

# Intro to Algorithms HW2

Jiayao Wu jwu86

February 12, 2016

## 1 Problem 1

### Algorithm:

1. Perform merge sort on  $A$  and  $B$ .
2. Now  $A$  and  $B$  are sorted. Create two variable called  $i$  and  $j$  which are both initialized to 0. Repeat the following process until  $i = k$ .  
Start from the first number in  $A$  and we call it  $A[j]$  where  $j$  is from 0 to  $n-1$ , and perform binary search of  $A[j]$  in array  $B$ . If found this number,  $j++$ ,  $i++$  and move to the next number in  $A$  and do the same process. If not found this number in  $B$ , then  $j++$  and directly move to the next number in  $A$ .
3. Now, we have reached the situation when  $i = k$ . This means the  $k$ -th smallest entry of their intersection is  $A[j-1]$ .

### Pseudo code for this algorithm

```
Algorithm: findKthSmallest( $A, B$ )
Perform MergeSort( $A$ ) and MergeSort( $B$ )
 $i = 0$  and  $j = 0$ ;
while ( $i \neq k$ ) {
    if(BinarySearch( $B, A[j]$ ) is true)  $i++$ ;  $j++$ ;
    else  $j++$ ;
}
return  $A[j-1]$ 
where BinarySearch( $B, A[j]$ ) is returning if  $B$  contains  $A[j]$ .
```

### Prove correctness:

We know merge sort will give us sorted arrays as proven in class. Then we just need to prove second and third steps are correct.

Initialization:

$i$  is used to update how many times we have found  $A[j]$  in  $B$  and  $j$  is used to update where in the array  $A$  we are currently on.

Middle process:

Binary search will give us the result if  $A[j]$  is in  $B$  or not. If it is in  $B$ , then we increment the number of times we have found  $A[j]$  in  $B$  which is just  $i++$ ,

and increment where we are in  $A$  which is just  $j++$ . Also, at this case, we know  $A[j] \in A \cap B$ . If it is not in  $B$ , then we only increment where we are in  $A$  which is just  $j++$ . In addition, since  $A$  and  $B$  do not contain repeated values, the values in  $A \cap B$  are distinct. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are already sorted, we know that  $A[j+1] > A[j]$ , so each time when we increment  $i$ , we know the corresponding  $A[j]$  represents the  $i$ -th smallest number of the intersection.

Termination:

When  $i = k$ , we know that we have already found common numbers in both  $A$  and  $B$   $k$  times because we only increment  $i$  when we have found  $A[j]$  in array  $B$ . So  $i$  has been incremented for  $k$  times. Since  $j$  was already incremented in the last time, we need  $j - 1$  in order to find the  $k$ -th smallest number.

Therefore,  $A[j-1]$  is the  $k$ -th smallest entry of  $A \cap B$ .

### Running time analysis:

We know that merge sort is  $O(n \log n)$ . Then each binary search is  $O(\log n)$  and we would at most perform  $n$  times because we can assume  $n \leq k$  and there are only  $n$  numbers in  $A$  and  $B$ , which gives us  $O(n \log n)$ . Therefore, the whole algorithm's running time is  $O(n \log n) + O(n \log n) = O(n \log n)$ .

## 2 Problem 2

### Algorithm:

Basically, it utilizes modified binary search. We assume there is no repetitive elements in  $A$  and  $B$ .

1. First, if  $A[k] < B[0]$ , then  $A[k]$  is the answer. If  $B[k] > A[0]$ , then  $B[k]$  is the answer.

2. Then, let's consider more complex situation. We select  $A[\frac{n}{2}]$  whose index is  $i$ . We then choose  $B[k-i]$  whose index is  $j$ .

3. Then, we compare them. If  $B[j] < A[i] < B[j+1]$  or  $A[i] < B[j] < A[i+1]$ , then we know  $A[i]$  is the smallest  $k$ -th number or  $B[j]$  is the smallest  $k$ -th number.

4. If the above condition does not satisfy, we keep using binary search. If  $A[i] < B[j]$ , then this means the smallest  $k$ -th number could be in the sub-array of  $A[i+1] \dots A[n]$ . So we perform recursion on this sub-array part. On the other hand, if  $A[i] > B[j]$ , this means the smallest  $k$ -th number could be in the sub-array of  $A[0] \dots A[i]$ . So we perform recursion on this sub-array part. Keep in mind that, each time when we do recursion, we need to change the value of  $j$  so that  $i + j = k$ .

### Here is the pseudo code:

Algorithm: FindKthSmallest( $A, B, k$ )

```
if( $A[k] < B[0]$ ) return  $A[k]$ ;  
if( $B[k] > A[0]$ ) return  $B[k]$ ;  
return Search( $A, B, 0, n$ )
```

Algorithm: Search( $A, B, p, q$ )

```
i =  $\frac{p+q}{2}$ , j = k-i;  
if ( $B[j] < A[i] < B[j+1]$ ) return  $A[i]$ ;  
if ( $A[i] < B[j] < A[i+1]$ ) return  $B[j]$ ;  
if ( $A[i] < B[j]$ ) return Search( $A, B, \frac{p+q}{2}+1, q$ );  
if ( $A[i] > B[j]$ ) return Search( $A, B, p, \frac{p+q}{2}$ )
```

### Correctness proof:

The first two cases are intuitive. If  $A[k] < B[0]$ , this means there isn't any number in  $B$  that is smaller than  $A[k]$  since  $B$  is in ascending order. This means  $A[k]$  is the  $k$ -th smallest since it's the  $k$ -th number in  $A$ . The same proof can be applied to the case of  $B[k] > A[0]$ .

