

ScanLat:

Grammatical Prosody Scansion for Classical Latin Poetry

Last modified: 14 June 2018

Matthew A. Penza*

Department of Computer Science
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ
mpenza@cs.princeton.edu

Christopher M. Ferri

Department of Computer Science
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ
cferri@princeton.edu

***Abstract*—This paper describes ScanLat, a new tool for automatic prosody scansion of classical Latin poetry. Using a combination of dependency parsing and morphological analysis, ScanLat is able to determine the syllabic quantities of a poetic text and output the same text annotated with long syllables marked. No quantitative metric exists to assess the accuracy of ScanLat, but qualitatively we conclude that it is a success, albeit fallible. We recommend ScanLat as a baseline tool, whose results a precision-conscious reader should verify and fine-tune.**

***Keywords*—poetry, prosody scansion, meter, syllable quantity, dependency parsing, morphological analysis, digital humanities**

INTRODUCTION

An authentic reading of classical Latin poetry depends on proper scansion of its prosody: the determination of the quantities, or lengths, of each syllable. Historically, scansion was and still is done primarily with pen and paper, with the results reliant upon the reader's knowledge of Latin grammar, the rules of prosody scansion, and the peculiarities of individual poets and texts. To make this process less tedious, we have developed ScanLat, a tool that automatically scans Latin poetry, marking the long syllables with macrons as is customary.

Other tools for this task already exist, most notably the Latin prosody module of the Classical Languages Toolkit (CLTK). However, ScanLat leverages grammatical information from the text

to resolve ambiguous syllable quantities, whereas CLTK ignores this information in favor of a strictly probabilistic approach to determining syllable quantities.

In this paper, we will: (1) explain the fundamentals of prosody scansion for classical Latin poetry; (2) detail the methods used in ScanLat to accomplish this task; (3) explain the intended usage of the tool; (4) present and qualitatively evaluate ScanLat's results on several sample texts; (5) propose improvements and extensions for future versions; and (6) detail our conclusions regarding the success of the tool and our recommendations for its use.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Prosody

The meter of classical Latin poetry is based primarily on syllable quantities, quite unlike English and even later Latin poetry, which are based on stress accents.

Each syllable is said to be either one or two *morae* long: intuitively, a short syllable is one *mora*, while a long syllable is two *morae*. A verse of poetry is broken into *feet*, each of which is either three or four *morae*. The word *carō*, for example, is an iamb: three *morae* long, consisting of a short syllable followed by a long one. The word *fātō*, on the other hand, is a spondee: four *morae* long, consisting of two long syllables. These examples are whole words, but feet can span across parts of multiple words: consider this phrase from the first line of the *Aeneid* of Virgil:

prīmus ab ōrīs. It is a dactyl (*prīmus ab*: one long, two shorts) followed by a spondee (*ōrīs*).

In order to properly read a poetic text—classical Latin poetry was historically always recited aloud, never read silently—it is essential to determine the syllable quantities. Fortunately for classicists, there are rules for this; it is not arbitrary. A syllable is long *by nature* if:

- It always has a long vowel: e.g. the *i* in *sīcut* is always long; or
- It has a diphthong, i.e. any of the combinations *ae*, *au*, *ei*, *eu*, or *oe*, or *ui* in the words *huius*, *cuius*, *huic*, *cui*, and *hui* only.

A syllable is long *by position* if:

- It ends in two consonants, or either of the compound consonants *x* and *z*: e.g. the *a* in *canto* and the *u* in *lux* are both long by position; or
- It ends in one or more consonants and is followed by a syllable that begins with a consonant: e.g. the first *u* in *virumque* is long by position (*qu* is counted as a single consonant); or
- It is *brevis in longo*, or the final syllable of a line of verse, which is always long by position.

If a syllable is neither long by nature nor long by position, then by default it is short. There are no other criteria that coerce a syllable to be short.

B. Syllabification

Before syllable quantities can be determined, the syllables themselves must be extracted from the text. Fortunately, again, there are rules for this:

- Consecutive single vowels are separated: e.g. *be-a-tus*;
- Single vowels are separated from diphthongs and vice versa; e.g. *me-ae* or *Car-thae-am*;
- When one or more consonant separates vowels or diphthongs, generally the last consonant belongs to the second syllable,

and the consonants preceding it belong to the first: e.g. *mul-tum*; and

- Exceptions to the above: combinations of plosives (*p*, *b*, *t*, *d*, *c*, and *g*) and liquids (*l* and *r*), as well as *qu* and the Greek-type aspirates *ch*, *ph*, and *th*, each count as a single consonant and should not be separated; e.g. *vi-rum-que*, *pa-tris*, and *ca-the-dra*.

