

Port Orchard Police Vehicular Pursuit Letter

Case #	D1	9-	συ	Z	205
--------	----	----	----	---	-----

Date 8-23-19

To: Chief Brown:

Beginning at 1100 BLOCK SE LUND AVE Streets. Pursuit began at 14:53:45 hours and ended at 14:54:38 hours. Total time was 53 minutes. The pursuit was on the following roads: SE LUND AVE, N/B SIDNEY AVE, E/B BAY STREET - PURSUIT TERMINATED SUSPECT VEHICLE CONTINUED.
Secret 1994 Control of the control
Sergeant $MA o I$ is the officer's immediate supervisor. I have reviewed the pursuit and
compared the circumstances to our General Order 1830 which governs pursuits.
1. The pursuit was initiated because of a felony misdemeanor X traffic offense.
2. Maximum speeds attained were $\underline{60}$ mph. Was speed a hazard? yes \underline{X} no.
3. Types of locations involved:residential X business X arterials schools
interstate rural.
4. The traffic volume was (circle): heavy (moderate) light none.
5. Pedestrian volume was (circle): heavy moderate (light) none.
6. The road conditions were (circle): dry wet snowy icy gravel other
7. The weather conditions were (circle): clear raining snowing fog othertemp
8. The vehicle X did did not have tinted windows. This X did did not hamper the
officer being able to identify the driver.
9. The officer \underline{X} cound not have identified the suspect at a later time and initiated an
arrest.
10. There were X were not any known barriers that would have prevented other drivers
from observing the police unit and the pursuit. Describe barriers:
11. Port Orchard Police had $\frac{2}{2}$ marked and $\frac{6}{2}$ unmarked vehicles involved in the pursuit.
12. Vehicles from other agencies were \underline{X} were not involved in the pursuit. The other
agencies involved were: Total number of vehicles _&

13. Were tire deflation devices used? Yes No If so, was it successful in stopping the pursuit?
Yes No.
14. Officers <u>did</u> did not attempt to box the fleeing vehicle.
15. Officers <u>did</u> did not attempt to ram the fleeing vehicle.
16. Officersdid X did not set up a roadblock. Roadblock was set up at :
by officers
17. Officers did X did not pursue vehicles the wrong way on the street/highway. Distance
the officer(s) drove the wrong way on the street/highway
18. The pursuit X should should not have been terminated. Reason pursuit should have
been terminated: PURSUIT TERMINATED BY CHIEF BROWN
19. Therewere X were not any other actions which constituted a danger which has not been
covered. The danger was :
For any NO answer below, attach a written response.
20. The officer used proper radio procedure X yes no.
 a. Officer gave the reason for the pursuit X yes no. b. Officer gave the description of the vehicle X yes no. c. Officer gave number of occupants yes X no. The provided AFTER pursuit by d. Officer gave location and direction of travel X yes no. e. Officer continued to give speeds involved X yes no.
21. The officer should have attempted apprehension X yes $_{}$ no.
22. The officer kept a safe distance from the fleeing vehicle X yes no.
23. Police vehicles used all available warning devices X yes no.
24. Pursuit was terminated by: apprehension officer X supervisor.
25. This pursuit has been reviewed with the officers involved X yes no.
26. Name of arrested person: DOB
Charges: VEHICLE LOCATED LATER ABANDONED
s the supervisor, I feel the officer X was $_{}$ was not justified in pursuing and I also feeld that
eneral Order 1830 <u> </u>
Pursuit tape requested from CENCOM 129 Number