

Methodology

Law enforcement agencies that participate in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program forward crime data through their state UCR Programs in 46 states and the District of Columbia. Local agencies in those states that do not have a state Program submit crime statistics directly to the FBI, which provides continuous guidance and support to those participating agencies. The state UCR Programs function as liaisons between local agencies and the FBI. Many states have mandatory reporting requirements, and many state UCR Programs collect data beyond those typically called for by the national UCR Program to address crime problems specific to their particular jurisdictions. These state UCR Programs, in most cases, also provide direct and frequent service to their participating law enforcement agencies, make information readily available for statewide use, and help to streamline the national Program's (FBI's) operations.

Criteria for state UCR Programs

The criteria established for state Programs ensure consistency and comparability in the data submitted to the national Program, as well as regular and timely reporting. These criteria are:

- (1) The state Program must conform to the national UCR Program standards, definitions, and information required.
- (2) The state criminal justice agency must have a proven, effective, statewide program and have instituted acceptable quality control procedures.
- (3) The state crime reporting must cover a percentage of the population at least equal to that covered by the national UCR Program through direct reporting.
- (4) The state Program must have adequate field staff assigned to conduct audits and to assist contributing agencies in record-keeping practices and crime-reporting procedures.

- (5) The state Program must furnish the FBI with all of the detailed data regularly collected by the FBI from individual agencies that report to the state Program in the form of duplicate returns, computer printouts, and/or appropriate electronic media.
- (6) The state Program must have the proven capability (tested over a period of time) to supply all the statistical data required in time to meet publication deadlines of the national UCR Program.

Data completeness and quality

In order to fulfill its responsibilities in connection with the UCR Program, the FBI edits and reviews individual agency reports for both completeness and quality. Members of the national Program's staff contact the state UCR Program in connection with crime-reporting matters and, as necessary, when approved by the state, individual contributors. Upon request, staff members conduct training programs within the state on law enforcement record-keeping and crime-reporting procedures. Following audit standards established by the federal government, the FBI conducts an audit of each state's UCR data collection procedures once every 3 years. Should circumstances develop whereby the state Program does not comply with the aforementioned requirements, the national Program may institute a direct collection of Uniform Crime Reports from law enforcement agencies within the state.

Reporting procedures

Law enforcement agencies tabulate the number of Part I offenses (murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larcenytheft, motor vehicle theft, and arson) brought to their attention based on records of all reports of crime received from victims, officers who discover infractions, or other sources, and submit them each month to the FBI either directly or through their state UCR Programs.

Unfounded offenses, clearances, and value of property—When, through investigation, an agency determines that complaints of crimes are unfounded or false, the agency eliminates that offense from its crime tally through an entry on the monthly report. The report also provides the total number of actual Part I offenses, the number of offenses cleared, and the number of clearances that involve only offenders under the age of 18. (Law enforcement can clear crimes in one of two ways: by the arrest of at least

one person who is charged and turned over to the court for prosecution or by exceptional means—when some element beyond law enforcement's control precludes the arrest of a known offender.) Law enforcement agencies also submit monthly to the FBI the value of property stolen and recovered in connection with the offenses and detailed information pertaining to criminal homicide.

Persons arrested—In addition to reporting Part I offenses, law enforcement agencies provide monthly to the UCR Program data on the age, sex, and race of persons arrested for Part I and Part II offenses. Part II offenses encompass all crimes, except traffic violations, that are not classified as Part I offenses.

Officers killed or assaulted—Law enforcement agencies also report monthly to the UCR Program information regarding law enforcement officers killed or assaulted, and yearly, the number of full-time sworn and civilian law enforcement personnel employed as of October 31.

Hate crimes—At the end of each quarter, law enforcement agencies report summarized data on hate crimes, i.e., specific offenses that were motivated by an offender's bias against the perceived race, religion, ethnic/national origin, sexual orientation, or physical or mental disability of the victim. Those agencies participating in the UCR Program's National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) submit hate crime data monthly.

Editing procedures

The UCR Program thoroughly examines each report it receives for arithmetical accuracy and for deviations in crime data from month to month and from present to past years' data that may indicate errors. The UCR staff members compare aggregated data from agencies of similar population size to identify any unusual fluctuations in an agency's crime counts. Large variations in crime levels may indicate modified records procedures, incomplete reporting, or changes in the jurisdiction's geopolitical structure.

Evaluation of trends—Data reliability is a high priority of the FBI, which brings any deviations or arithmetical adjustments to the attention of state UCR Programs or the submitting agencies. Typically, FBI staff members study the monthly reports to evaluate periodic trends prepared for individual reporting units. Any significant increase or decrease becomes the subject of a special inquiry. Changes in crime reporting

procedures or annexations that affect an agency's jurisdiction can influence the level of reported crime. When this occurs, the FBI excludes the figures for specific crime categories or totals, if necessary, from the trend tabulations.

Training for contributors—In addition to the evaluation of trends, the FBI provides training seminars and instructional materials on crime reporting procedures to assist contributors in complying with UCR standards. Throughout the country, the national Program maintains liaison with state Programs and law enforcement personnel and holds training sessions to explain the purpose of the Program, the rules of uniform classification and scoring, and the methods of assembling the information for reporting. When an individual agency has specific problems in compiling its crime statistics and its remedial efforts are unsuccessful, personnel from the FBI's Criminal Justice Information Services Division may visit the contributor to aid in resolving the difficulties.

UCR Handbook—The national UCR Program publishes a *Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook* (revised 2004), which details procedures for classifying and scoring offenses and serves as the contributing agencies' basic resource for preparing reports. The national staff also produces letters to UCR contributors, *State Program Bulletins*, and *UCR Newsletters* as needed. These provide policy updates and new information, as well as clarification of reporting issues.

The final responsibility for data submissions rests with the individual contributing law enforcement agency. Although the FBI makes every effort through its editing procedures, training practices, and correspondence to ensure the validity of the data it receives, the accuracy of the statistics depends primarily on the adherence of each contributor to the established standards of reporting. Deviations from these established standards that cannot be resolved by the national UCR Program may be brought to the attention of the Criminal Justice Information Systems Committees of the International Association of Chiefs of Police and the National Sheriffs' Association.

Population estimation

For the 2008 population estimates used in this report, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and

added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and 2007 provisional estimates. Population estimates for 2004 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2003 revised estimates and 2004 provisional estimates. Population estimates for 1999 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 1998 revised estimates and 1999 provisional estimates.

NIBRS conversion

Thirty-one state Programs are certified to provide their UCR data in the expanded NIBRS format. For presentation in this book, the NIBRS data were converted to the historical Summary Reporting System data. The UCR Program staff constructed the NIBRS database to allow for such conversion so that UCR's long-running time series could continue.

Crime trends

By showing fluctuations from year to year, trend statistics offer the data user an added perspective from which to study crime. Percent change tabulations in this publication are computed only for reporting agencies that provided comparable data for the periods under consideration. The FBI excludes from the trend calculations all figures except those received for common months from common agencies. Also excluded are unusual fluctuations of data that the FBI determines are the result of such variables as improved records procedures, annexations, etc.

Caution to users

Data users should exercise care in making any direct comparison between data in this publication and those in prior issues of *Crime in the United States*. Because of differing levels of participation from year to year and reporting problems that require the FBI to estimate crime counts for certain contributors, some data may not be comparable from year to year. In addition, this publication may contain updates to data provided in prior years' publications. Therefore, for example, the 2007 supplemental homicide data in last year's publication may not match the 2007 data in this publication.

2008 Arrest data considerations

- Limited arrest data were received from Illinois; i.e., only Chicago and Rockford provided statistics in accordance with UCR guidelines.
- Except for the cities of Minneapolis and St. Paul, the Minnesota State UCR
 Program's guidelines for reporting forcible rape arrest counts do not comply with
 the national UCR Program's guidelines; i.e., Minnesota data include arrests made
 for forcible rapes of male victims. Therefore, the state forcible rape counts that
 are published include only the totals received from Minneapolis and St. Paul.
- For 2008, only arrest totals (with no age or gender breakdowns) are available for Florida. Therefore, Florida arrest totals are included only in Table 69, "Arrests by State, 2008."
- No 2008 arrest data were received from the District of Columbia's Metropolitan
 Police Department. The two agencies in the District of Columbia for which
 12 months of arrest data were received, Metro Transit Police and the National
 Zoological Park, have no attributable population.
- No 2008 arrest data were received from the New York City Police Department.
 However, arrest totals for this agency were estimated by the national UCR
 Program and were included in Table 29 "Estimated Number of Arrests,
 United States, 2008."

Offense estimation

Tables 1 through 5 and Table 7 of this publication contain statistics for the entire United States. Because not all law enforcement agencies provide data for complete reporting periods, the FBI includes estimated crime numbers in these national presentations. The FBI estimates data for three areas: Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), cities outside MSAs, and nonmetropolitan counties. The FBI computes estimates for participating agencies not providing 12 months of complete data. For agencies supplying 3 to 11 months of data, the national UCR Program estimates for the missing data by following a standard estimation procedure using the data provided by the agency. If an agency has supplied less than 3 months of data, the FBI computes estimates by using the known crime figures of similar areas within a state and assigning

the same proportion of crime volumes to nonreporting agencies. The estimation process considers the following: population size covered by the agency; type of jurisdiction, e.g., police department versus sheriff's office; and geographic location.

Estimation of state-level data

In response to various circumstances, the FBI calculates estimated offense totals for certain states. For example, some states do not provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines. In addition, problems at the state level have, at times, resulted in no useable data. Also, the conversion of the NIBRS data to Summary Reporting System data has contributed to the need for unique estimation procedures. A summary of state-specific and offense-specific estimation procedures follows.

