representation conversion may occur when values of type MPI_CHARACTER or MPI_CHAR are transferred, for example, from an EBCDIC encoding to an ASCII encoding.)

No conversion need occur when an MPI program executes in a homogeneous system, where all processes run in the same environment.

Consider the three examples, 3.2-3.4. The first program is correct, assuming that a and b are REAL arrays of size ≥ 10 . If the sender and receiver execute in different environments, then the ten real values that are fetched from the send buffer will be converted to the representation for reals on the receiver site before they are stored in the receive buffer. While the number of real elements fetched from the send buffer equal the number of real elements stored in the receive buffer, the number of bytes stored need not equal the number of bytes loaded. For example, the sender may use a four byte representation and the receiver an eight byte representation for reals.

The second program is erroneous, and its behavior is undefined.

The third program is correct. The exact same sequence of forty bytes that were loaded from the send buffer will be stored in the receive buffer, even if sender and receiver run in a different environment. The message sent has exactly the same length (in bytes) and the same binary representation as the message received. If a and b are of different types, or if they are of the same type but different data representations are used, then the bits stored in the receive buffer may encode values that are different from the values they encoded in the send buffer.

Data representation conversion also applies to the envelope of a message: source, destination and tag are all integers that may need to be converted.

Advice to implementors. The current definition does not require messages to carry data type information. Both sender and receiver provide complete data type information. In a heterogeneous environment, one can either use a machine independent encoding such as XDR, or have the receiver convert from the sender representation to its own, or even have the sender do the conversion.

Additional type information might be added to messages in order to allow the system to detect mismatches between datatype at sender and receiver. This might be particularly useful in a slower but safer debug mode. (*End of advice to implementors*.)

MPI requires support for inter-language communication, i.e., if messages are sent by a C or C++ process and received by a Fortran process, or vice-versa. The behavior is defined in Section 16.3 on page 514.

3.4 Communication Modes

The send call described in Section 3.2.1 is **blocking**: it does not return until the message data and envelope have been safely stored away so that the sender is free to [access and overwrite] modify the send buffer. The message might be copied directly into the matching receive buffer, or it might be copied into a temporary system buffer.

Message buffering decouples the send and receive operations. A blocking send can complete as soon as the message was buffered, even if no matching receive has been executed by the receiver. On the other hand, message buffering can be expensive, as it entails additional memory-to-memory copying, and it requires the allocation of memory for buffering. MPI offers the choice of several communication modes that allow one to control the choice of the communication protocol.

ticket45.40

18 19

20

21

22

23

28

29

30

31

34

35

37

39 40

³⁶ ticket45.

³⁸ ticket45.

₂₅ ticket150.

 ${
m ticket 150}.$

³ ticket150.

```
ticket150.
```

```
{void MPI::Comm::Ssend(const void* buf, int count, const
             MPI::Datatype& datatype, int dest, int tag) const (binding
              deprecated, see Section 15.2) }
```

Send in synchronous mode.

MPI_RSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)

IN	but	initial address of send buffer (choice)
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)
IN	tag	message tag (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Rsend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
             int tag, MPI_Comm comm)
```

```
MPI_RSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)
    <type> BUF(*)
    INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR
```

