

# M1F Notes

David Burgschweiger

October 15, 2015

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Sets</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Set Operators . . . . .	3
1.2	Intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ . . . . .	4
1.3	Infinite Unions and Intersections . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Proofs</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Elements of the propositional calculus . . . . .	4
2.2	Inference rules . . . . .	6

## 1 Sets

**Definition 1.0.1.** A set  $S$  is a collection of objects (called *elements* of the set). If  $x$  is an *element* of  $S$  let us write  $x \in S$  otherwise  $x \notin S$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The order of the elements or any repetition is unimportant.

**Example 1.1.**

$$\{1, 3\} = \{3, 1, 1\}$$

**Definition 1.0.2.** For two sets  $S$  and  $T$  let us write  $S \subseteq T$  ( $S$  is contained in  $T$ ) if

$$x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T$$

**Result 1.0.1.**  $S = T$  iff  $S \subseteq T$  and  $T \subseteq S$ .

**Remark 2.1.**  $S \notin S$  (Foundation Axiom)

Nonetheless, elements can be sets.

**Definition 1.0.3.**  $\emptyset$  is the set with no elements.

**Property 3.1.**  $\emptyset \subseteq S$  and  $S \subseteq S$  for all sets  $S$

### 1.1 Set Operators

**Definition 1.1.1.** The intersection  $S \cap T$  of two sets  $S$  and  $T$  is

$$\{x \mid x \in S \text{ and } x \in T\}$$

**Definition 1.1.2.** The union  $S \cup T$  of two sets  $S$  and  $T$  is

$$\{x \mid x \in S \text{ or } x \in T\}$$

**Definition 1.1.3.** The difference  $S \setminus T$  of two sets  $S$  and  $T$  is

$$(S \cup T) \setminus (S \cap T)$$

**Definition 1.1.4.** The symmetric difference  $S \Delta T$  of two sets  $S$  and  $T$  is

$$\{x \mid x \in S \text{ and } x \notin T\} \cup \{x \mid x \in T \text{ and } x \notin S\}$$

**Definition 1.1.5.** In  $A \subseteq \Omega$  then

$$A^C = \{x \in \Omega \mid x \notin A\} = \Omega \setminus A$$

**Remark 5.1.** The complement is only used when the reference set  $\Omega$  is clear.

Some sets we will work with in this course are

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2\}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{R}$  reals

$\mathbb{C}$  complex numbers

**Definition 1.1.6.**  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by two axioms:

1.  $0 = \emptyset \in \mathbb{N}$
2. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $n + 1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n \cup \{n\} \in \mathbb{N}$

**Example 6.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 0 + 1 = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\} \\ 2 &= 1 + 1\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Intervals in $\mathbb{R}$

**Definition 1.2.1.** If  $a \leq b$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | a \leq t \leq b\} \\ (a, b) &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | a < t < b\} \\ [a, b) &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | a \leq t < b\} \\ (a, b] &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | a < t \leq b\} \\ [a, \infty) &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | a \leq t\} \\ (-\infty, b] &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} | t \leq b\} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.3 Infinite Unions and Intersections

**Definition 1.3.1.** Suppose that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we are given a set  $A_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n=a}^{\infty} A_n &= \{x | \text{there exists a } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq a : x \in A_n\} \\ \bigcap_{n=a}^{\infty} A_n &= \{x | \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq a : x \in A_n\} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] &= [0, 1) \\ \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n}) &= \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Proofs

### 2.1 Elements of the propositional calculus

**Definition 2.1.1.** A statement (proposition) is an assertion that can be either true (T) or false (F).

**Remark 1.1.** In maths such an assertion usually takes the form: "If such and such assumptions are made, then we can infer such and such conclusions"

**Example 1.1.** •  $n = 3$

- $(A + B)^2 = A^2 + 2AB + B^2$
- If it  $n^2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd too.
- If it rains, then it is cloudy.
- For all real numbers  $\geq 0$  there exists a square root.

**Definition 2.1.2.** A proof is a chain of statements linked by logical implications (inferences) that establish the truth of the last statement. In the course of the proof one is allowed to "call up"

- assumptions that are made.
- statements proven previously.
- axioms (statements that are generally accepted and never proven).

"Grammar elements" of mathematical statements are Quantifiers:

Type	Sign	Meaning
Existential	$\exists$	there exists
	$\exists_1$	there exists a unique
Universal	$\forall$	for all
	$\therefore,  $	such that

Ways to form new statements from old ones:

- If  $P$  is a statement then  $\text{not}P$  "non- $P$ " is the statement which is true if  $P$  is false and false if  $P$  is true.
- If  $P$  and  $Q$  are statements then we can form:

Sign	Meaning
$P \wedge Q, P \& Q$	$P$ and $Q$ .
$P \vee Q$	either $P$ or $Q$ or both.
$P \underline{\vee} Q$	either $P$ or $Q$ but not both.
$P \Rightarrow Q$	If $P$ then $Q$ .
$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$P$ if and only if $Q$ .

**Remark 2.1.**  $P \Rightarrow Q$  means any of the following:

- If  $P$  then  $Q$ .
- $Q$  if  $P$ .
- $P$  is true only if  $Q$  is true.
- $P$  only if  $Q$ .
- $P$  is sufficient for  $Q$ .
- $Q$  is necessary for  $P$ .
- If  $Q$  is false then  $P$  is false.
- $\text{not}Q \Rightarrow \text{not}P$

Similarly,  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$  means any of the following:

- $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$
- $P$  if and only if  $Q$ .  $P$  is necessary and sufficient for  $Q$ .

The rigorous definition of  $P \wedge Q$ ,  $P \Rightarrow Q$  can be made through a truth table

**Definition 2.1.3.**  $P \wedge Q$  is defined by:

$P$	$Q$	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

**Definition 2.1.4.** Also,  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is defined by:

$P$	$Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

**Example 4.1.** The statement "If  $x \in \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^2 < 0\}$  then  $x$  is a sheep." is true as well as the statement "If  $x \in \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^2 < 0\}$  then  $x$  is not a sheep."

## 2.2 Inference rules

**Example 0.2.** *Premise 1.* If it is raining then it's cloudy.

*Premise 2.* It's raining.

*Conclusion.* It is cloudy.

We can write this more abstractly as follows:

P: it is raining

Q: it is cloudy

In this form:

*Premise 1.*  $P \Rightarrow Q$

*Premise 2.*  $P$

*Conclusion.*  $Q$

This is an example of an inference rule which we write like this:

$$((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

There are other inference rules

$$\begin{aligned} ((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)) &\Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R) \\ ((P \vee Q) \wedge \overline{P}) &\Rightarrow Q \\ (P \wedge Q) &\Rightarrow P \\ ((P \Rightarrow Q) \vee (P \Rightarrow R)) &\Rightarrow P \Rightarrow (Q \vee R) \\ ((P \vee Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow (P \wedge Q))) &\Rightarrow (R \Rightarrow R) \\ ((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow \overline{Q})) &\Rightarrow \overline{P} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise proof that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 \text{ odd} \Rightarrow n \text{ odd}$$