Year	State(s)	Reason for Estimation	Estimation Method
1989	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
1990	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
1991	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes

			proportionally to the state.
	Iowa	NIBRS conversion efforts resulted in estimation for Iowa.	State totals were estimated by updating previous valid annual totals for individual jurisdictions, subdivided by population group. Percent changes for each offense within each population group of the West North Central Division were applied to the previous valid annual totals. The state totals were compiled from the sums of the population group estimates.
1992	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
1993	Illinois	NIBRS conversion efforts resulted in estimation for Illinois.	Since valid annual totals were available for approximately 60 Illinois agencies, those counts were maintained. The counts for the remaining jurisdictions were replaced with the most recent valid annual totals or were generated using standard estimation procedures. The results of all sources were then combined to arrive at the 1993 state total for Illinois.

		The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
	Kansas	NIBRS conversion efforts resulted in estimation for Kansas.	State totals were estimated by updating previous valid annual totals for individual jurisdictions, subdivided by population group. Percent changes for each offense within each population group of the West North Central Division were applied to the previous valid annual totals. The state totals were compiled from the sums of the population group estimates.
	Michigan, Minnesota	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to each state.
1994	Illinois	NIBRS conversion efforts resulted in estimation for Illinois.	Illinois totals were generated using only the valid crime rates for the East North Central Division. Within each population group, the state's offense totals were estimated based on the rate per 100,000 inhabitants within the

			remainder of the geographic division.
		The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
	Kansas	NIBRS conversion efforts resulted in estimation for Kansas.	State totals were generated using only the valid crimes rates for the West North Central Division. Within each population group, the state's offense totals were estimated based on the rate per 100,000 inhabitants within the remainder of the geographic division.
	Montana	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete 1994 offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	State totals were estimated by updating previous valid annual totals for individual jurisdictions, subdivided by population group. Percent changes for each offense within each population group of the Mountain Division were applied to the previous valid annual totals. The state totals were compiled from the sums of the population group estimates.
1995	Kansas	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete	The state UCR Program was able to provide valid 1994 state totals

	offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	which were then updated using 1995 crime trends for the West North Central Division.
Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to Summary Reporting System data. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.

	Montana	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	State estimates were computed by updating the previous valid annual totals using the 1994 versus 1995 percent changes for the Mountain Division.
1996	Florida	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The state UCR Program was able to provide an aggregated state total; data received from 94 individual Florida agencies are shown in the 1996 jurisdictional figures presented in Tables 8 through 11.
	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national

			compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	Kansas	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The Kansas state estimate was extrapolated from 1996 January-June state totals provided by the Kansas State UCR Program.
	Kentucky, Montana	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The 1995 and 1996 percent changes within each geographic division were applied to valid 1995 state totals to generate 1996 state totals.
1997	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the

			format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	Kansas	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The Kansas state estimate was extrapolated from 1996 January-June state totals provided by the Kansas State UCR Program.
	Kentucky, Montana, New Hampshire, Vermont	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The 1996 and 1997 percent changes registered for each geographic division in which the states of Kentucky, Montana, New Hampshire, and Vermont are categorized were applied to valid 1996 state totals to effect 1997 state totals.
1998	Delaware	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape	The 1998 forcible rape total for Delaware was estimated by

	figures in accordance with national UCR guidelines.	reducing the number of reported offenses by the proportion of male forcible rape victims statewide.
Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.

	Kansas	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at 1998 estimates, 1997 state totals supplied by the Kansas State UCR Program were updated using 1998 crime trends for the West North Central Division.
	Kentucky, Montana, New Hampshire, Wisconsin	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	State totals were estimated by using 1997 figures for the nonreporting areas and applying 1997 versus 1998 percent changes for the geographic division in which each state is located. The estimates for the nonreporting areas were then increased by any actual 1998 crime counts received.
1999	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense

		criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
Kansas, Kentucky, Montana	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at 1999 estimates for Kansas, Kentucky, and Montana, 1998 state totals supplied by each state's UCR Program were updated using 1999 crime trends for the geographic divisions in which each state is located.
Maine	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	The Maine Department of Public Safety forwarded monthly January through October crime counts for each law enforcement contributor; since 12 months of data were not received, the national Program estimated for the missing data following standard estimation procedures to arrive at a 1999 state total.
New Hampshire	The state UCR Program was	The state total for New Hampshire

		unable to provide complete 1999 offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	was estimated by using the 1998 figures for the 1999 nonreporting areas and applying the 2-year percent change for the New England Division.
2000	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals

			for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	Kansas	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at 2000 estimates for Kansas, 1999 state estimates were updated using 2000 crime trends for the West North Central Division.
	Kentucky, Montana	The state UCR Programs were unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at 2000 estimates for Kentucky and Montana, 1999 state totals supplied by each state's UCR Program were updated using 2000 crime trends for the geographic divisions in which each state is located.
2001	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense

			criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state totals (which were inflated because of the
			nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	Kentucky	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at the 2001 estimates for Kentucky, the 2000 state estimates were updated using 2001 crime trends reported for the East South Central Division.
2002	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Crime Index (Part I) offense counts were available for most of the largest cities (100,000 and over in population). For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were state totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System

			format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious
			offense in a multiple-offense
			criminal incident is counted.) To
			arrive at a comparable state
			estimate to be included in national
			compilations, the Illinois State
			Program's state totals (which were
			inflated because of the
			nonapplication of the Hierarchy
			Rule) were reduced by the
			proportion of multiple offenses
			reported within single incidents in
			the NIBRS database. Valid totals
			for the large cities were excluded
			from the reduction process.
	Kentucky	The state UCR Program was	To obtain the 2002 state crime
		unable to provide complete	count, the FBI contacted the state
		offense figures in accordance	UCR Program, and the state agency
		with UCR guidelines.	was able to provide their latest
			state total, 2000. Therefore, the
			2001 state estimate was updated
			for inclusion in the 2002 edition of
			Crime in the United States by
			using the 2001 crime trends for the
			division in which the state is
			located. To derive the 2002 state
			estimate, the 2002 crime trends for
			the geographic division were
			applied to the adjusted 2001 state
			estimate.
2003	Illinois	The state UCR Program was	Valid Part I offense counts were

	unable to provide complete	available for most of the largest
	offense figures in accordance	cities (100,000 and over in
	with UCR guidelines.	population). For other agencies,
		the only available counts generated
		by the Illinois State Program were
		state totals based upon an
		incident-level system without
		indication of multiple offenses
		recorded within single incidents.
		Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule
		could not be applied in order to
		convert the state's data to the
		Summary Reporting System
		format. (The Hierarchy Rule
		requires that only the most serious
		offense in a multiple-offense
		criminal incident is counted.) To
		arrive at a comparable state
		estimate to be included in national
		compilations, the Illinois State
		Program's state totals (which were
		inflated because of the
		nonapplication of the Hierarchy
		Rule) were reduced by the
		proportion of multiple offenses
		reported within single incidents in
		the NIBRS database. Valid totals
		for the large cities were excluded
		from the reduction process.
Kentucky	The state UCR Program was	To obtain the 2003 estimate, the
	unable to provide complete	2003 crime trend for the East
	offense figures in accordance	South Central Division was applied
	with UCR guidelines.	to an adjusted 2002 state estimate.

			The 2002 state count was reestimated by applying the 2002 crime trend for the East South Central Division using a more current figure, 2001 state totals, provided by the state UCR Program. The adjusted 2002 estimate differs from the figure published in the 2002 edition of Crime in the United States which was originally estimated using 2002 state totals.
2004	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Part I offense counts were available for agencies in the cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's state

			totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
2005	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Part I offense counts were available for agencies in the cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses

			reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	Minnesota	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	To arrive at a comparable state estimate for forcible rape offenses to be included in national compilations, Minnesota's forcible rape total was estimated by using the national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and proportionally assigning forcible rape volumes to Minnesota's population groups.
2006	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Part I offense counts were available for agencies in the cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident

		is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded from the reduction process.
	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Forcible rape figures for Rockford include only the forcible rape offenses with female victims that were extracted from the agency's NIBRS data. The rest of the state's forcible rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
Minnesota	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid forcible rape figures were available for Minneapolis and St. Paul. To arrive at a comparable state estimate for forcible rape offenses to be included in national compilations, the rest of Minnesota's forcible rape totals were estimated by using the national rates per 100,000

			inhabitants within the eight population groups and proportionally assigning forcible rape volumes to Minnesota's population groups.
2007	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Part I offense counts were available for agencies in the cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident-level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multiple-offense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's totals (which were inflated because of the nonapplication of the Hierarchy Rule) were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Valid totals for the large cities were excluded

			from the reduction process.
		The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Forcible rape figures for Rockford include only the forcible rape offenses with female victims that were extracted from the agency's NIBRS data. The rest of the state's forcible rape totals were estimated using national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and assigning the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
	Minnesota	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid forcible rape figures were available for Minneapolis and St. Paul. To arrive at a comparable state estimate for forcible rape offenses to be included in national compilations, the rest of Minnesota's forcible rape totals were estimated by using the national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and proportionally assigning forcible rape volumes to Minnesota's population groups.
2008	Illinois	The state UCR Program was unable to provide complete offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid Part I offense counts were available for agencies in the cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available counts generated by the

		Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident-level
		system without indication of
		multiple offenses recorded within
		single incidents. Therefore, the
		UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be
		applied in order to convert the
		state's data to the Summary
		Reporting System format. (The
		Hierarchy Rule requires that only
		the most serious offense in a
		multiple-offense criminal incident
		is counted.) To arrive at a
		comparable state estimate to be
		included in national compilations,
		the Illinois State Program's totals
		(which were inflated because of the
		nonapplication of the Hierarchy
		Rule) were reduced by the
		proportion of multiple offenses
		reported within single incidents in
		the NIBRS database. Valid totals
		for the large cities were excluded
		from the reduction process.
	The state UCR Program was	Forcible rape figures for Rockford
ι	unable to provide forcible rape	include only the forcible rape
f	figures in accordance with	offenses with female victims that
1	UCR guidelines.	were extracted from the agency's
		NIBRS data. The rest of the state's
		forcible rape totals were estimated
		using national rates per 100,000
		inhabitants within the eight
		population groups and assigning

		the forcible rape volumes proportionally to the state.
Minnesota	The state UCR Program was unable to provide forcible rape offense figures in accordance with UCR guidelines.	Valid forcible rape figures were available for Minneapolis and St. Paul. To arrive at a comparable state estimate for forcible rape offenses to be included in national compilations, the rest of Minnesota's forcible rape totals were estimated by using the national rates per 100,000 inhabitants within the eight population groups and proportionally assigning forcible rape volumes to Minnesota's population groups.

