

# A Complete Conditional Resolution of the Riemann Hypothesis via S-Finite Adelic Spectral Systems

José Manuel Mota Burruezo  
`institutoconciencia@proton.me`  
*Instituto Conciencia Cuántica (ICQ)*  
*Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

`https://github.com/motanova84/-jmmotaburr-riemanna-adelic`  
Zenodo DOI: `10.5281/zenodo.17116291`

September 2025

## Abstract

This paper presents a complete conditional resolution of the Riemann Hypothesis, based on a spectral framework built from S-finite adelic systems. We define a canonical determinant  $D(s)$ , constructed from operator-theoretic principles alone, without using the Euler product or the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  as input. The determinant  $D(s)$  arises from a scale-invariant flow over abstract places, smoothed via double operator integrals (DOI), and satisfies:

- $D(s)$  is entire of order  $\leq 1$ ,
- $D(1-s) = D(s)$  by spectral symmetry,
- $\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow +\infty} \log D(s) = 0$  (normalization),
- $D(s) \equiv \Xi(s)$ , where  $\Xi(s)$  is the completed Riemann xi-function.

The trace formula derived from this system recovers the logarithmic prime structure  $\ell_v = \log q_v$  as a geometric consequence of closed spectral orbits, not as an assumption. The zero measure of  $D(s)$  coincides

with that of  $\Xi(s)$  on a Paley–Wiener determining class with multiplicities. This yields a conditional identification  $D(s) = \Xi(s)$ , and thus a conditional proof of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \Re s = \frac{1}{2}.$$

All results are presented with full transparency, including detailed appendices on trace-class convergence, uniqueness theorems, and numerical validation. The code and data are openly provided at the GitHub repository above. This construction is offered as a rigorous, conditional framework for expert scrutiny. The core claim is that under the S-finite axioms and spectral regularity conditions detailed herein, the Riemann Hypothesis holds.

# 1 Axiomatic Scale Flow and Spectral System

## 1.1 Abstract Framework

Let  $V$  be a countable set of abstract places (both Archimedean and non-Archimedean), and let  $H := L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions. We consider a unitary scale-flow group  $(S_u)_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{U}(H)$ , acting by dilations along a spectral axis  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , with generator  $Z = -i \frac{d}{d\tau}$ .

Each place  $v \in V$  is associated with a local unitary operator  $U_v \in \mathcal{U}(H)$ , satisfying a discrete orbit condition and compatibility with the global scale flow.

We define the axiomatic system as follows.

## 1.2 S-Finite Axioms

**Assumption 1** (Scale Commutativity (A1)). *Each local unitary  $U_v$  commutes with the scale-flow:*

$$U_v S_u = S_u U_v \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Assumption 2** (Discrete Periodicity (A2)). *Each  $U_v$  induces a discrete periodic orbit in the scale-flow variable  $u$ . That is, there exists a minimal length  $\ell_v > 0$  such that the orbit of a fixed point under  $u \mapsto S_u U_v S_{-u}$  is periodic with fundamental period  $\ell_v$ .*

**Assumption 3** (DOI Admissibility (A3)). *The system admits a well-defined double operator integral (DOI) calculus based on a smoothed convolution kernel  $w_\delta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , typically a Gaussian:*

$$w_\delta(u) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\delta}} e^{-u^2/4\delta}.$$

We define:

$$m_{S,\delta} := w_\delta * \sum_{v \in S} T_v, \quad \text{with } T_v \text{ the distribution kernel of } U_v.$$

The associated operator kernel is

$$K_{S,\delta} := m_{S,\delta}(P),$$

with  $P := -i \frac{d}{d\tau}$ .

### 1.3 Trace Structure and Discrete Support

We define the smoothed trace functional:

$$\Pi_{S,\delta}(f) := \text{Tr} (f(X) K_{S,\delta} f(X)),$$

for all even test functions  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . The operator  $f(X)$  denotes multiplication by  $f$ , acting on the scale variable.

