System calls for using TCP

Client	Server
	socket – make socket
	bind – assign address
	listen – listen for clients
socket – make socket	
bind – assign address (optional)	
connect – connect to listening socket	
	accept – accept connection
write - send data	read – receive data
read – receive data	write - send data

- Anything red might block, waiting for network
 - Obviously bad for applications that need concurrency

Non-blocking I/O

- Use fcntl to set O_NONBLOCK flag on descriptor
- Non-blocking semantics of system calls:
 - read immediately returns -1 with errno EAGAIN if no data
 - write may not write all data, or may return EAGAIN
 - connect may "fail" with EINPROGRESS (or may succeed, or may fail with real error like ECONNREFUSED)
 - accept may fail with EAGAIN if no pending connections

How to know when to read/write?

```
struct pollfd {
 int fd; /* file descriptor */
 short events; /* Events you are interested in */
 short revents; /* Events that have happened (results) */
};
int poll(struct pollfd *fds, nfds_t nfds, int timeout);
/* Some possible events: */
                  0x0001 /* Can read fd without blocking */
#define POLLIN
#define POLLOUT 0x0004 /* Can write fd without blocking */
                 0x0008 /* Error on fd (only in revents) */
#define POLLERR
#define POLLHUP 0x0010 /* 'Hangup' has occurred on fd */
```

Note: BSD used select to achieve same thing

- Most OSes support both select and poll today

epoll

- Newer Linux provides epoll
- Interface allows more efficient implementation
 - Register interest with epoll_ctl syscall
 - Wait with epoll_wait syscall
 - Kernel doesn't have to re-scan pollfd array on each wait
- New option bits reduce calls to epoll_ctl
 - EPOLLONESHOT only wait for event once
 - EPOLLET "edge triggered" (as opposed to level triggered)
- epoll is Linux specific
 - But BSD has kqueue/kevent which is similar idea

epoll interface

```
typedef union epoll_data {
    int fd;
   /* ... */
} epoll_data_t;
struct epoll_event {
   __uint32_t events; /* Epoll events */
   epoll_data_t data; /* User data variable */
};
int epoll_create(int size);
int epoll_ctl(int epfd, int op, int fd,
              struct epoll_event *event);
int epoll_wait(int epfd, struct epoll_event *events,
               int maxevents, int timeout);
```

Asynchronous programming model

Many non-blocking file descriptors in one process

- Wait for pending I/O events on file many descriptors
- Each event triggers some *callback* function

• E.g., build "callback harness":

```
/* Register callback for when fd is readable or writable */
void cb_add (int fd, int write, void (*fn)(void *), void *arg);
/* Unregister callback */
void cb_free (int fd, int write);
/* Loop forever checking callbacks */
void cb_check (void);
```

Simplified example

```
struct state {
  int fd;
 /* ... */
};
void doit (void) {
  struct state *st = malloc (sizeof (*st));
  st->fd = create_new_tcp_socket ();
  connect (st->fd, &someplace, sizeof (someplace));
  cb_add (st->fd, 1, doit_2, st);
static void doit_2 (void *_st) {
  struct state *st = _st;
  write (st->fd, "request\n", 8);
  cb_free (st->fd, 1);
  cb_add (st->fd, 0, doit_3, st);
}
static void doit_3 (void *_st) {
  struct state *st = _st;
  /* read more from st->fd until you get full response */
```

Syntactic sugar

- Problem: Need state from one callback to next
- E.g., C++ can implement wrap that bundles a function with its arguments

```
callback<void, int>::ref errwrite = wrap (write, 2);
(*errwrite) ("hello", 5); // calls write (2, "hello", 5);
```

- Possible to build large event-driven apps this way
 - E.g., I have built large library to do this
 - Debugging features include recording where callbacks created to facilitate tracing
- Google reportedly does similar things

Intro to Threads

- Threads: most popular abstraction for concurrency
 - Lighter-weight abstraction than processes
 - All threads in one process have same memory, file desc., etc.
 - Allows one process to use multiple CPUs
- Example: threaded web server:
 - Service many clients simultaneously

```
for (;;) {
  fd = accept_client ();
  thread_create (service_client, &fd);
}
```

How to share CPU amongst threads

• Each thread has execution state:

- Stack, program counter, registers, condition codes, etc.

• Switch the CPU amongst the threads

- Save away execution state of one, load up that of next

When to switch?

