



## ACCEPTANCE NOTIFICATION - IEEE 2nd APCIT 2025

2 messages

**Microsoft CMT** <noreply@msr-cmt.org>  
To: Mounika Dasari <mounikadasari054@gmail.com>

Sat, Sep 6, 2025 at 11:40 PM

Dear Mounika Dasari

Paper ID / Submission ID : 1997

Title : Optic Disc and Cup Segmentation Using Deep CNN Ensembles for Glaucoma Staging

Greeting from 2nd APCIT 2025 Hosted by Vidyavardhaka College of Engineering, Mysore.- The premier conference for the latest discoveries in Technology

We are pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for the Oral Presentation as a full paper for the- "IEEE 2025 2nd Asia Pacific Conference on Innovation in Technology (APCIT), Mysore, Karnataka, India with following reviewers' comment.

All accepted and presented papers will be submitted to IEEE Xplore for the further publication and will be indexed by Ei Compendex and Scopus Indexing.

You should finish the registration before deadline, or you will be deemed to withdraw your paper:

Complete the APCIT Registration Process (The last date of payment Registration is 08 SEPT 2025) -LAST

Payment Links

For Indian Authors: <https://rzp.io/rzp/APCIT2025>

For Foreign Authors: <https://rzp.io/rzp/APCITForeign2025>

Further steps like IEEE PDF xpress and E copyright will be given later once registration is over after the deadline.

Note :

1. Any changes with the Author name, Affiliation and content of paper will not be allowed after acceptance.

2. This is Hybrid Conference, both online and physical presentation mode is available,

Note: No major revisions in paper.

The reviews are below

===== Review 1 =====

\*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

\*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (4)

\*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

\*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

\*\*\* Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper?

In this paper, a new application is proposed. In addition, the paper discusses the different aspects of technology in the field of Programming testing to guarantee programming quality

\*\*\* Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

\*\*\* Recommended changes: Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The paper should be more result oriented.

===== Review 2 =====

\*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

\*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the

Analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

\*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

\*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

\*\*\* Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper reviews different aspects of the concern

\*\*\* Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

The future contribution of the paper should be mentioned in the paper

The presentation quality of the paper has to be improved significantly. The details of the author's contribution are too little.

\*\*\* Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted...

The presentation quality of the paper has to be improved significantly

The detail of simulation/Result is too little.

===== Review 3 =====

\*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

\*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the

analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

\*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

\*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Okay. Well Written

\*\*\* Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

In this research, a new scenario of the technology has been introduced; research may be interesting for readers in this area.

\*\*\* Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1. The presentation should be substantially improved.

2. The presentation of the proposed algorithm is too conceptual, and details of how to operate the proposed algorithm in practice should be clearly elaborated.

\*\*\* Recommended changes: Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

NO MAJOR REVISIONS CAN SUBMIT CRC.

Thanks, and Regards,  
Technical Program Committee Chair  
Email : [apcitcon@gmail.com](mailto:apcitcon@gmail.com)  
Mob : 8867668872

Please do not reply to this email as it was generated from an email account that is not monitored.

To stop receiving conference emails, you can check the 'Do not send me conference email' box from your User Profile.

Microsoft respects your privacy. To learn more, please read our [Privacy Statement](#).

Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052

---

Dasari Mounika <[mounikadasari054@gmail.com](mailto:mounikadasari054@gmail.com)>  
To: Microsoft CMT <[noreply@msr-cmt.org](mailto:noreply@msr-cmt.org)>

Sun, Sep 7, 2025 at 8:34 AM

[shanumukaguggilam@gmail.com](mailto:shanumukaguggilam@gmail.com)  
[Quoted text hidden]