Tables & Figures

Figure 7: Orders and prospects purchased by research vs. ma/doctoral




Figure 8: Filters used in order purchases by research vs. ma/doctoral




Figure 9: GPA filter used by research vs. ma/doctoral




Figure 10: SAT filter used by research vs. ma/doctoral




Figure 11: PSAT filter used by research vs. ma/doctoral




Figure 12: State filter used by research universities

State filter used by research universities (out-of-state)




Figure 13: TBD

Figure 14: Number of prospects purchased by university type and location




Figure 15: Racial composition of prospects purchased by research universities




Figure 16: Median household income of prospects purchased by research universities




Figure 17: Locale of prospects purchased by research universities




Figure 18: Racial composition of prospects purchased by ma/doctoral universities




Figure 19: Median household income of prospects purchased by ma/doctoral universities $\,$



Figure 20: Locale of prospects purchased by ma/doctoral universities




Figure 21: Zip code deep dive by Arizona State University in Los Angeles




Figure A1: School type of prospects purchased by research vs. ma/doctoral universities




Table 7: Filter combos used in order purchases by research vs. ma/doctoral

| Research | MA/doctoral | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---------|---|-------|---------|--|
| Filters | | Percent | Filters | Count | Percent | |
| HS grad class, PSAT, State | 47 | 13% | HS grad class, GPA, SAT, Zip code | 206 | 45% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, SAT, PSAT, Rank, State, Race | 39 | 10% | HS grad class, GPA, PSAT, Zip code | 145 | 32% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, PSAT, State, Race | 39 | 10% | HS grad class, SAT, State | 32 | 7% | |
| HS grad class, SAT, State | 35 | 9% | HS grad class, GPA, SAT, PSAT, Zip code | 28 | 6% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, SAT, State | 27 | 7% | HS grad class, SAT, Geomarket | 9 | 2% | |
| HS grad class, PSAT, Geomarket | 21 | 6% | HS grad class, GPA, SAT, State | 7 | 2% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, SAT, State, Race | 19 | 5% | HS grad class, GPA, SAT | 7 | 2% | |
| HS grad class, SAT, Geomarket | 17 | 5% | HS grad class, GPA, SAT, PSAT | 4 | 1% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, AP score, Geomarket | 15 | 4% | HS grad class, SAT | 3 | 1% | |
| HS grad class, GPA, SAT, PSAT, State, Segment, Gender | 13 | 3% | HS grad class, GPA, PSAT, State | 2 | 0% | |

Table 8: Prospect characteristics by filter used

| | All domestic | Academic | | | | Geographic | | | | | Demographic | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|
| | | GPA | PSAT | SAT | HS rank | AP score | Zip code | State | Geomarket | Segment | CBSA | Race | Gender |
| Total count | 3,547,620 | 1,102,666 | 1,812,447 | 971,237 | 146,660 | 75,479 | 165,924 | 1,173,678 | 1,056,951 | 186,519 | 146,313 | 306,209 | 39,546 |
| Location | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % In-state | 38 | 62 | 30 | 54 | 83 | 42 | 98 | 48 | 17 | 15 | 4 | 54 | 6 |
| % Out-of-state | 62 | 38 | 70 | 46 | 17 | 58 | 2 | 52 | 83 | 85 | 96 | 46 | 94 |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % White | 48 | 45 | 50 | 47 | 51 | 17 | 43 | 42 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 27 | 47 |
| % Asian | 16 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 18 | 13 | 27 | 28 | 9 | 38 |
| % Black | 5 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
| % Latinx | 21 | 24 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 46 | 27 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 43 | 6 |
| % NH/PI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % AI/AN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| % Multiracial | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| % Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % No response | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| % Missing | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % Male | 34 | 19 | 37 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 24 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| % Female | 36 | 23 | 40 | 20 | 1 | 15 | 54 | 27 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 33 |
| % Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % Missing | 30 | 58 | 22 | 63 | 99 | 82 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 79 | 67 |
| Household income | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median income | \$107K | \$105K | \$108K | \$105K | \$99K | \$90K | \$97K | \$105K | \$107K | \$130K | \$135K | \$97K | \$127K |
| Locale | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % City | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 28 | 26 |
| % Suburban | 44 | 47 | 44 | 48 | 53 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 46 | 54 | 57 | 46 | 49 |
| % Rural - Fringe | 22 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 23 |
| % Rural - Distant | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| % Rural - Remote | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |