ULFM Process Fault Tolerance reading

Aurelien Bouteiller

KEEP CALM AND CARRY ON FT WG

MPI Forum, June 2016 Bellevue, WA





Info, resources, participate

- Issue Ticket (w/ links to PRs)
 - <u>https://github.com/mpi-forum/mpi-issues/issues/20</u>
- Implementation available
 - Version 1.1 based on Open MPI 1.6 released early November 2015 <u>https://bitbucket.org/icldistcomp/ulfm</u>
 - Full communicator-based (point-to-point and all flavors of collectives) support
 - Network support IB, uGNI, TCP, SM
 - Runs with ALPS, PBS, etc...
 - RMA, I/O in progress

http://fault-tolerance.org/





Use cases: Fenix+S3D

- Fenix is a framework to provide scoped user level checkpoint/restart
 - Provides some of the same services provided by the "MPI_Reinit" idea floated around by T. Gamblin and I. Laguna
 - Recover failed processes with revoke-shrink-spawn-reoder sequence
 - Revovered and surviving processes jump back to the start (longjump in Fenix_init)
 - Fenix has helpers to perform user directed "in-memory" or "buddy" checkpointing (and reload)
 - Injection of FT layer: PMPI based
- Fenix_Checkpoint_Allocate mark a memory segment (baseptr,size) as part of the checkpoint.
- Fenix_Init Initialize Fenix, and restart point after a recovery, status contains info about the restart mode
- Fenix_Comm_Add can be used to notify Fenix about the creation of user communicators
- Fenix_Checkpoint performs a checkpoint of marked segments

```
allocate(yspc(nx, ny, nz, nslvs))
   allocate(other_arrays)
   call MPI Init()
   [...] ! Initialize non-conflicting modules
   call Fenix_Checkpoint_Allocate(C_LOC(yspc),
        sizeof(yspc), ckpt_yspc)
   call Fenix Init (Fenix Neighbors, PEER NODE SIZE,
        Fenix resume to init, status, C LOC(world))
   if(status.eq.Fenix_st_survivor) then
         [...] ! Finalize conflicting modules
   endif
12
   [...] ! Initialize conflicting modules
   if(status.eq.Fenix_st_new)
         call initialize yspc()
15
   endif
16
   do ! Main loop
                  ! Iterate and update yspc array
         [...]
         if(mod(step-1,CHECKPOINT_PERIOD).eq.0) then
                call Fenix_Checkpoint(ckpt_yspc);
         endif
   enddo
   call Fenix Finalize()
   call MPI Finalize()
```

GAMELL, Marc, KATZ, Daniel S., KOLLA, Hemanth, et al. Exploring automatic, online failure recovery for scientific applications at extreme scales. In : Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. IEEE Press, 2014. p. 895-906.

Use cases: Fenix+S3D

- S3D is a production, highly parallel method-of-lines solver for PDEs
 - used to perform first-principles-based direct numerical simulations of turbulent combustion
- S3D rendered fault tolerant using Fenix/ULFM
- 35 lines of code modified in S3D in total!
- Order of magnitude performance improvement in failure scenarios
 - thanks to online recovery and in-memory checkpoint advantage over I/O based checkpointing
- Injection of FT layer: addition of a couple of Fenix calls





S3D Code snippet to declare to Fenix the communicators to recover



Fig. 3. Checkpoint time for different core counts (8.6 MB/core). The numbers above each test show the aggregated bandwidth (the total checkpoint size over the average checkpoint time).

Use cases: Resilient X10

• X10 is a PGAS programming language

Legacy resilient X10 TCP based

Happens Before Invariance Principle (HBI):

Failure of a place should not alter the happens before relationship between statements at the remaining places.

try{ /*Task A*/	Place r	Place n	Place d
at (p) { /*Task B*/	T luce T	finish	r lace q
<pre>finish { at (q) async { /*Task C*/ } }</pre>	· A	{@q async C;}	
}			
<pre>} catch(dpe:DeadPlaceException){ /*recovery steps*/}</pre>			
D;			

By applying the HBI principle, Resilient X10 will ensure that statement D executes after Task C finishes, despite the loss of the synchronization construct (finish) at place p

