

# *Not The Maximum Segment Sum* Problem

## Introduction to Functional Programming

Yu Liu

December 14, 2015

*Not the Maximum Segment Sum* (NMSS for short) problem is an interesting problem from the context of [1]. In this short report I introduce how to compute NMSS problem in **liner time** and also introduce some concepts and skills of functional programming (FP). As a related topic the *Maximum Segment Sum* problem has been studied by many researchers [2–4].

## 1 Notations

The syntax is mainly based on Haskell but a Java implementation is given. List is denoted as  $[x, y, z]$  and  $++$  means list concatenation. Function application is denoted with a space with its argument without parentheses, i.e.,  $f\ a$  equals to  $f\ (a)$ . Functions are curried and bound to left and thus  $f\ a\ b$  equals to  $(f\ a)\ b$ . Functions can be composed by “.” and it has higher priority than other operators.

## 2 The Definition of Non-Segment

By definition of segment, contiguous subsequence of a list, the non-segment is defined: for a list at shortest with 3 elements, the non-segments are the sequences that are not contiguous subsequences (segments), formally, denoted by a regular expression as follows.

$$F^*T^+F^+T(T+F)^*$$

For example, given a list:

$$[-4, -3, -7, +2, +1, -2, -1, -4]$$

The subsequence  $[-4, -3, -7]$  is a segment, while  $[-4, -7]$  is a not-segment and  $[-4, -3, -7, +1]$  is also a not-segment of the original list. We can use a automaton to recognize this regular expression:

$$dataState = E|S|M|N$$

- State E for  $F^*$  (empty)
- State S for  $F^*T^+$  (suffix)

- State M for  $F^*T^+F^+$  (middle)
- State N for  $F^*T^+F^+T(T+F)^*$

The sum of a non-segment is called *Non-Segment Sum* (NSS).

### 3 Specification

The MNSS is to find a NSS which has the maximum sum.

$$\begin{aligned} mnss &:: [Int] \rightarrow Int \\ mnss &= maximum \cdot map \text{sum} \cdot nonsegs \end{aligned}$$

To define the *nonsegs* function, firstly, we introduce a *markings* function:

$$\begin{aligned} markings &:: [a] \rightarrow [(a, Bool)] \\ markings \text{ } xs &= [zip \text{ } xs \text{ } bs | bs \leftarrow \text{booleans}(\text{length } xs)] \\ \text{booleans } 0 &= [] \\ \text{booleans } (n+1) &= [b : bs | b \leftarrow [True, False], bs \leftarrow \text{booleans } n] \end{aligned}$$

So that, the *nonsegs* can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} nonsegs &:: [a] \rightarrow [[a]] \\ nonsegs &= \text{extarct} \cdot \text{filter } nonsegs \cdot markings \\ \text{extarct} &:: [(a, Bool)] \rightarrow [[a]] \\ \text{extarct} &= \text{map}(\text{map } \text{fst} \cdot \text{filter } \text{snd}) \end{aligned}$$

By making use of the automaton, *nonsegs* can also be defined as

$$nonseg = (==N) \cdot \text{foldl } \text{step } E \cdot \text{map } \text{snd}$$

Here, the *Step E* means start the automaton from E state, and here are the states transformation functions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{step } E \text{ False} = E & \text{step } M \text{ False} = M \\ \text{step } E \text{ True} = S & \text{step } M \text{ True} = N \\ \text{step } S \text{ False} = M & \text{step } N \text{ False} = N \\ \text{step } S \text{ True} = S & \text{step } N \text{ True} = N \end{array}$$

### 4 Derivation

The *mnss* can be redefined as

$$\begin{aligned} mnss &= maximum \cdot map \text{sum} \cdot \text{extract} \cdot \text{filter } nonseg \cdot markings \\ \text{extarct} &= \text{map}(\text{map } \text{fst} \cdot \text{filter } \text{snd}) \\ nonseg &= (==N) \cdot \text{foldl } \text{step } E \cdot \text{map } \text{snd} \end{aligned}$$

the problem is turned to find a way to apply the fusion law of *foldl* to get a better algorithm, that *extract* · *filter nonseg* · *markings* can be treated as an instance. So, we can define a *pick* function as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pick} &:: \text{State} \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [[a]] \\ \text{pick } q &= \text{extract} \cdot \text{filter}((= q) \cdot \text{foldl } \text{step } E \cdot \text{map } \text{snd}) \cdot markings \end{aligned}$$