```
{void MPI::Comm::Rsend(const void* buf, int count, const
```

MPI::Datatype& datatype, int dest, int tag) const (binding deprecated, see Section 15.2) }

Send in ready mode.

There is only one receive operation, but it matches any of the send modes. The receive operation described in the last section is **blocking**: it returns only after the receive buffer contains the newly received message. A receive can complete before the matching send has completed (of course, it can complete only after the matching send has started).

In a multi-threaded implementation of MPI, the system may de-schedule a thread that is blocked on a send or receive operation, and schedule another thread for execution in the same address space. In such a case it is the user's responsibility not to [access or] modify a communication buffer until the communication completes. Otherwise, the outcome of the computation is undefined.

Rationale. We prohibit read accesses to a send buffer while it is being used, even though the send operation is not supposed to alter the content of this buffer. This may seem more stringent than necessary, but the additional restriction causes little loss of functionality and allows better performance on some systems — consider the case where data transfer is done by a DMA engine that is not cache-coherent with the main processor. (End of rationale.)

43

45

46

47

46 ticket 150.

 $_{48}$ ticket 150.

ticket150.

ticket150.

²⁹ ticket45.

ticket150.

ticket150.

Creates a persistent communication request for a receive operation. The argument buf is marked as OUT because the user gives permission to write on the receive buffer by passing the argument to MPI_RECV_INIT.

A persistent communication request is inactive after it was created — no active communication is attached to the request.

A communication (send or receive) that uses a persistent request is initiated by the function MPI_START.

The argument, request, is a handle returned by one of the previous five calls. The associated request should be inactive. The request becomes active once the call is made.

If the request is for a send with ready mode, then a matching receive should be posted before the call is made. The communication buffer should not be [accessed]modified after the call, and until the operation completes.

The call is local, with similar semantics to the nonblocking communication operations described in Section 3.7. That is, a call to MPI_START with a request created by MPI_SEND_INIT starts a communication in the same manner as a call to MPI_ISEND; a call to MPI_START with a request created by MPI_BSEND_INIT starts a communication in the same manner as a call to MPI_IBSEND; and so on.

and gather have a single originating or receiving process. Such a process is called the *root*. Some arguments in the collective functions are specified as "significant only at root," and are ignored for all participants except the root. The reader is referred to Chapter 4 for information concerning communication buffers, general datatypes and type matching rules, and to Chapter 6 for information on how to define groups and create communicators.

The type-matching conditions for the collective operations are more strict than the corresponding conditions between sender and receiver in point-to-point. Namely, for collective operations, the amount of data sent must exactly match the amount of data specified by the receiver. Different type maps (the layout in memory, see Section 4.1) between sender and receiver are still allowed.

Collective routine calls can (but are not required to) return as soon as their participation in the collective communication is complete. The completion of a call indicates that the caller is now free to [access]modify locations in the communication buffer. It does not indicate that other processes in the group have completed or even started the operation (unless otherwise implied by [in]the description of the operation). Thus, a collective communication call may, or may not, have the effect of synchronizing all calling processes. This statement excludes, of course, the barrier function.

Collective communication calls may use the same communicators as point-to-point communication; MPI guarantees that messages generated on behalf of collective communication calls will not be confused with messages generated by point-to-point communication. A more detailed discussion of correct use of collective routines is found in Section 5.12.

Rationale. The equal-data restriction (on type matching) was made so as to avoid the complexity of providing a facility analogous to the status argument of MPI_RECV for discovering the amount of data sent. Some of the collective routines would require an array of status values.

The statements about synchronization are made so as to allow a variety of implementations of the collective functions.

The collective operations do not accept a message tag argument. If future revisions of MPI define [non-blocking]nonblocking collective functions, then tags (or a similar mechanism) might need to be added so as to allow the dis-ambiguation of multiple, pending, collective operations. (*End of rationale*.)

Advice to users. It is dangerous to rely on synchronization side-effects of the collective operations for program correctness. For example, even though a particular implementation may provide a broadcast routine with a side-effect of synchronization, the standard does not require this, and a program that relies on this will not be portable.

On the other hand, a correct, portable program must allow for the fact that a collective call may be synchronizing. Though one cannot rely on any synchronization side-effect, one must program so as to allow it. These issues are discussed further in Section 5.12. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. While vendors may write optimized collective routines matched to their architectures, a complete library of the collective communication routines can be written entirely using the MPI point-to-point communication functions and a few auxiliary functions. If implementing on top of point-to-point, a hidden,

 13 ticket 45.

¹⁵ ticket 120.

31 ticket44.

