Homework 1

Convex Optimization

Matthieu Boyer

27 décembre 2024

1 Exercises

Convex Sets

- Let $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid x_1 x_2 \ge 1\}$. We can see that $C = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{+*} \mid x_1 \ge \frac{1}{x_2}\}$. Thus, C is the epigraph of $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ which is convex since its second derivative is $x \mapsto \frac{2x}{x^4}$. Thus, C is convex.
- In general, this set is not convex. Indeed, for sets in \mathbb{R} , take $S = \{-1, 1\}, T = \{0\}$. Then the set of points closer to S than to T is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \le -0.5 \lor x \ge 0.5\}$ which is not an interval and thus not convex.
- Let us take $x_1, x_2 \in \{x \mid x + S_2 \subseteq S_1\}$. For $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 \lambda) x_2$ consider x + y for $y \in S_2$:

$$x + y = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2 + y = \lambda (x_1 + y) + (1 - \lambda x_2 + y)$$

Since $x_i + S_2 \subseteq S_1$ for i = 1, 2, and since S_1 is convex, for all $y \in S_2$ the above sum is in S_1 and thus $x + S_2 \subseteq S_2$. Finally, our set is convex.

• Let $x_1, x_2 \in \{x \mid \exists y \in S_2, x + y \in S_1\}$. Then let y_1, y_2 be associated points to x_1, x_2 . For $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2$ and $y = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2$. Then, since S_2 is convex, $y \in S_2$. Moreover:

$$x + y = \lambda \underbrace{(x_1 + y_1)}_{\in S_1} + (1 - \lambda) \underbrace{(x_2 + y_2)}_{\in S_1}$$

$$\underbrace{(x_1 + y_1)}_{\in S_1 \text{ since } S_1 \text{ is convex}}$$

Then, our set is convex.

Convex Functions

- The hessian of f at (x, y) is the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. On \mathbb{R}^2 this matrix is not positive nor negative and thus the function is neither convex, or concave. However, the upper level sets for α are convex if and only if $\alpha \geq 0$ (from our first example) and thus the function is neither quasi-concave nor quasi-convex.
- On \mathbb{R}^2_{+*} , the hessian of the function is positive semidefinite and thus the function is convex.
- The hessian matrix of f is the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{x_2^2} \\ -\frac{1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{pmatrix}$ Since its determinant is < 0 the Hessian is not positive semi-definite and the function is not convex. However, its sublevel sets are defined by the equations $x_1 \le \alpha x_2$ and are thus convex (since half-planes). Thus, f is quasi-convex.

Let us define the Löwner order

as the partial order defined by the convex cone of positive semi-definite matrices.

We know that:

$$A \leq B \Rightarrow B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}, \forall A, B \in S_{++}^n$$

From this, we know that for all $t \leq 1$:

$$((1-t)X+tY)^{-1} \leq (1-t)X^{-1}+tY^{-1}$$

By linearity of the trace, we can now see that $X \mapsto \text{Tr}(X^{-1})$ is convex.

Fenchel Conjugate

• We have:

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x} (^{\mathsf{t}} xy - ||x||) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } ||y||_* \le 1\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To show this, let y such that $||y||_* = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} ||^t xy|| \le 1$. Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we know that $^t xy \le ||x|| \, ||y||_* \le ||x||$ and the term in the supremum is always ≤ 0 . If $||y||_* > 1$ however, then there exists z such that $||z|| \le 1$ such that $^t zy > 1$. Taking x = tz in the supremum, we know that $f^*(y) \ge t (^t zy - ||z||)$ which goes to infinity with $t \to \infty$. Thus, the fenchel conjugate of a norm is the convex indicator of the unit ball of the dual norm.

• Let us denote the infimal convolution of g,h by $g\square h$. Then we will show that :

$$g\square h)^* = (g^* + h^*)$$

Note that:

$$(g\Box h)^{*}(\alpha) = \sup_{x,y} \left\{ {}^{\mathsf{t}}x\alpha - g(y) - h(x - y) \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{x_{1},x_{2}} \left\{ {}^{\mathsf{t}}(x_{1} + x_{2})\alpha - g(x_{1}) - h(x_{2}) \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{x_{1},x_{2}} \left\{ {}^{\mathsf{t}}x_{1}\alpha - g(x_{1}) \right\} + \sup_{x_{2}} \left\{ {}^{\mathsf{t}}x_{2}\alpha - h(x_{2}) \right\}$$

$$= g^{*} + h^{*}$$

Given $g = \|\cdot\|_1$ and $h = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|_2^2$. Let x = u + v. Then :

$$f(x) = \inf_{v} \left\{ g(x - v) + h(v) \right\}$$

Substituting the expressions, we get :

$$f(x) = \inf_{v} \left\{ \|x - v\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|v\|_{2}^{2} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \inf_{v_{i}} \left\{ |x_{i} - v_{i}| + \frac{1}{2\alpha} v_{i}^{2} \right\}$$

We will now compute the infima independently. We have two cases:

- 1. $v_i \leq x_i$: Let $\varphi(v_i) = x_i v_i + \frac{1}{2\alpha}v_i^2$. We want to find a minimum for φ , which is found at $v_i = \alpha$. This solution is valid if $\alpha \leq x_i$.
- 2. $v_i > x_i$: Let $\varphi(v_i = \frac{1}{2\alpha} + v_i x_i)$. We want to find a minimum for φ , which is at $v_i = -\alpha$. This solution is valid if $\alpha > x_i$.