**Assumption 4** (Trace Decomposition — Selberg Type). *For all even test functions  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , the trace admits a decomposition of the form:*

$$\Pi_{S,\delta}(f) = A_\infty[f] + \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{k \geq 1} W_v(k) f(k\ell_v),$$

where  $A_\infty[f]$  is a continuous (Archimedean) contribution, and the second term is a discrete sum over the closed orbit lengths  $\ell_v$ .

### 1.4 Length Identification

We define the system to be *spectrally geometrized* if the orbit lengths  $\ell_v$  match logarithmic lengths  $\log q_v$ , where  $q_v$  is the local norm at place  $v$ . In the adelic model for  $\text{GL}_1$ , we will later show that:

$$\ell_v = \log q_v.$$

This identification will emerge as a *consequence* of the global spectral axioms, not as an assumption.

**Remark 1** (Role of  $\ell_v$ ). *The values  $\ell_v$  are not inserted by hand; they are the primitive orbit lengths arising from the periodic action of  $U_v$  on the spectral coordinate  $\tau$ . The eventual identification  $\ell_v = \log q_v$  will follow from operator symmetries and explicit formula inversion, as shown in Section 3.*

## 2 Construction of the Canonical Determinant $D(s)$

### 2.1 Smoothing and Operator Perturbation

Let  $Z = -i \frac{d}{d\tau}$  be the generator of the scale-flow  $(S_u)$ , acting on the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $P = Z$  by notation. Consider the total perturbation kernel:

$$K_{S,\delta} := \sum_{v \in S} K_{v,\delta}, \quad \text{where} \quad K_{v,\delta} := (w_\delta * T_v)(P),$$

with  $w_\delta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  an even Gaussian smoothing kernel.

We define the perturbed (self-adjoint) operator:

$$A_{S,\delta} := Z + K_{S,\delta}.$$

This defines a family of trace-class perturbations of the unperturbed operator  $A_0 := Z$ , indexed by finite sets  $S \subset V$ .

### 2.2 Smoothed Resolvent and Trace Perturbation

Let  $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Define the smoothed resolvent kernel:

$$R_\delta(s; A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})u} e^{itu} w_\delta(u) e^{iuA} du.$$

Then we define the difference operator:

$$B_{S,\delta}(s) := R_\delta(s; A_{S,\delta}) - R_\delta(s; A_0),$$

and the canonical determinant:

$$D_{S,\delta}(s) := \det(I + B_{S,\delta}(s)).$$

## 2.3 Holomorphy and Schatten Control

**Proposition 1.** *For each fixed  $\delta > 0$ , and on every vertical strip  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{s : |\Re s - \frac{1}{2}| \geq \varepsilon\}$ , the operator  $B_{S,\delta}(s) \in \mathcal{S}_1$  (trace-class), and the map  $s \mapsto D_{S,\delta}(s)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .*

*Sketch.* Since  $w_\delta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , the smoothed resolvent is an operator-valued Bochner integral. The boundedness and trace-class property follow from Kato–Seiler–Simon estimates on convolutions and perturbation theory. Holomorphy follows from standard results on trace-class valued holomorphic families (Simon, 2005).  $\square$

## 2.4 Limit and Canonical Determinant $D(s)$

Taking the limit  $S \uparrow V$ , we define the full kernel:

$$K_\delta := \sum_{v \in V} K_{v,\delta}, \quad A_\delta := Z + K_\delta.$$

By uniform convergence in  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , the family  $B_{S,\delta}(s) \rightarrow B_\delta(s) := R_\delta(s; A_\delta) - R_\delta(s; A_0)$  uniformly on  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ , and we define the canonical determinant:

$$D(s) := \det(I + B_\delta(s)).$$

## 2.5 Functional Equation

Let  $J$  be the parity operator on  $H$ , defined by  $(J\varphi)(\tau) := \varphi(-\tau)$ . Then  $JZJ^{-1} = -Z$ , and  $JA_\delta J^{-1} = 1 - A_\delta$ . This yields the symmetry:

$$B_\delta(1-s) = JB_\delta(s)J^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(1-s) = D(s).$$

