# CNN Model Optimizer Comparison Report

## Musa's Neural Network Analysis

May 11, 2025

### 1 Model Architecture

The CNN model is implemented using the Keras Sequential API, designed to classify hand-written digits from the MNIST dataset (28x28 grayscale images). The architecture comprises multiple layers, each serving a specific role in feature extraction, dimensionality reduction, and classification. Below is a detailed breakdown:

• Input Layer: The model accepts input images with a shape of (28, 28, 1), representing 28x28 pixel grayscale images. The single channel (1) indicates no color information, typical for MNIST.

#### • Convolutional Blocks:

#### – First Convolutional Block:

- \* Two Conv2D layers, each with 32 filters of size 3×3, utilizing the ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation function to introduce non-linearity. The ReLU activation helps mitigate the vanishing gradient problem and speeds up convergence.
- \* A MaxPooling2D layer with a  $2 \times 2$  pool size follows, reducing spatial dimensions by half (e.g., from 28x28 to 14x14), thus decreasing computational load and aiding in feature abstraction by retaining the most prominent features.

#### – Second Convolutional Block:

- \* Two additional Conv2D layers, now with 64 filters each (doubling the filters to capture more complex features as the spatial resolution decreases). These layers also use  $3 \times 3$  kernels and ReLU activation.
- \* Another MaxPooling2D layer with a  $2 \times 2$  pool size further reduces the spatial dimensions (e.g., from 14x14 to 7x7), enhancing the model's ability to focus on high-level features.
- Flattening Layer: A Flatten layer transforms the 2D feature maps (e.g., 7x7x64) into a 1D vector (e.g., 3136 elements), serving as the bridge to the fully connected layers for classification.

#### • Fully Connected Layers:

- A series of Dense layers with the following configurations:
  - \* 512 units with ReLU activation, providing a high-capacity layer to learn complex patterns.
  - \* 128 units with ReLU activation, reducing dimensionality while retaining learned features.
  - \* 256 units with ReLU activation, adding depth to capture hierarchical representations.

- \* 32 units with ReLU activation, further refining the feature set before classification.
- A Dropout layer with a rate of 0.1, randomly deactivating 10% of neurons during training to prevent overfitting by introducing regularization.
- The output Dense layer with 10 units and softmax activation, producing a probability distribution over the 10 digit classes (0-9), consistent with the MNIST classification task.

This architecture leverages a hierarchical feature extraction process, starting with low-level edge detection in the convolutional layers and progressing to high-level pattern recognition in the dense layers, culminating in a robust classifier.

# 2 Training Results

Training was conducted over 100 epochs using seven optimizers. The training loss and accuracy are summarized below.

### 2.1 Training Loss and Accuracy Plots

- Loss: All optimizers converge to a low loss (<0.05) within 20 epochs. Adam\_AMSGrad achieves the lowest loss (0.0038), followed by SGD (0.0060).</li>
- Accuracy: All optimizers reach >0.95 accuracy within 20 epochs, plateauing near 0.99. Adam\_AMSGrad achieves the highest accuracy (0.9987), followed by Adam (0.9981).

### 2.2 Best Training Metrics

Optimizer	Train Accuracy	Train Loss	Val Loss
AdaBelief	0.9966	0.0145	0.0312
Adagrad	0.9971	0.0097	0.0316
Adam	0.9981	0.0064	0.0255
${\bf Adam\_AMSGrad}$	0.9987	0.0038	0.0241
$HN\_Adam$	0.9980	0.0072	0.0236
RMSprop	0.9977	0.0084	0.0264
SGD	0.9980	0.0060	0.0261

Table 1: Best training metrics for each optimizer.

### 3 Test Results

The test accuracies for each optimizer are as follows:

Optimizer	Test Accuracy
HN_Adam	0.9958
AdaBelief	0.9930
Adam	0.9953
$Adam\_AMSGrad$	0.9957
$\operatorname{SGD}$	0.9941
RMSprop	0.9435
Adagrad	0.9946

Table 2: Test accuracies for each optimizer.