

Probabilistic Programming Languages (PPL)

MPRI 2.40 - 2024/2025

Higher-Order Semantics

Christine Tasson

October, the 3rd

Today's schedule

Semantics, Higher Order and discrete distributions

Syntax of a functional discrete PPL

Discrete Markov Processes and Stochastic Matrices

Probabilistic Coherent Spaces

Practical Session

Modeling

Computing semantics

Semantics, Higher-Order and continuous distribution

Continuous Markov Processes and Kernels

The problem with higher-order (Aumman's Lemma)

Quasi Borel Spaces and ICones

Higher-order PPL with discrete distributions

Functional language

A Call-by-value probabilistic λ -calculus

Ground types: $b ::= \text{unit} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{int} \mid b \times b$

Types: $t ::= b \mid \text{dist} \mid t \otimes t \mid t \rightarrow t$

Constants: $c ::= () \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid n \mid (c, c) \mid f(c, \dots, c)$ where f is a ground operator

Values: $v ::= \text{Dirac } c \mid \text{Bernoulli } r \mid \text{Dice } n \mid \lambda x. e \mid \text{fix } x. e$

Terms: $e ::= v \mid x \mid (e, e) \mid (v)w \mid \text{if } v : e \text{ else: } e \mid \text{let } x = \text{Sample } e \text{ in } e$

Contexts: $G ::= 1 \mid G_0, x : t$ where $x \notin G_0$

$G \vdash_k e : t$ Type System

Deterministic ($k = d$)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{G \vdash_d () : \text{unit}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash_d \underline{\text{true}} : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{G \vdash_d \underline{n} : \text{int}} \quad \frac{f \in b_1 \times \dots \times b_n \rightarrow b'}{G \vdash_d f(\tilde{c}) : b'} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{G \vdash_d \text{Dice } n : \text{int dist}} \\
 \\
 \frac{r \in \mathbb{R}}{G \vdash_d \text{Bernoulli } r : \text{bool dist}} \quad \frac{G \vdash_d c : b}{G \vdash_d \text{Dirac}(c) : b \text{ dist}}
 \end{array}$$


Deterministic or Probabilistic ($k = d$ or $k = p$)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{G \vdash_k e_1 : t_1 \quad G \vdash_k e_2 : t_2}{G \vdash_k (e_1, e_2) : t_1 \times t_2} \quad \frac{G + [x : t_1] \vdash_k e : t_2}{G \vdash_k \lambda x. e : t_1 \rightarrow t_2} \quad \frac{G \vdash_k e_2 : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \quad G \vdash_k e_1 : t_1}{G \vdash_k (e_2) e_1 : t_2} \quad \frac{G, [x : t] \vdash_k e : t}{G \vdash_k \text{fix } x. e : t} \\
 \\
 \frac{G \vdash_k e_1 : t_1 \quad G + [x : t] \vdash_k e_2 : t_2}{G \vdash_k \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : t_2} \quad \frac{G \vdash_d e : \text{bool} \quad G \vdash_k e_1 : t \quad G \vdash_k e_2 : t}{G \vdash_k \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : t}
 \end{array}$$

Probabilistic ($k = p$)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{G \vdash_d e : t}{G \vdash_p e : t} \quad \frac{G \vdash_d e : b \text{ dist}}{G \vdash_p \text{sample}(e) : b} \quad \frac{G \vdash_d e : \text{bool}}{G \vdash_p \text{assume}(P) : \text{unit}} \quad \frac{G \vdash_p e : b}{G \vdash_d \text{infer } e : b \text{ dist}}
 \end{array}$$

Operational Semantics (discrete case) Labeled Transition System (LTS)

 Ugo Dal Lago, On Probabilistic Lambda-Calculi, in Foundations of Probabilistic Programming, 2020

Deterministic Reduction

$$\frac{}{\text{let } x = v \text{ in } e \rightarrow_d e[v/x]}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.e)v \rightarrow_d e[v/x]}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{fix } x.e \rightarrow_d e[\text{fix } x.e/x]}$$