- MPI operations in resilient X10 runtime
 - Progress loop does MPI_Iprobe, post needed recv according to probes
 - Asynchronous background collective operations (on multiple different comms to form 2d grids, etc).
- Recovery
 - Upon failure, all communicators recreated (from shrinking a large communicator with spares, or using MPI_COMM_SPAWN to get new ones)
 - Ranks reassigned identically to rebuild the same X10 "teams"
- Injection of FT layer
 - Unnecessary, x10 has a runtime that hides all MPI from the application and handles failures internally



The performance improvement due to using ULFM v1.0 for running the LULESH proxy application [3] (a shock hydrodynamics stencil based simulation) running on 64 processes on 16 nodes with

Source: Sara Hamouda, Benjamin Herta, Josh Milthorpe, David Grove, Olivier Tardieu. *Resilient X10 over Fault Tolerant MPI*. In : poster session SC'15, Austin, TX, 2015.

Use cases: CoArrays "failed images" WIP to support Fortran TS 18508

if (num_images(failed=.true.) > 0) then
form subteam(1, recover)
sync all (stat=st) ! Will return stat_failed_image
change team (recover)
. ! Execute as a subteam

: ! Execute as a subteam end team end if

Additional Coarray Features in Fortran, John Reid (JKR Associates), 7th international conference on PGAS programmning models, 2013

- Implementation effort in progress using ULFM
 - Failure detection/propagation is communicator-based (service communicator)
 - RMA based communications (win_revoke interrupted)
 - Team repair based on comm_shrink team windows recreated from service communicator

Use cases: Monte-Carlo PDE solver

- ALSVID-UQ algorithm solving the 2dimensional stochastic Euler equations of gas dynamics.
 - Multi-level Monte-carlo expressed as a telescopic sum

$$E[X_{h_L}] = E_{M_0}[X_{h_0}] + \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} E_{M_\ell}[X_{h_\ell} - X_{h_{\ell-1}}].$$

- Communication pattern:
 - P2p Halo exchange between decomposed domains
 - Collective allreduce inside levels (between domains)
 - Collective aggregation between levels
- FT pattern:
 - Fine levels domain decomposed, with halo exchange between domains and in-memory checkpoints on neighbors processes, work redistributed after failure
 - · Coarse domains replicated (failure ignored)
 - Failure of all processes holding a domain looses the results for that domain
 - Massive failure will degrade the solution



Figure 2. The idea of MLMC is illustrated on the left and compared to the MC method on the right.

 $E_M[X_{h_r}]$



Figure 4. Parallel distribution of work in FT-MLMC with improved failure resilience.



Figure 5. Results of the FT-MLMC implementation for three different failure scenarios.

Stefan Pauli, Manuel Kohler, Peter Arbenz: A fault tolerant implementation of Multi-Level Monte Carlo methods. PARCO 2013: 471-480

Use cases: Hadoop over MPI

Non-HPC workflow usually do not consider MPI because it lacks FT

Judicael A. Zounmevo, Dries Kimpe, Robert Ross, and Ahmad Afsahi. 2013. Using MPI in high-performance computing services. In *Proceedings of the 20th European MPI Users' Group Meeting* (EuroMPI '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 43-48.SE), 2013 IEEE 16th International Conference on. IEEE, 2013. p. 58-65.

 ULFM permits high performance exchange in non-HPC runtimes (like Hadoop)
 ^{1.4}





Figure 8: Normalized job completion time of failed and recovery run.

Figure 2: The architecture of FT-MRMPI.

Yanfei Guo, Wesley Bland, Pavan Balaji, and Xiaobo Zhou. 2015. Fault tolerant MapReduce-MPI for HPC clusters. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis* (SC '15). ACM, New York, NY, USA, , Article 34, 12 pages.



- Some applications can continue w/o recovery
- Some applications are maleable
 - Shrink creates a new, smaller communicator on which collectives work
- Some applications are not maleable
 - Spawn can recreate a "same size" communicator
 - It is easy to reorder the ranks according to the original ordering
 - Pre-made code snippets available

Minimal Feature Set for a Resilient MPI

- 1. Failure Notification
- 2. Error Propagation
- 3. Error Recovery

Not all recovery strategies require all of these features, that's why the interface splits notification, propagation and recovery*.



ULFM is not a recovery strategy, but a minimalistic set of building blocks for more complex recovery strategies.

