

## Machine Learning II

Exercise Sheet 5

N. Bertschinger

M. Kaschube

Discussed on Wed, May 23, 14:15

**Exercise 1.** a) Obtain a relationship between  $K$  means and EM for Gaussian mixtures by considering a mixture model in which all components have covariance  $\epsilon \mathbf{I}$ . Show that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mu_k, \Sigma_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\epsilon)^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\mathbf{x} - \mu_k\|^2 \right\}$$

**1 point**

b) Further, show

$$\gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k \exp \{-\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_k\|^2/2\epsilon\}}{\sum_j \pi_j \exp \{-\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_j\|^2/2\epsilon\}}$$

**0 points**

and discuss the limit case  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , specifically the link to the indicator variables

$$r_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \arg \min_j \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_j\|^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**1 point**

c) Show further that in this limit, maximizing the expected complete-data log likelihood for this model, given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\mu, \Sigma, \pi)] = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) \{\ln \pi_k + \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)\}$$

is equivalent to minimizing the distortion measure

$$J = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K r_{nk} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_k\|^2$$

for the  $K$ -means algorithm.

**1 points**

**Exercise 2.** The data file 'two\_clusters.txt' contains 100 data points drawn from a two-dimensional distribution. Fit a mixture of two circular Gaussian distributions to these data using EM. Do not allow the variance of either of the Gaussians to become smaller than a minimal value of 0.0001.

## Machine Learning II

Exercise Sheet 5

N. Bertschinger

M. Kaschube

Discussed on Wed, May 23, 14:15

**2 points**

**Exercise 3.** *Explore what happens to the fit of the mixture of Gaussians model from exercise 2 as the number of data points from each Gaussian is reduced and the number of potential Gaussians is increased. If you set the minimal variance given in exercise 1 to 0, a Gaussian distribution can settle around a single sample point and then have its variance shrink to 0. Why does this pathological behavior occur?*

**1 points**

**Exercise 4.** *Modify your code from exercise 2 to calculate function  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$  during each E and M step of EM. Check that  $\mathcal{L}$  changes monotonically. Explicitly calculate the true log likelihood  $\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \theta)$  of the data at the end of each M phase. Is it equal to  $\mathcal{L}$ ?*

**1 points**

**Exercise 5.** *Modify the code in exercise 2 to fit a K-means model rather than a mixture of Gaussians. Can you see any practical difference in the solutions that arise?*

**2 points**