PMPI SUCCESSOR RESTART 2017

Code Name QMPI

LLNL-PRES-XXXXXX

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

Why redo PMPI?

- Weak symbol intersection is brittle
 - Depends on the OS
- Limited to a single tool
 - One set of indirections
- Forces tools to be monolithic
 - Single shared library

Requirements

- Support multiple concurrent tools in a single process
- Link time or runtime enablement
- Low to no overhead when no tool is attached
 - Possibility for zero overhead when disabled
- No loss of functionality compared to existing PMPI
 - Complete coverage of all MPI routines (except where exempted already in MPI 3)
 - Complete coverage of all tool functionality
 - Incl. changes to parameters or routines used
 - All language bindings (C, mpif.h, use mpi, use mpif08)
- Support for pre and post call activation
 - Basically wrapper functionality
- Tools can implement functionality in C (in one place) regardless of language bindings used
- Integration with MPI thread support

QMPI: A VIEW FROM THE TOOL

Focus: Interface the tool would see

Let's ignore: Configuration issues, Initialization, Complex Tool DAGs, ...

Groundrules

- Maintain the wrapping concept
 - Only way to maintain all functionality
- Interface and all tools will be C only
 - Fortran mapping has to be taken care of by the MPI library
 - Tools are responsible for matching runtimes when using C++
- Support for simple tool stacks only
 - Nested wrapping
 - No DAGs, no inter-tool services, no coordination, no dependencies
- Tools are by default independent of each other
 - Can run on their own
 - Can assume a standard compliant implementation of MPI routines to build upon (the old PMPI interface)
 - Have to provide a standard compliant implementation of MPI, which consists of:
 - Wrapped routine (defined MPI routines or close to, see below)
 - MPI routines not wrapped (when MPI=PMPI)

Tools Responsibilities

- Tools implement wrapper routines
 - Set of routines with well defined names
 - One name defined for each MPI routine
 - Extended prototype with extra fields
 - E.g.: QMPI_Comm_rank(MPI_Comm comm, int *rank, <extra data>)
 - Tool routines must call "follow on routine", the match to the old PMPI
 - Assigned by MPI during initialization
 - Can be actual MPI or another tool transparent
 - Routines not implemented are handled by MPI
 - Automatically set to a "follow-on" routine
- The implemented wrappers combined with all default routines (which can be assumed to adhere to the MPI standard) must again implement a coherent version of the MPI standard

Basic Wrapping

- Each tool implements a set of routines it wraps
- Tools have independent instances
 - Separate storage space
- Each tool instance has the following "available":
 - A functional table with all "PMPI" / follow on routines
 - A pointer to store internal information
- Wrapping process:

```
Int QMPI_X(...)
{
    qmpi_x_t pqmpi_x;
    MPI_Table_query("QMPI_X", &pqmpi_x,table);
    ... Do work ...
    err=pqmpi_x(...);
    ... Do work ...
    return err;
}
```

}

Note: query routine should be called once during initialization

Multiple Tools



Magic Data Available to Tools

- Each tool instance needs two pieces of data
 - Pointer to its own state
 - Pointer to a table of PMPI functions to call
- Question where does it get that data from?
 - Pointer to instance state must be passed in from previous tool
 - Pointer must be used to store PMPI function table
 - Pointer must be used to store pointer to instance for follow on tool
 - Basically: context for all tools gets handed down
- Where does this data come from originally?
 - Setup during intialization routine
 - Passes in context for follow-on tool
 - Passes in PMPI function table
 - Tools then stores that information

Concept 1: Function Tables

- Used to store one function pointer for each MPI function
 - Describes complete interface
- Tools can use that to query "follow-on functions"
- Specified as opaque objects

APIs for Function Tables

- Create a Table
 - Out: table handle
- Destroy a Table
 - In/Out: table handle
- Copy a Table
 - In: src and dst table handles
- Query one Function
 - In: table handle, function ID or name
 - Out: function
- Set one Function
 - In: table handle, function ID or name, function
- Unset one Function
 - In: table handle, function ID or name
- Needs enumeration of all MPI functions
 - Alternative: strings (?)
- Likely to contain other tool routines (init/finalize/...)

Concept 2: Tools and Instances

- Tools expose a set of intercepted routines
 - Typically implemented as a shared library
 - Magically gets loaded
 - · Let's discuss that later
 - Doesn't do anything by itself
 - No automatic interception
 - Just provides routines
- Tool instances are built from tools
 - Use the set of intercepted routines
 - Instances have their own context
 - Instances don't share context
 - Context = void pointer to store information

Bringup steps (before MPI_Init)

- Step 1: tools get registered
 - This loads the tools
 - MPI now knows about them
- Step 2: tools get initialized
 - Make their wrapped functions known
 - Provide meta data
- Step 3: MPI creates a list of tool to instantiate
 - Let's leave that to magic
 - Result is an ordered list of tools to create instances for
- Step 4: tools instances get initialized
 - Tools get context
 - Done bottom up (see late why)

Step 1: Tool Registration

- Option 1 / Callback
 - MPI searches for available intercepts and remembers them
 - Tool implements a well defined callback routine (special QMPI routine)
 - Routine gets called from MPI
 - Tools fills out a "who am I" record and returns it
 - Returns pointer to initialization routine
- Option 2 / Self registration
 - Tool gets "magically" initialized (ini routines)
 - Tool registers itself by calling the MPI function for tool registration
 - Tool passes that table to MPI
 - Tools fills out a "who am I" record and passes it
 - Provides pointer to initialization routine

Should we allow (or enable for the future) dynamic registration? What about dynamic addition of routines?

Step 2: Tool Initialization

- MPI calls initialization routines
 - Arbitrary order
 - MPI passes function table
 - Represents all intercepted routines MPI could find
 - Typically used for option 1
 - Can be manipulated during the initialization
 - Typically used for option 2
- This step could be combined with Step 1

Step 3: Magic





Step 3: Magic

• MPI decides on a list of tool instances to use

- Creates a tool stack
- Mechanism open (e.g., Env. Variable)
- These tools are nested



Step 4: Tool Instance Initialization

- Each tool gets initialized in reverse order
 - Instance initialization routine stored in function table for tool
- Initialization routine gets passed
 - A void pointer to store tool instance context
 - An opaque void pointer
 - This is the context for the follow on tool
 - A function table containing the PMPI routines
 - Who owns this table?
 - When can it change and who can do this?
 - Or can this just be a tool ID
- Tool responsibilities
 - Create storage structure and hook it to void pointer
 - Store opaque pointer and function table in that structure



Wrapping

Int QMPI_X(<MPI arguments>, void* context, MPI_blob blob)
{

retrieve function table from context -> next_table
retrieve opaque pointer from context -> next_context
qmpi_x_t pqmpi_x;
MPI_Table_query("QMPI_X", &pqmpi_x,next_table);

... Do work ...

err=pqmpi_x(<MPI arguments>, next_context, blob);

... Do work ...

return err;

}

- Note: query routine should be called once during initialization
- Blob contains MPI internal information, such Fortran flag

What Needs to be Standardized

- Function Tables
 - Type and access function
- Shadow API
 - All MPI functions with slight modifications
 - "Chance to get it right"
- Initialization routines for tools and tool instances
 - Includes the "who am I" record
- Query routines
 - Ability to see which tools (and tool instances?) are present
- Specification of tools to load
 - Do we need that or is that out of the specification?

Issues

- Transition between PMPI and QMPI
 - Deprecate PMPI
 - For a while this needs to be interoperable
 - PMPI is likely on top of the new infrastructure
 - In intercepts the actual MPI calls from the app
- Threading support
 - What if tool needs thread support itself
- Who am I field
 - Versioning
 - Unique name

Dynamic Tools / Open Issues

- Changing "next tables"
 - When can it happen
 - Who gets notified
 - Thread safety
- Probably should have dynamic updates in tables
 - Opaque objects may be too slow
- Newly loaded tools need to be initialized
 - Need a barrier?
- What about removing
 - Can a tool just finalized itself?

Now What About Complex Tools?

- We do want full PⁿMPI functionality
 - Tool DAGs / Diamond stacks
 - Services for intra tool communication
 - Support for cooperating tool modules
- BUT: this is not MPI's task
 - Research
 - Requires external specification
- However, this proposal allows developers to build tools that
 - Are implemented as a tool themselves
 - Provide this functionality
 - Can integrate existing tools without changes
 - No more patching like in PⁿMPI

Complex Tool Use Cases

- This should be implementable by a new tool that uses the interface, without changing the interface
- Only run on certain nodes/processes/communicator
 - Argument for centralized table registry?
- Create arbitrary tool DAGs