*: some machines are stable, supporting post-failure semantic is optional

Notification integrating with existing error handling features

- Use existing error handlers
 - MPI_COMM_SET_ERRHANDLER
 - · conveniently capture and manage the new survivable error codes
- New error codes to deal with failures
 - MPI_ERROR_PROC_FAILED: report that the operation discovered a newly dead process. Returned from all blocking function, and all completion functions.
 - MPI_ERROR_PROC_FAILED_PENDING: report that a non-blocking MPI_ANY_SOURCE potential sender has been discovered dead.
 - MPI_ERROR_REVOKED: a communicator has been declared improper for further communications. All future communications on this communicator will raise the same error code, with the exception of a handful of recovery functions
- Operations that can't complete return ERR_PROC_FAILED
 - State of MPI objects unchanged (communicators, etc)
 - Repeating the same operation has the same outcome
- Operations that can be completed return
 MPI_SUCCESS
 - Pt-2-pt operations between non failed ranks can continue



Example: only rank4 should report the failure of rank 5





Summary of new functions

- MPI_Comm_failure_ack(comm)
 - Resumes matching for MPI_ANY_SOURCE
- MPI_Comm_failure_get_acked(comm, &group)
 - Returns to the user the group of processes acknowledged to have failed

Votification

ropagatio

Recovery

- MPI_Comm_revoke(comm)
 - Non-collective, interrupts all operations on comm (future or active, at all ranks) by raising MPI_ERR_REVOKED

MPI_Comm_shrink(comm, &newcomm)

- Collective, creates a new communicator without failed processes (identical at all ranks)
- MPI_Comm_agree(comm, &mask)
 - Collective, agrees on the AND value on binary mask, ignoring failed processes (reliable AllReduce), and the return code

Resolving transitive dependencies



Scalable Agreement/Shrink



- Novel Early Returning Agreement algorithm*
- Logarithmic topology & logarithmic computation: scalable
- 2x the Cray AllReduce latency at 6k processors!

* Herault, T., Bouteiller, A., Bosilca, G., Gamell, M., Teranishi, K., Parashar, M., Dongarra, J. "Practical Scalable Consensus for Pseudo-Synchronous Distributed Systems," SuperComputing, Austin, TX, November, 2015

Summary

- Issue Ticket (w/ links to PRs)
 - <u>https://github.com/mpi-forum/mpi-issues/issues/20</u>
- Implementation available
 - It is actually fast, now. <u>http://fault-tolerance.org/</u>
- User base has grown quickly
 - Filling a need
 - outlined best practice
 - Varied use cases exercise all capabilities
- FAQ
 - I don't care, my machines are stable
 - Fair enough, your implementation does not have to support FT (just provide stub interfaces so that FT programs compile and run w/o faults)
 - I want to do only Checkpoint/Restart
 - ULFM opens up faster, better C/R than before (that can use NVRAM effectively, etc)
 - This is too complicated
 - It doesn't have to be: high level frameworks and code snippets for common tasks are available and help tremendously for quick prototyping





Scalable Resilient Constructs: Revoke

Darter, ugni network, 6000 processes Revoke Time and Perturbation in Barrier (np=6000) 180 Fault Free Barrier **Revoked Barrier** 170 st post-revoke Barrier post-revoke Barrier post-revoke Barrier 160 150 140 (sn) 130 120 110 100 90 80 1k 2k 3k 5k 6k 4k **Revoke Initiator Rank**

- BMG* Revoke propagation in less than 100µs
- First post-Revoke collective operation sustains some performance degradation resulting from the network jitter associated with the circulation of revoke tokens
- After the fifth Barrier (approximately 700µs), the Revoke reliable broadcast has completely terminated, therefore leaving the application free from observable jitter.