II. SPECIFICATIONS

A. Dependencies

ScanLat depends on the following:

- Python 2.7+ (**not** Python 3)
- Stuttgart Finite State Transducer (SFST) 1.4.7d+
- LatMor
- UDPipe 1.2+
- Universal Dependencies (UD) 2.0

LatMor is a finite-state morphological analysis tool that can analyze and generate Latin wordforms, both macronized and unmacronized. It is the latter function that is of primary concern for ScanLat's purposes. LatMor's finite-state transducers must be read using SFST.

The UD models are trained dependency parsers for over one hundred languages. ScanLat is built to use Latin PROIEL model. It may be compatible with other models, but this has not been tested. UDPipe is a Python wrapper library that allows access to the UD models.

B. Limitations

ScanLat is primarily limited by the accuracy of the UD 2.0 models with UDPipe, and that of LatMor.

In some tests, even with syntactically valid input, LatMor has encountered words that it could not analyze at all. Such cases are generally confined to proper names, borrowed words (such as from Hebrew in medieval and ecclesiastical texts), and rare words. If LatMor cannot analyze a word, ScanLat outputs an unmacronized copy of the word, prefixed with the flag "ERROR_" to alert the reader that the word has not been properly scanned. E.g., *rudentum*, a variant form of the more usual genitive plural *rudentium* of the noun *rudens*, *-entis* (meaning *rope* in English) has

no entry in LatMor and is therefore output as "ERROR_Rudendum".

A final note of caution: be sure that there are no blank lines at the beginning of the input text document, or else ScanLat will crash for reasons yet to be determined.

C. Usage

To use ScanLat, first install Python 2, UDPipe, and SFST. Then clone the ScanLat repository from GitHub, accessible at <https://github.com/mpenza19/ScanLat>. (NB: the requisite files for LatMor and the UD 2.0 models are included therein; no further installations are required.) This repository comes with several sample input .txt files, located in ScanLat/input. Some are actual Latin poetry, while some are arbitrary Latin text. The latter are present mainly for testing and debugging purposes in developer use. Place into this subdirectory as many .txt files as desired, each containing text to be scanned. Use cd to navigate in the Terminal to the ScanLat/src directory.

Now suppose an input text to be scanned is located at ScanLat/input/my.txt. We envision that four use scenarios might arise, handled as follows:

1. If it contains text *without macrons* (other diacritics are acceptable), then run `bash scanlat.sh my.txt` in the Terminal. This will supply first the natural vowel lengths, and then the positional vowel lengths.
2. If it contains text *with macrons* whose reliability as natural vowel quantities is *in doubt*, then run `bash scanlat.sh my.txt` in the Terminal. This will clean the input text of its macrons, resupply the natural vowel lengths, and then supply the positional vowel lengths, as if the original input had no macrons.
3. If it contains text *with macrons* that are known to be *reliably annotated* natural vowel quantities, then run `bash scanlat.sh my.txt natural`. (NB: any second argument will work; the use of `m` in particular is merely a suggestion. E.g., `bash scanlat.sh`

`my.txt yes` functions identically.) This will rely on the macrons already in the text to serve as the natural vowel lengths and will only supply the positional vowel lengths.

4. If it contains text *without macrons*, and the reader only wishes to see the vowels that are long by position, with the remainder marked as short, then run `bash scanlat.sh my.txt pos_only` in the Terminal. Again, the argument `pos_only` is merely a recommendation; any argument will do. NB: this scenario is by far the most unlikely of the four we describe. It would most likely come up in a testing or debugging context in developer use.

The scanned text will be found in ScanLat/output/scanned_my.txt. For use cases (1) and (4) only, text with vowels that are long by nature macronized automatically by ScanLat will be found in ScanLat/output/naturalized_my.txt. A log of status updates and error messages, primarily intended for developer use, will be produced as well at ScanLat/output/log_my.txt.

III. METHODS

A. Text Cleaning

ScanLat's first step is to clean up the input text, removing extraneous punctuation, numerical characters, and extra white space. ScanLat can handle input text that has stress accent marks such as *ú*, diaereses such as *ë*, and the diphthong ligatures *æ*, *œ*, *Æ*, and *Œ*, replacing each with its plaintext equivalent. It also replaces all *j* and *J* characters with *i* and *I*, respectively, for the sake of consistency. All told, it makes for a robust tool.

