

# *Prosodic patterns*

*Tyler Peckenpaugh*

*4/2/2019*

## *Contents*

<i>Breaks by condition</i>	1
<i>PP1 Break</i>	1
<i>OBJ Break</i>	2
<i>Breaks by <math>\pm Q</math></i>	2
<i>PP1 Break by <math>\pm Q</math></i>	2
<i>OBJ Break by <math>\pm Q</math></i>	3
<i>Breaks by <math>\pm GP</math></i>	4
<i>PP1 Break by <math>\pm GP</math></i>	4
<i>OBJ Break by <math>\pm GP</math></i>	4
<i>3-level prosodic pattern</i>	5
<i>2-level prosodic pattern</i>	6
<i>PP1 or <math>PP1 &gt; OBJ</math></i>	7
<i>OBJ or <math>OBJ &gt; PP1</math></i>	8
<i>Logistic regression models</i>	8

## *Breaks by condition*

### *PP1 Break*

Table 1: Both readings

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	81	2	83	4
Break	181	262	181	255

Table 2: Reading 1

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	39	1	40	1

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
Break	92	132	92	130

Table 3: Reading 2

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	42	1	43	3
Break	89	130	89	125

*OBJ Break*

Table 4: Both readings

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	52	96	69	86
Break	210	169	196	175

Table 5: Reading 1

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	31	60	33	54
Break	100	73	99	77

Table 6: Reading 2

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
No break	21	36	36	32
Break	110	96	97	98

*Breaks by  $\pm Q$* *PP1 Break by  $\pm Q$* 

Table 7: Both readings

	-Q	+Q
No break	83	87

	-Q	+Q
Break	443	436

Table 8: Reading 1

	-Q	+Q
No break	40	41
Break	224	222

Table 9: Reading 2

	-Q	+Q
No break	43	46
Break	219	214

*OBJ Break by  $\pm Q$* 

Table 10: Both readings

	-Q	+Q
No break	148	155
Break	379	371

Table 11: Reading 1

	-Q	+Q
No break	91	87
Break	173	176

Table 12: Reading 2

	-Q	+Q
No break	57	68
Break	206	195

*Breaks by  $\pm GP$* *PP1 Break by  $\pm GP$* 

Table 13: Both readings

	-GP	+GP
No break	164	6
Break	362	517

Table 14: Reading 1

	-GP	+GP
No break	79	2
Break	184	262

Table 15: Reading 2

	-GP	+GP
No break	85	4
Break	178	255

*OBJ Break by  $\pm GP$* 

Table 16: Both readings

	-GP	+GP
No break	121	182
Break	406	344

Table 17: Reading 1

	-GP	+GP
No break	64	114
Break	199	150

Table 18: Reading 2

	-GP	+GP
No break	57	68
Break	207	194

*3-level prosodic pattern*

Table 19: Both readings

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	70	3	74	6
OBJ > PP1	68	42	65	32
OBJ > V	7	0	3	0
OBJ > V PP1	4	6	2	2
OBJ PP1	12	12	7	7
PP1	44	79	55	79
PP1 > OBJ	44	102	40	122
PP1 > OBJ > V	0	0	0	1
PP1 > V	6	15	7	7
PP1 > V OBJ	2	5	5	4
V	0	0	3	0
V > OBJ	3	0	2	0
V > OBJ PP1	0	0	0	1
V > PP1	0	1	1	0
V PP1	1	0	0	0

Table 20: Reading 1

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	36	1	36	1
OBJ > PP1	29	15	30	12
OBJ > V	1	0	2	0
OBJ > V PP1	2	2	1	1
OBJ PP1	5	8	4	2
PP1	27	50	27	51
PP1 > OBJ	23	47	25	60
PP1 > OBJ > V	0	0	0	0
PP1 > V	3	10	3	3
PP1 > V OBJ	2	0	1	1
V	0	0	0	0

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
V > OBJ	2	0	1	0
V > OBJ PP1	0	0	0	0
V > PP1	0	0	1	0
V PP1	1	0	0	0