Then, we have three possible cases for the optimal v_i :

- 1. If $x_i \geq \alpha$, $v_i = \alpha$
- 2. If $x_i \leq -\alpha$, $v_i = -\alpha$
- 3. If $-\alpha < x_i < \alpha$, $v_i = x_i$.

Plugging this into f:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\alpha} x_i^2 & |x_i| \le \alpha \\ |x_i - \alpha| - \frac{\alpha}{2} & |x_i| > \alpha \end{cases} \right)$$

Now, clearly, $f = g \Box h$ is a convex function (as the infimum of two convex functions (if $\alpha > 0$)). Moreover, f is clearly lower semi-continuous from its expression. Now, we have $f^{**} = f$ from the Fenchel-Moreau theorem (proved below):

Théorème 1.1 The biconjugate of f is the largest lower semi-continuous convex function below than f.

Démonstration. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For all y, the directional derivative :

$$\partial_y f(x) = \lim_{dt \to 0} \frac{f(x + dty) - f(x)}{dt}$$

is a sublinear as a function of y. From the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $\tilde{\partial f} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \mathbb{R}^n$ such that :

$$\langle \tilde{\partial f}, \cdot \rangle \leq \partial_y f(x) \leq f(x+y) - f(x), \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then:

$$f(x) + f^* \left(\tilde{\partial f} \right) = \left\langle \tilde{\partial f}, x \right\rangle$$

which completes our proof that $f^{**} = f$.

• We want to compute:

$$\ell^*(y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \{yz - \ell(z)\} \text{ where } \ell : z \mapsto \log(1 + e^z)$$

We differentiate $\varphi(z)$ the function in the supremum :

$$\varphi'(z) = y - \frac{1}{1 + e^z}$$

Then, we find $z = \log\left(\frac{1-y}{y}\right)$, which only makes sense for $y \in]0,1[$. Finally, we compute :

$$\ell^*(y) = y \log\left(\frac{1-y}{y}\right) + \log(y)$$
$$= y \log(1-y) - y \log(y) + \log(y)$$
$$= y \log(1-y) + (1-y) \log(y)$$

In the end,

$$y \log (1-y) + (1-y) \log (y)$$

Duality

• The Lagrangian of the problem associated with Ax = b is:

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| Ax - b \right\|_2^2 + \alpha \left\| x \right\|_1 - {}^{\mathsf{t}} \lambda \left(Ax - b \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| Ax - b \right\|_2^2 + \alpha \left\| x \right\|_1 - {}^{\mathsf{t}} \lambda Ax + {}^{\mathsf{t}} \lambda b$$

Then, by separating terms involving x:

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|b\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\lambda - b\|_{2}^{2} + {}^{t}\lambda b + \alpha \|x\|_{1} - ({}^{t}\lambda A) x$$

In solving $\max_{\lambda} \min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$, the inner minimization on x only depends on $||x||_1$ and $({}^{\mathsf{t}}\lambda A) \, x$. Minimizing it results in $||{}^{\mathsf{t}}A\lambda||_{\infty} \leq \alpha$. Finally, we get that :

$$\boxed{ \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m} -\frac{1}{2} \left\|\lambda - b\right\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\|b\right\|_2^2 - \inf_{\left\{\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\}} \left(\frac{{}^{\mathsf{t}} A \lambda}{\alpha}\right) \text{ is a dual problem for the LASSO} }$$

• We start with:

$$\min_{w,w_1,\dots,w_m \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m h_i\left(w_i\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\|w\right\|_2^2 \; \middle| \; w_i = w, \forall i \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \right\}$$

Its Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(w, w_{1}, \dots, w_{m}, v_{1}, \dots, v_{m}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}\left(w_{i}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\|w\right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} {}^{\mathsf{t}}v_{i}\left(w - w_{i}\right) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\|w\right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} {}^{\mathsf{t}}v_{i}w + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n} h_{i}\left(w_{i}\right) - {}^{\mathsf{t}}v_{i}w_{i}$$

