x) = \Pr(\{\omega \in S \mid X(\omega) \leq x\})$ is valid for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Cumulative Distribution Function The *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) of a **random variable** X is defined to be

$$F(x) = \Pr(X \leq x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, it has the following properties:

- F is non-decreasing (since if $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$ then $\Pr(A_i) \leq \Pr(A_{i+1})$).
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$.
- F is right-continuous, by convention. I.e., $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} F(x) = F(a)$.