Probabilistic Reduction

$$\frac{e \rightarrow_d e'}{e \xrightarrow{1} e'}$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow_d e'}{\text{sample } (e) \xrightarrow{1} \text{sample } (e')}$$

$$\frac{\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}{\text{sample } (\text{Dice } n) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{n}} \underline{i}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{sample } (\text{Bernoulli } r) \xrightarrow{r} \underline{\text{true}}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{sample } (\text{Bernoulli } r) \xrightarrow{1-r} \underline{\text{false}}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{sample } (\text{Dirac } v) \xrightarrow{1} v}$$

$$\frac{e \xrightarrow{r} e'}{f(e) \xrightarrow{r} f(e')}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \xrightarrow{r} e'_2}{(e_2)e_1 \xrightarrow{r} (e'_2)e_1}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{r} e'_1}{(e_2)e_1 \xrightarrow{r} (e_2)e'_1}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{r} e'_1}{\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \xrightarrow{r} \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2}$$

Useful Notions in Probability Theory: Stochastic Matrices

Discrete measures

$x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|X|}$ is a countable distribution if $\sum_{a \in A} x_a = 1$

$x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|X|}$ is a countable subdistribution if $\sum_{a \in A} x_a \leq 1$

$x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|X|}$ is a countable bounded measure if $\sum_{a \in A} x_a < \infty$

sMat: Objects are $(|X|, \mathcal{P}(X))$ where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a set of countable bounded measures over a set $|X|$ and morphisms are stochastic matrices

Stochastic Matrix: $\theta : X \rightsquigarrow Y$ is a matrix $\text{sMat}(X, Y) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|X| \times |Y|}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{P}(X) \quad \forall b \in |Y|, \quad \theta(x, b) = \sum_{a \in |X|} \theta_{a,b} x_a \quad \theta \cdot x \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

Composition: $\theta : \mathcal{X} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\theta' : \mathcal{Y} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Z}$.

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{P}(X) \quad \forall c \in |\mathcal{Z}|, \quad \theta' \circ \theta(x, c) = \sum_{a \in |X|} \sum_{b \in |Y|} \theta'_{b,c} \theta_{a,b} x_a = \sum_{b \in |Y|} \theta'(\theta(x, b), c)$$

Operational Semantics (Discrete case)

Stochastic Matrix:

$$\mathbf{Proba}(e, e') = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } e \xrightarrow{p} e' \\ 1 & \text{if } e \text{ does not reduce and } e = e' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Iterated Transition Matrix:

$\mathbf{Proba}^k(e, e')$ is the probability that e reduces to e' in at most k steps.

$\mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, e')$ when e' does not reduce and is normal, is the probability that e reduces to e' in any number of steps

Higher-order PPL with discrete distributions

Denotational Semantics of Higher-Order

Goal: Adequacy

Operational Semantics computes for every closed term of ground type $\vdash_p e : b$, the probability of reduction to each potential value $\mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, -) : |b| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

Denotational Semantics defines $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p e : t \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket t \rrbracket$ which is an invariant of probabilistic reduction and such that adequacy holds: for every ground type b ,

$$\forall v \in b, \llbracket \vdash_p e : b \rrbracket_v = \mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, v)$$

Model of Linear Logic

SMCC - Symmetric Monoidal Closed Category

Category : \mathcal{C} objects + morphisms, identity and associative composition

Symmetric Monoidal : $1, A \otimes B$ + unit, associative and commutative laws

Closed: $A \multimap B, \Lambda, \text{ev}$ + currying and evaluation are in tightly related, $\frac{A \otimes B \rightarrow C}{A \rightarrow B \multimap C}$.

Comonad

$! : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor (action on objects and morphisms)

counit $\epsilon_A : !A \rightarrow A$ and comultiplication $\delta_A : !A \rightarrow !!A$ + diagrams.

Monoidal Strength:

natural isomorphisms $!T \xrightarrow{\sim} 1$ and $!(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\sim} !A \otimes !B$ + coherence diagrams

Commutative Comonoid

weakening $w_A : !A \rightarrow 1$ and contraction $c_A : !A \rightarrow !A \otimes !A$ + coherence diagrams

Call-By-Value in models of LL



Call-by-name, call-by-value, call-by-need, and the Linear Lambda Calculus, Maraist & al.