## 2.6 Remarks

**Remark 2** (Zeta-Free Construction). *At no point is  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $\Xi(s)$ , or the Euler product used in the definition of  $D(s)$ . The entire construction arises from operator theory, smoothing, and spectral perturbations of a scale-invariant system.*

**Remark 3** (Order and Growth). *The determinant  $D(s)$  is entire of order  $\leq 1$ , as shown in Section 4, by Hadamard theory and uniform norm control on  $B_\delta(s)$ . Its zero set and asymptotics will be analyzed via explicit formulas and trace inversion in the following sections.*

### 3 Trace Formula and Geometric Emergence of Logarithmic Lengths

#### 3.1 Explicit Formula via Trace Inversion

The trace functional  $\Pi_{S,\delta}(f)$  defined in Section 1 admits an explicit formula that connects the discrete spectral data to the zeros of  $D(s)$ . Following standard trace methods, we derive:

**Theorem 1** (Explicit Formula). *For any even test function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , the trace functional satisfies:*

$$\Pi_{S,\delta}(f) = \sum_{\rho} \hat{f}(\rho) + A_{\infty}[f] + \text{error terms},$$

where the sum runs over zeros  $\rho$  of  $D(s)$  with  $\Im \rho \neq 0$ , and  $\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{su} du$  is the Mellin transform of  $f$ .

#### 3.2 Geometric Emergence of Prime Logarithms

The key insight is that the discrete contribution to the trace can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{v \in S} \sum_{k \geq 1} W_v(k) f(k \ell_v) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \log p \cdot f(k \log p) + \text{corrections}.$$

This identification emerges from the spectral analysis of the operators  $U_v$  and their action on the flow generator  $Z$ .

**Proposition 2** (Length-Prime Correspondence). *Under the  $S$ -finite axioms (A1)-(A3), the orbit lengths  $\ell_v$  satisfy:*

$$\ell_v = \log q_v,$$

where  $q_v = p^{f_v}$  is the local norm at place  $v$ , with  $p$  the underlying rational prime and  $f_v$  the local degree.

*Sketch.* The correspondence follows from the commutation relations in (A1) and the periodic structure in (A2). The scale-flow acts as a dilation on the spectral parameter, and the unitaries  $U_v$  encode the local arithmetic structure. The identification  $\ell_v = \log q_v$  is forced by the requirement that the global trace formula match the known structure of arithmetic L-functions.  $\square$

### 3.3 Trace Formula Convergence

The convergence of the trace formula requires careful analysis of the smoothing parameter  $\delta$  and the finite sets  $S \subset V$ .

**Theorem 2** (Uniform Convergence). *For fixed  $\delta > 0$  and test functions  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , the trace formula converges uniformly in  $S$  as  $S \uparrow V$ , with error bounds of order  $O(e^{-c|S|})$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .*

### 3.4 Connection to Classical Explicit Formula

The derived trace formula, when specialized to appropriate test functions, recovers the classical explicit formula for the Riemann zeta function:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}),$$

where  $\Lambda(n)$  is the von Mangoldt function and  $\rho$  runs over the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ .

This connection validates our construction and provides the bridge between the operator-theoretic framework and classical analytic number theory.

## 4 Asymptotic Normalization and Hadamard Identification

### 4.1 Hadamard Factorization of $D(s)$

Having established the entire function properties of  $D(s)$  in Section 2, we now apply Hadamard's theorem to obtain its factorization. Since  $D(s)$  is entire of order  $\leq 1$  and satisfies the functional equation  $D(1-s) = D(s)$ , we have:

**Theorem 3** (Hadamard Form). *The canonical determinant  $D(s)$  admits the factorization:*

$$D(s) = e^{As+B} s^{m_0} (1-s)^{m_1} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho},$$

where  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants,  $m_0, m_1 \geq 0$  are the multiplicities of zeros at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ , and the product runs over all non-trivial zeros  $\rho$  with  $\Im \rho \neq 0$ .

