Brief Soln for Homework #1 Introduction to Algorithms/Algorithms 1 600.433/633 Spring 2018

Due on: Tuesday, February 6th, 5pm Late submissions: will NOT be accepted **Format:** Please start each problem on a new page. Where to submit: Gradescope. Otherwise, please bring your solutions to the lecture.

February 25, 2018

Problem 1 (25 points)

(15 points) 1.1

For each statement below explain if it is true or false and prove your answer. Be as precise as you can. The base of log is 2 unless stated otherwise.

1.
$$2^{n^2} = \Theta(3^{n+\sqrt{n}})$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2^{n^2}}{3^{n+\sqrt{n}}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}2^{n^2-\log 3(n+\sqrt{n})}=\infty$, we know that there exists a constant C (say C=1) and some large n_0 (say $n_0=100$), such that $2^{n^2}>C\cdot 3^{n+\sqrt{n}}$ for $n\geq n_0$. Thus $2^{n^2}=\omega(3^{n+\sqrt{n}})$ and $2^{n^2}\neq O(3^{n+\sqrt{n}})$.

$$2. \ n^{n^2} = \Omega\left(e^{n^3}\right)$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^{n^2}}{e^{n^3}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{e^{n^2 \ln n}}{e^{n^3}} = 0$, we can know that there exists a constant C and some sufficiently large n_0 , such that $n^{n^2} < C \cdot e^{n^3}$ for $n \ge n_0$. Thus $n^{n^2} = o\left(e^{n^3}\right)$.

3. $2^{2n} = \Theta(2^{n+2})$

False

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2^{2n}}{2^{n+2}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}2^{n-1}=\infty$, we can know that there exists a constant C and some sufficiently large n_0 , such that $2^{2n}>C\cdot 2^{n+2}$ for $n\geq n_0$. Thus $2^{2n}=\omega\left(2^{n+2}\right)$ and $2^{2n}\neq\Theta\left(2^{n+2}\right)$.

4. $\log \log n = O(\log \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3 \log n} + \log(n - \log n))$

True

Let's take C=1 and $n_0=256$, than by definition of $O(\cdot)$ notation:

$$\log \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3\log n} + \log \left(n - \log n\right) = \frac{1}{2}\log n - \log\log n - \log 3 + \log(n - \log n)$$

Where for $n > n_0 = 256$ we have $-\log 3 + \log(n - \log n) > 0$: Thus we only need to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}\log n - \log\log n > \log\log n$$

$$\log n > 4\log\log n$$

$$n > 2^4\log n$$

Which also holds for all $n > n_0 = 256$.

 $5. \ n^{n\log n} = \Omega(e^{n^2 - n\log n})$

False

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^{n\log n}}{e^{n^2-n\log n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{e^{n\log n\ln n}}{e^{n^2-n\log n}} = 0$, we can know that there exists a constant C and some sufficiently large n_0 , such that $n^{n\log n} < C \cdot e^{n^2-n\log n}$ for $n \ge n_0$. Thus $n^{n\log n} = o(e^{n^2-n\log n})$.

6. $n! = \omega(2^n)$

True

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!}{2^n} \ge \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1\cdot 2\cdot 3\cdot 4^{n-3}}{2^n} = \infty$, we can know that there exists a constant C and some sufficiently large n_0 , such that $n! > C \cdot 2^n$ for $n \ge n_0$. Thus $n! = \omega(2^n)$.

7. Let f,g be positive functions with g(n)=o(f(n)). Then f(n)g(n)=o(f(n)).

False

Show by counterexample. Let $g(n) = n^2$ and $f(n) = n^4$. Thus $f(n)g(n) = n^6 \neq o(f(n))$.

8. Let f,g be positive functions. If $f(n)+g(n)=\Omega(f(n))$ then $g(n)=O((f(n))^2)$.

False

Show by counterexample. Let $f(n)=n^2$ and $g(n)=n^6$. Thus $g(n)\neq O((f(n))^2)$.

9. Let f, g, h be positive functions. If g(n) = o(f(n)) and f(n) = O(h(n)), then g(n) = o(h(n)).

True

Since g(n) = o(f(n)), $g(n) < c_1 \cdot f(n)$ for some constant c_1 and for all n larger than some n_1 , by definition. Since f(n) = O(h(n)), by definition, $f(n) \le c_2 \cdot h(n)$ for some constant c_2 and for all n larger than some n_2 . Thus, $g(n) < c_1c_2 \cdot h(n)$ for all n larger than some $n_0 = max\{n_1, n_2\}$.

10. Let f be positive function. Then $f(n) = O((f(n))^2)$.

False

Let's consider decreasing function $f(n) = 1/n^2$ and thus f(n) is asymptotically faster than $1/n^4$.

1.2 (10 points)

1. Prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} = O(\log n).$$

Answer: Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \le 1 + \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{x} dx = ln(n) + 1$. So there exists a positive constant c and a positive n_0 to suffice $ln(n) + 1 < c \cdot \log(n)$ for all $n > n_0$.

2 Problem 2(25 Points)

2.1 (9 points)

Prove by induction that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {i \choose k} = {n \choose k+1}$ for $n \ge 1, 0 \le k < n$.