* Bouteiller, A., Bosilca, G., Dongarra, J.J. "Plan B: Interruption of Ongoing MPI Operations to Support Failure Recovery," In Proceedings of the 22nd European MPI Users' Group Meeting (EuroMPI '15). ACM



Bibliography of users' activityThese works use ULFMFRAMEWORKS USING ULFMLFLR, FENIX, FTLA, Falanx, X10

- HAMOUDA, Sara S., MILTHORPE, Josh, STRAZDINS, Peter E., et al. A Resilient Framework for Iterative Linear Algebra Applications in X10. In: 16th IEEE International Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Scientific and Engineering Computing (PDSEC 2015). 2015.
- ST PAULI, P. Arbenz et SCHWAB, Ch. Intrinsic fault tolerance of multi level Monte Carlo methods. ETH Zurich, Computer Science Department, Tech. Rep, 2012.
- PAULI, Stefan, KOHLER, Manuel, et ARBENZ, Peter. A fault tolerant implementation of Multi-Level Monte Carlo methods. In: PARCO. 2013. p. 471-480.
- BLAND, Wesley, DU, Peng, BOUTEILLER, Aurelien, et al. Extending the scope of the Checkpoint-on-Failure protocol for forward recovery in standard MPI. Concurrency and computation: Practice and experience, 2013, vol. 25, no 17, p. 2381-2393.
- ALI, Md Mortuza, SOUTHERN, James, STRAZDINS, Peter, et al. Application Level Fault Recovery: Using Fault-Tolerant Open MPI in a PDE Solver. In: Parallel & Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops (IPDPSW), 2014 IEEE International. IEEE, 2014. p. 1169-1178.
- NAUGHTON, Thomas, ENGELMANN, Christian, VALLÉE, Geoffroy, et al.Supporting the development of resilient message passing applications using simulation. In: Parallel, Distributed and Network-Based Processing (PDP), 2014 22nd Euromicro International Conference on. IEEE, 2014. p. 271-278.
- ENGELMANN, Christian et NAUGHTON, Thomas. Improving the Performance of the Extreme-scale Simulator. In: Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE/ACM 18th International Symposium on Distributed Simulation and Real Time Applications. IEEE Computer Society, 2014. p. 198-207.
- TERANISHI, Keita et HEROUX, Michael A. Toward Local Failure Local Recovery Resilience Model using MPI-ULFM. In : Proceedings of the 21st European MPI Users' Group Meeting. ACM, 2014. p. 51.
- ALI, Md Mohsin, STRAZDINS, Peter E., HARDING, Brendan, et al. A fault-tolerant gyrokinetic plasma application using the sparse grid combination technique. In : High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS), 2015 International Conference on. IEEE, 2015. p. 499-507.
- VALLÉE, Geoffroy, NAUGHTON, Thomas, BOHM, Swen, et al. A runtime environment for supporting research in resilient HPC system software & tools. In: Computing and Networking (CANDAR), 2013 First International Symposium on. IEEE, 2013. p. 213-219.
- ZOUNMEVO, Judicael A., KIMPE, Dries, ROSS, Robert, et al. Extreme-scale computing services over MPI: Experiences, observations and features proposal for next-generation message passing
 interface. International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications, 2014, vol. 28, no 4, p. 435-449.
- NAUGHTON, Thomas, BÖHM, Swen, ENGELMANN, Christian, et al. Using Performance Tools to Support Experiments in HPC Resilience. In: Euro-Par 2013: Parallel Processing Workshops. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014. p. 727-736.
- ENGELMANN, Christian et NAUGHTON, Thomas. A NETWORK CONTENTION MODEL FOR THE EXTREME-SCALE SIMULATOR.
- GAMELL, Marc, KATZ, Daniel S., KOLLA, Hemanth, et al. Exploring automatic, online failure recovery for scientific applications at extreme scales. In: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. IEEE Press, 2014. p. 895-906.
- XIAOGUANG, Ren, XINHAI, Xu, YUHUA, Tang, et al. An Application-Level Synchronous Checkpoint-Recover Method for Parallel CFD Simulation. In : Computational Science and Engineering (C
- Judicael A. Zounmevo, Dries Kimpe, Robert Ross, and Ahmad Afsahi. 2013. Using MPI in high-performance computing services. In Proceedings of the 20th European MPI Users' Group Meeting (EuroMPI '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 43-48.SE), 2013 IEEE 16th International Conference on. IEEE, 2013. p. 58-65.
- Jinho Ahn, "N Fault-Tolerant Sender-Based Message Logging for Group Communication-Based Message Passing Systems," in Computational Science and Engineering (CSE), 2014 IEEE 17th
 International Conference on , vol., no., pp.1296-1301, 19-21 Dec. 2014.





The performance improvement due to using ULFM v1.0 for running the LULESH proxy application [3] (a shock hydrodynamics stencil based simulation) running on 64 processes on 16 nodes with