

are not disjoint. In addition, the natural extension of collective operations to inter-communicators makes the most sense when the groups are disjoint. (*End of advice to users.*)

Here is a summary of the properties of inter-communication and inter-communicators:

- The syntax of point-to-point and collective communication is the same for both inter- and intra-communication. The same communicator can be used both for send and for receive operations.
- A target process is addressed by its rank in the remote group, both for sends and for receives.
- Communications using an inter-communicator are guaranteed not to conflict with any communications that use a different communicator.
- A communicator will provide either intra- or inter-communication, never both.

The routine `MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER` may be used to determine if a communicator is an inter- or intra-communicator. Inter-communicators can be used as arguments to some of the other communicator access routines. Inter-communicators cannot be used as input to some of the constructor routines **for intra-communicators (for instance, `MPI_CART_CREATE`).**

Advice to implementors. For the purpose of point-to-point communication, communicators can be represented in each process by a tuple consisting of:

group
send_context
receive_context
source

For inter-communicators, **group** describes the remote group, and **source** is the rank of the process in the local group. For intra-communicators, **group** is the communicator group (remote=local), **source** is the rank of the process in this group, and **send context** and **receive context** are identical. A group can be represented by a rank-to-absolute-address translation table.

The inter-communicator cannot be discussed sensibly without considering processes in both the local and remote groups. Imagine a process **P** in group \mathcal{P} , which has an inter-communicator $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and a process **Q** in group \mathcal{Q} , which has an inter-communicator $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then

- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}.\mathbf{group}$ describes the group \mathcal{Q} and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\mathbf{group}$ describes the group \mathcal{P} .
- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}.\mathbf{send_context} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\mathbf{receive_context}$ and the context is unique in \mathcal{Q} ;
 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}.\mathbf{receive_context} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\mathbf{send_context}$ and this context is unique in \mathcal{P} .
- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}.\mathbf{source}$ is rank of **P** in \mathcal{P} and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\mathbf{source}$ is rank of **Q** in \mathcal{Q} .

Assume that **P** sends a message to **Q** using the inter-communicator. Then **P** uses the **group** table to find the absolute address of **Q**; **source** and **send_context** are appended to the message.