2 CrIS reference truth

We can a derive a definition of reference truth from a basic form of the CrIS calibration equation, with details depending on assumptions about instrument behavior. Suppose $r_{\mbox{\tiny ES}}$ is high resolution earth scene radiance, $r_{\mbox{\tiny ICT}}$ high resolution black-body radiance from the internal calibration target, ρ instrument responsivity, and R resampling from high resolution to the instrument sensor grid, $dv = 1/(2 \mbox{ OPD})$, where OPD is the maximum optical path difference.

If r is some arbitrary high resolution radiance, we might expect that $R\rho r \approx \rho R r$. Figure 2 shows the difference for a typical earth scene, and figure 3 the difference for an ICT (black body) look. Suppose we interpret this as $R\rho r_{\text{ICT}} \approx \rho R r_{\text{ICT}}$ for ICT looks, but $R\rho r_{\text{ES}} \neq \rho R r_{\text{ES}}$ for earth scenes. Calibration of the on-axis optical path of a Michaelson interferometer can be represented as

$$r_{\rm cal}^{\rm \tiny LR} = r_{\rm \tiny ICT}^{\rm \tiny LR} \frac{ES - SP}{IT - SP} \tag{7}$$

where $r_{\text{cal}}^{\text{\tiny LR}}$ is calibrated radiances, $r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}^{\text{\tiny LR}}$ is expected radiance from the internal calibration target, and ES, IT, and SP are uncalibrated spectra for earth scene, internal calibration target, and space looks, respectively. Note that all values in equation 7 are at the "sensor grid" dv = 1/(2 OPD).

Let $ES \approx R\rho(r_{\text{\tiny ES}} + r_{\text{\tiny SP}})$, $IT \approx R\rho(r_{\text{\tiny ICT}} + r_{\text{\tiny SP}})$, and $SP \approx R\rho\,r_{\text{\tiny SP}}$, where $r_{\text{\tiny ES}}$, $r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}$, and $r_{\text{\tiny SP}}$ are high resolution approximations to the true radiances, ρ is responsivity, and R is resampling from the high resolution to the sensor grid dv. Let $r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}^{\text{\tiny LR}} = R(r_{\text{\tiny ICT}})$. Substituting this into (7) gives

$$r_{\text{cal}}^{\text{\tiny LR}} \approx r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}^{\text{\tiny LR}} \frac{R\rho(r_{\text{\tiny ES}} + r_{\text{\tiny SP}}) - R\rho \, r_{\text{\tiny SP}}}{R\rho(r_{\text{\tiny ICT}} + r_{\text{\tiny SP}}) - R\rho \, r_{\text{\tiny SP}}}$$

$$= r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}^{\text{\tiny LR}} \frac{R\rho \, r_{\text{\tiny ES}}}{R\rho \, r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}}$$
(8)

$$\approx r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}^{\text{\tiny LR}} \frac{R \rho \, r_{\text{\tiny ES}}}{\rho \, R \, r_{\text{\tiny ICT}}} \tag{9}$$

$$=\frac{R\rho \, r_{\text{\tiny ES}}}{\rho} = r_{\text{\tiny resp}} \tag{10}$$

We go from equation 8 to 9 because we have assumed responsivity commutes at least approximately with resampling for the ICT look. Equation 10 is the