

7.2 개인 대출 수락

a. Consider the following customer:

Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84, Family = 2, CCAvg = 2, Education_1 = 0, Education_2 = 1, Education_3 = 0, Mortgage = 0, Securities Account = 0, CD Account = 0, Online = 1, and Credit Card = 1. Perform a k -NN classification with all predictors except ID and ZIP code using $k = 1$. Remember to transform categorical predictors with more than two categories into dummy variables first.

1) Education 변수를 dummy variable로 변환

```
setwd("C:/rdata")
bank.df <- read.csv("UniversalBank.csv")
str(bank.df)

#####create dummy variable
bank.df$Education <- factor(bank.df$Education)
edu.df <- as.data.frame(model.matrix(~0 + Education, data=bank.df))
edu.df
bank.df <- cbind(bank.df[, -c(1, 5, 8)], edu.df[,,])
```

2) 데이터 분할

```
set.seed(111)
train.index <- sample(row.names(bank.df), 0.6*dim(bank.df)[1])
valid.index <- setdiff(row.names(bank.df), train.index)
train.df <- bank.df[train.index, ]
valid.df <- bank.df[valid.index, ]
```

3) new data 생성

```
#####creat new data
new.df <- data.frame(Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84,
                     Family = 2, CCAvg = 2, Mortgage = 0, Securities.Account = 0,
                     CD.Account = 0, Online = 1, CreditCard = 1,
                     Education1 = 0, Education2 = 1, Education3 = 0)
```

4) 정규화

```
#####normination
library(caret)
train.norm.df <- train.df
valid.norm.df <- valid.df
bank.norm.df <- bank.df
new.norm.df <- new.df

norm.values <- preProcess(train.df[, -7], method = c("center", "scale"))
train.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, train.df[, -7])
valid.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, valid.df[, -7])
bank.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, bank.df[, -7])
new.norm.df <- predict(norm.values, new.df)
```

5) k-NN 분류

```
#####knn(k=1)
library(FNN)
nn <- knn(train=train.norm.df[, -7], test=new.norm.df, cl=train.norm.df[, 7], k=1, prob=TRUE)
nn
```

```
> nn
[1] 0
attr(,"prob")
[1] 1
attr(,"nn.index")
[1,]
[1,] 2899
attr(,"nn.dist")
[1,] 0.4796033 [1,] => New고객의 Personal.loan 값을 0으로 분류한다.
Levels: 0
```

c. 최적의 k를 사용하여 검증 세트에 대한 정오행렬표를 만드시오.

(1) 최적의 k 찾기

```
#####find optimal k
library(caret)
accuracy.df <- data.frame(k=seq(1,5000,1), accuracy=rep(0,5000))
dim(accuracy.df)
accuracy.df

valid.norm.df[, 7] <- as.factor(valid.norm.df[, 7])
class(knn.pred)
class(valid.norm.df[, 7])

for(i in 1:5000){
  knn.pred <- knn(train=train.norm.df[, -7], test=valid.norm.df[, -7],
                  cl=train.norm.df[, 7], k=i)
  accuracy.df[i,2] <- confusionMatrix(knn.pred, valid.norm.df[, 7])$overall[1]
}

accuracy.df

> accuracy.df
   k accuracy
1   1  0.9580
2   2  0.9540
3   3  0.9630
4   4  0.9515
5   5  0.9570
6   6  0.9525
7   7  0.9545
8   8  0.9485
9   9  0.9530
10  10 0.9470
11  11 0.9465
12  12 0.9450
13  13 0.9455
14  14 0.9425
15  15 0.9445
16  16 0.9430
17  17 0.9440
18  18 0.9415 => k값을 3으로 선택
19  19 0.9435
20  20 0.9410
21  21 0.9410
```

(2) 정오행렬표 만들기

```
knn.pred <- knn(train = train.norm.df[, -7], test=valid.norm.df[, -7],
                 cl = train.norm.df[, 7], k=3)
conf <- confusionMatrix(knn.pred, as.factor(valid.norm.df[, 7]), positive = '1')
conf
```

```
> conf
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

```

      Reference
Prediction 0    1
0 1790    65
1     9   136

      Accuracy : 0.963
      95% CI   : (0.9538, 0.9708)
No Information Rate : 0.8995
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

      Kappa : 0.7665

McNemar's Test P-Value : 1.62e-10

      Sensitivity : 0.6766
      Specificity : 0.9950
      Pos Pred Value : 0.9379
      Neg Pred Value : 0.9650
      Prevalence : 0.1005
      Detection Rate : 0.0680
      Detection Prevalence : 0.0725
      Balanced Accuracy : 0.8358

      'Positive' class : 1
```

- d. Consider the following customer: Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84, Family = 2, CCAvg = 2, Education_1 = 0, Education_2 = 1, Education_3 = 0, Mortgage = 0, Securities Account = 0, CD Account = 0, Online = 1 and Credit Card = 1. Classify the customer using the best k .

