BostonHousingDraft

January 16, 2021

1 Boston Real Estate Data Analysis

1.1 Introduction

Linear Regression is a fundamental machine learning technique in data science: we will trace the steps analyzing a dataset, testing model assumptions, and evaluating the model's effectiveness.

The Boston Housing Prices dataset is one of the most famous machine learning datasets. The original dataset was collected by David Harrison, Jr. of the Department of City and Regional Planning at Harvard and Daniel L. Rubinfield of The National Bureau of Economic Research. It's first publication was in the Journal of Environmental Economics and Management in 1978 and has been reprised in subsequent research in that journal, as well as in the Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics throughout the 90's.

It is comprised of observations of unique Boston suburbs measured on 13 variables (23 variables in the original Harrison and Rubinfield paper) including the proportion of the suburb that is zoned for lots over 25,000 sq.ft., the proportion of owner-occupid units that pre-date 1940, the average number of rooms per house and weighted distances to the five Boston Employment centers, just to name a few. This project is an exploration of the predictive values of these features toward developing a model to predict the medain value of owner-occupied home.

1.2 Packaging

```
[31]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.datasets import load_boston
%matplotlib inline
```

1.3 Importation

```
[32]: boston_dataset = load_boston() #load data
```

1.4 Inspection

```
[33]: print(type(boston dataset)) # upon inspection of the loaded data object, we see
      → that it is a subclass of dictionary.
     boston_dataset.keys()
      #Data contains various information about the house.
      #Target is the price of the house. The feature names correspond to the data.
     <class 'sklearn.utils.Bunch'>
[33]: dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'feature_names', 'DESCR', 'filename'])
[34]: boston = pd.DataFrame(boston_dataset.data, columns=boston_dataset.
      feature names) # here we populate a dataframe with the values from the data
      → key and fill the columns argument with the features names, giving us a nice
      → two dimensional dataframe to work with for our analysis.
     boston["MEDV"] = boston_dataset.target # Our reponse variable, the median home_
      →value, coded as "MEDV", is in the target key. Here we add it to the boston
      \rightarrow datset as a new column.
     print(f"The final dimensions of this dataframe are {boston.shape}.");
     print("Let us take a peak at the first five rows...")
     boston.head()
     The final dimensions of this dataframe are (506, 14).
     Let us take a peak at the first five rows...
[34]:
           CRIM
                   ZN
                      INDUS CHAS
                                      NOX
                                                   AGE
                                                           DIS RAD
                                                                       TAX \
                                              RM
                               0.0 0.538 6.575 65.2 4.0900
     0 0.00632 18.0
                        2.31
                                                               1.0
                                                                     296.0
     1 0.02731
                  0.0
                        7.07
                               0.0 0.469 6.421 78.9 4.9671 2.0 242.0
     2 0.02729
                        7.07 0.0 0.469 7.185 61.1 4.9671 2.0 242.0
                  0.0
     3 0.03237
                  0.0
                        2.18
                               0.0 0.458 6.998 45.8 6.0622 3.0 222.0
     4 0.06905
                  0.0
                        2.18
                               0.0 0.458 7.147 54.2 6.0622 3.0 222.0
                      B LSTAT MEDV
        PTRATIO
     0
           15.3 396.90
                          4.98 24.0
     1
           17.8 396.90
                          9.14 21.6
                          4.03 34.7
     2
           17.8 392.83
     3
           18.7 394.63
                          2.94 33.4
           18.7 396.90
                          5.33 36.2
     :Attribute Information (in order): - CRIM per capita crime rate by town
         - ZN
                    proportion of residential land zoned for lots over 25,000 sq.ft.
                    proportion of non-retail business acres per town
         - CHAS
                    Charles River dummy variable (= 1 if tract bounds river; 0 otherwise)
```

```
- NOX
           nitric oxides concentration (parts per 10 million)
- RM
           average number of rooms per dwelling
           proportion of owner-occupied units built prior to 1940
- AGE
- DIS
           weighted distances to five Boston employment centres
- RAD
           index of accessibility to radial highways
- TAX
           full-value property-tax rate per $10,000
- PTRATIO
          pupil-teacher ratio by town
           1000(Bk - 0.63)^2 where Bk is the proportion of blacks by town
- LSTAT
           % lower status of the population
```

An inspection of the data in the DESCR key of the original data object we find descriptions of the various measures.

Median value of owner-occupied homes in \$1000's

1.5 Preprocessing

- MEDV

It is good practice to check for missing or invalid values, both of which there are none in this data from boston.isnull().sum().sum() is equal to 0. However, this is not necessarily the entire story here. You may have observed from our inspection of the head of the dataframe that CHAS and ZN, have an inordinate amount of zeros in it's first five rows. Indeed over half each of those measures are 0.


[35]: "~ %73.52 of measures of 'ZN' are 0 while ~ %93.08 of measures of 'CHAS' are 0."

I have observed other researchers drop these two variables altogether after relabelling "0" as NA. While conventional wisdom advises and we drop measures for which over 3/4's of its observations are invalid, that application of that wisdom in this case is unjustified and dropping the measure would be erroneous, as if we inspect the meaning of these two measures, coded CHAS and ZN, represent a spatial relationship to the Charles River, and the proportion of residential land zoned for lots larger than 25,000 square feet, respectively; both of which are plausible to be mostly comprised of zeros. I take this time to remind my readers that we must not lose sight of statistical principale in conducting data science research: common sense is often the most robust algorithm are underpinned not by computation but by common sense and tenacity.

...


```
[36]: sns.set(rc={'figure.figsize': (11.7, 8.27)})
sns.displot(boston['MEDV'], bins=30, kde=True)
plt.show
```

[36]: <function matplotlib.pyplot.show(close=None, block=None)>



[37]: correlation_matrix=boston.corr().round(3) sns.heatmap(data=correlation_matrix, annot=True)

[37]: <AxesSubplot:>



```
[38]: features=["LSTAT", "RM"]
  target=boston["MEDV"]

[39]: plt.figure(figsize=(20,5))
  for i, col in enumerate(features):
      plt.subplot(1, len(features), i+1)
      x=boston[col]
      y=target
      plt.scatter(x,y,marker="o")
      plt.title(col)
      plt.xlabel(col)
      plt.ylabel("MEDV")
```

1.6 Linear Model

R2 score is 0.6300745149331701

```
[40]: X=pd.DataFrame(np.c_[boston["LSTAT"], boston["RM"]], columns=["LSTAT", "RM"])
     Y = y
     X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(X, Y, test_size=.2,__
      →random_state=5)
     print(X_train.shape)
     print(X_test.shape)
     print(Y_train.shape)
     print(Y_test.shape)
     (404, 2)
     (102, 2)
     (404,)
     (102,)
[41]: from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
     from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
     from sklearn.metrics import r2_score
     lin_model=LinearRegression()
     lin_model.fit(X_train, Y_train)
[41]: LinearRegression()
     1.7 Model Evaluation
     1.7.1 Training Set
[42]: # model evaluation for training set
     y_train_predict=lin_model.predict(X_train)
     rmse = (np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(Y_train, y_train_predict)))
     r2=r2_score(Y_train, y_train_predict)
[43]: print("The model performance on training set")
     print("----")
     print(f"RMSE is {rmse}\nR2 score is {r2}\n")
     The model performance on training set
     RMSE is 5.6371293350711955
```

1.7.2 Test Set