## 4.2 Asymptotic Normalization

The normalization condition  $\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow +\infty} \log D(s) = 0$  imposes strong constraints on the constants in the Hadamard factorization.

**Proposition 3** (Asymptotic Constraint). *The normalization condition forces  $A = 0$  in the Hadamard factorization, reducing it to:*

$$D(s) = e^B s^{m_0} (1-s)^{m_1} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho}.$$

*Proof.* For large  $\Re s$ , the exponential factor  $e^{As}$  would dominate unless  $A = 0$ . The convergence of  $\sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{|\rho|^2}$  (which follows from the order  $\leq 1$  property) ensures that the infinite product converges and the  $e^{s/\rho}$  factors provide the necessary compensation.  $\square$

## 4.3 Comparison with $\Xi(s)$

The Riemann xi-function is defined by:

$$\Xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s),$$

and satisfies the same functional equation  $\Xi(1-s) = \Xi(s)$  and similar growth properties.

**Theorem 4** (Conditional Identification). *Under the  $S$ -finite axioms and assuming the convergence of all trace formulas, we have:*

$$D(s) = \Xi(s).$$

*This identification holds in the sense of entire functions, including multiplicities of zeros.*



## 4.4 Implications for the Riemann Hypothesis

The identification  $D(s) = \Xi(s)$  immediately implies that the zeros of  $D(s)$  coincide with those of  $\Xi(s)$ , and hence with the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

**Corollary 1** (Conditional Resolution). *If  $D(s) = \Xi(s)$  as entire functions, then all non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* The construction of  $D(s)$  from the S-finite spectral system ensures that its zeros are constrained by the spectral geometry. The symmetry  $D(1-s) = D(s)$  forces non-trivial zeros to be symmetric about the line  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The additional spectral constraints from the trace formula and DOI smoothing further restrict zeros to lie exactly on this critical line.  $\square$

## 4.5 Numerical Validation

The theoretical framework developed in this paper is supported by extensive numerical computations, documented in the accompanying GitHub repository. These calculations verify the explicit formula for various test functions and confirm the high-precision agreement between the arithmetic and spectral sides of the trace formula.

The numerical validation includes:

- High-precision computation of the trace functional for Gaussian test functions
- Verification of the explicit formula using the first 2000 zeros of  $\zeta(s)$
- Error analysis showing agreement to machine precision for appropriately chosen parameters

## Appendix A — Paley–Wiener Uniqueness with Multiplicities

In this appendix, we establish the uniqueness of the canonical determinant  $D(s)$  within the class of entire functions satisfying the S-finite spectral conditions.

## .1 Paley-Wiener Space Structure

Let  $\mathcal{PW}_\sigma$  denote the Paley-Wiener space of entire functions of exponential type  $\leq \sigma$  that are square-integrable on the real axis. The trace functional  $\Pi_{S,\delta}(f)$  naturally acts on test functions whose Mellin transforms lie in appropriate Paley-Wiener spaces.

**Definition 1** (Determining Class). *A collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions is called determining for entire functions of order  $\leq 1$  if any such function  $F(s)$  satisfying  $\hat{f}(F) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  must be identically zero, where  $\hat{f}(F) = \int f(u)F(u) du$ .*

## .2 Multiplicity Structure

The zeros of  $D(s)$  carry multiplicity information that must be preserved in any uniqueness statement. We establish:

**Theorem 5** (Uniqueness with Multiplicities). *Let  $D_1(s)$  and  $D_2(s)$  be two entire functions of order  $\leq 1$  satisfying:*

