

Homework #3 Solutions
Introduction to Algorithms
601.433/633
Spring 2020

Due on: Tuesday, February 25th, 12pm

Format: Please start each problem on a new page.

Where to submit: On Gradescope, please mark the pages for each question

1 Problem 1 (24 points)

Recall that when using the QuickSort algorithm to sort an array A of length n , we picked an element $x \in A$ which we called the *pivot* and split the array A into two arrays A_S, A_L such that $\forall y \in A_S, y \leq x$ and $\forall y \in A_L, y > x$.

We will say that a pivot from an array A provides $t|n - t$ separation if t elements in A are smaller than or equal to the pivot, and $n - t$ elements are strictly larger than the pivot.

Suppose Bob knows a secret way to find a good pivot with $\frac{n}{3}|\frac{2n}{3}$ separation in constant time. But at the same time Alice knows her own secret technique, which provides separation $\frac{n}{4}|\frac{3n}{4}$, her technique also works in constant time.

Recall that in the QuickSort algorithm, we picked the pivot by picking an element x *randomly* from A .

Alice and Bob applied their secret techniques as subroutines in the QuickSort algorithm to pick pivots. Whose algorithm works **asymptotically** faster? Or are the runtimes **asymptotically** the same? Prove your statement.

Proof. To reorder the elements around the pivot at each step takes $O(n)$, which gives us the relation $T_B(n) = T_B(\frac{n}{3}) + T_B(\frac{2n}{3}) + O(n)$ for Bob and $T_A(n) =$

$T_A(\frac{n}{3}) + T_A(\frac{2n}{3}) + O(n)$ for Alice.

We know that in case of separation $\frac{n}{2} | \frac{n}{2}$ we have recurrence: $T_C(n) = 2T_C(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$, from merge sort procedure we know that $T_C(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$. We will use it as initial guess for $T_A(n)$ and $T_B(n)$ and will prove it by substitution.

1. (a) For large enough C_B, n_0 and $\forall n \geq n_0, T_B(n) \leq C_B n \log n$.

BC: Trivial.

IH: $\forall k < n : T_B(k) \leq C_B k \log k$.

IS: $T_B(n) = T_B(\frac{n}{3}) + T_B(\frac{2n}{3}) + Cn \leq C_B \frac{n}{3} \log(\frac{n}{3}) + C_B \frac{2n}{3} \log(\frac{2n}{3}) + Cn \leq C_B n \log n - (C_B \frac{\log 3}{3} + C_B \frac{2 \log \frac{3}{2}}{3} - C)n \leq C_B n \log n$. Where last inequality holds for $C_B \geq \frac{3C}{\log 3 + \log \frac{3}{2}}$.

Therefore $T_B(n) = O(n \log n)$.

- (b) For small enough positive C_B and large enough n_0 and $\forall n \geq n_0, T_B(n) \geq C_B n \log n$. Using same idea as below. We will show only induction step. $T_B(n) = T_B(\frac{n}{3}) + T_B(\frac{2n}{3}) + Cn \geq C_B \frac{n}{3} \log(\frac{n}{3}) + C_B \frac{2n}{3} \log(\frac{2n}{3}) + Cn = C_B n \log n + (C - C_B \frac{\log 3}{3} - C_B \frac{2 \log \frac{3}{2}}{3})n \geq C_B n \log n$, where last inequality holds for $C \geq \frac{3C}{\log 3 + \log \frac{3}{2}}$. Therefore $T_B(n) = \Omega(n \log n)$.

2. (a) For large enough C_A, n_0 and $\forall n \geq n_0, T_A(n) \leq C_A n \log n$. Same idea as for $T_B(n)$ we will just show induction step. $T_A(n) = T_A(\frac{n}{4}) + T_A(\frac{3n}{4}) + Cn \leq C_A \frac{n}{4} \log(\frac{n}{4}) + C_A \frac{3n}{4} \log(\frac{3n}{4}) + Cn \leq C_A n \log n - (\frac{1}{4}C_A \log 4 + \frac{3}{4}C_A \log \frac{4}{3} - C)n \leq C_A n \log n$, where last inequality holds when $C_A \geq \frac{4C}{\log 4 + 3 \log \frac{4}{3}}$. Therefore $T_B(n) = O(n \log n)$.

- (b) For small enough positive C_A and large enough n_0 and $\forall n \geq n_0, T_A(n) \geq C_A n \log n$. Same idea as for $T_B(n)$ we will just show induction step. $T_A(n) = T_A(\frac{n}{4}) + T_A(\frac{3n}{4}) + Cn \geq C_A \frac{n}{4} \log(\frac{n}{4}) + C_A \frac{3n}{4} \log(\frac{3n}{4}) + Cn = C_A n \log n + (C - \frac{1}{4}C_A \log 4 - \frac{3}{4}C_A \log \frac{4}{3})n$, where last inequality holds when $C_A \leq \frac{4C}{\log 4 + 3 \log \frac{4}{3}}$. Therefore $T_B(n) = \Omega(n \log n)$.

Therefore $T_B(n) = \Theta(n \log n) = T_A(n)$. Asymptotically the solutions are the same.

□

2 Problem 2 (13 points)

Resolve the **asymptotic complexity** of the following recurrences, i.e., solve them and give your answer in Big- Θ notation. Use Master theorem, if applicable. In all examples assume that $T(1) = 1$. To simplify your analysis, you can assume that $n = a^k$ for some a, k .

