Achieving Near-Zero Hallucation In Large Language Models

Abstract. This paper presents a research methodology focused on the mitigation of hallucinations in modern large language models. The initial phase involved the development and training of a models following the framework established in Google's "Attention Is All You Need" [1] paper. The degree of hallucination present in the model outputs was then systematically measured with respect to the training data. These measurements were obtained after multiple training iterations conducted on models of identical size but trained on datasets differing in quality and factual reliability, thereby yielding models with varying levels of knowledge representation. The results indicated that both data quality and model architecture contributed significantly to the prevalence of hallucinations. Consequently, architectural modifications were introduced, after which a model was trained on high-quality data. This revised configuration achieved a reduction of hallucinations in 96.4% of test cases.

This research paper is for a Research Methodology course at ELTE University. The data in it is made up, and should not be taken seriously or referenced.

1. Introduction

Your introduction

1.1. Related work

Works related to your paper

2. Experimental Design and Methodology

The experimental investigation was conducted in two sequential phases: first, establishing a robust performance baseline and quantifying the relationship between training data reliability and hallucination prevalence [2–4]; and second, implementing and evaluating the novel architectural intervention.

2.1. Phase 1: Baseline Establishment and Data Quality Analysis

2.1.1. Model Initialization and Data Corpus Formalization

All comparative experiments utilized the Canonical Transformer Model as the foundational architecture, strictly instantiated according to the specifications of [1]. A standard configuration was employed (e.g., 6 encoder layers, 6 decoder layers, and 8 attention heads) [1]. All initializations used a standard parameter initialization and an Adam optimizer with the inverse square-root learning rate schedule [1].

The experimental corpora were constructed from large-scale, publicly available datasets, segregated into two categories based on empirical reliability ratings:

- Low-Reliability Corpus (\mathcal{D}_{LR}): Comprised of tokens sampled from Reddit (2020 snapshot), Common Crawl WET files, and WikiAnswers community data. Prior work ([2–4]) has demonstrated high factual heterogeneity and weak source attribution in these domains.
- **High-Reliability Corpus** (\mathcal{D}_{HR}): Comprised of tokens from English Wikipedia (2023-06 dump), the Stanford Question Answering Dataset (SQuAD v2) [5], and the Natural Questions Open dataset [6]. These sources underwent strict deduplication and factuality validation, exhibiting high human-rated factual consistency in preliminary audits.

2.1.2. Differential Baseline Training and Factual Integrity Quantification

Two baseline models were trained to empirically isolate the causal effect of training data reliability on factual consistency. Both followed an **identical training regimen** (e.g., same number of training steps, batch size, and dropout rate).

• Baseline B_1 : Trained exclusively on the Low-Reliability Corpus (\mathcal{D}_{LR}).

• Baseline B_2 : Trained exclusively on the High-Reliability Corpus (\mathcal{D}_{HR}).

Factual adherence was quantified via the **Hallucination Rate** (HR) [2, 3], defined as the proportion of generated responses containing at least one factually incorrect claim when benchmarked against the held-out, human-annotated Factual Test Set ($\mathcal{T}_{\text{Fact}}$). $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Fact}}$ consisted of evaluation prompts, sampled equally from the FEVER dataset [7] and the TruthfulQA benchmark [8]. Expert annotators rated each model's output, achieving strong inter-annotator agreement.

2.2. Phase 2: Architectural Intervention and Evaluation

2.2.1. Integration of the Layer-Specific Factual Gate (LSFG)

To mitigate the persistent issue of factual drift in generative transformers [2, 3], we introduced the Layer-Specific Factual Gate (LSFG) into the decoder of the baseline model. Specifically, the intervention targets the final decoder layers, replacing their standard Feed-Forward Networks (FFN) [1] with a novel Gated Factual Network (GFN) The gating mechanism enforces selective suppression of activations contributing to factual inconsistency, formalized as:

Output =
$$g \odot \text{FFN}(z)$$
, where $g = \sigma(W_g z + b_g)$

where z is the input to the FFN, W_g and b_g are the learned gating parameters, σ is the element-wise sigmoid activation, and \odot denotes Hadamard product. This gating mechanism provides a dynamic factual fidelity filter over the representational space of the terminal layers.

2.2.2. Training Regime for the Experimental Model (M_{LSFG})

The experimental model, designated $M_{\rm LSFG}$, was trained exclusively on the High-Reliability Corpus ($\mathcal{D}_{\rm HR}$) under an identical regimen to Baseline B_2 (training steps, batch size, optimizer configuration, and schedule). This ensured that any measured improvements could be unambiguously attributed to the LSFG intervention rather than differences in training exposure.

The optimization objective was the **Standard Cross-Entropy Loss** with label smoothing [1]. This loss function implicitly optimized W_g and b_g to minimize the likelihood of factually incorrect generations during training.

3. Results

A	В	С
A1	В1	C1
A2	B2	C2
A3	B3	C3
A4	B4	C4

Table 1. The summary of the table

Reference to the Table ?? on page ?? and a cite [9].

4. Discussion

Your discussion

Acknowledgment

Your acknowledgement

References

- [1] Ashish Vaswani et al. "Attention is all you need". In: Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- [2] S. M. Towhidul Islam Tonmoy et al. "A Comprehensive Survey of Hallucination Mitigation Techniques in Large Language Models". In: *CoRR* abs/2401.01313 (2024). arXiv: 2401.01313.
- [3] Manuel Cossio. A comprehensive taxonomy of hallucinations in Large Language Models. 2025. arXiv: 2508.01781 [cs.CL]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.01781.

- [4] Meng Cao, Shashi Narayan, and Mohit Bansal. "Hallucination of Knowledge in Neural Text Generation". In: *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)* (2021), pp. 262–272.
- [5] Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. "Know What You Don't Know: Unanswerable Questions for SQuAD". In: CoRR abs/1806.03822 (2018). arXiv: 1806.03822. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03822.
- [6] Tom Kwiatkowski et al. "Natural Questions: a Benchmark for Question Answering Research". In: Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics (TACL). Vol. 7. 2019, pp. 452–466.
- [7] James Thorne et al. FEVER: a large-scale dataset for Fact Extraction and VERification. 2018. arXiv: 1803.05355 [cs.CL]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.05355.
- [8] Stephanie Lin, Jacob Hilton, and Owain Evans. "TruthfulQA: Measuring How Models Mimic Human Falsehoods". In: *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*. 2021, pp. 3214–3229.
- [9] P. Sabanal and M. Yason. "Reversing C++". In: Black Hat DC. 2007.

Zoltán Blahovics

Department of Computer Science Eötvös Loránd University Pázmány Péter Sétány 1/C Budapest, Hungary Budapest Hungary euxhhx@inf.elte.hu

Bence Nagy

Department of Computer Science Eötvös Loránd University Pázmány Péter Sétány 1/C Budapest, Hungary Budapest Hungary hvtdd4@inf.elte.hu

Krisztián Nemes-Kovács

Department of Computer Science Eötvös Loránd University Pázmány Péter Sétány 1/C Budapest, Hungary Budapest Hungary email

Balázs Fekete

Department of Computer Science Eötvös Loránd University Pázmány Péter Sétány 1/C Budapest, Hungary Budapest Hungary email