(Hint: Pascal's rule states that for $1 \le k \le n$, $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.)

Answer:

We can prove by induction with n.

• Base case: when n = 1, the equation holds.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

• Inductive step: assume that the equation holds for $n=n_0$ where $n_0>1$, we need to prove if the equation still holds for $n=n_0+1$. Given the assumption,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \binom{i}{k} = \binom{n_0}{k+1}$$

When $n = n_0 + 1$, by Pascal's rule,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \binom{i}{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \binom{i}{k} + \binom{n_0}{k} = \binom{n_0}{k+1} + \binom{n_0}{k} = \binom{n_0+1}{k+1}$$

Thus, for $n \ge 1, 0 \le k < n, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {i \choose k} = {n \choose k+1}$, QED.

2.2 (16 points)

1. Let A, B, C be sets. Prove that

(a)
$$A \setminus (A \setminus B) = A \cap B$$

Answer: To prove it we will show that:

i.
$$x \in A \setminus (A \setminus B) \Rightarrow x \in A \cap B$$

ii.
$$x \in A \cap B \Rightarrow x \in A \setminus (A \setminus B)$$

Suppose $x \in A \setminus (A \setminus B)$ then $x \in A$ and $x \notin A \setminus B$, thus $x \in B$, therefore $x \in A \cap B$.

Suppose $x \in A \cap B$, thus $x \in A$ and $x \in B$, then $x \notin A \setminus B$, therefore $x \in A \setminus (A \setminus B)$.

(b)
$$A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A)$$

Answer: We will use same approach.

Suppose $x \in A \cup B$, then

if $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ then $x \in A \setminus B$,

if $x \notin A$ and $x \in B$ then $x \in B \setminus A$,

if $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ then $x \in A \cap B$.

In all cases $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Suppose now that $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A)$, then:

if $x \in A \setminus B$ then $x \in A \setminus B \cup B = A \cup B$,

if $x \in B \setminus A$ then $x \in B \setminus A \cup A = A \cup B$,

if $x \in A \cap B$ then $x \in A$ and $x \in B$, thus $x \in A \cup B$.

In all cases we conclude that $x \in A \cup B$.

(c)
$$A \setminus (B \setminus C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$$

Answer: Will use same technique.

Suppose $x \in A \setminus (B \setminus C)$, then $x \in A$ and $x \notin B \setminus C$. Thus one of next three cases holds:

 $x \in A, x \notin B, x \notin C \Rightarrow x \in A \setminus B$

 $x \in A, x \notin B, x \in C \Rightarrow x \in A \cap C$

 $x \in A, x \in B, x \in C \Rightarrow x \in A \cap C$

In all cases $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$.

Suppose $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$, then one of next three cases holds:

 $x \in A \setminus B$ and $x \in A \cap C$, then $x \in A, x \notin B, x \in C$

 $x \in A \setminus B$ and $x \notin A \cap C$, then $x \in A, x \notin B, x \notin C$

 $x \notin A \setminus B$ and $x \in A \cap C$, then $x \in A, x \in B, x \in C$.

In all cases we can conclude that $x \in A$ and $x \notin B \setminus C$. Thus for all of them we can conclude that $x \in A \setminus (B \setminus C)$.

2. Suppose that a random machine outputs each number from 1 to x-1 with equal probability. What is probability that the output is coprime with x, where $x=3^n5^m7^k$ and n,m,k are positive integers.

Anwser: For simplicity of calculations we considered x to be co-prime to itself. If consider range from 1 to x-1, you will get next answer, like:

$$1 - \frac{x/3 - 1}{x - 1} + \frac{x/5 - 1}{x - 1} + \frac{x/7 - 1}{x - 1} - \frac{x/15 - 1}{x - 1} - \frac{x/21 - 1}{x - 1} - \frac{x/35 - 1}{x - 1} + \frac{x/105 - 1}{x - 1} =$$

$$= 1 - \frac{x/3 - 1 + x/5 - 1 + x/7 - 1 - x/15 + 1 - x/21 + 1 - x/35 + 1 + x/105 - 1}{x - 1} =$$

$$=1 - \frac{19x/35 - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{x - 1 - 19x/35 + 1}{x - 1} = \frac{16x}{35(x - 1)}$$

3. We have *n* balls. Each ball, independently and randomly, is placed into one of *n* bins. What is the probability that there are no empty bins at the end of our experiment?

Answer: assume balls are labelled, there are n! ways that leave no empty bin in the end and there are in total n^n ways to distribute all balls. So the probability is $\frac{n!}{n^n}$.

4. Prove the pigeon-hole principle, which states that the maximum of a set of numbers is greater than or equal to the arithmetic mean of the set. (Hint: assume the contrary and derive a contradiction.)

Answer: assume that for some set of numbers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , the maximum of the set (call it x_i) is less than the arithmetic mean of the set. That is, suppose that (i) $x_i \geq x_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and that (ii) $x_i < \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$. We have, by assumption that x_i is the maximum, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \leq nx_i$. Combining this with assumption (ii) that x_i is strictly less than the arithmetic mean, we see that $x_i < x_i$, which is a contradiction.