Your final answer should be as simple as possible, i.e., it should not contain any sums, recurrences, etc.

$$1. T(n) = 2T(n/8) + n^{\frac{1}{5}} \log n \log \log n$$

$\forall n \geq n_0, n^{\frac{1}{5}} \log n \log \log n \leq n^{\frac{1}{5}} n^{\frac{1}{100}} n^{\frac{1}{100}} \leq n^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq cn^{\log_8 2 - \epsilon} = cn^{\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon}$
for $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{100}$ and sufficiently large c, n_0 . Therefore, $f(n) \in O(n^{\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon})$.
Therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\frac{1}{3}})$ by case 1 of the master theorem.

$$2. T(n) = 8T(n/2) + n^3 - 8n \log n$$

$\forall n \geq n_0, 0 \leq c_1 n^{\log_2 8} = c_1 n^3 \leq n^3 - 8n \log n \leq c_2 n^{\log_2 8} = c_2 n^3$
for $c_1 = .5, c_2 = 1$, and sufficiently large n_0 . Therefore, $f(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$.
Therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(n^3 \log n)$ by case 2 of the master theorem.

$$3. T(n) = T(n/2) + \log n$$

$$n = 2^k$$

$$T(2^k) = T(2^k/2) + k = T(2^{k-1}) + k$$

$$T(2^k) = S(k) = S(k-1) + k$$

$$\text{Thus } T(n) = S(k) = \sum_{i=1}^k i = \Theta(k^2) = \Theta((\log n)^2).$$

$$4. T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2)$$

Let $T(n) \leq ca^n$ hold for smaller values of n . Then,

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) \leq ca^{n-1} + ca^{n-2} = ca^n + \left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{a^2} - c\right)a^n$$

where $\left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{a^2} - c\right)a^n$ is a positive term iff for some positive $a, a^2 - a - 1 \leq 0 \rightarrow a \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

$$\text{Therefore } T(n) = O\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$$

Let $T(n) \geq ca^n$ hold for smaller values of n . Then,

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) \geq ca^{n-1} + ca^{n-2} = ca^n + \left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{a^2} - c\right)a^n$$

where $\left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{a^2} - c\right)a^n$ is a negative term iff for some positive $a, a^2 - a - 1 \geq 0$

$$0 \rightarrow a \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

$$\text{Therefore } T(n) = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$$

$$\text{Therefore } T(n) = \Theta\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$$

$$\text{From } T(1) = 1 \text{ we can conclude that constant } C = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{-1}.$$

$$5. \quad T(n) = 3T(n^{\frac{2}{3}}) + \log n$$

$$T(n) = T(a^k) = 3T((a^k)^{\frac{2}{3}}) + \log a^k$$

$$T(a^k) = S(k) = 3S(\frac{2}{3}k) + \log a^k$$

$$\log a^k = O(k^{\log_{\frac{3}{2}} 3 - \epsilon}) \approx O(k^{2.7 - \epsilon}) \text{ for some } \epsilon$$

$$\text{Therefore } T(n) = S(k) = \Theta(k^{\log_{\frac{3}{2}} 3}) = \Theta((\log_a n)^{\log_{\frac{3}{2}} 3}) \text{ using 1 of Master's Thm.}$$

3 Problem 3 (13 points)

Let A and B be two sorted arrays of n elements each. We can easily find the median element in A – it is just the element in the middle – and similarly we can easily find the median element in B . (Let us define the median of $2k$ elements as the element that is greater than $k - 1$ elements and less than k elements.) However, suppose we want to find the median element overall – i.e., the n th smallest in the union of A and B .

Give an $O(\log n)$ time algorithm to compute the median of $A \cup B$. You may assume there are no duplicate elements.

As usual, prove correctness and the runtime of your algorithm.

Proof. We call $\text{UnionMedian}(A, B)$.

The correctness of the algorithm follows from inducting on the length of the arrays n . The base case, corresponding to $|A|, |B| \leq 2$ is trivially true.

IH: Assume that for all pairs of sorted arrays X, Y of length $n' < n$ each the algorithm correctly returns the median of $X \cup Y$.

IS:

Algorithm 1 UnionMedian(X, Y)

```
if  $|X| = |Y| \leq 2$  then  
    return brute force compute median( $X, Y$ )  
else if median( $X$ ) < median( $Y$ ) then  
    return UnionMedian( $X[|X|/2 : ], Y[: |Y|/2]$ )  
else  
    return UnionMedian( $X[: |X|/2], Y[|Y|/2 : ]$ )  
end if
```

- Case i). Median(X) < Median(Y). Since X and Y are sorted, we know that the $n/2$ elements in $Y[|Y|/2 :]$ are larger than the median of $X \cup Y$ since otherwise the elements $X[: |X|/2] \cup Y[: |Y|/2]$ would all be smaller than the median. A similar argument can be made to show that the $n/2$ elements in $X[|X|/2]$ are smaller than the median of $X \cup Y$. The result follows by applying the IH on the smaller arrays.
- Case ii). Median(X) > Median(Y). An analogous argument to Case i) follows.

To prove running time, notice that in each step of the algorithm at least $1/2$ of X and $1/2$ of Y is discarded. Hence the running time of the algorithm can be upper bounded by the following recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + C \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\log n} C = O(\log n) \end{aligned}$$

□