Table 21: Reading 2

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	34	2	38	5
OBJ > PP1	39	27	35	20
OBJ > V	6	0	1	0
OBJ > V PP1	2	4	1	1
OBJ PP1	7	4	3	5
PP1	17	29	28	28
PP1 > OBJ	21	55	15	62
PP1 > OBJ > V	0	0	0	1
PP1 > V	3	5	4	4
PP1 > V OBJ	0	5	4	3
V	0	0	3	0
V > OBJ	1	0	1	0
V > OBJ PP1	0	0	0	1
V > PP1	0	1	0	0
V PP1	0	0	0	0

*2-level prosodic pattern*

Table 22: Both readings

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	80	3	79	6
OBJ > PP1	72	48	67	34
OBJ PP1	12	12	7	8
PP1	51	95	63	86
PP1 > OBJ	46	107	45	127

Table 23: Reading 1

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	39	1	39	1
OBJ > PP1	31	17	31	13
OBJ PP1	5	8	4	2
PP1	31	60	31	54
PP1 > OBJ	25	47	26	61

Table 24: Reading 2

	-Q -GP	-Q +GP	+Q -GP	+Q +GP
OBJ	41	2	40	5
OBJ > PP1	41	31	36	21
OBJ PP1	7	4	3	6
PP1	20	35	32	32
PP1 > OBJ	21	60	19	66

*PP1 or PP1 > OBJ*

Table 25: Both readings

	PP1 Not Dominant	PP1 Dominant
-Q -GP	167	97
-Q +GP	63	202
+Q -GP	159	108
+Q +GP	49	213

Table 26: Reading 1

	PP1 Not Dominant	PP1 Dominant
-Q -GP	76	56
-Q +GP	26	107
+Q -GP	76	57
+Q +GP	16	115

Table 27: Reading 2

	PP1 Not Dominant	PP1 Dominant
-Q -GP	91	41
-Q +GP	37	95
+Q -GP	83	51
+Q +GP	33	98

*OBJ or OBJ > PP1*

Table 28: Both readings

	OBJ Not Dominant	OBJ Dominant
-Q -GP	112	152
-Q +GP	214	51
+Q -GP	121	146
+Q +GP	222	40

Table 29: Reading 1

	OBJ Not Dominant	OBJ Dominant
-Q -GP	62	70
-Q +GP	115	18
+Q -GP	63	70
+Q +GP	117	14

Table 30: Reading 2

	OBJ Not Dominant	OBJ Dominant
-Q -GP	50	82
-Q +GP	99	33
+Q -GP	58	76
+Q +GP	105	26

### *Logistic regression models*

The interaction between  $\pm$ GP and  $\pm$ Q approaches significance ( $p < 0.06$ ) as a predictor of the object break, but not the PP1 break.



OBJ  
 PP 1  
 Predictors  
 Odds Ratios  
 CI  
 p  
 Odds Ratios  
 CI  
 p  
 (Intercept)  
 4.19  
 2.28 – 7.68  
 <0.001  
 3.03  
 1.60 – 5.73  
 0.001  
 Condition\_GPTRUE  
 0.35  
 0.23 – 0.55  
 <0.001  
 92.86  
 22.06 – 390.94  
 <0.001  
 Condition\_QTRUE  
 0.68  
 0.43 – 1.06  
 0.087  
 0.95  
 0.63 – 1.43  
 0.809  
 Reading 2  
 1.85  
 1.37 – 2.50  
 <0.001  
 0.85  
 0.57 – 1.26  
 0.410  
 Condition\_GPTRUE:Condition\_QTRUE  
 1.79  
 0.98 – 3.27  
 0.058  
 0.51  
 0.09 – 2.93  
 0.448

Random Effects

2

3.29

3.29

00

0.47 SID

0.50 SID

0.76 IID

0.90 IID

ICC

0.10 SID

0.11 SID

0.17 IID

0.19 IID

Observations

1053

1049

Marginal R2 / Conditional R2

0.054 / 0.312

0.488 / 0.641