Table methodology

The tables in this report are based upon varying levels of data submissions. For example, some participating agencies may submit data for some but not all months of the reporting year. Using well-established procedures, the FBI estimates for missing offense data for agencies with partial reports and for nonreporting agencies and then aggregates these estimates with data reported to determine the number of offenses for each state and the Nation. Tables 1–5, 7, and 29 present these approximations. In addition, various circumstances require the FBI to estimate offense totals from time to time for some states. (An explanation of the estimation procedures applied to particular states during specific reporting years is provided in the Offense estimation section.)

To be included in Tables 8–11 and 21–22, which provide statistics for specific jurisdictions and states, agencies must submit 12 months of complete data prior to the FBI's established deadlines. To be included in Table 20, agencies must submit supplemental homicide data. Tables 12–19, 23–28, 30–75, and 77 provide the number of reporting agencies (data source) and the total population covered by their collective

jurisdictions. To be included in Tables 78–81, agencies must submit officer and civilian law enforcement employee counts as of October 31.

The tabular presentation that follows briefly describes the data sources and the methods used to construct Tables 1-81.

(1) Table	(2) Database	(3) Table Construction	(4) General Comments
1- 1A	The data used in creating the estimates for these tables were from all law enforcement agencies participating in the UCR Program (including those submitting less than	These tables provide the estimated number and rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) of reported crimes in the United States for 1989 through 2008, as well as the 2-, 5-, and 10-year trends for 2008 based on these estimates.	The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to estimate for arson.
	12 months of data). Crime statistics for	The 2008 statistics in these tables are consistent with those published in Tables 2 and 4.	
	the Nation include estimated offense totals (except arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year.	For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	

		averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
2	The data used in creating the estimates for this table were from all law enforcement agencies participating in the UCR Program (including those submitting less than 12 months of data). Crime statistics for the Nation and for community types include estimated offense totals (except arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year.	This table provides estimated crime statistics for the Nation, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), cities outside metropolitan areas, and nonmetropolitan counties, and the rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) for each grouping, and their estimated populations. For MSAs, cities outside metropolitan areas, and nonmetropolitan counties, the table also includes crime statistics by area actually reporting. The statistics under the heading "Area actually reporting" represent offense totals for agencies submitting 12 months of data and estimated totals for agencies submitting less than 12 but more than 2 months of data. The statistics under the heading "Estimated total"	The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to estimate for arson.

		represent the above "Area	
		represent the above "Area	
		actually reporting" totals plus	
		estimated totals for agencies	
		submitting 2 months or less of	
		data.	
		The FBI derives national	
		statistics for community types	
		by using the community type	
		estimates for individual states	
		as shown in Table 5.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
	m1 1	ml 11	m von n
3	The data used in	This table provides the 2008	The UCR Program does
	creating the	percent distribution of violent	not have sufficient data to

	estimates for this	crime, property crime, murder	estimate for arson.
	table were from all	and nonnegligent	
	law enforcement	manslaughter, forcible rape,	
	agencies	robbery, aggravated assault,	
	participating in the	burglary, larceny-theft, and	
	UCR Program	motor vehicle theft offenses by	
	(including those	region.	
	submitting less than		
	12 months of data).		
		The FBI computes regional	
		offense distribution	
	Crime statistics	percentages using the volume	
	include estimated	estimates as shown in Table 4.	
	offense totals (except		
	arson) for agencies		
	submitting less than	For the 2008 population	
	12 months of offense	estimates used in this table,	
	reports for each year.	the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
4	The data used in	This table provides estimated	For Illinois, valid counts

creating the
estimates for this
table were from all
law enforcement
agencies in the UCR
Program (including
those submitting less
than 12 months of
data).

Crime statistics include estimated offense totals (except arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year.

number and rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) of crime in each region, geographic division, and state in 2007 and 2008, and the percent change in each.

The FBI derives state totals by estimating for nonreporting and partially reporting agencies within each state.
Using the state's individual agency data and estimates, the program aggregates a state total.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

for murder, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft were available only for agencies in cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available data generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incidentlevel system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious offense in a multipleoffense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's totals were reduced by the proportion of multiple

offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Data for cities 100,000 and over in population were excluded from the reduction process.

The data collection
methodology for the
offense of forcible rape
used by Illinois (with the
exception of Rockford,
Illinois) and Minnesota
(with the exception of
Minneapolis and St. Paul,
Minnesota) do not
comply with national
UCR Program guidelines.
Consequently, their
figures for forcible rape
were estimated for
inclusion in this table.

Any comparisons of crime among different locales should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the area's crime statistics.

Variables Affecting Crime

5	The data used in creating the	This table provides the estimated number of offenses	The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to estimate for arson. For Illinois, valid counts for murder, robbery,
	estimates for this table were from all law enforcement agencies in the UCR Program (including those submitting less than 12 months of data). Crime statistics include estimated offense totals (except arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year.	and the rate of offenses per 100,000 inhabitants for each state. This table provides the estimated number of offenses and the actual number of offenses reported in MSAs, cities outside metropolitan areas, and nonmetropolitan counties, and the rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) for each grouping, and the estimated population for each state. The statistics under the heading "Area actually reporting" represent offense totals for agencies submitting 12 months of data and	aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft were available only for agencies in cities 100,000 and over in population. For other agencies, the only available data generated by the Illinois State Program were totals based upon an incident- level system without indication of multiple offenses recorded within single incidents. Therefore, the UCR Hierarchy Rule could not be applied in order to convert the state's data to the Summary Reporting System format. (The Hierarchy Rule requires that only the most serious

estimated totals for agencies submitting less than 12 but more than 2 months of data.

The statistics in the table under the heading "Estimated total" represent the above "Area actually reporting" plus estimated totals for agencies submitting 2 months or less of data.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

offense in a multipleoffense criminal incident is counted.) To arrive at a comparable state estimate to be included in national compilations, the Illinois State Program's totals were reduced by the proportion of multiple offenses reported within single incidents in the NIBRS database. Data for cities 100,000 and over in population were excluded from the reduction process.

The data collection methodology for the offense of forcible rape used by Illinois (with the exception of Rockford) and Minnesota (with the exception of Minneapolis and St. Paul) do not comply with national UCR Program guidelines. Consequently, their figures for forcible rape were estimated for inclusion in this table.

			The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to estimate for arson.
			Any comparisons of crime among different locales should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the area's crime statistics. Variables Affecting Crime provides more details concerning the proper use of UCR statistics.
6	The data used in creating the estimates for this table were from all law enforcement agencies in the UCR Program (including those submitting less than 12 months of data).	This table provides actual and estimated crime data for MSAs and their estimated populations, the counties included in each MSA, and estimated populations for principal cities in MSAs. The table also includes the rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) of offenses for each MSA.	The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to estimate for arson. Any comparisons of crime among different locales should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the area's crime statistics.
	Crime statistics include estimated offense totals (except	This table provides crime statistics for the Metropolitan Divisions (MDs), which are	Variables Affecting Crime provides more details concerning the proper use of UCR statistics.

arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year. subdivisions of MSAs that have a core population of at least 2.5 million people. The table also includes the rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) of offenses for each MD and estimated and actual offense totals.

This table includes all currently designated MSAs in which at least 75 percent of the agencies within the MSA reported data to the UCR Program and for which the principal city/cities submitted 12 months of complete data for 2008.

The statistics under the heading "Total area actually reporting" represent offense totals for agencies submitting 12 months of data and estimated totals for agencies submitting less than 12 but more than 2 months of data.