B. Determining Natural Syllable Quantities

1) Dependency Parsing

In order to determine the vowels in a word that are long by nature, ScanLat uses the UD 2.0 models in UDPipe to create a dependency parse of the text, along with corresponding feature tagging. These features include both basic parts of speech (noun, verb, etc.) and more detailed information specific to each part of speech. E.g. finite verbs' tags include mood and tense, nouns

have gender, case, and number, adjectives and adverbs have degree, etc.

These features help resolve ambiguities between homographs that differ only by vowel length. E.g. the nominative and vocative of the word for *girl* are both *puella*, whereas the ablative of the same word is *puellā*: the only difference is in the length of the final *a*. In this instance, knowing the case is key to macronizing the word properly.

2) Morphological Analysis

After the features of a word are acquired from UDPipe, they are transformed into LatMor-readable form and fed in. If this initial attempt is successful, then LatMor's output becomes the macronized form of the word.

While UDPipe generally produces an accurate, or at least reasonable, set of features for a given word, it does regularly output spurious results. These cannot be ported directly to LatMor either because they are incomplete or nonsensical. E.g., consider the word *scuta*, which is a second-declension neuter plural **noun** in the nominative, accusative, or vocative case, meaning *shield* in English. In one run of ScanLat, UDPipe correctly produced the lemma *scutum* but labelled it a second-person singular present active imperative **verb**. In cases such as these, when LatMor fails to provide a valid result for the invalid input, ScanLat attempts to find a "default" macronization, feeding LatMor the word alone (rather than with syntactic information) to generate a list of possible outputs. Then it narrows this list down to only the plausible choices and chooses from among those arbitrarily. In particular, it chooses the first plausible choice in the list of possible outputs. In this context, a "plausible" choice is a macronized form whose demacronization matches the original form of the word. E.g. for an original form *spiritus*, there are plausible choices *spīritus* and *spīritūs*.

C. Determining Positional Syllable Quantities

With the natural syllable quantities accounted for, ScanLat then finds the syllables that are long by position. To do this, it traverses the text line by line, word by word, syllable by syllable, applying the rules described in I.A. (Background: Prosody) and I.B. (Background: Syllabification).

Once the positional quantities are determined, ScanLat outputs the final scanned text, with line numbers every fifth line for the reader's convenience.

IV. RESULTS

ScanLat works well overall, qualitatively speaking. Due to the lack of a reliably scanned corpus of classical Latin poetry against which to compare, there is unfortunately no quantitative measure of success available. However, we will present ScanLat's output from a few inputs, with run times. While we are generally pleased with the output, the tool's real-time performance is rather slow.

Table 1: ScanLat Performance Times

Text	Real time	User time	Sys. time
<i>Aeneid</i> I.1-101 (plain input)	2m47.864s	2m24.633s	0m18.144s
<i>Aeneid</i> I.1-101 (naturally long vowels marked in input)	0m0.184s	0m0.142s	0m0.034s
Introit (plain input, 52 lines)	1m39.925s	1m23.370s	0m12.863s

The first 22 lines of the first book of Virgil's *Aeneid*, using plain input and UDPipe and LatMor to determine naturally long vowels:

	- - U - U - - - - - - - U U - -
	armā virumque cānō , Trōiae quī primus ab ōris
	- U U- - - U U - - - UU U - -
	Ītaliā fātō profugus Lāvīniaque vēnit
	- UU - U - U - - - - - U U - -
	litora , multum ille et terris iactātus et altō
	- UU - - - UU - - - U U - -
	vī superum , saevae memorem Iūnōnis ob īram ,
5	- U U U - - - - - - - UU - -
	multa quoque et bellō passus , dum conderet urbem
	- - - U U- U U- UU - U U - -
	īnferretque deōs Latīō ; genus unde Latīnum
	- - - U U - - U - - UU - -
	Albānique patrēs atque altae moenia Rōmae .
	- U U - - - UU - - - UU - -
	Mūsa , mihī causās memorā , quō nūmine laesō
	- U U - - - U - - - UU - -
	quidve dolēns rēgina deum tot volvere cāsūs
10	- - - UU - U U - U U - U U - -
	īnsignem pietāte virum , tot adire labōrēs
	- UU - - - UU U - - - UU - -
	impulerit . tantaene animīs caelestibus īrae ?
	U - - - U- U U- UU - U U - -
	Urbs antiquā fuit Tyrii tenuēre colōnī
	- U U- - - UU - U U - -
	ERROR_karthago , Ītaliā contrā Tiberīnaque longē
	- UU - U U - U U- U - - UU - -
	ōstia , dives opum studiisque asperrima bellī ,
	- - - - - U - - UU - -

