
Theory Problem 4. Dynamic Programming

Moyuan Huang
Department of Computer Science
University of California, San Diego
moh008@eng.ucsd.edu

1 Planning a Trip

1.1 Algorithm

1.1.1 Pseudo code

Algorithm 1

Input:

A , a sorted sequence $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$, hotels for stopping by. Here I expanded the array with $a_0 = 0$ to denote the starting point

Output:

ans , the optimal sequence of hotels at which to stop.

```
1:  $dp[n + 1] \leftarrow 0, route[n + 1] \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  do
3:    $c \leftarrow INT\_MAX, prev \leftarrow 0$ 
4:   for  $prev$  from 0 to  $i-1$  do
5:     if  $c > (dp[prev] + cost(a[prev], a[i]))$  then
6:        $c \leftarrow (dp[prev] + cost(a[prev], a[i]))$ 
7:        $prev \leftarrow a[prev]$ 
8:     end if
9:    $dp[i] \leftarrow c, route[i] \leftarrow prev$ 
10: end for
11: end for
12:  $i = n + 1$ 
13: while  $i \geq 0$  do
14:    $ans.append(a[i])$ 
15:    $i = route[i]$ 
16: end while
17: return  $ans.reverse()$ 
```

Here I assume $reverse()$ is trivial and takes $O(n)$ time.

1.1.2 Description

We first initialize two sets of arrays: dp where $dp[i]$ records the minimum cost when stopping at $a[i]$, and $route$ where $route[i]$ records the previous stop before $a[i]$ under the optimal strategy. Then we iterate from 1 to n to compute the minimum cost when stopping at $a[i]$. At each particular point, we compute what would be the cost if our previous stop is $a[prev]$, for $prev$ increases from 0 to i . The cost equals to, according to the problem description,

$$cost(p_1, p_2) = (p_1 - p_2 - 200)^2$$

Then we set the *prev* as the one that gives the minimum cost at $a[i]$ and record it in $route[i]$, and set $dp[i]$ as exactly the minimum cost. In this end, we back track to get each optimal stops and return the sequence.

1.2 Correctness

Let's consider when we wanna stop at some hotel a_i . The cost at this particular point depends on its previous stop a_{prev} , which can be expressed by

$$total_cost(a_i) = total_cost(a_{prev}) + cost(a_{prev}, a_i)$$

By iterating from all the possible stop hotel, i.e.0 to $(i - 1)$, we are assured to obtain the minimum cost at hotel i . After going through 1 to n , we are guaranteed to obtain the minimum cost at hotel n , i.e.the minimum cost of the whole trip.

1.3 Time Complexity

The loop a line2-11 runs at most $n-1$ times, which cost $O(n)$ time, line5-10. runs at most $n-2$ time and takes $O(n)$ time. The while loop in line 13-16 takes $O(n)$ time, and reverse a array takes $O(n)$ time.

In sum, the algorithm takes $O(n^2) + 2O(n) = O(n^2)$ time complexity.

2 Partitioning Souvenirs

2.1 Algorithm

Algorithm 2

Input:

$x[n]$, A sequence of positive integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Output:

partitionable, 1 if possible to partition into three subsets with equal sums, and 0 otherwise.

```

1:  $sort(x)$ ,  $s \leftarrow sum(x)/3$ ,
2:  $dp[sum(x)] \leftarrow False$ 
3:  $dp[0] \leftarrow True$ 
4: for  $i$  from 0 to  $n$  do
5:   for  $j$  from  $s - x[i]$  to 0 do
6:     if  $dp[j]$  then
7:        $dp[j + x[i]] \leftarrow True$ 
8:     end if
9:   end for
10: end for
11: if  $!dp[s]$  then
12:   return False
13: end if
14:  $n \leftarrow choose\_a\_combination(x, s, [], n)$ 
15: repeat line 2 – 10
16: return  $dp[n, s]$ 
```

In Alg. 2, $dp[i]$ denoted whether we can sum up to i using the current numbers given. Suppose that we have already taken care of the first i elements of $x[]$. Now we take $x[i]$ and look at our table $dp[]$. It is set True in every location that corresponds to a sum that we can make from the first i numbers we have already processed. Now that we add the new number, $x[i]$, consider some location of $dp[j]$ that has True value. Now we can obtain a new value $j + x[i]$, and we immediately set the corresponding value in $dp[]$ to True.

Note one important detail in the j loop. It goes through the table from right to left. We have to do this in order to avoid double counting $x[i]$.

After the first round, we may now check if $dp[s]$ is set to True and return False if it is still

False, because no combinations of numbers can add up to $sum(x)/3$. If it does, we call the `choose_a_combination()` function which is described in Alg. 3 to deleted from x a valid combination of numbers that sum to s . Then we run the algorithm again to check if there is another group of numbers that sum up to s . If it does, this means that the x can be split up to 3 equal groups, that we should return True. Otherwise, return False.

Algorithm 3 *choose_a_combination*($x, target, ans, idx, found$)

Input:

x , A sequence to select from.
 $target$, the required sum value.
 ans , the selected elements.
 idx , the current index of selection.
 $found$, if a valid combination have been found.

Output:

n , the length of x after deleting the selected element.
 $found$, if a valid combination have been found.

```

1: if  $found$  then
2:   return  $x.length(), found$ 
3: end if
4: if  $target == 0$  then
5:   for auto elem in  $ans$  do
6:      $delete(x, elem)$ 
7:   end for
8:   return  $x.length(), True$ 
9: end if
10: for  $i$  from  $idx - 1$  to 0 do
11:   if  $x[i] > target$  then
12:      $ans.append(x[i])$ 
13:      $n, found \leftarrow choose\_a\_combination(x, target - x[i], ans, i, found)$ 
14:      $ans.pop(x[i])$ 
15:   end if
16: end for
17: return  $x.length()$ 

```

The process of finding a combination of numbers that sum up to $target$ is described in Alg. 3. It is a recursive depth first search based algorithm: We keep trying putting elements in the combination until we finally find a valid one. Then we delete those element from the original set, i.e. x since we can't reuse any of the elements. Finally, the global flag $found$ is set true and are returned together with the new length of x after deletion.

2.2 Correctness

The logic of Alg. 2 has been illustrated right after the algorithm. One thing I try to make clear here is that, since the Alg. 3 may return any valid combination of numbers, we need to prove that any combination deleted by the Alg. 3 would result in an correct ans.

Note that the function would only delete a group of numbers that sum up to $target$, it doesn't matter which group is deleted, since if x can be split into 3 equally summed groups, it makes no different that which group is selected first.

2.3 Time Complexity

Line 1 : $O(n \log n) + O(n)$

Line 2 : $O(n)$

Loop 4-10: $O(n)$

Loop 5-8: $O(X)$ ($X = s$ in our context)

Line 15: same as Line4-10

Line 14 In funtion choose_a_combination():
line 4-7: $O(n)$
line 10-16: $T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n) = O(n^2)$

In total:
 $O(n \log n) + 2O(n) + 2O(nX) + O(n^2) = O(nX) + O(n^2)$