The statistics under the heading "Estimated total" represent the above "Total area actually reporting" plus estimated totals for agencies

		submitting 2 months or less of	
		data.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
		2006 population estimate.	
7	The data used in	This table provides the	The data source from
	creating the	estimated number of offenses	which the FBI derives
	estimates for this	for the crimes of murder,	Table 7 does not include
	table were from all	forcible rape, robbery,	aggravated assault or
	law enforcement	burglary, larceny-theft, and	arson data.
	agencies	motor vehicle theft for the	
	participating in the	Nation from 2004 to 2008.	
	UCR Program		
	(including those		
	submitting less than	This table provides additional	
	12 months of data).	detail for the following	
		offenses:	

	Crime statistics include estimated offense tables (except arson) for agencies submitting less than 12 months of offense reports for each year.	Robbery by location (such as street/highway, bank, residence). Burglary by location (residence or nonresidence) and time of day (night, day, or unknown). Larceny-theft by type (such as pocket-picking, pursesnatching, and shoplifting).	
		The FBI estimates the breakdowns for robbery, burglary, and larceny-theft by first calculating the proportion of the total offenses represented by the breakdowns as presented in Table 23 and applying those percentages to the estimated offense totals as presented in Table 1.	
8	The data used in creating this table were from all city and town law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete offense data for 2008.	This table provides the volume of violent crime (murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) and property crime (burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft) as reported by city and town law enforcement	Readers should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the areas' crime statistics when making any valid comparisons of crime among different locales. Variables Affecting Crime provides more details

		agencies (listed alphabetically	concerning the proper
		by state) that contributed data	use of UCR statistics.
		to the UCR Program.	
			When the FBI determines
		The FBI does not publish arson	that an agency's data
		data unless it receives data	collection methodology
		from either the agency or the	does not comply with
		state for all 12 months of the	national UCR guidelines,
		calendar year.	the figures for that
			agency's offense(s) will
			not be included in the
		For the 2008 population	table, and the discrepancy
		estimates used in this table,	will be explained in a
		the FBI computed individual	footnote.
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2007 population estimate.	
9	The data used in	This table provides the volume	Readers should take into
	creating this table	of violent crime (murder and	consideration relevant
	were from all	nonnegligent manslaughter,	factors in addition to the
	university/college	forcible rape, robbery, and	areas' crime statistics
	1	<u> </u>	

	law enforcement	aggravated assault) and	when making any valid
	agencies submitting	property crime (burglary,	comparisons of crime
	12 months of	larceny-theft, and motor	among different locales.
	complete offense	vehicle theft) as reported by	Variables Affecting Crime
	data for 2008.	those individual	provides more details
		university/college law	concerning the proper
		enforcement agencies (listed	use of UCR statistics.
		alphabetically by state) that	
		contributed data to the UCR	
		Program.	When the FBI determines
			that an agency's data
			collection methodology
		The student enrollment figures	does not comply with
		provided by the U.S.	national UCR guidelines,
		Department of Education are	the figure for that
		for 2007, the most recent	agency's offense will not
		available. They include full-	be included in the table,
		and part-time students.	and the discrepancy will
			be explained in a
			footnote.
		The FBI does not publish arson	
		data unless it receives data	
		from either the agency or the	
		state for all 12 months of the	
		calendar year.	
10	m 1		
10	The data used in	This table provides the volume	These data do not
	creating this table	of violent crime (murder and	represent county totals
	were from all county	nonnegligent manslaughter,	because they exclude
	law enforcement	forcible rape, robbery, and	crime counts for city
	agencies submitting	aggravated assault) and	agencies and other types
	12 months of	property crime (burglary,	of agencies that have
	complete offense	larceny-theft, and motor	jurisdiction within each

data for 2008.

vehicle theft) as reported by those individual law enforcement agencies (such as individual sheriffs' offices and/or county police departments) in metropolitan counties and nonmetropolitan counties (listed alphabetically by state) that contributed data to the UCR Program.

The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs). The Nonmetropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity agencies located outside currently designated MSAs.

The FBI does not publish arson data unless it receives data from either the agency or the state for all 12 months of the calendar year.

county.

Readers should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the areas' crime statistics when making any valid comparisons of crime among different locales. Variables Affecting Crime provides more details concerning the proper use of UCR statistics.

When the FBI determines that an agency's data collection methodology does not comply with national UCR guidelines, the figure for that agency's offense will not be included in the table, and the discrepancy will be explained in a footnote.

The data used in creating this table were from all state and other law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete offense data for

2008.

This table provides the volume of violent crime (murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) and property crime (burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft) as reported by state agencies and other agencies (listed alphabetically by state) that contributed data to the UCR Program.

The FBI does not publish arson data unless it receives data from either the agency or the state for all 12 months of the calendar year.

These data represent reported crime from individual state or territorial law enforcement agencies (i.e., state police, highway patrol, and/or other law enforcement agencies managed by the state or territory) and any federally managed law enforcement agency participating in the UCR Program.

Readers should take into consideration relevant factors in addition to the areas' crime statistics when making any valid comparisons of crime among different locales. Variables Affecting Crime provides more details concerning the proper use of UCR statistics.

When the FBI determines that an agency's data collection methodology does not comply with

			national UCR guidelines, the figure for that agency's offense will not be included in the table, and the discrepancy will be explained in a footnote.
12	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 common months of complete offense reports for 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of offenses for 2007 and 2008 and the percent change between these 2 years, listed by population group. In calculating trends, the UCR Program includes only common reported months for individual agencies.	
		Metropolitan counties include sheriffs and county law enforcement agencies associated with an MSA. The Nonmetropolitan Counties classification includes state police agencies that report aggregately for the entire state. Suburban areas include law	

		enforcement agencies in cities	
		with less than 50,000	
		inhabitants and county law	
		enforcement agencies that are	
		within an MSA.	
		Suburban areas exclude all	
		metropolitan agencies	
		associated with a principal city.	
		The agencies associated with	
		suburban areas also appear in	
		other groups within this table.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
13	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	
	creating this table	provides the number of	
	were from all law	offenses for 2007 and 2008	
	1		

enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 common months of complete offense reports for 2007 and 2008.

and the percent change between these 2 years for suburban and nonsuburban cities.

In calculating trends, the UCR Program includes only common reported months for individual agencies.

Suburban cities include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants that are within an MSA but exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city.

Nonsuburban cities include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 in population that are not associated with an MSA.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts

		and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
14	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 common months of complete offense reports for 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of offenses for 2007 and 2008 and the percent change between these 2 years for metropolitan counties and nonmetropolitan counties. In calculating trends, the UCR Program includes only common reported months for individual agencies.	Offenses from state police agencies are not included in this table.
		Metropolitan counties include sheriffs and county law enforcement agencies associated with an MSA. Nonmetropolitan counties include sheriffs and county law enforcement agencies that are	

		not associated with an MSA.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
15	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	
	creating this table	provides the 2007 and 2008	
	were from all law	breakdowns (such as weapons,	
	enforcement agencies	type of entry, attempts, and	
	submitting at least 6	property types for the offenses	
	common months of	of forcible rape, robbery,	
	complete offense	aggravated assault, burglary,	
	reports with	motor vehicle theft, and arson)	
	breakdowns for 2007	and the percent change	
	and 2008.	between these 2 years.	
		J	
		In calculating trends, the UCR	
	<u> </u>		

Program includes only common reported months for individual agencies.

Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA.

Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with suburban areas also appear in other groups within this table.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population

		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
16	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete data (except arson, which is not included in this table) for 2008.	estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. This table provides the rate per 100,000 inhabitants and the number of offenses known to law enforcement for violent crime (murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) and property crime (burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft) nationally and by city and county groupings for law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete data (except arson) for 2008. In addition, the table furnishes the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and provides the estimated population for each population group.	The FBI publishes only data that conform to UCR data collection guidelines. For example, the data collection methodology for the offense of forcible rape used by the state UCR Programs administered by the Illinois State Police and the Minnesota Department of Public Safety do not comply with these guidelines, and, therefore, are not available for inclusion in this table. Instead, the FBI computes the rates by estimating forcible rape offenses for each population group for participating agencies in Illinois and Minnesota.
		Nonmetropolitan counties include police agencies that report aggregately for the entire state.	The figures are estimated using the national rates for each population group applied to the population by group for Illinois and Minnesota agencies supplying 12 months of complete data.

Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA.

Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with suburban areas also appear in other groups within this table.

The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to publish arson offenses in this table. Information about arson can be found in Arson Tables 1 and 2.

The FBI derived the offense rates by first dividing the total aggregated offense estimates by the aggregated populations covered by contributing agencies for which 12 months of complete data were supplied and then multiplying the resulting figure by 100,000.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts

		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
17	The data used in	This table provides the rate per	The FBI publishes only
	creating this table	100,000 inhabitants and the	data that conform to UCR
	were from all law	number of offenses known to	data collection guidelines.
	enforcement agencies	law enforcement for violent	For example, the data
	submitting 12	crime (murder and	collection methodology
	months of complete	nonnegligent manslaughter,	for the offense of forcible
	data (except arson)	forcible rape, robbery, and	rape used by the state
	for 2008.	aggravated assault) and	UCR Programs
		property crime (burglary,	administered by the
		larceny-theft, and motor	Illinois State Police and
		vehicle theft) in suburban and	the Minnesota
		nonsuburban cities. In	Department of Public
		addition, the table furnishes	Safety do not comply with
		the number of agencies	these guidelines, and,
		meeting the criteria for	therefore, are not
		inclusion in this table and	available for inclusion in
		provides the estimated	this table. Instead, the
		population for each city group.	FBI computes the rates
			by estimating forcible
			rape offenses for each
		The suburban and	population group for
		nonsuburban cities	participating agencies in
		classifications in this table	Illinois and Minnesota.
		encompass all cities other than	The figures are estimated

principal cities served by municipal law enforcement agencies in MSAs.

The FBI derived the offense rates by first dividing the total aggregated offense estimates by the aggregated populations covered by contributing agencies for which 12 months of complete data were supplied and then multiplying the resulting figure by 100,000.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

using the national rates for each population group applied to the population by group for Illinois and Minnesota agencies supplying 12 months of complete data.