- Current thread can no longer use the CPU (waiting for I/O)
- Current thread has had CPU for too long (preemption)
- Scheduler maintains lists of runnable/running/waiting threads

Thread package API

- tid create (void (*fn) (void *), void *arg);- Create a new thread, run fn with arg
- void exit ();
 - Destroy current thread
- void join (tid thread);
 - Wait for thread thread to exit

Synchronization primitives

- void lock (mutex_t m);
 void unlock (mutex_t m);
 Only one thread acuires m at a time, others wait
 All global data must be protected by a mutex!
 void wait (mutex_t m, cond_t c);
 Atomically unlock m and sleep until c signaled
 void signal (cond_t c);
 - Wake one/all users waiting on c

void broadcast (cond_t c);

Example: Taking job from work queue

```
job *job_queue;
mutex_t job_mutex;
cond_t job_cond;
void workthread (void *) {
  job *j;
  for (;;) {
    lock (job_mutex);
    while (!(j = job_queue))
      wait (job_mutex, job_cond);
    job_queue = j->next;
    unlock (job_mutex);
    do (j);
```

Example: Adding job to work queue

```
void addjob (job *j) {
  lock (job_mutex);
  j->next = job_queue;
  job_queue = j;
  signal (job_cond);
  unlock (job_mutex);
}
```

- Atomic release/wait necessary in workthread, otherwise:
 - workthread checks queue, releases lock
 - addjob adds job to queue, signals job_mutex
 - workthread waits for signal that was already delivered

Other thread package features

- Alerts cause exception in a thread
- Trylock don't block if can't acquire mutex
- Timedwait timeout on condition variable
- Shared locks concurrent read accesses to data
- Thread priorities control scheduling policy
- Thread-specific global data

Implementing shared locks

```
struct sharedlk {
  int i; mutex_t m; cond_t c;
};
void AcquireExclusive (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  while (sl->i) { wait (sl->m, sl->c); }
  sl->i = -1;
  unlock (sl->m);
}
void AcquireShared (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  while (sl->i < 0) { wait (sl->m, sl->c); }
  sl->i++;
  unlock (sl->m);
```

shared locks (continued)

```
void ReleaseShared (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  if (!--sl->i) signal (sl->c);
  unlock (sl->m);
void ReleaseExclusive (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  sl \rightarrow i = 0;
  broadcast (sl->c);
  unlock (sl->m);
}
```

Must deal with starvation

Deadlock

• Mutex ordering:

- A locks m1, B locks m2, A locks m2, B locks m1
- How to avoid?

• Similar deadlock with condition variables

- Suppose resource 1 managed by c_1 , resource 2 by c_2
- A has 1, waits on *c*2, B has 2, waits on *c*1

Mutex/condition variable deadlock:

- lock (a); lock (b); while (!ready) wait (b, c);
 unlock (b); unlock (a);
- lock (a); lock (b); ready = true; signal (c);
 unlock (b); unlock (a);

Moral: Bad to hold locks when crossing abstraction barriers!

Data races

- Example: modify global ++x without mutex
 - Might compile to: load, add 1, store
 - Bad interleaving changes result: load, load, ...
- Even single instructions can have races
 - E.g., addl \$1,_x
 - Not atomic on MP without lock prefix!
- Even reads dangerous on some architectures
- But sometimes cheating buys efficiency

```
if (!initialized) {
  lock (m);
  if (!initialized) { initialize (); initialized = 1; }
  unlock (m);
}
```

Implementing user-level threads

- Allocate a new stack for reach thread create
- Keep a queue of runnable threads
- Replace networking system calls (read/write/etc.)
 - If operation would block, switch and run different thread
- Schedule periodic timer signal (setitimer)
 - Switch to another thread on timer signals (preemption)

Example

• Per-thread state in thread control block structure

• Machine-dependent thread-switch function:

```
- void thread_md_switch (tcb *current, tcb *next);
```

• Machine-dependent thread initialization function:

i386 thread_md_switch

```
pushl %ebp; movl %esp,%ebp
                                   # Save frame pointer
pushl %ebx; pushl %esi; pushl %edi # Save callee-saved regs
movl 8(%ebp),%edx
                       # %edx = thread_current
movl 12(%ebp),%eax
                       # %eax = thread_next
movl %esp,(%edx)
                       # %edx->md_esp = %esp
movl (%eax),%esp
                       # %esp = %eax->md_esp
popl %edi; popl %esi; popl %ebx
                                   # Restore callee saved regs
popl %ebp
                                   # Restore frame pointer
ret
                                   # Resume execution
```

i386 thread_md_init

```
void thread_md_init (tcb *t, void (*fn) (void *), void *arg) {
 u_long *sp = (u_long *) (t->t_stack + thread_stack_size);
 /* Set up a callframe to thread_begin */
 *--sp = (u_long) arg; *--sp = (u_long) fn;
 /* Now set up saved registers for switch.S */
 *--sp = (u_long) thread_begin; /* return address */
 t->t_md.md_esp = (mdreg_t) sp;
```

• Swich will call thread_begin (fn, arg);

Implementing kernel level threads

- Start with process abstraction in kernel
- Strip out unnecessary features
 - Same address space
 - Same file table
 - (Plan9's rfork actually allows individual control)
- Faster than a process, but still very heavy weight