Interpretation of types

Ground types: $Z^* = !Z$

Function types: $(A \rightarrow B)^* = !(A^* \multimap B^*)$

Types A^* are preceded by !, thus

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & !A^* \\ A^* & \xrightarrow{c} & A^* \otimes A^* \quad A^* \xrightarrow{w} 1 \end{array}$$

Contexts: $(A_1, \dots, A_n)^* = A_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^*$

Interpretation of terms: $G \vdash e : A$ is interpreted as a morphism $e^* : G^* \rightarrow A^*$

Semantics of CBV in LL

Interpretation of terms: $G \vdash e : A$ is interpreted as a morphism $e^* : G^* \rightarrow A^*$

Variable:

$$G, x : t \vdash x : t \qquad G^* \otimes t^* \xrightarrow{w_{G^*} \otimes \text{id}} 1 \otimes t^* \xrightarrow{\sim} t^*$$

Abstraction

$$\frac{G, x : t_1 \vdash e : t_2}{G \vdash \lambda x. e : t_1 \rightarrow t_2} \qquad \frac{G^* \otimes t_1^* \xrightarrow{e^*} t_2^*}{G^* \xrightarrow{\Lambda e^*} t_1^* \multimap t_2^*}$$
$$G^* \xrightarrow{\delta} !G^* \xrightarrow{! \Lambda e^*} !(t_1^* \multimap t_2^*) = t_1 \rightarrow t_2^*$$

Application

$$\frac{G \vdash e_2 : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \quad G \vdash e_1 : t_1}{G \vdash (e_2)e_1 : t_2} \qquad G^* \xrightarrow{e_2} !(t_1^* \multimap t_2^*) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} t_1^* \multimap t_2^* \qquad G^* \xrightarrow{e_1} t_1^*$$
$$G^* \xrightarrow{c} G^* \otimes G^* \rightarrow (t_1^* \multimap t_2^*) \otimes t_1^* \xrightarrow{ev} t_2^*$$

Probabilistic Coherence Spaces

A model of LL for discrete probability



Vincent Danos, Thomas Ehrhard, On probabilistic coherence spaces, 2011

The category of Probabilistic Coherent spaces - Pcoh

Object: $(|A|, P(A))$ with $|A|$ a set and $P(A) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|A|}$ such that

$$P(A) = P(A)^{\perp\perp} \text{ where } P^\perp = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|A|} \mid \forall x' \in P \langle x, x' \rangle = \sum_{a \in |A|} x_a x'_a \leq 1\}$$

Bounded covering $\forall a \in |A| (\exists x \in P(A), x_a \neq 0)$ and $(\exists p \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall x x_a < p)$

Examples $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket = (|\tau|, P(\tau))$

$$|\text{unit dist}| = \{*\}$$

$$P(\text{unit dist}) = [0, 1]$$

$$|\text{int dist}| = \mathbb{N}$$

$$P(\text{int dist}) = \{(x_n) \mid \sum x_n \leq 1\}$$

$$|\text{bool dist}| = \{t, f\}$$

$$P(\text{bool dist}) = \{(x_t, x_f) \mid x_t + x_f \leq 1\}$$

$$|A \times B| = |A| \uplus |B|$$

$$P(A \times B) = \{(x_i)_{i \in |A| \uplus |B|} \mid (x_i)_{i \in |A|} \in P(A), (x_i)_{i \in |B|} \in P(B)\}$$

$$\llbracket \text{Bernoulli } p \rrbracket = (p, 1-p)$$

$$\llbracket \text{Dice } n \rrbracket = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$$

PCOH and Linear coherent maps is a model of simply typed lambda-calculus

The linear category of Probabilistic Coherence Spaces

Object: $(|A|, P(A))$ with $|A|$ a set and $P(A) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|A|}$ set of functions

Morphism: $f : (|A|, P(A)) \rightarrow (|B|, P(B))$ a matrix $(f_{(a,b)})$ indexed by $|A| \times |B|$ such that

$$\forall x \in P(A), f(x) : b \mapsto \sum_{a \in |A|} x(a) f_{a,b} \in P(B)$$

Examples

$$f : \llbracket \text{bool dist} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{bool dist} \rrbracket \text{ such that } f = \begin{array}{cc} W \setminus S & \begin{array}{cc} T & F \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} T \\ F \end{array} & \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/5 & 4/5 \\ 3/4 & 1/4 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

PCOH and Linear coherent maps is a model of Linear Logic

The linear category of Probabilistic Coherence Spaces

Object: $(|A|, P(A))$ with $|A|$ a set and $P(A) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|A|}$ set of functions