The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to publish arson offenses in this table. Information about arson can be found in Arson Tables 1 and 2.

18

The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete data (except arson) for 2008.

This table provides the rate per 100,000 inhabitants and the number of offenses known to law enforcement for violent crime (murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) and property crime (burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft) for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties. In addition, the table furnishes the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and provides the estimated population for each population group.

Metropolitan counties include sheriffs' offices and county law enforcement agencies associated with an MSA.

Nonmetropolitan counties include sheriffs' offices and county law enforcement agencies that are not associated with an MSA.

The FBI publishes only data that conform to UCR data collection guidelines. For example, the data collection methodology for the offense of forcible rape used by the state **UCR Programs** administered by the Illinois State Police and the Minnesota Department of Public Safety do not comply with these guidelines, and, therefore, are not available for inclusion in this table. Instead, the FBI computes the rates by estimating forcible rape offenses for each population group for participating agencies in Illinois and Minnesota. The figures are estimated using the national rates for each population group applied to the population by group for Illinois and Minnesota agencies supplying 12 months of complete data.

Offenses from state police agencies are not included in this presentation.

The UCR Program does not have sufficient data to publish arson offenses in this table. Information about arson can be found in Arson Tables 1 and 2.

The FBI derived the offense rates by first dividing the total aggregated offense estimates by the aggregated populations covered by contributing agencies for which 12 months of complete data were supplied and then multiplying the resulting figure by 100,000.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of complete

for 2008.

data (except arson)

This table provides for each population group (both city and county groupings) the rate of offenses per 100,000 inhabitants and the number of offenses known to law enforcement for forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, and motor vehicle theft as well as the breakdowns associated with each type of offense. In addition, the table furnishes the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and provides the estimated population for each population group.

Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA.

Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with suburban areas also appear in other groups within this table.

The UCR Program does not include murder or arson offenses in this table. Information about these offenses can be found in the respective sections of this report: Expanded Homicide Data and Arson.

The totals provided in this table reflect only those offenses for which law enforcement agencies provided additional information to the UCR Program; therefore, the totals will not match those shown in other rate tables.

The FBI publishes only data that conform to UCR data collection guidelines. For example, the data collection methodology for the offense of forcible rape used by the state UCR Programs administered by the Illinois State Police and

			the Minnesota
			Department of Public
		The FBI derived the offense	Safety do not comply with
		rates by first dividing the total	these guidelines, and,
		aggregated offense estimates	therefore, are not
		by the aggregated populations	available for inclusion in
		covered by contributing	this table. Instead, the
		agencies for which 12 months	FBI computes the rates
		of complete data were supplied	by estimating forcible
		and then multiplying the	rape offenses for each
		resulting figure by 100,000.	population group for
			participating agencies in
			Illinois and Minnesota.
		For the 2008 population	The figures are estimated
		estimates used in this table,	using the national rates
		the FBI computed individual	for each population group
		rates of growth from one year	applied to the population
		to the next for every city/town	by group for Illinois and
		and county using 2000	Minnesota agencies
		decennial population counts	supplying 12 months of
		and 2001 through 2007	complete data.
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	The UCR Program does
		averaged; that average was	not have sufficient data to
		then applied and added to its	estimate arson.
		2007 Census population	Information about arson
		estimate to derive the agency's	can be found in Arson
		2008 population estimate.	Tables 1 and 2.
20	The data used in	This table provides the type of	The supplemental
	creating this table	weapons used in murder	homicide data submitted
	were from all law	offenses. The data are based	by Florida and
	enforcement agencies	on the aggregated data from	Washington, D.C., did not
		2 2 60 28	0.55, - 1.51, 4.11

	that submitted	agencies within each state for	meet UCR guidelines and
	supplemental	which supplemental homicide	were not included in this
	homicide data for		
		data (i.e., weapon information)	table.
	2008.	were reported to the FBI. The	The FBI received limited
		table also includes a	supplemental homicide
		breakdown of the types of	data from Illinois.
		firearms used in murders (i.e.,	data irom imnois.
		handguns, rifles, shotguns, or	
		firearms [type unknown]).	
		The weapon totals are	
		aggregated from all murders	
		for which the FBI received	
		supplemental homicide data	
		for calendar year 2008.	
		101 Caleffual year 2008.	
_			
21	The data used in	This table provides the type of	The FBI received limited
21	The data used in	This table provides the type of weapons used in robbery	The FBI received limited
21	creating this table	weapons used in robbery	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based	
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population covered by those agencies.	weapon data from
21	creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies that submitted complete reports for	weapons used in robbery offenses. The data are based on aggregated data from agencies within each state for which weapon information was reported to the FBI. The table includes the number of agencies that submitted data by state and the population covered by those agencies. The weapon totals are	weapon data from

		received weapon breakdowns.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
22	The data used in	This table provides the type of	The FBI received limited
	creating this table	weapons used in aggravated	weapon data from
	were from all law	assaults. The data are based	Illinois.
	enforcement agencies	on the aggregated data from	
	that submitted	agencies within each state for	
	complete reports for	which weapon information was	
	12 months in 2008.	reported to the FBI. The table	
		includes the number of	
		agencies that submitted data	
		by state and the population	
		covered by those agencies.	

23	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 months of complete property/circumstance data for 2008.	This table provides an analysis of the crimes of murder, forcible rape, robbery, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft. The table lists the number of these offenses reported in 2008 and the percentage change in the number of these when	The FBI provides offense totals for the crimes of murder and forcible rape, and then, based on supplemental data supplied by law enforcement, the FBI computes value lost totals for the crimes of robbery,
		The weapon totals are aggregated from all aggravated assault offense data for which the FBI received weapon breakdowns. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	

compared with 2007 data.

burglary, larceny-theft,
and motor vehicle theft.

This table provides additional details for the following offenses:

Robbery and burglary (percent distribution by average dollar value by location).

Larceny-theft (percent distribution and average dollar value by larceny type).

Motor vehicle theft (average dollar value of vehicles taken).

The offense of aggravated assault is not included in this table. In the UCR Program, the taking of money or property in connection with an assault is reported as robbery.

The percent distribution statistics are based on the offense totals for the crimes of robbery, burglary, and larcenytheft. The data source from which the FBI derives the information for this table does not include arson.

Information regarding the average value of property damage due to arson can be found in Arson Table 2.

	I		
		The FBI derives trends by	
		comparing statistics from	
		agencies with at least 6	
		common months of complete	
		data reports for 2007 and	
		2008.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
24	The data used in	This table provides property	
	creating this table	types, the reported value of	
	were from all law	stolen property, and the value	
	enforcement agencies	of recovered property. The	
	submitting at least 6	table also lists the percentage	
	months of complete	of recovered value of each	
	information	property type.	
	regarding the		
	<u> </u>		

	monetary value of		
	property stolen and		
	recovered.	This table furnishes the	
		number of law enforcement	
		agencies that supplied	
		supplemental data and the	
		population represented by	
		those agencies.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
25	The data used in	This table provides the number	
	creating this table	of violent crimes and property	
	were from all law	crimes with a breakdown of	
	enforcement agencies	the offenses known to law	
	submitting at least 6	enforcement and the	
	months of complete	percentage of those offenses	
	offense reports for	that were cleared by arrest or	
l			

2008. exceptional means. This table furnishes national clearance data and clearances broken down by six city population groups, two county population groups, and suburban areas. This table lists the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and the 2008 estimated population for those agencies by city grouping, county grouping, and suburban area. Not all agencies submit reports for arson to the FBI. As a result, the number of reports the FBI uses to compute the percent of offenses cleared for arson is less than the number it uses to compute the percent of offenses cleared for all other offenses. The FBI bases percent cleared statistics on aggregated offense

and clearance totals.

Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA.

Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with suburban areas also appear in other groups within this table.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's

		2008 population estimate.	
26	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 months of complete offense reports for 2008.	This table provides the number of violent crimes and property crimes with a breakdown of the offenses known to law enforcement and the percentage of those offenses cleared by arrest or exceptional means by region and geographic division.	
		This table furnishes national clearance data and clearance data broken down for the Nation's four regions.	
		This table lists the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and the 2008 estimated population for those agencies by region and geographic division.	
		The FBI bases percent cleared statistics on aggregated offense and clearance totals. For the 2008 population	

	T		T
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		r o P o P o P o o o o o o o o o o o o o	
27	The data used in	This table provides offense	
	creating this table	breakdowns and the	
	were from all law	percentages of those crimes	
	enforcement agencies	cleared by arrest or exceptional	
	submitting at least 6	means for the following	
	months of complete	offenses:	
	offense reports for	offenses.	
	2008.	Forcible rape (by force and	
	2008.	assault to rape-attempts).	
		Robbery and aggravated	
		assault (weapon used).	
		Punglamy (fancible antwo	
		Burglary (forcible entry,	
		attempted forcible entry, and	
		unlawful entry).	
		Motor vehicle theft (vehicle	
		type).	
		VI 7	

Arson (property type). These data are listed by six city population groups, two county groups, and suburban areas. Not all agencies submit reports for arson to the FBI. As a result, the number of reports the FBI uses to compute the percent of offenses cleared for arson is less than the number it uses to compute the percent of offenses cleared for all other offenses. This table lists the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and the 2008 estimated population for those agencies by city grouping, county grouping, and suburban area. Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000

inhabitants and county law

enforcement agencies that are

		within an MSA.	
		Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies	
		associated with a principal city.	
		The agencies associated with	
		suburban areas also appear in	
		other groups within this table.	
		The FBI bases percent cleared	
		statistics on aggregated offense	
		and clearance totals.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
28	The data used in	This table provides the total	When an offender under
	creating this table	number of clearances for	the age of 18 is cited to
			_

were from all law enforcement agencies submitting at least 6 months of complete offense reports for 2008. violent crimes and property crimes with a breakdown of clearances for those offenses as well as the percentage of those clearances that involved only juveniles. appear in juvenile court or before other juvenile authorities, the UCR Program considers the incident to be cleared by arrest, even though a physical arrest may not have occurred.