15	quam Iūnō fertur terris magis omnibus ūnam - U U U U U- U U - - -U - - posthabita coluisse Samō . hīc illius arma , - - - U- - - - UU - U U - - hīc currus fuit ; hōc rēgnum dea gentibus esse , - - - U U - - - - U U - - sī quā Fāta sinant , iam tum tenditque foveatque . - U U- U U - - - - UU U - - prōgeniem sed enim Trōiānō ā sanguine dūcī - UU - U U- - - - U U - - 20 audierat Tyriās ōlim quae verteret arcēs ; - U U - - - - U U - - hinc populum lātē rēgem bellōque superbum - - U - U U- U U- - - U U - - ventūrum excidiō Libyae ; sic volvere Parcās .
----	---

The same excerpt, but using input with the natural vowels already included, and not relying on UDPipe or LatMor to determine naturally long vowels. Note the similarities and differences, especially the lack of "ERROR_"-tagged words:

	- U U- U U- - - - U U - - Arma virumque canō , Trōiae quī primus ab ōris - U U- - - U U- - - UU U - - Italiam fātō profugus Lāvīniaque vēnit - U U - U - U- - - - U U - - lītora , multum ille et terris iactātus et altō - U U - - - U U- - - U U - - vī superum , saevae memorem Iūnōnis ob iram , - U U U - - - - - - U U - - 5 multa quoque et bellō passus , dum conderet urbem - - - U U- U U- UU - U U - - inferretque deōs Latīō ; genus unde Latinum - - - U U - - U - - - UU - - Albānique patrēs atque altae moenia Rōmae . - U U - - - U U - - - U U - - Mūsa , mīhi causās memorā , quō nūmine laesō - U U - - - U - - - U U - - quidve dolēns rēgina deum tot volvere cāsūs - - - UU - U U- U U- U U - - 10 insignem pietāte virum , tot adire labōrēs - U U - - - UU U - - - U U - - impulerit . Tantaene animis caelestibus irae ? U - - - U U- U U- U U- U U - - Urbs antiqua fuit Tyrīi tenuere colōni - - - - U U- - - U U- U U - - Karthāgō , Italiam contrā Tiberīnaque longē - UU - U U - U U- U - - U U - - ōstia , dives opum studiisque asperrima belli , - - - - - U U - U U - - 15 quam Iūnō fertur terris magis omnibus ūnam - U U- U U- U U - - - UU - - posthabitā coluisse Samō . hīc illius arma , - - - U- - - - UU - U U - - hīc currus fuit ; hoc rēgnum dea gentibus esse , - - - U U - - - - U U - - sī quā Fāta sinant , iam tum tenditque foveatque . - U U- U U - - - - UU U - - Prōgeniem sed enim Trōiānō ā sanguine dūcī - UU - U U- - - - U U - - 20 audierat Tyriās ōlim quae verteret arcēs ; - U U - - - - U U - - hinc populum lātē rēgem bellōque superbum - - U - U U- U U- - - U U - -
--	---