```
MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP(WIN, GROUP, IERROR)

INTEGER WIN, GROUP, IERROR

{MPI::Group MPI::Win::Get_group() const (binding deprecated, see Section 15.2) }

4 ticket150.
```

MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP returns a duplicate of the group of the communicator used to create the window. associated with win. The group is returned in group.

11.3 Communication Calls

MPI supports three RMA communication calls: MPI_PUT transfers data from the caller memory (origin) to the target memory; MPI_GET transfers data from the target memory to the caller memory; and MPI_ACCUMULATE updates locations in the target memory, e.g. by adding to these locations values sent from the caller memory. These operations are *nonblocking*: the call initiates the transfer, but the transfer may continue after the call returns. The transfer is completed, both at the origin and at the target, when a subsequent *synchronization* call is issued by the caller on the involved window object. These synchronization calls are described in Section 11.4, page 364.

The local communication buffer of an RMA call should not be updated, and the local communication buffer of a get call should not be accessed after the RMA call, until the subsequent synchronization call completes.

Rationale. The rule above is more lenient than for message-passing, where we do not allow two concurrent sends, with overlapping send buffers. Here, we allow two concurrent puts with overlapping send buffers. The reasons for this relaxation are

- 1. Users do not like that restriction, which is not very natural (it prohibits concurrent reads).
- 2. Weakening the rule does not prevent efficient implementation, as far as we know.
- 3. Weakening the rule is important for performance of RMA: we want to associate one synchronization call with as many RMA operations is possible. If puts from overlapping buffers cannot be concurrent, then we need to needlessly add synchronization points in the code.

```
(End of rationale.)
```

It is erroneous to have concurrent conflicting accesses to the same memory location in a window; if a location is updated by a put or accumulate operation, then this location cannot be accessed by a load or another RMA operation until the updating operation has completed at the target. There is one exception to this rule; namely, the same location can be updated by several concurrent accumulate calls, the outcome being as if these updates occurred in some order. In addition, a window cannot concurrently be updated by a put or accumulate operation and by a local store operation. This, even if these two updates access different locations in the window. The last restriction enables more efficient implementations of RMA operations on many systems. These restrictions are described in more detail in Section 11.7, page 380.

The calls use general datatype arguments to specify communication buffers at the origin and at the target. Thus, a transfer operation may also gather data at the source and scatter ticket45.

Annex B

Change-Log

This annex summarizes changes from the previous version of the MPI standard to the version presented by this document. [Only changes (i.e., clarifications and new features) are presented that may cause implementation effort in the MPI libraries.] Only significant changes (i.e., clarifications and new features) that might either require implementation effort in the MPI libraries or change the understanding of MPI from a user's perspective are presented. Editorial modifications, formatting, typo corrections and minor clarifications are not shown.

B.1 Changes from Version 2.1 to Version 2.2

- Section 2.5.4 on page 14.
 It is now guaranteed that predefined named constant handles (as other constants) can be used in initialization expressions or assignments, i.e., also before the call to MPI_INIT.
- 2. Section 2.6 on page 16, Section 2.6.4 on page 19, and Section 16.1 on page 485. The C++ language bindings have been deprecated and may be removed in a future version of the MPI specification.
- 3. Section 3.2.2 on page 29.

 MPI_CHAR for printable characters is now defined for C type char (instead of signed char). This change should not have any impact on applications nor on MPI libraries (except some comment lines), because printable characters could and can be stored in any of the C types char, signed char, and unsigned char, and MPI_CHAR is not allowed for predefined reduction operations.
- 4. Section 3.2.2 on page 29.
 MPI_(U)INT{8,16,32,64}_T, MPI_AINT, MPI_OFFSET, MPI_C_BOOL,
 MPI_C_COMPLEX, MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and
 MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX are now valid predefined MPI datatypes.
- 5. Section 3.4 on page 40, Section 3.7.2 on page 52, Section 3.9 on page 72, and Section 5.1 on page 135.
 The read access restriction on the send buffer for blocking, non blocking and collective API has been lifted. It is permitted to access for read the send buffer while the operation is in progress.

¹⁵ ticket99. ¹⁶ ticket99.

12 13

17

18

22

23

29

30

32

35

36

39

40

41

44

45 46

²⁰ ticket100.

 $_{24}$ ticket65.

ticket150.

 31 ticket 63.

38 ticket18.

ticket 45 + 98.

⁴⁸ ticket50.