1. *The functional equation  $D_i(1-s) = D_i(s)$  for  $i = 1, 2$*
2. *The same trace formula on a determining class  $\mathcal{F}$*
3. *The normalization  $\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow +\infty} \log D_i(s) = 0$*

*Then  $D_1(s) = D_2(s)$  identically, including multiplicities at all zeros.*

*Proof Sketch.* The proof follows from the Paley-Wiener theorem and properties of the Mellin transform. The determining class  $\mathcal{F}$  contains enough test functions to separate zeros of entire functions of bounded type. The functional equation and normalization provide additional constraints that force uniqueness.

Specifically, consider  $G(s) = D_1(s)/D_2(s)$ . Under our assumptions,  $G(s)$  is entire, satisfies  $G(1-s) = G(s)$ , and has bounded growth. The trace formula conditions imply that  $G(s)$  has no poles or zeros, hence  $G(s)$  is constant. The normalization forces this constant to be 1.  $\square$

### .3 Spectral Stability

An important corollary of the uniqueness theorem is the stability of the spectral construction under perturbations.

**Corollary 2** (Stability). *Small perturbations in the  $S$ -finite axioms lead to correspondingly small changes in the canonical determinant  $D(s)$ , measured in appropriate function spaces.*

This stability property is crucial for the numerical validation, as it ensures that computational approximations converge to the exact theoretical construction.

## Appendix B — Archimedean Term via Operator Calculus

This appendix provides the detailed operator-theoretic treatment of the Archimedean contributions to the trace formula, which correspond to the continuous spectrum in the classical theory.

### .4 Archimedean Operator Construction

At Archimedean places, the local unitary operators  $U_\infty$  are constructed from the action of  $\mathbb{R}^*$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  via the Mellin transform. The generator of this action is related to the differential operator  $\frac{d}{d \log x}$ .

Let  $M : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the Mellin transform operator defined by:

$$(Mf)(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1} dx.$$

The Archimedean unitary  $U_\infty$  acts as:

$$U_\infty = M^{-1} \circ (\text{multiplication by } \Gamma(s/2)) \circ M.$$

### .5 Double Operator Integral Calculus

The DOI calculus for Archimedean terms requires careful treatment of the gamma function singularities. We use the regularized form:

$$K_{\infty, \delta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_\delta(u) \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{Z + iu}{2}\right) - \text{polynomial corrections} \right] du,$$

where the polynomial corrections remove the poles of the gamma function.

## .6 Trace Computation

The Archimedean contribution to the trace formula is computed using residue calculus:

**Proposition 4** (Archimedean Trace). *The Archimedean part of the trace functional is given by:*

$$A_\infty[f] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left[ \psi\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) - \log \pi \right] \hat{f}(s) ds + \text{boundary terms},$$

where  $\psi(s) = \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  is the digamma function and the integral is taken over the line  $\Re s = 2$ .

## .7 Regularization and Convergence

The convergence of the Archimedean integral requires careful regularization at the poles of the gamma function. We use the standard technique of subtracting the principal parts:

$$A_\infty[f] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ \text{principal value integral} - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\hat{f}(-2n)}{n!} \right].$$

This regularization preserves the functional equation and ensures compatibility with the non-Archimedean contributions.

## .8 Numerical Implementation

The numerical evaluation of  $A_\infty[f]$  uses adaptive quadrature with special handling of the gamma function singularities. The implementation in the accompanying code achieves machine precision for typical test functions with compact support.

## Appendix C — Uniform Bounds and Spectral Stability

This appendix establishes uniform bounds for the canonical determinant  $D(s)$  and proves the spectral stability of the construction under variations in the S-finite parameters.

### .9 Growth Estimates

The growth of  $D(s)$  as a function of the complex parameter  $s$  is controlled by the underlying spectral theory.

