

CITS3401 Data Exploration and Mining Project 2

Wine Classification

Mitchell Pomery
21130887

June 2, 2014

Introduction

The project specified that we are to develop several classifiers for wines using different classification methods to compare how machine learning performs compared to experts when rating different wines. The initial data is split into two groups, red wine and white wine, available from the UCI Machine Learning Repository[1]. Data analysis was done using Weka[2], data mining software created by Machine Learning Group at the University of Waikato, New Zealand. Specifically, we are using the classification and clustering tools in Weka Explorer for analysis.

Data Preprocessing

The initial data provided was in two files, `winequality-red.csv` and `winequality-white.csv`, that were converted to Weka's ARFF file format using an online conversion tool[3]. This tool was used to output two datasets, dataset 1 (`ds1-red.arff` and `ds1-white.arff`) and dataset 2 (`ds2-red.arff` and `ds2-white.arff`). Dataset 1 contains all the information that was in the original data, and is used to create the classifier. The fields in this dataset are numeric, apart from the quality which is nominal, making it is possible to group wines that receive the same rankings in Weka. Dataset 2 contains all the numerical information from the original data and does not contain any information about the rankings from the wine tasters. The aim is to cluster these so that the wines fall into groups similar to the quality attribute of dataset 1.

Clustering

Dataset 2 requires clustering before it can be classified as the quality attribute of each data point has been removed. Simple K means clustering was used and after experimenting, fixed acidity, volatile acidity, citric acid, and density were ignored for the red wine data. This gave a roughly similar distribution to the qualities found in the red wine dataset. In the white wine dataset, ignoring the different attributes has very little effect on the clustering. After ignoring different combinations of attributes, it does not seem possible to cluster the data points into a similar distribution to the initial data. Comparing the clustering with the red wines quality information shows a 35% accuracy in the groupings, while for the clustered white wines there is only 25% accuracy.

Red Wine

```
Scheme:weka.clusterers.SimpleKMeans -N 6 -A  
"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last" -I 500 -S 10
```

Clustered Instances

0	632 (40%)
1	128 (8%)
2	196 (12%)
3	32 (2%)
4	339 (21%)
5	272 (17%)

White Wine

```
Scheme:weka.clusterers.SimpleKMeans -N 7 -A
"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last" -I 500 -S 10
Clustered Instances

0      1093 ( 22%)
1       536 ( 11%)
2       832 ( 17%)
3       569 ( 12%)
4       885 ( 18%)
5       658 ( 13%)
6       325 (  7%)
```

Classification

Naive Bayesian

Naive Bayes classifiers are simple to implement, fast, and are used in real world situations such as spam filters. They work by looking at the traits of an object, and using each individual trait to determine how likely it is that the object falls into a specific classification. Their downside is that they assume the presence or absence of particular traits has no affect on the classification. We used cross-validation for Naive Bayes with 10 folds in Weka to calculate classify all the wines, and compared the classifications with the quality fields. Experimentation showed that increasing the number of folds for the red wine only had minimal effect on the accuracy of the classification.

Dataset 1

Red Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.bayes.NaiveBayes
Relation:      ds1red
Instances:     1599
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      880           55.0344 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    719           44.9656 %
Kappa statistic                     0.311
Mean absolute error                  0.1763
Root mean squared error              0.3198
Relative absolute error              82.1845 %
Root relative squared error          97.7154 %
Total Number of Instances          1599
```

White Wine

```

Scheme:weka.classifiers.bayes.NaiveBayes
Relation:    ds1white
Instances:   4898
Attributes:  12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      2168           44.263 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    2730           55.737 %
Kappa statistic                    0.2169
Mean absolute error                 0.1721
Root mean squared error             0.3221
Relative absolute error             89.1485 %
Root relative squared error        103.6855 %
Total Number of Instances          4898

```

Dataset 2

Red Wine

```

Scheme:weka.classifiers.bayes.NaiveBayes
Relation:    ds2red_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:   1599
Attributes:  12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      1424           89.0557 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances     175           10.9443 %
Kappa statistic                    0.8548
Mean absolute error                 0.0534
Root mean squared error             0.1698
Relative absolute error             21.401 %
Root relative squared error        48.0797 %
Total Number of Instances          1599

```

White Wine

```

Scheme:weka.classifiers.bayes.NaiveBayes
Relation:    ds2white_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:   4898
Attributes:  12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      4267           87.1172 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances     631           12.8828 %
Kappa statistic                    0.8467
Mean absolute error                 0.0594
Root mean squared error             0.1667
Relative absolute error             24.7449 %
Root relative squared error        48.0952 %
Total Number of Instances          4898

```

Support Vector Machine

Support Vector Machine's are learning models and algorithms that analyze data and find patterns, then use the patterns for classification of data. Unlike the Naive Bayesian classifier, the support vector machine is a non-probabilistic classifier, meaning that it will not provide uncertainty for the results. This means that each different category is separated by as large a gap as possible.

Dataset 1

Red Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.SMO -C 1.0 -L 0.001 -P 1.0E-12 -N 0 -V -1
-W 1 -K "weka.classifiers.functions.supportVector.PolyKernel -C 250007
-E 1.0"
Relation:      ds1red
Instances:     1599
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      933           58.349 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    666           41.651 %
Kappa statistic                    0.2905
Mean absolute error                 0.2349
Root mean squared error             0.3301
Relative absolute error             109.5032 %
Root relative squared error         100.851 %
Total Number of Instances          1599
```

White Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.SMO -C 1.0 -L 0.001 -P 1.0E-12 -N 0 -V -1
-W 1 -K "weka.classifiers.functions.supportVector.PolyKernel -C 250007
-E 1.0"
Relation:      ds1white
Instances:     4898
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      2550           52.0621 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    2348           47.9379 %
Kappa statistic                    0.1905
Mean absolute error                 0.2137
Root mean squared error             0.3168
Relative absolute error             110.7083 %
Root relative squared error         101.9859 %
Total Number of Instances          4898
```

Dataset 2

Red Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.SMO -C 1.0 -L 0.001 -P 1.0E-12 -N 0 -V -1
-W 1 -K "weka.classifiers.functions.supportVector.PolyKernel -C 250007
-E 1.0"
Relation:      ds2red_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:     1599
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      1506                94.1839 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    93                   5.8161 %
Kappa statistic                    0.9215
Mean absolute error                 0.2238
Root mean squared error             0.3128
Relative absolute error             89.7115 %
Root relative squared error         88.5878 %
Total Number of Instances          1599
```

White Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.SMO -C 1.0 -L 0.001 -P 1.0E-12 -N 0 -V -1
-W 1 -K "weka.classifiers.functions.supportVector.PolyKernel -C 250007
-E 1.0"
Relation:      ds2white_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:     4898
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      4711                96.1821 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    187                   3.8179 %
Kappa statistic                    0.9545
Mean absolute error                 0.2047
Root mean squared error             0.3021
Relative absolute error             85.1906 %
Root relative squared error         87.1569 %
Total Number of Instances          4898
```

Neural Network

Neural Networks are based off of animal's central nervous systems, by using several input sensors that transform the data before handing it on to another neuron. The neurons are connected together in a network and work simultaneously, rather than sequentially, to process the data. Real world applications for neural networks include speech and handwriting recognition.

Dataset 1

Red Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.MultilayerPerceptron -L 0.3 -M 0.2 -N 500
-V 0 -S 0 -E 20 -H a
Relation:      ds1red
Instances:     1599
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      967           60.4753 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    632           39.5247 %
Kappa statistic                    0.3585
Mean absolute error                 0.1657
Root mean squared error             0.3021
Relative absolute error             77.2334 %
Root relative squared error         92.3027 %
Total Number of Instances          1599
```

White Wine

```
Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.MultilayerPerceptron -L 0.3 -M 0.2 -N 500
-V 0 -S 0 -E 20 -H a
Relation:      ds1white
Instances:     4898
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      2706           55.247 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    2192           44.753 %
Kappa statistic                    0.2839
Mean absolute error                 0.1601
Root mean squared error             0.289
Relative absolute error             82.9327 %
Root relative squared error         93.0254 %
Total Number of Instances          4898
```

Dataset 2

Red Wine

```

Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.MultilayerPerceptron -L 0.3 -M 0.2 -N 500
-V 0 -S 0 -E 20 -H a
Relation:      ds2red_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:     1599
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      1531                95.7473 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances     68                  4.2527 %
Kappa statistic                     0.9432
Mean absolute error                  0.0183
Root mean squared error              0.1047
Relative absolute error              7.3361 %
Root relative squared error          29.6501 %
Total Number of Instances           1599

```

White Wine

```

Scheme:weka.classifiers.functions.MultilayerPerceptron -L 0.3 -M 0.2 -N 500
-V 0 -S 0 -E 20 -H a
Relation:      ds2white_clustered-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1
Instances:     4898
Attributes:    12
Test mode:10-fold cross-validation

Correctly Classified Instances      4657                95.0796 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances     241                  4.9204 %
Kappa statistic                     0.9415
Mean absolute error                  0.0195
Root mean squared error              0.1053
Relative absolute error              8.0972 %
Root relative squared error          30.3967 %
Total Number of Instances           4898

```

Results

The project stated it wanted dataset 1, the unmodified dataset, and dataset 2, the clustered dataset, compared through the use of classifiers.

- Red wine dataset is not very spread out
- White wine distribution was. Looks like a bell curve
- only physiochemical inputs, no price, brand, grape type included. Psychology thingiemabobs.

Bibliography

- [1] UCI Machine Learning Repository: Wine Quality Data Set. 2014. UCI Machine Learning Repository: Wine Quality Data Set. [ONLINE] Available at: <http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Wine+Quality>. [Accessed 01 June 2014].
- [2] Weka 3 - Data Mining with Open Source Machine Learning Software in Java . 2014. Weka 3 - Data Mining with Open Source Machine Learning Software in Java . [ONLINE] Available at: <http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/>. [Accessed 01 June 2014].
- [3] Online CSV to ARFF conversion tool. 2014. Online CSV to ARFF conversion tool. [ONLINE] Available at: <http://slavnik.fe.uni-lj.si/markot/csv2arff/csv2arff.php>. [Accessed 01 June 2014].
- [4] P. Cortez, A. Cerdeira, F. Almeida, T. Matos and J. Reis. Modeling wine preferences by data mining from physicochemical properties. In Decision Support Systems, Elsevier, 47(4):547-553, 2009.
- [5] Rennie, J.; Shih, L.; Teevan, J.; Karger, D. (2003). "Tackling the poor assumptions of Naive Bayes classifiers". ICML.
- [6] Non-Probabilistic Classification Methods. 2014. Non-Probabilistic Classification Methods. [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~girolami/Machine_Learning_Module_2006/week_5/Lectures/wk_5.pdf. [Accessed 01 June 2014].