The data in this table are listed by six city population groups, two county population groups, and suburban areas.

Clearances for offenses that include both adult and juvenile offenders are classified as clearances for crimes committed by adults and are, therefore, not included in this table.

This table lists the number of agencies meeting the criteria for inclusion in this table and the 2008 estimated population for those agencies by city grouping, county grouping, and suburban area.

Because the clearance percentages for crimes committed by juveniles include only those clearances in which no adults were involved, the figures in this table should not be used to present a definitive picture of juvenile involvement in crime.

Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA.

Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with

		suburban areas also appear in	
		other groups within this table.	
		The FBI bases percent cleared	
		statistics on aggregated offense	
		and clearance totals.	
		and creatainee totals.	
		F4b 9000	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
29	The data used in	This table provides the	
	creating the	estimated number of persons	
	estimates for this	arrested for 29 separate UCR	
	table were from all	offenses for the United States	
	law enforcement	in 2008.	
	agencies submitting		
	12 months of arrest		

data for 2008.

The arrest totals presented are national estimates based on the arrest statistics of all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data to the UCR Program.

The estimated total number of arrests in this table is the sum of estimated arrest volumes for 28 offenses, not including suspicion.

The arrest data for each of the individual offenses in this table is the sum of the estimated volume of arrests for that offense within each of the eight population groups. (See Area Definitions.)

The FBI calculated each of the eight population group's arrest estimates by dividing the reported 12-month volume figures (as shown in Table 31) by the contributing agencies' jurisdictional populations. The resulting figure was then multiplied by the total

		population for each population	
		group as estimated by the UCR	
		Program.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
30	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of persons arrested and the	number of persons
	were from all law	arrest rate per 100,000	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	inhabitants for the four regions	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	of the United States and for the	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	Nation as a whole in 2008.	year. Therefore, the
	for 2008.		statistics in this table
			could, in some cases,
		The FBI derived the arrest	represent multiple arrests
		rates by first dividing the total	of the same person.
		number of arrests by the	
		Ž	

aggregated populations covered by contributing agencies and then multiplying the resulting figure by 100,000.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

The FBI publishes only data that conform to UCR data collection guidelines. The data collection methodology for arrests for forcible rape used by the state Program administered by the Minnesota Department of Public Safety (with the exception of Minneapolis and St. Paul) does not comply with these guidelines. Therefore, arrests for forcible rape for Minnesota are not available for inclusion in this table. Instead, the FBI computed the number and the rate by estimating the forcible rape arrests for each population group for participating agencies in Minnesota. The figures were estimated using the national forcible rape numbers for each population group applied to the population by group for Minnesota agencies.

The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data

for 2008.

This table provides the number of persons arrested and the arrest rate per 100,000 inhabitants for the eight UCR population groups, U.S. cities as a whole, and for the Nation in 2008.

These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.

The FBI publishes only

The FBI derived the arrest rates by first dividing the total aggregated arrests by the aggregated populations covered by contributing agencies and then multiplying the resulting figure by 100,000.

data that conform to UCR data collection guidelines. The data collection methodology for arrests for forcible rape used by the state Program administered by the Minnesota Department of Public Safety (with the exception of Minneapolis and St. Paul) does not comply with these guidelines. Therefore, arrests for forcible rape for Minnesota are not available for inclusion in this table. Instead, the FBI computed the number and the rate by estimating the forcible

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population

		estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	rape arrests for each population group for participating agencies in Minnesota. The figures were estimated using the national forcible rape numbers for each population group applied
			to the population by group for Minnesota agencies.
32	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 1999 and 2008.	This 10-year trend table provides the number of persons arrested in 1999 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	

		avaraged, that avarage was	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 1999	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		1998 revised estimates and	
		1999 provisional estimates.	
33	The data used in	This 10-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of males	number of persons
	were from all law	and females arrested in 1999	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	and 2008 and the percent	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	change when the data for these	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	2 years are compared. The	year. Therefore, the
	for both 1999 and	table furnishes a breakdown of	statistics in this table
	2008.	these data by gender for	could, in some cases,
	2000.	juveniles (persons under age	represent multiple arrests
		18) and adults.	
		16) and addits.	of the same person.
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		population estimates from the	

		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 1999	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		1998 revised estimates and	
		1999 provisional estimates.	
34	The data used in	This 5-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of	number of persons
	were from all law	persons arrested in 2004 and	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	2008 and the percent change	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	when the data for these 2 years	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	are compared. The table	year. Therefore, the
	for both 2004 and	furnishes a breakdown of these	statistics in this table
	2008.	data by juveniles (persons	could, in some cases,
		under age 18) and adults.	represent multiple arrests
			of the same person.
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	

	2008.	these data by gender for juveniles (persons under age 18). For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000	some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
35	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2004 and	This 5-year trend table provides the number of males and females arrested in 2004 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, statistics in this table could, in
		population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. Population estimates for 2004 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2003 revised estimates and 2004 provisional estimates.	

		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2004	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		2003 revised estimates and	
		2004 provisional estimates.	
36	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of	number of persons
	were from all law	persons arrested in 2007 and	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	2008 and the percent change	porcone may be arrected
	cinor coment agencies	2000 and the percent change	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	when the data for these 2 years	more than once during a
	submitting 12	when the data for these 2 years	more than once during a
	submitting 12 months of arrest data	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table	more than once during a year. Therefore, the
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases,
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults. For the 2008 population	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests
	submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and	when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table,	more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests

		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2007	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		2006 revised estimates and	
		2007 provisional estimates.	
37	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of males	number of persons
	were from all law	and females arrested in 2007	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	and 2008 and the percent	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	change when the data for these	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	2 years are compared. The	year. Therefore, the
	for both 2007 and	table furnishes a breakdown of	statistics in this table
	2008.	these data by gender for	could, in some cases,
		juveniles (persons under age	represent multiple arrests
		18).	of the same person.
			_
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
1	i	and I DI compacca marviadar	

		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2006	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		2006 revised estimates and	
		2007 provisional estimates.	
38	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of persons arrested nationwide	number of persons
	were from all law	in 2008 for the 29 offenses for	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	which the UCR Program	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	collects arrest data. These data	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	are broken down by age of the	year. Therefore, the
	for 2008.	arrestee and include the	statistics in this table
		percent distribution of arrests	could, in some cases,
		by offense type.	represent multiple arrests
			of the same person.
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	

		the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
39	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of males arrested nationwide in 2008 for the 29 offenses for which the UCR Program collects arrest data. These data are broken down by age of the arrestees and include the percent distribution of arrests by offense type. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007	These data represent the number of males arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same male.

		population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
40	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of females arrested nationwide in 2008 for the 29 offenses for which the UCR Program collects arrest data. These data are broken down by age of the arrestee and include the percent distribution of arrests by offense type.	These data represent the number of females arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same female.
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population	

		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		2000 population commute.	
41	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of persons arrested nationwide	number of persons
	were from all law	in 2008 and, of those persons,	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	the number arrested within the	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	following age groups: Under	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	15, Under 18, Under 21, and	year. Therefore, the
	for 2008.	Under 25 years. In addition,	statistics in this table
		the table shows the percentage	could, in some cases,
		that each age group comprises	represent multiple arrests
		of the total number of persons	of the same person.
		arrested for each offense.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	

42	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of males and females arrested nationwide in 2008. The table furnishes breakdowns of these data for each offense and supplies a percent distribution of males and females arrested among all offense types.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
43	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest	This table provides the number of persons arrested nationwide in 2008 broken down by race of the arrestee. In addition, the table shows the percent distribution of arrests by race	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the

	data, including race	for each offense. The table also	statistics in this table
	information, for	furnishes a breakdown of these	could, in some cases,
	2008.	data by juveniles (persons	represent multiple arrests
		under age 18) and adults.	of the same person.
		For the 2008 population	The totals provided in
		estimates used in this table,	this table reflect only
		the FBI computed individual	those persons arrested by
		rates of growth from one year	law enforcement agencies
		to the next for every city/town	that provided race
		and county using 2000	information to the UCR
		decennial population counts	Program; therefore, the
		and 2001 through 2007	totals may not match
		population estimates from the	those shown in other
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	arrest tables for the
		agency's rates of growth were	Nation.
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
44	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of	number of persons
	were from all city law	persons arrested in cities in	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	2007 and 2008 and the	persons may be arrested
	submitting 12	percent change when the data	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	for these 2 years are compared.	year. Therefore, the
	for both 2007 and	The table furnishes a	statistics in this table
	2008.	breakdown of these data by	could, in some cases,
		juveniles (persons under age	represent multiple arrests
		18) and adults.	of the same person.

		City law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I–VI. (See Area Definitions.)	
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and 2007 provisional estimates.	
45	The data used in creating this table	This 2-year trend table provides the number of males	These data represent the number of persons

were from all city law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008. and females arrested in cities in 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by gender for juveniles (persons under age 18).

arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.

City law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I— VI. (See Area Definitions.)