just let  $q = N$  ,  $nonsegs = pick\ N$ . Here clime these equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
pick\ xs &= [[]] \\
pick\ S\ [] &= [] \\
pick\ S\ (xs ++ [x]) &= map(++[x])(pick\ S\ xs ++ pick\ E\ xs) \\
pick\ M\ [] &= [] \\
pick\ M\ (xs ++ [x]) &= pick\ M\ xs ++ pick\ S\ xs \\
pick\ N\ [] &= [[]] \\
pick\ N\ (xs ++ [x]) &= pick\ N\ xs ++ map(++[x])(pick\ N\ xs ++ pick\ M\ xs)
\end{aligned}$$

recast the definition of *pick* as an instance of *foldl*: firstly we make a tuple:

$$pickallxs = (pick\ E\ xs, pick\ S\ xs, pick\ M\ xs, pick\ N\ xs)$$

so, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
pickall &= foldl\ step\ ([[]], [], [], []) \\
step(ess, nss, mss, sss)x &= (ess, map(++[x])(sss ++ ess), \\
&\quad mss ++ sss, nss ++ map(++[x])(nss ++ mss))
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $mnss = maximum \cdot map\ sum \cdot fourth \cdot pickall$ . by define

$$tuple\ f(w, x, y, z) = (f\ w, f\ x, f\ y, f\ z).$$

Then we have :

$$maximum \cdot map\ sum \cdot fourth = fourth \cdot tuple(maximum \cdot map\ sum)$$

Then  $mnss$  is changed to:  $mnss = fourth \cdot tuple(maximum \cdot map\ sum) \cdot pickall$

By fusion law of *foldl*:

$$f(foldl\ g\ a\ xs) = foldl\ h\ b\ xs$$

if  $f\ a = b$  , and  $f(g\ x\ y) = h(f\ x)y$  hold for all x and y.  
f,g,and a are instantiations:

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= tuple(maximum \cdot map\ sum) \\
g &= step \\
a &= ([[]], [], [], [])
\end{aligned}$$

We need to find the h and b, satisfy the fusion conditions.

$$tuple(maximum \cdot map\ sum)([[]], [], [], []) = (0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)$$

Here h and b is given: b is  $(0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)$  and

$$h(e, s, m, n)x = (e, (s \uparrow e) + x, m \uparrow s, n \uparrow ((n \uparrow m) + x))$$

so that

$$mnss = fourth \cdot foldl\ h(0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)$$

to simplify the definition of  $mnss$  we can do this :

$$\begin{aligned}
mnss\ xs &= fourth(foldl\ h\ (start(take\ 3\ xs))\ (drop\ 3\ xs)) \\
start\ [x, y, z] &= (0, \uparrow [x + y + z, y + z, z], \uparrow [x, x + y, y], x + z)
\end{aligned}$$

## 5 Remarks

Also, for at-least-length- $k$  problem we can derive  $O(nk)$  algorithm. And, for non-regular conditions such as  $F^*T^nF^*T^nF^*$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) is susceptible to the same method. The Java implementation is here: <https://github.com/moyun/Mnss>. Readers can evaluate the performance of the linear algorithm and compare with your own implementation.

## References

- [1] Richard Bird. *Pearls of Functional Algorithm Design*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition, 2010.
- [2] M.~Cole. Parallel Programming, List Homomorphisms and the Maximum Segment Sum Problems. Report {csr}-25-93, Department of Computing Science, The University of Edinburgh, 1993.
- [3] Kazutaka Morita, Akimasa Morihata, Kiminori Matsuzaki, Zhenjiang Hu, and Masato Takeichi. Automatic inversion generates divide-and-conquer parallel programs. In *ACM SIGPLAN 2007 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI 2007)*, pages 146–155. ACM Press, jun 2007.
- [4] Z.~Hu, H.~Iwasaki, and M.~Takeichi. Formal Derivation of Parallel Program for 2-Dimensional Maximum Segment Sum Problem. In *Annual European Conference on Parallel Processing, LNCS 1123*, pages 553–562. Springer-Verlag, 1996.