# Homework #4 Algorithms I 600.463 Spring 2017

Due on: Tuesday, March 7th, 11:59pm
Late submissions: will NOT be accepted
Format: Please start each problem on a new page.
Where to submit: On Gradescope, under HW4
Please type your answers; handwritten assignments will not be accepted.
To get full credit, your answers must be explained clearly, with enough details and rigorous proofs.

March 9, 2017

## 1 Problem 1 (20 points)

When you are checking out at BalMart, you want to make change for A cents. Assuming that the cashier has infinite supply of each of  $C = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$  valued coins, can you count how many ways to make change for A cents? Give an efficient dynamic programming algorithm and analyze the running time. Here we don't consider the order of the coins.

For example, when A = 3 and  $C = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , there are three solutions:  $\{1, 1, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}.$ 

### **Brief Answer:**

For a dynamic programming solution, let's first define the optimal substructure. To count the number of different ways, we can divide the solutions into two parts:

- Solutions that have one or more  $C_t$  coins.
- Solutions that do not have  $C_t$  coins.

Thus we can define function  $Coin-Count(C, t, A) = Coin-Count(C, t - 1, A) + Coin-Count(C, t, A - C_t)$ .

In this recursion formula, the same subproblems are repeatedly called. We can find there are many of these subproblems are overlapped. We can use a table from bottom up to store the results of these overlapped subproblems to avoid the repeated same computations.

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Coin-Count}(C[],t,A) \colon \\ & \text{1 initialization } table[0\ldots A] \text{ to all '0'} \\ & \text{2 } table[0] = 1 \\ & \text{3 } \textbf{for } i = 1 \text{ to } t \textbf{ do} \\ & \text{4 } & | \textbf{ for } j = C[i] \text{ to } A \textbf{ do} \\ & \text{5 } & | table[j] = table[j] + table[j - C[i]] \\ & \text{6 } & | \textbf{ end} \\ & \text{7 } \textbf{ end} \\ & \text{8 } \textbf{ return } table[A] \end{aligned}
```

Here, line 2 gives the base case when A is 0 and the initialization covers all other base cases with 0 solutions. Lines 3-6 check the coins one by one and update the table (when the index j is greater than or equal to C[i]). A formal correctness proof is omitted.

The two for loops takes  $O(t \cdot A)$  iterations and each table update takes O(1). So in total the algorithm runs in O(tA).

## 2 Problem 2 (20 points)

Suppose you are managing the construction of billboards on an east-west highway that extends in a straight line. The possible sites for billboards are given by numbers  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  with  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ , specifying their distance in miles from the west end of the highway. If you place a billboard at location  $x_i$ , you receive payment  $p_i > 0$ .

Regulations imposed by the Baltimore County's Highway Department require that any pair of billboards be more than 5 miles apart. You'd like to place billboards at a subset of the sites so as to maximize your total revenue, subject to that placement restriction.

For example, suppose n = 4, with

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle = \langle 6, 7, 12, 14 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \rangle = \langle 5, 6, 5, 1 \rangle$$
.

The optimal solution would be to place billboards at  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ , for a total revenue of  $p_1 + p_3 = \$10$ .

Give an efficient dynamic-programming algorithm that takes as input an instance (locations  $\{x_i\}$  given in sorted order and their prices  $\{p_i\}$ ) and returns the maximum revenue obtainable from a valid subset of sites. Analyze the running time of your algorithm. Your solution must clearly define a recursive formula.

#### **Brief Answer:**

**Solution 1:** To see the optimal substructure, suppose an optimal solution S places a billboard at position  $x_j$ . Let  $x_i$  be the latest position such that  $x_i < x_j - 5$ , and let  $x_k$  be the earliest position such that  $x_k > x_j + 5$ . Then S consists of an optimal solution for billboards  $x_1, \ldots, x_i$ , the billboard at  $x_j$ , and an optimal solution for  $x_k, \ldots, x_n$ . You could prove this formally with a cut-and-paste (contradiction) argument. For the purposes of the recursive formula, choosing  $x_j$  to be the latest billboard so that subproblems correspond to a prefix is the most efficient.

Before we define a recursive formula, lets define some notation. Looking at the sub-structure, we need a "latest position such that  $x_i < x_j - 5$ ", so lets define  $prev(j) = max\{i < j | x_i < x_j - 5\}$  to denote this value, with prev(j) = 0 if it is otherwise undefined. Well come back to how to calculate this later.

Now we can define the recursive formula. Let P(j) denote the optimal revenue obtainable from billboards  $1,2,\ldots,j$ . That is, P(j) is the solution using positions  $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_j$ . For notational convenience, we set P(0)=0. Then we can calculate P(j) for j>0 recursively as  $P(j)=\max\{P(j-1),P(prev(j))+p_j\}$ , where P(j-1) means "don't place a billboard at  $x_j$ " and  $P(prev(j))+p_j$  means "place a billboard at  $x_j$ ".

The dynamic program would then look as the following:

```
1 initialization P[0 \dots n]

2 P[0] = 0

3 for j = 1 to n do

4 | do calculate prev(j)

5 | P[j] = max\{P[j-1], P[prev(j)] + p_j\}

6 end

7 return P[n]
```

Ignoring Line 4, the cost of filling in the table is  $\Theta(n)$ , since its just doing two table lookups and a few calculations in each iteration. The cost of Line 4 thus dominates. Naively, you could compute prev(j) by looping from j-1 down to

0, stopping when you find a position that is far enough away. If all  $x_1 > x_n - 5$  meaning that all billboards are within 5 miles, billboards are within 5 miles, however, the *j*-th iteration would then take  $\Theta(j)$  time, giving a total of  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

**Solution 2:** A better solution for computing prev(j) is to use binary search over the  $\{x_i\}$  for the value  $x_j - 5$  (after all, they are already sorted, thus binary search is valid), giving a running time of  $O(\log n)$  per iteration. In total it is  $O(n \log n)$ .

**Solution 3:** Now, can we do even better? The idea is to precompute all values of prev(j) up front, before running the dynamic program. To do this, To do this, keep two pointers, i and j, such that  $x_i < x_j - 5$ . Each time you increment j, advance i as far as you can to make this condition hold. You can do this with the following pseudo code:

```
      1 build a table prev[1 ... n]

      2 i = 0

      3 for j = 1 to n do

      4 | while x_{i+1} < x_j - 5 do

      5 | i = i + 1

      6 | end

      7 | prev[j] = i

      8 end
```

While any iteration of the outer loop may be very expensive, in total the pointer i can only be advanced n times. So the total cost is  $\Theta(n)$  for computing all values of  $prev[1 \dots n]$ . When incorporated into the dynamic program, the total running time comes out to  $\Theta(n)$ .