Intro to Algorithms HW6

Jiayao Wu jwu86 Mar 24th 2016

1 Problem 1

We can use proof by contradiction. Suppose the MST of graph G contains the edge e that has the maximum weight among other edges in cycle C. Then by deleting the edge e, the MST is split into 2 sub-trees. Then there is an edge $e' \neq e$ so that it reconnects the 2 sub-trees that each contain the end points of e. Since we know the weight of e' < e because e has the maximum weight, so this new tree we formed has less weight than the original MST, so this is a contradiction because the original MST is not the actual MST due to the fact that there is another tree that has smaller weight.

2 Problem 2

Algorithm overview:

Suppose the new edge e has two end points u and n. Use a graph traversal technique to traverse through the T_{mst} to find a unique path that goes from u to n. After find the path, we can find the longest edge along this path. Then compare this longest edge with e to determine if T_{mst} is also the MST for the new graph G'. If the longest edge's weight is larger than W(e)—weight of e, then this means there is a shorter path by replacing the longest edge with the new edge in T_{mst} which means T_{mst} is not the MST of graph G'. On the other hand, if the longest edge's weight is smaller or equal to W(e), then this means T_{mst} is still the MST of graph G'.

We can use a slight variation to BFS to find the unique path from u to n.

Pseudo Code:

```
Let Q be a queue
Algorithm 1: Init(G, u)
For all v \in V
    v.parent = null
    v.color = white
Finish for
u.color = grey
enqueue (Q, u)
Algorithm 2: BFS(G, u, n)
\operatorname{Init}(G, u)
while (Q \text{ is not empty})
    a = \text{dequeue}(Q)
    For all x \in Adj[a] given from T_{mst}
       if (x.color = white)
           x.parent = a
           x.color = grey
           If x = n
               Terminate the while loop
           enqueue (Q, x)
    a.color = black
Finish while loop
Comparison(G, u, n)
```

Algorithm 3: Comparison(G, u, n)

Now, start from n, trace back the parents till the parent is u. Meanwhile, keep track of e_{max} accounts for the weight of the edge that has the max length while $(p \neq u)$

```
p = n.parent
If (W(p, n) > e_{max})
e_{max} = W(p, n)
```

```
n = n.parent if e_{max} > W(e) return false else return true
```

Proof of correctness:

 T_{mst} is a tree, so it does not contain any cycle. When we add an edge to the graph without adding extra vertices and if this edge is added to the T_{mst} as well, this means there is a cycle called M formed in T_{mst} . Since we proved in problem 1 that the largest edge in a cycle cannot exist in MST, then here within M, the largest edge cannot be in MST either. Furthermore, since M contains the new edge e, so if weight of e is the largest in M, then there is no need to change the original T_{mst} and the original T_{mst} is still the MST for G'. However, if the edge that has the maximum weight in M is not edge e, then we need to replace that one with e to have a shorter path, thus original T_{mst} is not the MST for G'. This can be further easily proved by contradiction.

Assume T'_{mst} is the new MST for G' and $T'_{mst} = T_{mst}$, for the sake of contradiction, assume that our algorithm returns false. However, since we know $T'_{mst} = T_{mst}$, so the new added edge e must have the largest weight among other edges in cycle M as proven in previous paragraph, so the algorithm should return true. This contradicts with our assumption. Therefore, if $T'_{mst} = T_{mst}$, the algorithm must return true. Similarly, we can prove that if $T'_{mst} \neq T_{mst}$, then the algorithm should return false.

In addition, since this algorithm uses a slight variation to the BFS and as we already proved BFS is correct in class, then this algorithm is correct. The only difference is that at the end, I checked if x = n, if so, we terminate the whole while loop. This is so because we want the path from u to n and apparently that if x = n, we have touched our desired end point.

Lastly, algorithm 3 works correctly also because the parent pointer of each vertex stores where it is coming from in the BFS algorithm. So, if we start from the last vertex n and trace back, we can reach u in the end.

Running Time Analysis

The Algorithm 1 takes O(|V|) because it's going over all the vertices.

In Algorithm 2, the step of $\operatorname{Init}(G,u)$ takes O(|V|) as proved above. Then in the while loop, since I am only using the Adjacency matrix of T_{mst} , T_{mst} contains all the vertices, then it would be $O(\sum_{a \in V} deg(a)) = O(|V|)$. Afterwards, we proceed to Algorithm 3 when we trace back the parents from n, it's again at most taking V steps because there are only V vertices.

Therefore, as we sum up all the parts, the running time is just O(|V|).