R1 Delay category

Tyler J. Peckenpaugh

2019-06-09

Reading 1 delay category

First, participants were categorized based on their mean Reading 1 delay, with a fast mean Reading 1 delay being less than 0.9s, and a slow one being more than 1.2s. Everything else is categorized as "normal" and ignored. The distribution of participants across categories is shown in table 1.

Table 1: Participants by Reading 1 delay category

Delay category	n
FAST	8
NORMAL	15
SLOW	9

Note:
FAST < 0.9s; SLOW > 1.2s

Table 2 shows the number of occurrences and percentage per condition for both readings. Table 3 shows the same for just reading 1, and table 4 for just reading 2.

Figure 1: Plot of pattern proportions per condition

Table 2: Simple break pattern by condition and R1 delay category, both readings

| | m | FAST | | | | SLOW | | | |
		D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP	D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP
BOTH	%	49.2	53.1	59.4	64.5	63.2	50.7	71.2	75
	n	31	34	38	40	43	34	47	51
OBJ	%	31.7	25	0	0	22.1	28.4	0	1.5
	n	20	16	0	0	15	19	0	1
PP1	%	19	21.9	40.6	35.5	14.7	20.9	28.8	23.5
	n	12	14	26	22	10	14	19	16

Table 3: Simple break pattern by condition and R1 delay category, Reading 1

| | m | FAST | | | | SLOW | | | |
		D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP	D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP
BOTH	%	50	40.6	56.2	48.4	61.8	58.8	66.7	73.5
	n	16	13	18	15	21	20	22	25
OBJ	%	31.2	37.5	0	0	20.6	20.6	0	0
	n	10	12	0	0	7	7	0	0
PP1	%	18.8	21.9	43.8	51.6	17.6	20.6	33.3	26.5
	n	6	7	14	16	6	7	11	9

Table 4: Simple break pattern by condition and R1 delay category, Reading 2

	m	FAST				SLOW			
		D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP	D -GP	Q -GP	D +GP	Q +GP
BOTH	%	48.4	65.6	62.5	80.6	64.7	42.4	75.8	76.5
	n	15	21	20	25	22	14	25	26
OBJ	%	32.3	12.5	0	0	23.5	36.4	0	2.9
	n	10	4	0	0	8	12	0	1
PP1	%	19.4	21.9	37.5	19.4	11.8	21.2	24.2	20.6
	n	6	7	12	6	4	7	8	7