

Additional MC topic: Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC).

Goal: sample from target distribution π on S

(Assume discrete for simplicity)

- S extremely large / infinite.
- We know π up to normalization factors.
 $(\pi(x) \propto f(x) \text{ for some known } f)$.

Algorithmically: construct an MC $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$

with some init distribution, irreducible, aperiodic
stationary distribution π .

Then $P(X_t = j) \rightarrow \pi_j$

[and also SLLN for MCs]

Metropolis - Hastings algorithm.

- . Start with a proposal distribution

$q(x, \cdot)$ for each $x \in S$.

Define a new transition kernel.

$$p(x, y) = \min \left\{ q(x, y), q(y, x) \frac{\pi(y)}{\pi(x)} \right\}.$$

for every $x, y \in S$.

Operationally, at $X_t = x$, we sample $Y_t \sim q(x, \cdot)$

$$X_{t+1} = \begin{cases} Y_t & \text{w.p. } \min \left\{ 1, \frac{q(Y_t, x_t) \cdot \pi(Y_t)}{q(x_t, Y_t) \cdot \pi(x_t)} \right\} \\ X_t & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key observation:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, y) \cdot \pi(x) &= \min \left\{ q(x, y) \cdot \pi(x), q(y, x) \cdot \pi(y) \right\} \\ &= \pi(y) \cdot p(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

which verifies that p is reversible w.r.t. π .

Martingales.

Idea: "fair gambling".

Def. A martingale is a real-valued stochastic process satisfying.

$$(i) \mathbb{E}[X_n] < \infty \quad (\text{f.n.})$$

$$(ii) \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = X_n. \quad (\text{f.n.})$$

$(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ may not be Markov.

Cond. distribution of X_{n+1} can depend on X_1, \dots, X_n
 But cond. mean needs to be exactly X_n .

e.g. SRW: $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$ where $\varepsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f$ w.p. 1/2 w.p. 1/2.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i] \leq n.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_n] = X_n.$$

(more generally, partial sum process of i.i.d. r.v.s
 $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ where $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] < \infty$
 $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 0$)

e.g. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be 1D SRW

Let $M_n = X_n^2 - n$.

(Idea: want to study $(X_n^2)_{n \geq 0}$, but it's not MG.
a necessary condition to make it MG

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - n = 0$$

" \rightarrow " correction term to make it MG.

$$\cdot \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] + n \leq 2n < \infty.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_n + \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i\right)^2 - (n+1) \mid X_1, \dots, X_n\right]$$

$$= (X_n^2 + 1) - (n+1) + 2X_n \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_n\right]}_{=} = 0,$$

$$= X_n^2 - n = M_n.$$

Notation: Given a stochastic process $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ = "information contained
in X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n ".

We use $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n]$ as a shorthand notation
for $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Fact For $0 \leq m < n$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] \left(= \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m] \right) = X_m.$$

for $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ M.G.

Proof: $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+2} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$
 $= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[X_{n+2} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}] \Big| X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n\right] = X_n$
 (then apply induction) (Taking $m=0$.)
 $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$

Major theme (for following few lectures)

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T] \neq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$$

for some r.v. T that may depend on
the process $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

This cannot be true in general

e.g. $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ SRW.

$$T = \arg \max \{ X_t : 0 \leq t \leq 100 \}.$$

Some algebra shows there $E[X_T] \geq c > 0$
(for $c > 0$)

Problem: at round 65, we don't know if $T=65$.
We only observe the value of T after 100 rounds.

Stopping time:

Idea: You know it when the time is reached.

Def. A non-negative-integer r.v. T is a stopping time
if the event $\{T=n\}$ is determined by

X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n for any $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

(measurable in \mathcal{F}_n)

Remark: def also extends to cts-time processes.

We require $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \leq T\}$ is determined by $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$.
(for any $t \geq 0$).

Examples of stopping times.

• Any deterministic time.

• Hitting times.

e.g. $T = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X_t = x\}$

e.g. $T = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X_t \in A\}$

e.g. $T = T_x^{(k)}$ (k -th visit to x).

• $T = \inf \{t \geq 2 : X_{t-2} = s\} (= T_s + 2)$

• If T_1 and T_2 are both stopping times.

$$-\min(T_1, T_2) \checkmark$$

$$-\max(T_1, T_2) \checkmark$$

$$-T_1 + T_2 \checkmark$$

Yes for discrete time

$$-T_1 \times T_2 \text{ X.}$$

No for cts time.

$$-T_1 - T_2 \text{ (assuming } T_1 \geq T_2 \text{ w.p. 1). X.}$$

Recall MC, "Strong Markov property".

$(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ DTMC, T is a stopping time.

Conditionally on $T=t$, $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$.

$$\begin{matrix} \text{"} \\ X_0 \\ \text{"} \\ X_1 \\ \text{"} \\ \cdots \\ \text{"} \\ X_t \end{matrix}$$

Then $(X_n)_{n \geq T}$ conditionally is a fresh new MC
starting from X_t .