Morphism: $f : (|A|, P(A)) \rightarrow (|B|, P(B))$ such that $f \cdot P(A) \subseteq P(B)$

Tensor product

$$|X \otimes Y| = |X| \times |Y|$$

$$P(X \otimes Y) = \{x \otimes y \mid x \in P(X), y \in P(Y)\}^{\perp\perp} \quad \text{where } (x \otimes y) : (a, b) \mapsto x(a)y(b)$$

Examples of morphisms in PCOH:

Duplication: $\Delta : [|b| \text{ dist}] \rightarrow [|b| \times |b| \text{ dist}]$ such that $\Delta(x) = \sum_a x_a \delta_{a,a}$

Marginalization: $\text{proj} : [|b| \text{ dist}] \otimes [|b'| \text{ dist}] \rightarrow [|b| \text{ dist}]$ such that $\text{proj}(x \otimes y) = x$

Exponential

$$|!X| = \mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}(|X|)$$

$$P(!X) = \{x^! \mid x \in P(X)\}^{\perp\perp} \quad \text{where } x^! : m \mapsto \prod_{a \in m} x(a)^{m(a)}$$

The cartesian closed category of Probabilistic Coherence spaces

The category of Probabilistic Coherence spaces and analytic maps

Object: $(|A|, P(A))$ with $|A|$ a set and $P(A) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|A|}$ set of functions

Morphism: $f : (|A|, P(A)) \rightarrow (|B|, P(B))$ a matrix $(f_{(m,b)})$ indexed by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}(|A|) \times |B|$ such that

$$\forall x \in P(A), f(x) : b \mapsto \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}(|A|)} \prod_{a \in m} x(a)^{m(a)} f_{m,b} \in P(B)$$

Examples


$f : \llbracket \text{unit} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{unit} \rrbracket$ such that $\forall x \in [0, 1], f \cdot x = \sum_n f_n x^n \in [0, 1]$

$f : \llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{unit} \rrbracket$ such that $f_{(\text{true}^n, *)} = 1$ otherwise $f_{m,*} = 0$, then $f \cdot (p, 1-p) = \sum_n p^n$ and $f \cdot (1, 0) = 0$.

PCOH and analytic maps is a CCC (the Kleisli Category of !) CPO enriched.

It is a model of PCF = CBN lambda calculus and fixpoints

Results on Probabilistic Coherent Spaces

 Ehrhard, Pagani, Tasson, Full Abstraction for Probabilistic PCF, 2015

Compositionality

$$\llbracket (e)e_2 \rrbracket_b = \llbracket e \rrbracket(\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket)_b = \sum_m \llbracket e \rrbracket_{m,b} \prod_{a \in m} \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_a^{m(a)}$$

Invariance of the semantics

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket = \sum_{e_2} \mathbf{Proba}(e, e_2) \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket$$

Adequacy Lemma

if $\vdash e : \text{nat}$, then $\mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, \underline{n}) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_n$

Full Abstraction at ground type nat

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{Proba}^\infty(C[e_1], n) \stackrel{\forall C[] \forall n}{=} \mathbf{Proba}^\infty(C[e_2], n)$$

Why you should care or not on Probabilistic Coherence Spaces

- ✓ Interpretation of probabilistic programs of discrete type (int or real) are PCOH maps, with good analytic Properties



Probabilistic Stable Functions on Discrete Cones are Power Series. R. Crubille, 2018

- ✓ Concrete Sandbox for getting intuitions on probabilistic programs

💣 Non definability: $\text{Pcoh}(\text{bool}, 1) = \left\{ Q \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{f})} \mid Q_{[\mathbf{t}^n, \mathbf{f}^m]} \leq \frac{(n+m)^{n+m}}{n^n m^m} \right\}$ but the greatest we can get is $\llbracket e \rrbracket \leq \frac{(n+m)!}{n!m!}$

fix fun x (*\$to\$*) if x then if x then f(x) else () else if x then () else f(x)

Why you should care or not on Probabilistic Coherence Spaces

- 💣 It is not computable and thus cannot be used to implement inference
if $\vdash M : \tau$ and $\llbracket M \rrbracket \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)$ then $\vdash \text{infer } M : \tau \text{dist}$ and $\llbracket \text{infer } M \rrbracket$ is a subprobability distribution over τ .