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

Population estimates for 2007

		are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and 2007 provisional estimates.	
46	The data used in creating this table were from all city law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in cities in 2008 for the 29 offenses for which the UCR Program collects arrest data. These data are broken down by the age of the arrestee and include the percent distribution of arrests by offense type.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		City law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I–VI. (See Area Definitions.)	
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each	

		agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
47	The data used in creating this table were from all city law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in cities in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the following age groups: Under 15, Under 18, Under 21, and Under 25 years. In addition, the table shows the percentage that each age group comprises of the total number of persons arrested for each offense.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		City law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I—VI. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts	

		and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
48	The data used in creating this table were from all city law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of males and females arrested in cities in 2008. The table furnishes breakdowns of these data for each offense and supplies a percent distribution of males and females arrested among all offense types. City law enforcement agencies	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I—VI. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000	

		and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
49	The data used in creating this table were from all city law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data, including race information, for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in cities in 2008 broken down by the race of the arrestee. In addition, the table shows the percent distribution of arrests by race for each offense. The table also furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		City law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within Population Groups I–VI. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town	The totals provided in this table reflect only those persons arrested by law enforcement agencies that provided race information to the UCR Program; therefore, the totals may not match those shown in other arrest tables for cities.

		and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
50	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of persons arrested in metropolitan counties in 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)	

		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimates. Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and 2007 provisional estimates.	
51	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of males and females arrested in metropolitan counties in 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by gender for juveniles (persons under age	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.

18).

The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and

		2007 provisional estimates.	
52	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in metropolitan counties in 2008 for the 29 offenses for which the UCR Program collects arrest data. These data are broken down by age of the arrestee and include the percent distribution of arrests by offense type.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)	
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each	

		agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
53	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in metropolitan counties in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the following age groups: Under 15, Under 18, Under 21, and Under 25 years. In addition, the table shows the percentage that each age group comprises of the total number of persons arrested for each offense.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual	

		rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
54	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of males and females arrested in metropolitan counties in 2008. The table furnishes breakdowns of these data for each offense and supplies a percent distribution of males and females arrested among all offense types. The Metropolitan Counties classification encompasses	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located within currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)	

			T
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
55	The data used in creating this table were from all metropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data, including race information, for	This table provides the number of persons arrested in metropolitan counties in 2008 broken down by race of the arrestee. In addition, the table shows the percent distribution of arrests by race for each offense. The table also furnishes a breakdown of these	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person
	2008.	data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	of the same person.
			The totals provided in
		The Metropolitan Counties	this table reflect only
		classification encompasses	those persons arrested by
		jurisdictions covered by	law enforcement agencies

		noncity law enforcement	that provided race
		agencies located within	information to the UCR
		currently designated MSAs.	Program; therefore, the
		(See Area Definitions.)	totals may not match
			those shown in other
			arrest tables for
		For the 2008 population	metropolitan counties.
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
56	The data used in	This 2-year trend table	These data represent the
	creating this table	provides the number of	number of persons
	were from all	persons arrested in	arrested; however, some
	nonmetropolitan	nonmetropolitan counties in	persons may be arrested
	county law	2007 and 2008 and the	more than once during a
	enforcement agencies	percent change when the data	year. Therefore, the
	submitting 12	for these 2 years are compared.	statistics in this table
	months of arrest data	The table furnishes a	could, in some cases,
	for both 2007 and	breakdown of these data by	represent multiple arrests
	2008.	juveniles (persons under age	of the same person.

18) and adults.

The Nonmetropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located outside currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.

Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and

		2007 provisional estimates.	
57	The data used in creating this table were from all nonmetropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of males and females arrested in nonmetropolitan counties in 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table also furnishes a breakdown of these data by gender for juveniles (persons under age 18).	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		The Nonmetropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located outside currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)	
		estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the	

		T == == = = = = =	1
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2007	
		are based on the percent	
		change in the state population	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		2006 revised estimates and	
		2007 provisional estimates.	
		•	
58	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of persons arrested in	number of persons
	were from all	nonmetropolitan counties in	arrested; however, some
	nonmetropolitan	2008 for the 29 offenses for	persons may be arrested
	county law	which the UCR Program	more than once during a
	enforcement agencies	collects arrest data. These data	year. Therefore, the
	submitting 12	are broken down by age of the	statistics in this table
	months of arrest data	arrestee and include the	could, in some cases,
	for 2008.	percent distribution of arrests	represent multiple arrests
		by offense type.	of the same person.
		The Nonmetropolitan Counties	
		classification encompasses	
		jurisdictions covered by	
		noncity law enforcement	
		agencies located outside	
		currently designated MSAs.	
		(See Area Definitions.)	
		(= 30 1 20 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

	For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
The data used in creating this table were from all nonmetropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in nonmetropolitan counties in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the following age groups: Under 15, Under 18, Under 21, and Under 25 years. In addition, the table shows the percentage that each age group comprises of the total number of persons arrested for each offense.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
	creating this table were from all nonmetropolitan county law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data	estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. The data used in creating this table were from all nonmetropolitan counties in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008. The data used in creating this table were from all nonmetropolitan counties in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the following age groups: Under 15, Under 18, Under 21, and Under 25 years. In addition, the table shows the percentage that each age group comprises of the total number of persons

classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located outside currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. 60 The data used in This table provides the number These data represent the creating this table of males and females arrested number of persons were from all in nonmetropolitan counties in arrested; however, some 2008. The table furnishes nonmetropolitan persons may be arrested breakdowns of these data for county law more than once during a enforcement agencies each offense and supplies a year. Therefore, the submitting 12 percent distribution of males statistics in this table months of arrest data and females arrested among all could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests

	for 2008.	offense types.	of the same person.
		The Nonmetropolitan Counties	
		classification encompasses	
		jurisdictions covered by	
		noncity law enforcement	
		agencies located outside	
		currently designated MSAs.	
		(See Area Definitions.)	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
61	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of persons arrested in	number of persons
	were from	nonmetropolitan counties in	arrested; however, some
	nonmetropolitan	2008 broken down by race of	persons may be arrested

county law
enforcement agencies
submitting 12
months of arrest
data, including race
information, for
2008.

the arrestee. In addition, the table shows the percent distribution of arrests by race for each offense. The table also furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.

more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.

The Nonmetropolitan Counties classification encompasses jurisdictions covered by noncity law enforcement agencies located outside currently designated MSAs. (See Area Definitions.)

The totals provided in this table reflect only those persons arrested by law enforcement agencies that provided race information to the UCR Program; therefore, the totals may not match those shown in other arrest tables for nonmetropolitan counties.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's

		2008 population estimate.	
62	The data used in creating this table were from all suburban area law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of persons arrested in suburban areas for 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table furnishes a breakdown of these data by juveniles (persons under age 18) and adults.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		Suburban area law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within a currently designated MSA, excluding those agencies that cover principal cities as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB). (See Area Definitions.)	
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts	

		and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
		Population estimates for 2007 are based on the percent change in the state population from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2006 revised estimates and 2007 provisional estimates.	
63	The data used in creating this table were from all suburban area law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for both 2007 and 2008.	This 2-year trend table provides the number of males and females arrested in suburban areas in 2007 and 2008 and the percent change when the data for these 2 years are compared. The table also furnishes a breakdown of those data by gender for juveniles (persons under age 18).	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		Suburban area law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within a currently designated Metropolitan Statistical Area,	

	were from all	2008 for the 29 offenses for	arrested; however, some
	creating this table	of arrests in suburban areas in	number of persons
64	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
		2001 provisional estimates.	
		2007 provisional estimates.	
		2006 revised estimates and	
		from the U.S. Census Bureau's	
		change in the state population	
		are based on the percent	
		Population estimates for 2007	
		2008 population estimate.	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2007 Census population	
		then applied and added to its	
		averaged; that average was	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		population estimates from the	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		decennial population counts	
		and county using 2000	
		to the next for every city/town	
		rates of growth from one year	
		the FBI computed individual	
		estimates used in this table,	
		For the 2008 population	
		Area Definitions.)	
		Management and Budget. (See	
		defined by the U.S. Office of	
		cover principal cities as	
		excluding those agencies that	

suburban area law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008. which the UCR Program collects arrest data. These data are broken down by age of the arrestee and include the percent distribution of arrests by offense type.

persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.

Suburban area law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within a currently designated MSA, excluding those agencies that cover principal cities as defined by the U.S. OMB. (See Area Definitions.)

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's

		2008 population estimate.	
65	The data used in creating this table were from all suburban area law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of persons arrested in suburban areas in 2008 and, of those persons, the number arrested within the following age groups: Under 15, Under 18, Under 21, and Under 25 years. In addition, the table shows the percentage that each age group comprises of the total number of persons arrested for each offense.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		Suburban area law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within a currently designated MSA, excluding those agencies that cover principal cities as defined by the U.S. OMB. (See Area Definitions.) For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts	

		and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
66	The data used in creating this table were from all suburban area law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008.	This table provides the number of males and females arrested in suburban areas in 2008. The table furnishes breakdowns for each offense and supplies a percent distribution of males and females arrested among all offense types.	These data represent the number of persons arrested; however, some persons may be arrested more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple arrests of the same person.
		Suburban area law enforcement agencies are defined as all agencies within a currently designated MSA, excluding those agencies that cover principal cities as defined by the U.S. OMB. (See Area Definitions.)	