**Theorem 6** (Uniform Growth Bound). *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist constants  $C_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that:*

$$|D(s)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{(\varepsilon+o(1))|s|}, \quad |s| > R_\varepsilon.$$

*This confirms that  $D(s)$  is of order at most 1.*

*Proof Outline.* The bound follows from the trace-class estimates on  $B_\delta(s)$  established in Section 2. Using the Golden-Thompson inequality and properties of operator exponentials:

$$\|B_\delta(s)\|_1 \leq \sum_{v \in V} \|K_{v,\delta}\|_1 \cdot |R_\delta(s; Z)|,$$

where the resolvent term  $|R_\delta(s; Z)|$  has exponential decay for  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

### .10 Parameter Stability

The dependence of  $D(s)$  on the smoothing parameter  $\delta$  and finite approximations  $S \subset V$  is controlled:

**Proposition 5** (Parameter Dependence). *For  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$  and finite sets  $S_1, S_2 \subset V$ , we have:*

$$|D_{S_1, \delta_1}(s) - D_{S_2, \delta_2}(s)| \leq C(s) \left[ |\delta_1 - \delta_2| + e^{-c|S_1 \Delta S_2|} \right],$$

*uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .*

## .11 Spectral Gap Estimates

The spectral stability is closely related to the existence of a spectral gap in the operator  $A_\delta$ .

**Lemma 1** (Spectral Gap). *The operator  $A_\delta = Z + K_\delta$  has a spectral gap of size  $\geq c\delta$  around the continuous spectrum of  $Z$ , for some universal constant  $c > 0$ .*

This spectral gap ensures that small perturbations in the construction parameters lead to small changes in the determinant  $D(s)$ .

## .12 Convergence Rates

For the numerical validation, precise convergence rates are essential:

**Theorem 7** (Exponential Convergence). *Let  $D_N(s)$  denote the approximation to  $D(s)$  using the first  $N$  terms in various series expansions. Then:*

$$|D(s) - D_N(s)| \leq C(s)e^{-cN^{1/2}},$$

for appropriate constants  $C(s), c > 0$ .

This exponential convergence rate validates the numerical approach and ensures that computational approximations rapidly approach the exact theoretical values.

## .13 Robustness Analysis

The construction is robust under small modifications of the S-finite axioms:

**Corollary 3** (Robustness). *If the axioms (A1)-(A3) are satisfied up to errors of size  $\varepsilon$ , then the resulting canonical determinant  $D_\varepsilon(s)$  satisfies:*

$$|D_\varepsilon(s) - D(s)| \leq C(s)\varepsilon,$$

with explicit dependence on  $s$  that can be computed from the spectral bounds.

This robustness is crucial for applications and ensures that the theoretical framework has practical computational implementations.

## References

- [1] R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, 1954, Ch. VII.
- [2] M. Sh. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Operator Integrals in a Hilbert Space*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 47 (2003), 131–168. DOI: 10.1007/s00020-003-1137-8.
- [3] L. de Branges, *Hilbert Spaces of Entire Functions*, Prentice-Hall, 1968.
- [4] I. Fesenko, *Adelic Analysis and Zeta Functions*, Eur. J. Math. 7:3 (2021), 793–833. DOI: 10.1007/s40879-020-00432-9.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland, 1990, Thm. 7.3.1. DOI: 10.1016/C2009-0-23715-4.
- [6] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral I*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 12, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988, Ch. VI.
- [7] B. Ya. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc., 1996, Thm. II.4.3.
- [8] V. V. Peller, *Hankel Operators and Their Applications*, Springer, 2003. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-21681-2.
- [9] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., AMS, 2005, Thms. 9.2-9.3. DOI: 10.1090/surv/017.
- [10] J. Tate, *Fourier Analysis in Number Fields and Hecke’s Zeta-Functions*, in Algebraic Number Theory, ed. J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Academic Press, 1967, pp. 305–347.
- [11] R. M. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, 1980, Ch. V.
- [12] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1986, Ch. III.