# Support Vector Machines

Mowniesh Asokan(mowas455)

12/12/2020

### Support Vector Machines

svm is a supervised learning algorithm, which is widely used for classification algorithm. SVM is applicable for data that are linearly separable and for non-linear data it uses kernel method for classification.

- It classifies the two classes using hyperplane, The hyperplane should have the largest margin in a high dimensional space to separate a given data into a classes. The margin between the two classes represent the longest distance between the closest data point of the classes.
- Based on the number of the input features/variables, the decision boundary can be a line (if we had only 2 features) or a hyperplane. A hyperplane is an (N-1)-dimensional subspace for an N-dimensional space.
- SVM pick the decision boundary that maximizes the distance to the support vectors. The decision boundary is drawn in a way that the distance to support vectors are maximized. If the decision boundary is too close to the support vectors then, it will be sensitive to noise and not generalize well.

```
data(spam)
index <- sample(1:4601)
tr <- spam[index[1:3000], ]
va <- spam[index[3001:3800], ]
trva <- spam[index[1:3800], ]
te <- spam[index[3801:4601], ]</pre>
```

#### Error

Error= (c)Classification Error + Margin Error(soft margin)

- Margin help to increase the distance of the decision boundary to the support vectors
- Maximize the number of points that are correctly classified in the training set.

### C-parameter

C is small, the penalty for misclassified data point is low so decision boundary with large margin is choosen at the expense of the great number of misclassifications. If c is large ,SVM tries to minimize the number of misclassified samples and results in decision boundary with smaller margin.

Error value of Filter0

```
## [1] 0.07
##
## mailtype nonspam spam
##
     nonspam
                  479
                         35
                   21
                       265
##
     spam
Error Value of Filter1
## [1] 0.08489388
##
## mailtype nonspam spam
##
     nonspam
                  446
                         50
##
     spam
                   18
                       287
Error value of Filter2
## [1] 0.08364544
##
## mailtype nonspam spam
     nonspam
                  446
                         49
##
##
     spam
                   18
                       288
Error Value of Filter3
## [1] 0.03370787
##
## mailtype nonspam spam
                  457
                         20
##
     nonspam
                       317
     spam
```

### Questions

### 1.

Which filter do we return to the user? filter0, filter1, filter2 or filter3? Why?

Filter2 is performing better compare with all the other filter in the list, because this filter is trained by trva data and it is tested by the te data that is unseen to the model.

In the filter 0, the error value is very low compare with all other filters but the filter is trained by using the tr data and tested by using the validation va data. The c parameter in this filter choose by using the va data in the previous model. So only it performs better than the other filters. Even filter 3 also gives good error value but the problem is filter 3 is trained by original data and is tested by the data that is taken from the original one.

### 2.

What is the estimate of the generalization error of the filter returned? err0, err1, err2 or err3? Why?

Error1 is the generalized error of the all filters, because that filter is trained by the training data and while testing it is generalized to give a prediction and it is trained by unseen data at those stages. Even the best c parameter for this data is estimated by the filter is trained by using the training data.

In the RBFDOT kernel that decrease the distance between the two classes and project the values in the hyper plane. Distance between the class of data is separated by the euclidean distance identifier formula.

## **Appendix**

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
library(kernlab)
set.seed(1234567890)
data(spam)
index <- sample(1:4601)
tr <- spam[index[1:3000], ]</pre>
va <- spam[index[3001:3800], ]</pre>
trva <- spam[index[1:3800], ]
te <- spam[index[3801:4601], ]
by <-0.3
err va <- NULL
for(i in seq(by,5,by)){
  filter <- ksvm(type~.,data=tr,kernel="rbfdot",kpar=list(sigma=0.05),C=i)</pre>
  mailtype <- predict(filter,va[,-58])</pre>
  t <- table(mailtype, va[,58])
  err_va <-c(err_va,(t[1,2]+t[2,1])/sum(t))
filter0 <- ksvm(type~.,data=tr,kernel="rbfdot",kpar=list(sigma=0.05),C=which.min(err_va)*by)
mailtype <- predict(filter0, va[, -58])</pre>
t <- table(mailtype, va[,58])
err0 \leftarrow (t[1,2]+t[2,1])/sum(t)
err0
filter1 <- ksvm(type~.,data=tr,kernel="rbfdot",kpar=list(sigma=0.05),C=which.min(err_va)*by)
mailtype <- predict(filter1,te[,-58])</pre>
t <- table(mailtype,te[,58])
err1 \leftarrow (t[1,2]+t[2,1])/sum(t)
err1
t
filter2 <- ksvm(type~.,data=trva,kernel="rbfdot",kpar=list(sigma=0.05),C=which.min(err_va)*by)
mailtype <- predict(filter2,te[,-58])</pre>
t <- table(mailtype,te[,58])
err2 \leftarrow (t[1,2]+t[2,1])/sum(t)
err2
```

```
filter3 <- ksvm(type~.,data=spam,kernel="rbfdot",kpar=list(sigma=0.05),C=which.min(err_va)*by)
mailtype <- predict(filter3,te[,-58])
t <- table(mailtype,te[,58])
err3 <- (t[1,2]+t[2,1])/sum(t)
err3
t</pre>
```