



## Port Orchard Police Vehicular Pursuit Letter

Case # D19-002205

Date 8-23-19

To: Chief Brown:

Officer GAPSCIA was involved in a pursuit of a (veh desc) 2013 SILVER VOLKSWAGEN PASSAT  
Beginning at 1100 BLOCK SE LUND AVE Streets. Pursuit began at 14:53:45 hours and  
ended at 14:54:38 hours. Total time was 53 <sup>SECONDS</sup> ~~minutes~~. The pursuit was on the following roads:  
SE LUND AVE, N/B SIDNEY AVE, E/B BAY STREET - PURSUIT TERMINATED  
SUSPECT VEHICLE CONTINUED.

Sergeant MAIN is the officer's immediate supervisor. I have reviewed the pursuit and compared the circumstances to our General Order 1830 which governs pursuits.

1. The pursuit was initiated because of a \_\_\_ felony \_\_\_ misdemeanor X traffic offense.
2. Maximum speeds attained were 60 mph. Was speed a hazard? \_\_\_ yes X no.
3. Types of locations involved: \_\_\_ residential X business X arterials \_\_\_ schools  
\_\_\_ interstate \_\_\_ rural.
4. The traffic volume was (circle): heavy moderate light none.
5. Pedestrian volume was (circle): heavy moderate light none.
6. The road conditions were (circle): dry wet snowy icy gravel other \_\_\_\_.
7. The weather conditions were (circle): clear raining snowing fog other \_\_\_\_ temp 73°.
8. The vehicle X did \_\_\_ did not have tinted windows. This X did \_\_\_ did not hamper the officer being able to identify the driver.
9. The officer \_\_\_ could X could not have identified the suspect at a later time and initiated an arrest.
10. There \_\_\_ were X were not any known barriers that would have prevented other drivers from observing the police unit and the pursuit. Describe barriers:  
\_\_\_\_\_
11. Port Orchard Police had 2 marked and 0 unmarked vehicles involved in the pursuit.
12. Vehicles from other agencies \_\_\_ were X were not involved in the pursuit. The other agencies involved were: N/A. Total number of vehicles 0.

13. Were tire deflation devices used? Yes ☒ No ☐ If so, was it successful in stopping the pursuit?  
Yes No.

14. Officers \_\_\_ did ☒ did not attempt to box the fleeing vehicle.

15. Officers \_\_\_ did ☒ did not attempt to ram the fleeing vehicle.

16. Officers \_\_\_ did ☒ did not set up a roadblock. Roadblock was set up at : \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ by officers \_\_\_\_\_.

17. Officers \_\_\_ did ☒ did not pursue vehicles the wrong way on the street/highway. Distance  
the officer(s) drove the wrong way on the street/highway \_\_\_\_\_.

18. The pursuit ☒ should \_\_\_ should not have been terminated. Reason pursuit should have  
been terminated: PURSUIT TERMINATED BY CHIEF BROWN

19. There \_\_\_ were ☒ were not any other actions which constituted a danger which has not been  
covered. The danger was : \_\_\_\_\_.

**For any NO answer below, attach a written response.**

20. The officer used proper radio procedure ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

- a. Officer gave the reason for the pursuit ☒ yes \_\_\_ no. PROVIDED BY 734.
- b. Officer gave the description of the vehicle ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.
- c. Officer gave number of occupants ☒ yes \_\_\_ no. PROVIDED AFTER PURSUIT BY 738.
- d. Officer gave location and direction of travel ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.
- e. Officer continued to give speeds involved ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

21. The officer should have attempted apprehension ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

22. The officer kept a safe distance from the fleeing vehicle ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

23. Police vehicles used all available warning devices ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

24. Pursuit was terminated by: \_\_\_ apprehension \_\_\_ officer ☒ supervisor.

25. This pursuit has been reviewed with the officers involved ☒ yes \_\_\_ no.

26. Name of arrested person: \_\_\_\_\_ DOB \_\_\_\_\_

Charges: VEHICLE LOCATED LATER ABANDONED

As the supervisor, I feel the officer ☒ was \_\_\_ was not justified in pursuing and I also feel that  
General Order 1830 ☒ was \_\_\_ was not adhered to except as noted above.

SGT. D. MAIN  
Supervisor

729  
Number

Pursuit tape requested from CENCOM YES