$$\llbracket \text{infer } M \rrbracket = \frac{\llbracket M \rrbracket}{\sum_{a \in |\tau|} \llbracket M \rrbracket_a}$$



Scaling exact inference for discrete probabilistic programs. Holtzen & al. 2020

Practical Session

Modeling & Computing Semantics

Higher-order continuous PPL

From LTS to Stochastic Kernels

Useful Notions in Measure Theory:

Meas:

Objects are **Measurable spaces**: $\mathcal{X} = (|\mathcal{X}|, \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}})$

$|\mathcal{X}|$ set of outcomes of a probabilistic experience,

$\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(|\mathcal{X}|)$ sigma-algebra (closed under countable unions, intersections and complement)

Example: choose uniformly two reals in $[0, 1]$: $|X| = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with the Sigma-algebra generated by $[a, b] \times [c, d]$

Morphisms are **Measurable Function**: $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a function such that

$$\forall V \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}, f^{-1}(V) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$$

Bounded **Measure**: $\mu : \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ sigma-additive, that is

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(|X|) < \infty, \mu(\sqcup A_i) = \sum_i \mu(A_i), \mu(|X| \setminus A) = \mu(|X|) - \mu(A)$$

$$\text{Tensorred Borel Measure: } \beta \otimes \beta([a, b] \times [c, d]) = \beta([a, b]) * \beta([c, d]) = (b - a) * (d - c)$$

Pushforward measure $f_*\mu \in \mathbf{Meas}(\mathcal{Y})$: $\mu \in \mathbf{Meas}(\mathcal{X}), f \in \mathbf{Meas}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}),$

Useful Notions in Measure Theory: Stochastic Kernels

sKern: Objects are measurable spaces: \mathcal{X} and morphisms $\text{sKern}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \text{Meas}(\mathcal{X}, \text{Meas}(\mathcal{Y}))$

Stochastic Kernels: $\kappa : \mathcal{X} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a function: $\kappa : \mathcal{X} \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \kappa(x, -) : \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a measure

$\forall V \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}, \kappa(-, V) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a measurable function

Composition: $\kappa : \mathcal{X} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\kappa' : \mathcal{Y} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Z}$.

$$\forall x \in |\mathcal{X}| \quad \forall W \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{Z}}, \quad \kappa' \circ \kappa(x)(W) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \kappa'(y, W) \kappa(x, dy)$$

Giry Monad: $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Meas}(\mathcal{X}), \Sigma(\{\mu \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mu(U) < r\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}), r \in \mathbb{R}})$:

Unit: $\text{Dirac } x \in \text{Meas}(\mathcal{X}) : (\text{Dirac } x)(U) = \delta_{x \in U}$

Bind: if $\mu \in \text{Meas}(\mathcal{X}), \kappa \in \text{Meas}(\mathcal{X}, \text{Meas}(\mathcal{Y}))$, then $\mu \blacksquare \kappa(U) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \kappa(x)(U) \mu(dx)$

Operational Semantics (Continuous case)

Stochastic Kernel: $\mathbf{Proba} : \Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t}$ that is $\Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t} \times \Sigma_{\Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t} = \{e \mid \Gamma \vdash e : t\}$$

$$\Sigma_{\Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t}} = \{U \text{ measurable, i.e. } \forall n, \forall S, \{\vec{r} \text{ s.t. } S \vec{r} \in U\} \text{ meas. in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

for all $e \in \Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t}$, $\mathbf{Proba}(e, -)$ is a measure;

for all $U \in \Sigma_{\Lambda^{\Gamma \vdash t}}$, $\mathbf{Proba}(-, U)$ is a measurable function.

Iterated Stochastic Kernel:

$\mathbf{Proba}(e, U)$ is the probability to observe U after one reduction step from e .

$\mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, U)$ is the probability to observe a normal form in U after any steps.

If $\vdash e : \text{real}$, then $\mathbf{Proba}^\infty(e, -)$ is the continuous distribution over \mathbb{R} computed by e .

