

This is a second answer to the question "Is oscillation functional?", right?

Could you reformulate without referring to nodes in this diagram?
eg: "Oscillations in the brain are functional for reasons that are independent of oscillations in the brain."??

Which answer?
the yes answer at the
bottom left corner?

Is this a AND or a OR?
The 2 statements connected about drug and
optogenetic induction...
... is it enough that one of them be true
for the conclusion to hold?
... must they both be true?
If it is wierd of me to ask this, could you say why?

Inference and induction
refer to the same thing
here, right?

which questions?

The reasons for the
answer (green
patches) are not
about the questions
(i.e. brain oscillations)

Optogenetics
interference = rhythmic
activation or inhibition
of neurons using
optogenetic tools

Drug interference: =
change kinetics of
certain ion conductance

Change of activities
or excitability of the
neurons

this isn't a statement
right? just a term/object

Optogenetic induction
of rhythm causes
behavioral changes

Drug induction of
oscillations induced
epilepsy

Theta brain waves in a region
(e.g. hippocampus, neocortex):
= field potential recorded
extracellularly within the brain
tissue in vivo or in slice

A neuron's intrinsic
resonance :=

Could you explain this relation between resonance and spike timing?
(shortly, eg in 1 sentence)

Are these statements or definitions?

Spike timing := A neuron has
a preferred phase of firing
during theta waves

Synchronization across neurons: =
the correlation of spike timing of
connected neuron pairs increases

Between any pair of neurons exist direct
monosynaptic connections or indirect
connectivity via other neurons or parallel
at the downstream of another neuron

I find interesting that you chose to use this arc here. I think either the same
meaning should be expressed in terms of the gray content being the reasons of the
green content being true. Or else the MMM should be refined so it can account for
this kind of situation = 1 statement motivating a definition?

Perhaps could you detail the relation you have in mind between the 2 endpoints of this
arrow?

Could you explain the
meaning of these two
connections?
the relation between spike timing
and synchronization across neurons on 1 hand
and the fact that change of neuronal properties
relates to oscillation properties on the other hand?

This is a statement
Change of neuronal properties (e.g.
probability of action potential, strength of
synaptic plasticity) is related with oscillation
properties (e.g. amplitude, frequency)

Oscillation in the brain :=
Functional: =
Relating to the ways
in which something
works; not
necessarily causal

Oscillation: = repetitive variation of
a measure around a central value

Functional :=
of or having a
specific purpose;
implying causality

Is oscillation in
the brain
functional?

Yes. But this merely
reflects relation
between neuron and
brain oscillations

Is this another statement?

What is "this" exactly?
this:= the functionality of oscillations in the brain?
this:= the fact that oscillations in the brain are functional?
...?