For the minimization step, we minimize for each of the w_i and for w. Let $g_i(v_i)$ be the minimized value of $\frac{1}{n}h_i(w_i) - {}^{\mathrm{t}}v_iw_i$. For w, we want to minimize $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m {}^{\mathrm{t}}v_iw$, which is quadratic in w. Computing its minimum we find $\frac{-1}{2\lambda} \|\sum_{i=1}^m v_i\|_2^2$. Finally, the dual problem is:

$$\left[\max_{v_1, \dots, v_m} \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(v_i) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \right\|_2^2 \right]$$

For logistic regression, we have:

$$h_i: w \mapsto \log\left(1 + \exp\left(-y_i^{\mathsf{t}} x_i w\right)\right)$$

Then we have:

$$g_{i}\left(v_{i}\right) = \min_{w_{i}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 + \exp\left(-y_{i}^{\mathsf{t}} x_{i} w_{i}\right)\right) - {}^{\mathsf{t}} v_{i} w_{i} \right\}$$

Since h_i is differentiable, we can compute its gradient :

$$\nabla h_i(w_i) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + \exp(y_i^{\mathsf{t}} x_i w_i)}$$

which gives us a minimality condition:

$$\frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + \exp\left(y_i^{\mathsf{t}} x_i w_i\right)} = n v_i$$

which rearranges to:

$$w_{i} = \frac{-y_{i} \log \left(\frac{-y_{i}^{t} v_{i} x_{i} - n \|v_{i}\|_{2}^{2}}{n \|v_{i}\|_{2}^{2}}\right)}{\|x_{i}\|_{2}^{2}} x_{i} = \frac{-y_{i} t}{\|x_{i}\|_{2}^{2}} x_{i}$$

Inputing this into $y_i^{\mathsf{t}} x_i w_i$:

$$y_i^{t} x_i w_i = + \underbrace{y_i^2}_{-1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{t_{x_i x_i}}}_{||x_i||_2^2} t = t$$

Thus:

$$g_i(w_i) = \frac{1}{n} \log \left(-\frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i x_i}{\|v_i\|_2^2} \right) - \frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i w_i}{\|x_i\|_2^2} \log \left(-1 - \frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i x_i}{\|v_i\|_2^2} \right)$$

Finally our dual problem is:

$$\left[\max_{v_1, \dots, v_m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \log \left(-\frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i x_i}{\|v_i\|_2^2} \right) - \frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i w_i}{\|x_i\|_2^2} \log \left(-1 - \frac{y_i^{\mathsf{t}} v_i x_i}{\|v_i\|_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \right\|_2^2 \right]$$

and I will not be trying to find a closer form manually.

• We consider the problem :

$$\min_{X \subset \mathbb{S}^n} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left(A_0 X \right) \mid X \succeq 0, \operatorname{Tr} \left(A_1 X \right) = b_1, \dots, \operatorname{Tr} \left(A_m X \right) = b_m \right\}$$

Its Lagrangian is (for $\lambda_i > 0, S \succeq 0$):

$$\mathcal{L}(X, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, S) = \operatorname{Tr}(A_0 X) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \left(\operatorname{Tr}(A_i X) - b_i \right) + \operatorname{Tr}({}^{\mathsf{t}} S X) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i + S \right) X \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i$$

Minimizing everything in X can be done since everything is differentiable in X:

$$\nabla \mathcal{L} = {}^{\mathsf{t}}A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i {}^{\mathsf{t}}A_i + 2SX = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i + S$$

which is 0 when:

$$S = -\left(A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i A_i\right)$$

Finally we get the dual problem:

$$\left| \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, S} \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i \mid S \succeq 0, S = -A_0 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i \right\} \right|$$

Strong duality holds if, and only if, the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions are satisfied. Since $\operatorname{Tr}(S_*X_*)$ is a rewriting of complementary slackness, clearly strong duality implies $\operatorname{Tr}(S_*X_*) = 0$. If we have $\operatorname{Tr}(S_*X_*) = 0$ for the optimal solutions, in particular, both the primal and dual problems are attained, the gradient computed earlier vanishes and thus the KKT conditions are satisfied. Finally:

Strong Duality holds if and only if
$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(X_{*}S_{*}\right)=0$$

2 Problem

We consider the following problem :

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m \max \left\{ 0, 1 - {}^{\mathsf{t}} w x_i y_i \right\}$$
 (1)

2.1 Dual problem.

Considering the constraints, we have :

$$s_i \ge 1 - y_i^{\mathsf{t}} w x_i \wedge s_i \ge 0$$

and thus, minimizing in s, for w fixed gives :

$$s_i = \max\left\{0, 1 - y_i^{\mathsf{t}} w x_i\right\}$$

which proves the equivalent reformulation :

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n, s \in \mathbb{R}^m} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m s_i$$
s. t. $^t w x_i y_i \ge 1 - s_i$

$$s_i \ge 0$$