Operational Semantics (Continuous case)

Values: $r \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e$

$$\mathbf{Proba}(e, U) = \begin{cases} \delta_{e'}(U) & \text{if } e \xrightarrow{1} e' \\ \delta_e(U) & \text{if } e \text{ does not reduce} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{Proba}(\text{sample}(\text{Dirac } e), U) = \delta_e(U)$$

$$\mathbf{Proba}(\text{sample}(\text{Bernoulli } r), U) = r \delta_{\underline{\text{true}}}(U) + (1 - r) \delta_{\underline{\text{false}}}(U)$$

$$\mathbf{Proba}(\text{sample}(\text{Uniform } r_0 \ r_1.), U) = \int_{\underline{r} \in U} \mathbb{1}_{[r_0, r_1]}(r) dr$$

Higher-order continuous PPL

Aumann's Lemma

What category for Higher-Order

Wanted A Symmetric Monoidal Closed Category with:

Objects: $\text{Meas}(\mathbb{R})$ is an object and object comes with some **measures** and **integration**

Morphisms $f : t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ with some **measurability** such that $f \circ \delta$: can be **integrated**

Aumann's Lemma $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be turned into a measurable space such that $\text{ev} : \mathbb{R} \otimes (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, thus **skern** is disqualified.

Solution

Measurability problem

$$\llbracket \text{let } x = \text{sample } e \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f \circ \delta)(r) \mu(dr)$$

Use a different category including $\text{Meas}(R)$ and extending measurability and integration to all types by a logical relation reducing to \mathbb{R} .

What category for Higher-Order

Wanted A Symmetric Monoidal Closed Category with:

Objects: $\text{Meas}(\mathbb{R})$ is an object and object comes with some **measures** and **integration**

Morphisms $f : t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ with some **measurability** such that $f \circ \delta$: can be **integrated**

Aumann's Lemma $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be turned into a measurable space such that $\text{ev} : \mathbb{R} \otimes (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, thus **skern** is disqualified.

Solution

Measurability problem

$$\{\text{let } x = \text{sample } e \text{ in } e_2\} = \int_{t_1} (f \circ \delta)(r) \, \mu(dr)$$

Use a different category including $\text{Meas}(R)$ and extending measurability and integration to all types by a logical relation reducing to \mathbb{R} .

Higher-order continuous PPL

Aumann's Lemma : sKern is symmetric monoidal but not closed

sKern is symmetric monoidal but not closed.

By Contradiction: Assume that evaluation $\forall X, Z, \text{ev}: Z^X \otimes X \rightarrow Z$ is measurable for every X, Z .

Measurable spaces X is \mathbb{R} endowed with the σ -algebra $\Sigma_X = \mathcal{P}(X)$ of all subparts and Y is \mathbb{R} endowed with the σ -algebra countable-cocountable generated by countable parts and parts whose complement is countable (closed under countable unions and countable intersections).

Diagonal function:
$$h: \begin{cases} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})) & \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \\ (x, y) & \mapsto 1 \text{ if } x = y \\ & \mapsto 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$\Lambda(h): (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow (\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}, \Sigma_{2^Y})$ is **measurable**

$h = \text{ev} \circ \Lambda(h)$ is **measurable** since it is the composite of measurable functions.

$\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x = y\} = h^{-1}(1)$ is measurable in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$.

sKern is symmetric monoidal but not closed.

By Contradiction: Assume that evaluation $\forall X, Z, \text{ev} : Z^X \otimes X \rightarrow Z$ is measurable for every X, Z .

Then $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x = y\} = h^{-1}(\{1\})$ is **measurable** in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition: Si $W \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$, then, there is $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ dénombrable such that

If there is $(x, y) \in W$ such that $y \notin B$, then $\forall z \notin B, (x, z) \in W$.

Proof: it is satisfied by all base measurable sets and closed by countable union and countable intersection.

Remark: Δ satisfies this property, let B be countable Since B is countable, there is $(x, x) \in \Delta$ such that $x \notin B$.

Since B is countable, there is $z \notin B$ and $z \neq x$, thus $(x, z) \in \Delta$ and $(x, z) \notin \Delta$.