```
#####knn(k=3, train=bank.norm.df)
library(FNN)
knn.pred.new <- knn(train=bank.norm.df[, -7], test=new.norm.df, cl=bank.norm.df[, 7], k=3, prob=TRUE)
knn.pred.new
row.names(bank.df)[attr(knn.pred.new, "nn.index")]

> knn.pred.new
[1] 0
attr(,"prob")
[1] 1
attr(,"nn.index")
      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 4035 4408 3399
attr(,"nn.dist")
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 0.4796033 0.496999 0.6359329
Levels: 0
> row.names(bank.df)[attr(knn.pred.new, "nn.index")]
[1] "4035" "4408" "3399"
```

=> New고객의 Personal.loan값을 0으로 분류한다.

e. 이번에는 데이터를 학습용, 검증용, 그리고 평가용 세트로 다시 분할하시오.(50%:30%:20%). 위에서 선택된 k를 사용하여 k-최근접이웃을 적용하시오. 평가세트에 대한 분류행렬을 학습 세트 및 검증 세트의 정오행렬표와 비교하시오. 차이점을 찾아내고 그 이유에 대하여 설명하시오.

```
#####data partition & norml
set.seed(111)
train.index <- sample(row.names(bank.df), 0.5*dim(bank.df)[1])
valid.index <- sample(setdiff(row.names(bank.df), train.index), 0.3*dim(bank.df)[1])
test.index <- sample(setdiff(row.names(bank.df), c(train.index,valid.index)))

train.df <- bank.df[train.index, ]
valid.df <- bank.df[valid.index, ]
test.df <- bank.df[test.index, ]

train.norm.df <- train.df
valid.norm.df <- valid.df
test.norm.df <- test.df
new.norm.df <- new.df

train.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, train.df[, -7])
valid.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, valid.df[, -7])
test.norm.df[, -7] <- predict(norm.values, test.df[, -7])
new.norm.df <- predict(norm.values, new.df)

#train confusion matrix
knn.pred <- knn(train=train.norm.df[, -7], test=train.norm.df[, -7],
               cl=train.norm.df[, 7], k=3)

conf.train <- confusionMatrix(knn.pred, as.factor(train.norm.df[, 7]), positive = '1')
conf.train

# valid confusion matrix
knn.pred <- knn(train=train.norm.df[, -7], test=valid.norm.df[, -7],
               cl=train.norm.df[, 7], k=3)

conf.valid <- confusionMatrix(knn.pred, as.factor(valid.norm.df[, 7]), positive = '1')
conf.valid

# test confusion matrix
knn.pred <- knn(train=train.norm.df[, -7], test=test.norm.df[, -7],
               cl=train.norm.df[, 7], k=3)

conf.test <- confusionMatrix(knn.pred, as.factor(test.norm.df[, 7]), positive = '1')
conf.test
```

| train. confusion matrix | valid. confusion matrix | test. confusion matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--|------------|---|---|--|---|------|----|--|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|-----------|--|------------|---|---|--|---|------|----|--|---|---|-----|--|---|--|--|-----------|--|------------|---|---|--|---|-----|----|--|---|---|----|--|
| <pre>> conf.train</pre> <p>Confusion Matrix and Statistics</p> <table><tr><td colspan="2"></td><td colspan="2">Reference</td></tr><tr><td>Prediction</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>2717</td><td>63</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>216</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Accuracy : 0.9777 95% CI : (0.9717, 0.9827) No Information Rate : 0.907 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16</p> <p>Kappa : 0.8537</p> <p>McNemar's Test P-Value : 1.382e-12</p> <p>Sensitivity : 0.77419 Specificity : 0.99853 Pos Pred Value : 0.98182 Neg Pred Value : 0.97734 Prevalence : 0.09300 Detection Rate : 0.07200 Detection Prevalence : 0.07333 Balanced Accuracy : 0.88636</p> <p>'Positive' Class : 1</p> | | | Reference | | Prediction | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 2717 | 63 | | 1 | 4 | 216 | | <pre>> conf.valid</pre> <p>Confusion Matrix and Statistics</p> <table><tr><td colspan="2"></td><td colspan="2">Reference</td></tr><tr><td>Prediction</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1790</td><td>65</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>9</td><td>136</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Accuracy : 0.963 95% CI : (0.9538, 0.9708) No Information Rate : 0.8995 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16</p> <p>Kappa : 0.7665</p> <p>McNemar's Test P-Value : 1.62e-10</p> <p>Sensitivity : 0.6766 Specificity : 0.9950 Pos Pred value : 0.9379 Neg Pred Value : 0.9650 Prevalence : 0.1005 Detection Rate : 0.0680 Detection Prevalence : 0.0725 Balanced Accuracy : 0.8358</p> <p>'Positive' Class : 1</p> | | | Reference | | Prediction | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 1790 | 65 | | 1 | 9 | 136 | | <pre>> conf.test</pre> <p>Confusion Matrix and Statistics</p> <table><tr><td colspan="2"></td><td colspan="2">Reference</td></tr><tr><td>Prediction</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>906</td><td>27</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>64</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Accuracy : 0.97 95% CI : (0.9574, 0.9797) No Information Rate : 0.909 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1.322e-14</p> <p>Kappa : 0.7942</p> <p>McNemar's Test P-Value : 2.679e-05</p> <p>Sensitivity : 0.7033 Specificity : 0.9967 Pos Pred value : 0.9552 Neg Pred Value : 0.9711 Prevalence : 0.0910 Detection Rate : 0.0640 Detection Prevalence : 0.0670 Balanced Accuracy : 0.8500</p> <p>'Positive' Class : 1</p> | | | Reference | | Prediction | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 906 | 27 | | 1 | 3 | 64 | |
| | | Reference | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prediction | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2717 | 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 4 | 216 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reference | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prediction | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1790 | 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 9 | 136 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reference | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prediction | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 906 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 3 | 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

>>>accuracy가 유의미한 차이를 보이지 않는다. 즉, 새로운 데이터가 와도 과적합이 아니다.