

Port Orchard Police Vehicular Pursuit Letter

Case	# <u>019-007</u> 078
To: Cl	SYOWA nief Marti:
Begin ended	rA. Petritz was involved in a pursuit of a (veh desc) 1995 Dark Green Toycta Camry ning at Bethel Rose SE Blueberry Refreets. Pursuit began at 2009. Hours and #18 at 2009. So hours. Total time was 12 minutes. The pursuit was on the following roads: PAVEL ROSE & SE SECONDER RO.
Serge	ant O. Main is the officer's immediate supervisor. I have reviewed the pursuit and
	ared the circumstances to our General Order 1830 which governs pursuits.
1.	The pursuit was initiated because of afelony \sum misdemeanor traffic offense.
	Maximum speeds attained were 55 mph. Was speed a hazard?yes 100 no.
	Types of locations involved:residential businessarterials schools
	interstate rural,
4.	The traffic volume was (circle): heavy moderate light none.
5.	Pedestrian volume was (circle): heavy moderate light none.
6.	The road conditions were (circle): dry) wet snowy icy gravel other
7.	The weather conditions were (circle): clear raining snowing fog othertemp
8.	The vehiclediddid not have tinted windows. This diddid not hamper the
	officer being able to identify the driver.
9.	The officer couldcound not have identified the suspect at a later time and initiated an arrest.
10.	There were were not any known barriers that would have prevented other drivers
	from observing the police unit and the pursuit. Describe barriers:
11. 12.	Port Orchard Police had Z marked and unmarked vehicles involved in the pursuit. Vehicles from other agencies were were not involved in the pursuit. The other agencies involved were: Total number of vehicles
	agencies involved were: Total number of vehicles

13. Were tire deflation devices used? Yes (No) If so, was it successful in stopping the pursuit?
Yes No.
14. Officersdiddid not attempt to box the fleeing vehicle.
15. Officersdiddid not attempt to ram the fleeing vehicle.
16. Officersdiddid not set up a roadblock. Roadblock was set up at:
by officers
17. Officers diddid not pursue vehicles the wrong way on the street/highway. Distance
the officer(s) drove the wrong way on the street/highway
18. The pursuit should should not have been terminated. Reason pursuit should have
been terminated: H WAS HEYNINGHED DUE TWO YOUNESTIVES 19. There were were not any other actions which constituted a danger which has not been
covered. The danger was :
For any NO answer below, attach a written response.
20. The officer used proper radio procedure X yes no.
 a. Officer gave the reason for the pursuit X yes no. b. Officer gave the description of the vehicle X yes no. c. Officer gave number of occupants Y yes no. d. Officer gave location and direction of travel X yes no. e. Officer continued to give speeds involved x yes no.
21. The officer should have attempted apprehension χ yes no.
22. The officer kept a safe distance from the fleeing vehicle χ yes no.
23. Police vehicles used all available warning devices <u>yes</u> no.
24. Pursuit was terminated by: apprehension \(\sqrt{officer supervisor.} \)
25. This pursuit has been reviewed with the officers involved yes no.
26. Name of arrested person: WWWOW. NO OVEDOB YVESTED,
Charges:
As the supervisor, I feel the officer χ was was not justified in pursuing and I also feeld that
General Order 1830 was was not adhered to except as noted above.
Pursuit tape requested from CENCOM NO - I WAS Supervisor Number ATTACHED TO THIS DETAIL AND HEARD
All RADIO TRAFFIC

DS 709 8/15/19