	ventūrum excidiō Libyae ; sic volvere Parcās .
	- - U U U - - - U- - - U - - - - in nōmine Patris , et filii , et spiritus sāncti . Āmēn . - U- - U - - U U- introibō ad altāre Dei . - - - - U U- U - - - U- ad Deum quī laetificat iuventūtem meam . - U - - - - - U - - - - U - - U - U U U U - - - U - - - UU - iūdicā mē , Dēus , et discerne causam meam dē gente nōn sāncta : ab homine iniquō et dolōsō ērue mē . UU - - - - U - - - U- - - - U - - - - - - U - - - - U - - - - U U - - 5 quia tū es , Dēus , fortitūdō meā : quārē mē reppulisti , et quārē tristis incēdō , dum affligit mē inimicus ? - - U - - UU - - U - - UU - U - - - - U - - - - - - - UU U - U - - U U U- ēmitte lūcem tuam et vērītatem tuam : ipsa mē dēdūxērunt et addūxērunt in montem sānctum tuum , et in tabernācula tua . U - U- - U - - U U- - - - - U U- U - - - U- et introibō ad altāre Dei : ad Deum quī laetificat iuventūtem meam . - U - - U - - U U U - - - - - U U U U U U- - - - - - - cōnfitebor tibi in cithara , Dēus , Dēus meus : quārē tristis es anima meā , et quārē conturbās mē ? - - - - U UU - - - U - U - - U - - U - - - - - spērā in Dēō , quoniam adhūc cōnfitebor illi : salūtāre vultus mēi , et Dēus meus . - UU U - - - U- - - U U- - - 10 glōria patri , et filiō , et spiritui sānctō . - U U U - - U U- - - - - U U - - U U - U - - - sicut erat in principiō , et nunc , et semper : et in saecula saeculōrum . Āmēn . - U- - U - - U U- introibō ad altāre Dei . - - - - U U- U - - - U- ad Deum quī laetificat iuventūtem meam . U U- - U- - U - - U U U U- adiūtōrium nostrum in nōmine domini . - - - - U - - - 15 quī fēcit caelum et terram . - U U- - - U U- - cōnfiteor Dēō omnipotentī , U- - U U- - - - - beātae Mariae semper Virgīni , U- U - - - - U - beātō Michāēli archangelō , U- - - - - beātō Iōanni baptistae , - - U - U - U - - - 20 sānctis apostolis Petrō et Paulō , - U - - - omnibus sānctis , - - - - et vōbis , frātrēs : UU - - - U - - U - U - - U U U - quia peccāvī nimis cōgītatiōne , verbō , et opere : U - - - U- - - U- - U - - - meā culpā , meā culpā , meā maximā culpā . U U- U - U- - U U- - - - U - 25 ideō precor beātam Mariam semper Virgīnem ,

invokes LatMor, i.e. once for every word in the input document, taking about a second each time. LatMor does have a batch mode that avoids re-reading the transducer for every input. A high priority for a future version of ScanLat would be to exploit that feature.

VI. CONCLUSION

At this time, we unfortunately have no reliable quantitative metric to evaluate ScanLat's results, owing to the lack of corpora of reliably scanned poetic texts against which to compare. We do, however, conclude qualitatively that ScanLat is a success, albeit fallible. We recommend ScanLat as a baseline tool, whose results an attentive reader should verify and fine-tune.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We extend our sincerest thanks to:

Prof. Helmut Schmid of the Center for Information and Language Processing at the Ludwig Maximilian University Munich and **Prof. Uwe Springmann** of Universität Würzburg, for their enthusiastic help in navigating and resolving issues that arose with **LatMor** during development;

Prof. Francis Tyers of the Russian National Research University Higher School of Economics, for recommending **UDPipe** to us;

Prof. Gregory Crane, Editor-in-Chief of the Perseus Project at Tufts University, for recommending **LatMor** to us; and

Prof. Laura Janda of the University of Tromsø—The Arctic University of Norway, currently a Visiting Research Scholar at Princeton University, for putting us in contact with Prof. Tyers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wheelock, Martha, Deborah Wheelock Taylor, and Richard A. LaFleur. *Wheelock's Latin*. Introduction. Syllables and Syllable Quantity. Harper Collins. http://wheelockslatin.com/chapters/introduction/introduction_syllables.html
- [2] Wheelock, Martha, Deborah Wheelock Taylor, and Richard A. LaFleur. *Wheelock's Latin*. Introduction. Diphthongs. Harper Collins. http://wheelockslatin.com/chapters/introduction/introduction_diphthongs.html
- [3] Same as (1).
- [4] SFST 1.4.7d. Schmid, Helmut. Available for download and installation at <http://www.cis.uni-muenchen.de/~schmid/tools/SFST/>.
- [5] LatMor. Springmann, Uwe, Dietmar Najock, and Helmut Schmid. Available for download at <http://www.cis.uni-muenchen.de/~schmid/tools/LatMor/>.
- [6] Straka, Milan, and Jana Straková. UDPipe 1.2.0.1. Install from PyPi with `pip install ufal.udpipe`.
- [7] Straka, Milan and Jana Straková, 2017, Universal Dependencies 2.0 Models for UDPipe (2017-08-01), LINDAT/CLARIN digital library at the Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics (ÚFAL), Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, <http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-2364>.
- [8] Virgil. *The Aeneid*. Ed. Christopher Francese and Meghan Reedy, *Vergil: Aeneid Selections*. Carlisle, Pennsylvania: Dickinson College Commentaries, 2016. ISBN: 978-1-947822-08-5. <http://dcc.dickinson.edu/vergil-aeneid/vergil-aeneid-i-1-11>.
- [9] "Prosody (Latin)". *Wikipedia* (English). Screenshot. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prosody_\(Latin\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prosody_(Latin)).