# Still Suburban

Nathan Lauster Dmitry Shkolnik Jens von Bergmann 2018-08-21


#### Questions

I have a couple of questions regarding the classification. The categories in the working paper aren't mutually exclusive, leaving "unclassified" areas. One such category is a tract with transit use > 150% of CMA but less than 50% of national average that is not an urban core. Similarly, a tract with active transport > 150% of CMA average but less than 50% of national average is unclassified (even if transit use is high). Lastly, I don't understand the definition of "Exurb", in particular the exact meaning of "more than 50% of workers commuting into the metropolitan area".


#### Geographies

We can chose to map the data on 2016 geography, or on a common tiling for 2006 and 2016 census tracts using the tongfen package. If we use data from a single census we have the choice between using CT or DA level data.


#### Vancouver region




## Toronto




## Montreal




# Calgary



### Vancouver DA level



## K-menas clustering



We cluster based on transit mode share, active transport mode share and density.

#### Projections