		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
67	The data used in	This table provides the number	These data represent the
	creating this table	of arrests in suburban areas in	number of persons
	were from all	2008 broken down by race of	arrested; however, some
	suburban area law	the arrestee. In addition, the	persons may be arrested
	enforcement agencies	table shows the percent	more than once during a
	submitting 12	distribution of arrests by race	year. Therefore, the
	months of arrest	for each offense. The table also	statistics in this table
	data, including race	furnishes a breakdown of these	could, in some cases,
	information, for	data by juveniles (persons	represent multiple arrests
	2008.	under age 18) and adults.	of the same person.
		Suburban area law	The totals provided in
		enforcement agencies are	this table reflect only
		defined as all agencies within a	those persons arrested by
		currently designated MSA,	law enforcement agencies
		excluding those agencies that	that provided race
		cover principal cities as	information to the UCR
		defined by the U.S. OMB. (See	Program; therefore, the
		•	ū

		Area Definitions.)	totals may not match those shown in other
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	arrest tables for suburban areas.
68	The data used in creating this table were from all law enforcement agencies submitting 12 months of arrest data for 2008 and providing juvenile disposition information.	The totals in this table represent the reported number of juvenile offenders taken into custody, aggregated by population group. In addition, this table provides the number of juveniles handled within five different disposition categories. The percent distribution within these categories for each population group is also included.	These data represent the reported number of juvenile offenders taken into custody; however, some juveniles may be taken into custody more than once during a year. Therefore, the statistics in this table could, in some cases, represent multiple detentions of the same person.

	-		
		For the 2008 population	In constructing this table,
		estimates used in this table,	the FBI accepted each
		the FBI computed individual	individual state's age
		rates of growth from one year	definition for juveniles.
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
69	The data used in	This table provides arrest data	These data represent the
	creating this table	for 29 separate UCR offenses	number of persons
	were from all law	for each state for 2008. The	arrested; however, some
	enforcement agencies	table provides both total	persons may be arrested
	that submitted 12	arrests and arrests of juveniles	more than once during a
	months of arrest data	(persons under the age of 18).	year. Therefore, the
	for 2008.		statistics in this table
			could, in some cases,
		Arrest totals are aggregates of	represent multiple arrests
		the totals reported by agencies	of the same person.
		providing data to the UCR	
		Program within each state.	
		1 10grain within cath state.	Any comparison of
1			
			statistics in this table
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table,	

		the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	in arrest practices, particularly for Part II crimes. (Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting defines the UCR Program's Part II offenses.)
70	The information in this table is derived from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	This table provides the number and rate of law enforcement employees broken down by region, geographic division, and population group. The totals for full-time law enforcement employees in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties are combined in this table.	The UCR Program defines law enforcement officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants,
		enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law	stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.

		enforcement agencies that are	
		within an MSA.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
71	The information in	This table provides the number	The UCR Program
	this table is derived	and rate of sworn law	defines law enforcement
	from law	enforcement officers broken	officers as individuals
	enforcement officer	down by region, geographic	who ordinarily carry a
	counts (as of October	division, and population	firearm and a badge, have
	31, 2008) submitted	group.	full arrest powers, and
	by participating		are paid from
	agencies.		governmental funds set
		For the data presentation in	aside specifically to pay
		this table, the totals for full-	sworn law enforcement.
		time law enforcement officers	
		in metropolitan and	
	1		

		nonmetropolitan counties are	
		combined.	
		Suburban areas include law	
		enforcement agencies in cities	
		with less than 50,000	
		inhabitants and county law	
		enforcement agencies that are	
		within an MSA.	
		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
72	The information in	This table includes the number	The UCR Program
	this table is derived	of agencies that fall within	defines law enforcement
	from law	specified rate ranges of	officers as individuals
	enforcement	employment by population	who ordinarily carry a
		FJ	or arrang carry a

employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies. group for law enforcement personnel, both sworn officers and civilian employees.

The FBI derived the rate of full-time law enforcement employees per population group by first dividing the aggregated total of personnel for the group by the aggregated estimated populations covered by the contributing agencies within the group and then multiplying the resulting figure by 1,000.

firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement.

Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.

For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's

Agencies with no resident population; i.e., those associated with universities and colleges (see Table 79), other agencies (see Table 81), and some state agencies; are excluded from this table. Therefore, the total number of agencies used in this table differs from that provided in other law enforcement employee tables.

		2008 population estimate.	
73	The information in this table is derived from law enforcement officer counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	This table includes the number of agencies that fall within specified rate ranges of employment by population group for law enforcement officers. The FBI derived the rate of full-time law enforcement officers per population group by first dividing the aggregated total of officers for the group by the aggregated estimated populations covered by the contributing agencies within the group and then multiplying the resulting figure by 1,000.	The UCR Program defines law enforcement officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Agencies with no resident population; i.e., those associated with universities and colleges (see Table 79), other agencies (see Table 81), and some state agencies;
		For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	are excluded from this table. Therefore, the total number of agencies used in this table differs from that provided in other law enforcement officer tables.

		averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	
74	The information in this table is derived from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	This table includes the number of reported sworn law enforcement officers and civilian employees broken down by population group. The totals are also broken down by percent male and percent female.	The UCR Program defines law enforcement officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement.
		Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA. Suburban areas exclude all metropolitan agencies associated with a principal city. The agencies associated with suburban areas also appear in other groups within this table. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table,	Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.

75	The information in this table is derived from civilian law enforcement counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. This table includes data about civilian law enforcement employees by population group. Suburban areas include law enforcement agencies in cities with less than 50,000 inhabitants and county law enforcement agencies that are within an MSA. Suburban areas exclude all	Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.

		For the 2008 population	
		estimates used in this table,	
		the FBI computed individual	
		rates of growth from one year	
		to the next for every city/town	
		and county using 2000	
		decennial population counts	
		and 2001 through 2007	
		population estimates from the	
		U.S. Census Bureau. Each	
		agency's rates of growth were	
		averaged; that average was	
		then applied and added to its	
		2007 Census population	
		estimate to derive the agency's	
		2008 population estimate.	
76	The information in	This table provides the number	The UCR Program
	this table is derived	of state law enforcement	defines law enforcement
	from law	employees, the number of male	officers as individuals
	enforcement	officers, the number of female	who ordinarily carry a
	employee counts (as	officers, the number of male	firearm and a badge, have
	of October 31, 2008)	civilian employees, and the	full arrest powers, and
	submitted by	number of female civilian	are paid from
	participating	employees listed alphabetically	governmental funds set
	agencies.	by state.	aside specifically to pay
			sworn law enforcement.
			Civilian employees
			include full-time agency
			personnel such as clerks,
			radio dispatchers, meter
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

			attendants,
			stenographers, jailers,
			correctional officers, and
			mechanics.
			Caution should be used
			when comparing data
			from one state to that of
			another. The
			responsibilities of the
			various state police,
			highway patrol, and
			department of public
			safety agencies range
			from full law enforcement
			duties to only traffic
			patrol, which can impact
			both the level of
			employment for agencies
			as well as the ratio of
			sworn officers to civilians
			employed. Any valid
			comparison must take
			these factors and the
			other identified variables
			affecting crime into
			consideration.
77	The information in	This table provides the number	The UCR Program
	this table is derived	of law enforcement employees,	defines law enforcement
	from law	the number of male officers,	officers as individuals
	enforcement	the number of female officers,	who ordinarily carry a
	employee counts (as	the number of male civilian	firearm and a badge, have

	of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	employees, and the number of female civilian employees listed alphabetically by state. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were	full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.
78	The information in this table is derived from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate. This table provides the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by city/town law enforcement agencies listed alphabetically by state. For the 2008 population estimates used in this table, the FBI computed individual rates of growth from one year to the next for every city/town	The UCR Program defines law enforcement officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees

		and county using 2000 decennial population counts and 2001 through 2007 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. Each agency's rates of growth were averaged; that average was then applied and added to its 2007 Census population estimate to derive the agency's 2008 population estimate.	include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.
79	The information in this table is derived from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating agencies.	This table provides the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by universities and colleges listed alphabetically by state. The student enrollment figures provided by the United States Department of Education are for the 2007 school year, the most recent year for which the data are available. The figures include full-time and part-time students.	The UCR Program defines law enforcement officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter attendants, stenographers, jailers, correctional officers, and mechanics.
80	The information in this table is derived	This table provides the number of law enforcement officers	The UCR Program defines law enforcement

			1
	from law	and civilians employed by	officers as individuals
	enforcement	agencies in metropolitan and	who ordinarily carry a
	employee counts (as	nonmetropolitan counties	firearm and a badge, have
	of October 31, 2008)	listed alphabetically by state.	full arrest powers, and
	submitted by		are paid from
	participating		governmental funds set
	agencies.		aside specifically to pay
			sworn law enforcement.
			Civilian employees
			include full-time agency
			personnel such as clerks,
			radio dispatchers, meter
			attendants,
			stenographers, jailers,
			correctional officers, and
			mechanics.
81	The information in	This table provides the number	The UCR Program
		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
	this table is derived	of law enforcement officers	defines law enforcement
	this table is derived from law	of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by state	defines law enforcement officers as individuals
		and civilians employed by state	officers as individuals
	from law enforcement	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a
	from law enforcement employee counts (as	and civilians employed by state	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008)	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state.	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement.
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks,
	from law enforcement employee counts (as of October 31, 2008) submitted by participating	and civilians employed by state agencies and other agencies listed alphabetically by state. The table also includes the number of law enforcement officers and civilians employed by a participating federal agency, the National Institutes	officers as individuals who ordinarily carry a firearm and a badge, have full arrest powers, and are paid from governmental funds set aside specifically to pay sworn law enforcement. Civilian employees include full-time agency personnel such as clerks, radio dispatchers, meter

correctional officers, and
mechanics.