

Toward Poverty as Relative Capability

Matt Pattok

Sen: Poverty as Absolute Capability

- Previous definitions have been relative, with respect to various currencies (commodities, income, resources, etc)
- Sen argues for a definition based on absolute capability
 - Also that relative currency deprivation often causes absolute capability deprivation

My Objective

- Show that instead of relative currency or absolute capability, poverty should be defined by relative capability
1. Formalize a general form of absolute and relative capability definitions
 - a. Show that a relative framework is more robust
 2. Problematize absolute definitions

Formalizing Capability Definitions

- Absolute. For a set of capabilities X , define poverty as a lack of any capability in X .
 - Different definitions will use different X
 - Encapsulates relative currency definitions
- Relative. For a function P which takes a context and gives us X , define poverty **in a particular context** as a lack of any capability in the X given by P for that context.
 - X is not fixed within a definition
 - Someone can be poor in one context but not in another

Robustness

Claim: Any absolute capability definition can be represented with a relative capability definition

If we have definition $Absolute(X)$, we can define $P(context)$ to always be the same X . Then $Relative(P)$ in every context will be identical to $Absolute(X)$.

Then unless we have good reason to believe that poverty is best described by an absolute definition, we should focus on relative definitions.

Conceptual Ethics: Goals of a Definition

1. Normative Force: The definition should make it obvious that there is a duty of justice to address poverty.
 2. Inclusivity: The definition should include everyone to whom that duty exists.
- We want our definition to aid in the task of alleviating poverty.
 - I take Normative Force to be primary.

Problematizing Absolute Definitions

- Take some X that we think includes the capabilities an absolute definition certainly needs for Inclusivity.
- Now consider a society where one of these capabilities is not possible.
 - If we use the same X, our definition lacks Normative Force
 - If we restrict X, our definition lacks Inclusivity



chuckling] And when everyone's poor ...

...no one will be.