

Post-Doctoral Application Management System

Project Management Document

June 19, 2014 Version 1.0 Iteration 1

Prepared for Ms. Cathy Sandis (UP Research Office) by SoftServe Group

Group members

Kgothatso Phatedi Alfred Ngako (12236731)

Tokologo "Carlo" Machaba (12078027)

Mathys Ellis (12019837)

Change log							
Date	Version	Description Person					
23/05/2014	v 0.0	Created Project Management Document and Carlo Machaba					
		created project time line					
23/05/2014	v 0.1	Added to project time line Mathys Ellis					
30/05/2014	v 0.2	Added to project time line and added July Mathys Ellis					
		recess work plan					

Contents

1	Project Repository	4
2	Document description: 2.1 Document purpose:	5 5
3	Project Timeline	6
4	July recess work plan	8
	4.1 Expected work hours	8
	4.2 Division of use cases	8
	4.3 Tasks to be completed for each use case	9
	4.4 Additional tasks	10
	4.5 Evaluation	11
5	Project backlog	11

List of Figures

1 Project Repository

https://github.com/mox1990/Project-Postdoc.git

2 Document description:

This document provides the documenting of how the project will be managed.

2.1 Document purpose:

The Project Management provides the details of how the project will be managed by Software. It will contain the time schedule and the list of tasks that still need to be completed.

3 Project Timeline

Timeline					
Task	Date		Description		
User Acceptance	23/05/2014	-	Create and finalise User Acceptance Tests		
Tests	10/06/2014				
API and inter-	30/05/2014	-	Create the APIs and interfaces to be expected from		
face design	12/06/2014		each use case per the clients specification		
Research into	30/05/2014	-	Research into Data mining and 3D interactive user		
possible awe	24/07/2014		interface in order to add awe to project		
factors for					
project					
Unit and inte-	12/06/2014	-	Create unit and integration tests alongside devel-		
gration tests	05/09/2014		opment		
Group meeting	20/06/2014		Discuss and finalise july holiday implementation		
			details and tasks		
Unit and inte-	30/06/2014		Initial unit and integration tests per use case is		
gration tests			documented		
Implementation	20/06/2014	-	Create and finalise the back end to beta level be-		
of functionality	23/07/2014		fore the client demo		
Holiday report	04/07/2014		First holiday work report to be completed and sent		
back 1			to Stacy		
Holiday report	22/07/2014		Second holiday work report to be completed and		
back 2			sent to Stacy		
Beta version is	24/07/2014		Beta version is ready and shown to the client		
completed					
Client Demo 1	25/07/2014		Meeting with the client to demo full beta applica-		
			tion and functionality and discuss the requirement		
			of awe factor of project		
Implementation	26/07/2014	-	Finalise the front and back end to clients specifi-		
of final function-	15/08/2014		cation before the second demo		
ality					
Off-line testing	25/07/2014	-	Testing and debugging of beta version.		
phase	14/08/2014				
Client Demo 2	01/08/2014		Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-		
DI 2	14/00/2017		proved functionality		
Phase one of	14/08/2014		Final version of application according to client		
project com-			specifications is ready to be shown to the client		
pleted	15/00/2014		D 0		
Second Demo	15/08/2014		Demo 2		

Client Demo 3	15/08/2014	Meeting with the client to demo complete func-
		tionality and discuss on-line testing phase
On-line testing	19/08/2014 -	Testing with the client and potential end users in
phase	04/10/2014	order to improve and debug application. To run
		concurrently with Awe factor implementation
Awe factor Im-	16/09/2014 -	Complete and improve any functionality and add
plementation	04/10/2014	awe factor elements to project
phase		
Client Demo 4	22/08/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
	, ,	proved functionality
Client Demo 5	29/08/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
	, ,	proved functionality
Client Demo 6	05/09/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
	, ,	proved functionality
Fourth Demo	05/09/2014	Demo 4
Client Demo 7	12/09/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
		proved functionality
Beta version of	18/09/2014	The awe factor's beta version needs to be complete
awe factor phase		r
ready		
Client Demo 8	19/09/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
		proved functionality
Client Demo 9	26/09/2014	Meeting with the client to demo any new or im-
	, ,	proved functionality
Fifth Demo	03/10/2014	Demo 5
October recess	04/10/2014 -	October recess. Use time to do final touch ups of
	12/10/2014	the system and complete it
Post Doctoral	13/10/2014	The system is complete according to client speci-
System final	, ,	fication and awe factor specification
version ready		
Client Demo 10	13/10/2014	Meeting with the client to demo the final system
		and hand it over to her
Project Day	10/10/2014 -	Maintenance and preparation for Project day
Preparation	20/10/2014	
Project Day	20/10/2014	Project Day and system is presented.

4 July recess work plan

This section describes the SoftServe's group work plan for the July recess.

4.1 Expected work hours

This section documents the expected work hours each member of the SoftServe's group specified they will contribute to the project. There are a total of 5 weeks in the period of 20/06/2014 to 22/07/2014. It should be noted that weekend days are not considered as work days by this plan.

- Mathys Ellis
 - 4 hours per weekday
 - $-4 \times 5 = 20$ hours per week
 - $-20 \times 5 = 100$ hours over period
- Tokologo "Carlo" Machaba
 - 4 hours per weekday
 - $-4 \times 5 = 20$ hours per week
 - $-20 \times 5 = 100$ hours over period
- Kgothatso Phatedi Alfred Ngako
 - 6 hours per weekday
 - $-6 \times 5 = 30$ hours per week
 - $-30 \times 5 = 150$ hours over period

4.2 Division of use cases

This section provides the use cases each of the team members selected to do for the recess work plan. The selection process was as follows Kgothatso Phatedi Alfred Ngako and Tokologo "Carlo" Machaba selected which use cases they wished to do. Lastly Mathys Ellis selected the remaining use cases. It should be noted that the division of the use cases also relate with the expected work hours of each member.

- Mathys Ellis
 - 1. Post-doctoral fellow-ship management system use cases
 - 2. Application services use cases
 - 3. User account management services use cases

- 4. Grant holder application finalisation service use cases
- 5. HOD Approval service use cases
- 6. Dean endorsement service use cases
- 7. DRIS approval service use cases
- Tokologo "Carlo" Machaba
 - 1. User gateway use cases
 - 2. Application progress viewer service use cases
 - 3. New fellowship application service use cases
 - 4. Application renewal service use cases
 - 5. Referees' report service use cases
- Kgothatso Phatedi Alfred Ngako
 - 1. Report services use cases
 - 2. Notification services use cases
 - 3. Audit-Trail services use cases
 - 4. Archival services use cases
 - 5. Imports and exports services use cases
 - 6. Meeting management service use cases

4.3 Tasks to be completed for each use case

This section lists and describes the tasks that should be completed for each of the use cases specified above.

- Create interface diagram within the Model.eap file. In order to provide the expected APIs for other members, Document this in the Functional requirements document. This must be complete before the 12/06/2014.
- Document initial unit and integration tests in the functional testing document. This must be complete before the 30/06/2014.
- Complete the implementation of the back-end
- If the use case provides a front-end it must be implemented. Document the user work flow and UI design also.
- Develop JUnit tests alongside actual implementations

- Document any extra use case diagrams if new sub services are developed.
- Document any process specification of an implemented functionality.
- Document any additional unit and integration tests while implementing

4.4 Additional tasks

This section lists and describes the additional tasks that should be completed by each member. These revolve around the awe factors that the SoftServe group wishes to implement. The tasks were divided according to the background and skill level of each member in terms of AI and 3D graphics.

- Mathys Ellis
 - 1. Research webGL and 3D user interfaces
 - 2. Help with design of interactive UI
 - 3. Research data mining and neural network techniques
 - 4. Identify data sources that can be used to gather data.
 - 5. Provide support in both the AI and 3D related topics.
 - 6. Editing of documentation
- Tokologo "Carlo" Machaba
 - 1. Research webGL and 3D user interfaces
 - 2. Design UI, standard and interactive
 - 3. Research and develop innovative user interaction mechanisms
 - 4. Initial documentation of 3D UI awe factor
- Kgothatso Phatedi Alfred Ngako
 - 1. Research data mining and neural network techniques with regards to evaluation, prediction, background check.
 - 2. Design viable data mining techniques.
 - 3. Identify valuable indicators in data.
 - 4. Identify data sources that can be used to gather data.
 - 5. Initial documentation of AI awe factor

4.5 Evaluation

In order to control the work flow and monitor each group members performance over the July Recess 2 evaluation reports will created. These reports will document the tasks completed by each member and those not completed yet. As well as any issues with regards to technical or implementation. The first report will occur at the end of week 2 and the second at the end of week 5.

5 Project backlog

- User Acceptance tests
- User Interface Designs
- Database designs
- Implementation of the system
- Offline testing
- Live testing
- User manuals
- Final documentation
- Find a server to run to the system on