Then, let's look at the next two cases. Because we set  $i+j=k$  and there are no repetitive elements in  $A$  and  $B$ , we know that at any time, all the elements in  $A[0] \dots A[i]$  and  $B[0] \dots B[j]$  are distinct. Also, we know there are  $k$  numbers in total in  $A[0] \dots A[i]$  and  $B[0] \dots B[j]$ .

If  $B[j] < A[i] < B[j+1]$ , we know that  $A[i]$  is the  $k$ -th element. Here is the reason.  $A[i]$  is the last and largest number of the portion of  $A$  we are

considering and  $B[j]$  is the last and largest number of the portion of  $B$  we are considering. These two portions have  $k$  numbers in total. Also, since  $A[i]$  is in the middle of  $B[j]$  and  $B[j + 1]$ , this means  $A[i]$  is the largest number of all  $k$  numbers because it is already larger than the largest number in the part of  $B$  we are considering, which gives us the  $k$ -th smallest number of the union. It's the same thing for the case when  $(A[i] < B[j] < A[i + 1])$  where  $B[j]$  is just the largest number of all  $k$  numbers.

Then let's consider the case of  $A[i] < B[j]$ . This means the largest number in  $A[0] \dots A[i]$  is smaller than the largest number in  $B[0] \dots B[j]$ , which shows that there is still potential to find a even smaller number from  $A[i + 1] \dots A[n]$ . That's why we do recursion on this part of the array.

On the other hand, let's consider the case of  $A[i] > B[j]$ , which shows that there is still potential to find a even smaller number from  $A[0] \dots A[i]$ . That's why we do recursion on this part of the array.

### Running time analysis:

We can use recurrence to find  $T(n)$ .

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + C = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2C = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3C \dots = T(1) + C \log n.$$

Therefore, the running time for this algorithm is  $O(\log n)$ .

### 3 Problem 3

I chose the one with  $\frac{3}{2}n$  comparison.

**Algorithm:**

1. Create array  $B$  and  $C$  both of size  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Iterate through array  $A$  and each time compare  $A[i]$  with  $A[i + 1]$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . The larger one will be added into  $B$  and smaller one will be added into array  $C$ . Then increment  $i$  by 2. Keep doing so until reaching the end of  $A$ .
2. Set variable  $max$  to  $B[0]$ . Iterate through  $B$  and find the largest number by replacing  $max$  with any number that's greater than it.
3. Set variable  $min$  to  $C[0]$ . Iterate through  $C$  and find the smallest number by replacing  $min$  with any number that's smaller than it.
4. We have found  $max$  and  $min$ , so

$$r = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |a_i - a_j| = max - min$$

**Correctness proof:**

All we need to do for this problem is to find the max and min in array  $A$ . As long as they are correct, the result is correct because  $max - min$  gives the maximum difference. Array  $B$  contains all the larger numbers in the comparisons and array  $C$  contains all the smaller numbers in the comparisons. So we need to prove that  $max$  and  $min$  are found correctly.

Each time when we compare  $A[i]$  with  $A[i + 1]$ , if  $A[i] < A[i + 1]$ , this means  $A[i]$  can't be the  $max$  because there is already some number larger than it but it can be a potential  $min$ ;  $A[i + 1]$  can't be the  $min$  because there is already some number smaller than it but it can be a potential  $max$ . Then we would put  $A[i]$  into  $B$  and  $A[i + 1]$  into  $C$ . In the end, we know  $B$  contains all the possible max values and  $C$  contains all the possible min values, so we iterate through each to find  $max$  and  $min$ . Therefore,  $max$  and  $min$  are found correctly.

**Running time proof:**

Step 1 is  $\frac{n}{2}$  comparisons because we look at 2 numbers at a time. Step 2 and 3 are each  $\frac{n}{2}$  comparisons since both  $B$  and  $C$  have  $\frac{n}{2}$  numbers. Therefore, summing it up will give up  $\frac{3}{2}n$ .

## 4 Problem 4

1.

Here is the pseudo code for insertion sort:

Algorithm: Insertion Sort( $A$ )

For  $j = 2$  to  $n$

    key =  $A[j]$

$i = j - 1$

    while  $((i > 0) \text{ and } (A[i] > \text{key}))$

$A[i + 1] = A[i]$

$i = i - 1$

$A[i + 1] = \text{key}$

The insertion sort algorithm iterates through array  $A$  which takes  $O(n)$  which is demonstrated by the for loop. In each iteration, we swap  $A[i]$  with  $A[i + 1]$  if  $A[i] > A[i + 1]$ . Based on the definition of inversion, as long as  $i < j$  and  $A[i] > A[j]$ , we count it as an inversion. This means we only swap if there is an inversion because we know  $i + 1 > i$  but  $A[i] > A[i + 1]$ . Therefore, the maximum number of swaps when traversing is  $m$  because we know there are at most  $m$  inversions in array  $A$ . Denote this as:

$\sum(\text{All swaps}) = m$ .

Therefore, this algorithm will at most take number of times of the traversal + total number of swaps =  $O(n) + O(m) = O(n + m)$  steps.

2.

The maximum possible number of inversions is  $\frac{n*(n-1)}{2}$ . The worst case is reserved sorted array. For the first number, there can be  $n-1$  inversions because it can be inversion with any other number. For the second number, there can be  $n-2$  inversions. It's one less than the first number's inversions because we can't double count that first and second are inversions. So, the summation would be  $n-1 + n-2 + \dots + 1$  which gives us  $\frac{n*(n-1)}{2}$ .