Back to MGs.

$$\text{Goal: } \mathbb{E}[X_T] \neq \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

Another non-example:

$(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ SRW.

$$T = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : X_t = 5 \}$$

Since SRW is recurrent, $P(T < +\infty) = 1$.

$$5 = E[X_T] \neq E[X_0] = 0.$$

Problems from gambling perspective:

- SRW is null recurrent, $E[T] = +\infty$.
- Process can grow unboundedly before T.
(but we only have finite \$\$\$).

"Easy case":

Suppose the stopping time T satisfies

$$P(T \leq m) = 1 \text{ for some } m < +\infty$$

Lemma. If $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is MG, T as above

$$\text{then } E[X_T] = E[X_0].$$

(Optional stopping lemma - bounded case)

Proof of the lemma:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_T] - \mathbb{E}[X_0] &= \mathbb{E}[X_T - X_0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^T (X_k - X_{k-1})\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^m (X_k - X_{k-1}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{k \leq T}\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left[(X_k - X_{k-1}) \mathbb{1}_{k \leq T}\right].\end{aligned}$$

$$k\text{-th term} = \mathbb{E}\left[(X_k - X_{k-1}) \mathbb{1}_{k \leq T}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(X_k - X_{k-1}) \mathbb{1}_{k \leq T} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]\right]$$

"seems to involve information at time k ".

Indeed, it does not.

$$\mathbb{1}_{k \leq T} = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{T=j\}}$$

By stopping time, $\{T=j\}$ determined by $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq j}$

So $\mathbb{1}_{k \leq T}$ is determined by $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq k-1}$.

So we get

$$k\text{-th term} = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{1}_{k \leq T} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X_k - X_{k-1} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}_{=0} \right] = 0.$$

Relaxing the boundedness condition on T :

Theorem (Optional stopping).

$(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is MG, T is stopping time $\mathbb{P}(T < +\infty) = 1$.

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_T|] < \infty$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_n| \mathbb{1}_{T > n}] = 0.$$

(truncation error $\rightarrow 0$).

$$\text{then } \mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

Useful Corollary. Assuming $\mathbb{P}(T < +\infty) = 1$.

Suppose that $\exists B > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n| \leq B, \forall n=0, 1, \dots, T) = 1$$

then OST holds true.

Proof: verifying conditions.

(i) $|X_T| \leq B$ (c.s.) so $\mathbb{E}[|X_T|] < \infty$.

(ii). $\mathbb{E}[|X_n| \cdot 1_{T>n}] \leq B \cdot \mathbb{E}[1_{T>n}]$
 $= B \cdot P(T > n)$

Since we assume $P(T < \infty) = 1$,
we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(T > n) = 0$.

Proof: "truncation arguments."

$$T_m = \min\{T, m\} \text{ for any } m > 0.$$

T_m is also a stopping time. $0 \leq T_m \leq m$.

By lemma, $\mathbb{E}[X_{T_m}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] \quad (\text{Hm}).$

$$X_{T_m} = X_T 1_{T \leq m} + X_m 1_{T > m}$$

$$X_T = X_T 1_{T \leq m} + X_T 1_{T > m}.$$

error term.

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_{T_m}] - \mathbb{E}[X_T]|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[|X_m| 1_{T>m}]}_{\substack{\rightarrow 0 \\ \text{following} \\ \text{condition } (\mathbb{E})}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[|X_T| \cdot 1_{T>m}]}_{|\mathbb{E}[|X_T|] < +\infty}$$

$$|\mathbb{E}[|X_T| \cdot 1_{T>m}]| \leq |\mathbb{E}[|X_T|]|.$$

$$|\mathbb{E}[|X_T|]| < +\infty$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{P}(|X_T| 1_{T>m} \rightarrow 0) = 1$$

By DCT,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_T| \cdot 1_{T>m}] \rightarrow 0.$$

So

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_{T_m}] - \mathbb{E}[X_T]| \rightarrow 0$$

ii

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_0] - \mathbb{E}[X_T]|$$

This concludes the proof of GST

e.g. Gambler's ruin.

Symmetric case

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}$$

$$\text{where } \varepsilon_{n+1} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$X_0 = a \in [0, c]$$

$(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is MG.

$T = \text{hitting time of } \{0, c\}$

$|X_n|$ is bounded by c up to time T .

So we can use OST

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = a.$$

$$c \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_T = c) + 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_T = 0).$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{P}(X_T = c) = \frac{a}{c}.$$

• Asymmetric case.

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } p \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } (1-p). \end{cases}$$

$(p \neq \frac{1}{2})$

Construct a martingale (easily verified)

$$Y_n = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n} \quad (\text{for } n \geq 0)$$

$$|Y_n| \leq \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c \right\} \text{ up to time } T.$$

By O.S.T.

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_T] = \mathbb{E}[Y_0] = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a$$

"

$$\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c \cdot P(X_T=c) + 1 \cdot P(X_T=0).$$

Solve for $P(X_T=c)$.