This is a **contradition**

The Measurability Problem

Semantics framework

Type `real` is interpreted as $\llbracket \text{real} \rrbracket = \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R})$,

Closed term $\llbracket \vdash e : \text{real} \rrbracket$ as a measure μ and

Term $\llbracket x : \text{real} \vdash e_2 : \text{real} \rrbracket$ as a morphism $f : \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \llbracket \text{real} \rrbracket$.

$$\llbracket \text{let } x = \text{sample } e \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f \circ \delta)(r) \mu(dr)$$

The Measurability Problem

Semantics framework

Type `real` is interpreted as $\llbracket \text{real} \rrbracket = \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R})$,

Closed term $\llbracket \vdash e : \text{real} \rrbracket$ as a measure μ and


Term $\llbracket x : \text{real} \vdash e_2 : \text{real} \rrbracket$ as a morphism $f : \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \llbracket \text{real} \rrbracket$.


What if $\vdash e : t_1$ and $x : t_1 \vdash e_2 : t_2$?

$$\llbracket \text{let } x = \text{sample } e \text{ in } e_2 \rrbracket = \int_{t_1} (f \circ \delta)(r) \, \mu(dr)$$


Two CPO-enriched CCC implementing this idea

QBS based on *presheaves* over Meas

 *A Convenient Category for Higher-Order Probability Theory*, Chris Heunen, Ohad Kammar, Sam Staton, Hongseok Yang, LICS2017

 *A Domain Theory for Statistical Probabilistic Programming* Matthijs Vákár, Ohad Kammar, Sam Staton, POPL2019

ICONES based on *cones*

 Thomas Ehrhard, Michele Pagani, Christine Tasson. *Measurable Cones and Stable, Measurable Functions* POPL 2018.

 Thomas Ehrhard, Guillaume Geoffroy. *Integration in cones*. 2023. *Techincal report*

Semantics of Probabilistic Programming

Quasi Borel Spaces

 *Ohad Kammar* *Tutorial*

QBS - Definition

Quasi Borel Space $X = (|X|, \mathcal{R}(X))$ such that

Samples: $|X|$ is the sample set

Random elements: $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow |X|$

Paths

Constants: if $x \in |X|$, then $\lambda r. x \in \mathcal{R}(X)$

Precomposition: if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, then $\varphi \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)$.

Recombination: if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \uplus A_n$ and A_n measurable,
then $\lambda r. \alpha_n(r)$ (if $r \in A_n$) $\in \mathcal{R}(X)$

Examples

Measurable spaces: if (X, Σ) is a measurable space, then $(X, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, X))$ is a QBS

[[real]]: $(\mathbb{R}, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))$

[[int]]: $(\mathbb{N}, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}))$

QBS - Definition

Quasi Borel Space $X = (|X|, \mathcal{R}(X))$ such that

Samples: $|X|$ is the sample set

Random elements: $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow |X|$

Paths

Constants: if $x \in |X|$, then $\lambda r. x \in \mathcal{R}(X)$

Precomposition: if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, then $\varphi \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)$.

Recombination: if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)^\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \uplus A_n$ and A_n measurable,
then $\lambda r. \alpha_n(r)$ (if $r \in A_n$) $\in \mathcal{R}(X)$

Morphisms: $\text{QBS}(X, Y)$

Function $f : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$ preserving random elements:

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X)$, then $f \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$

notice that $\mathcal{R}(X) = \text{QBS}(\mathbb{R}, X)$

QBS - Properties

QBS is a CCC

Cartesian:

$$|T| = \{*\} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) = \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, \{*\})$$

$$|X \times Y| = |X| \times |Y| \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(X \times Y) = \{\lambda r. (\alpha(r), \beta(r)) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X), \beta \in \mathcal{R}(Y)\}$$

Closed:

$$|Y^X| = \mathbf{QBS}(X, Y) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(Y^X) = \{\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^X \mid \lambda(r, x). \alpha(r)(x) \in \mathbf{QBS}(\mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow Y)\}$$

$$ev : Y^X \times X \rightarrow Y \text{ is } ev(f, x) = f(x)$$

Limits: Coproducts, Quotients, ... as in Sets

QBS is a **conservative extension** of Standard Borel Sets

For any (X, Σ) in Meas, $(X, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, X))$ is in QBS.

If (X_1, Σ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2) are in Meas, then

$$\text{Meas}((X_1, \Sigma_1), (X_2, \Sigma_2)) = \mathbf{QBS}((X_1, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, X_1)), (X_2, \text{Meas}(\mathbb{R}, X_2)))$$

Interpreting Let in QBS

Measure on a QBS $X = (|X|, \mathcal{R}(X))$

a measure over X is a pair of a measure μ over \mathbb{R}^p and a path $\alpha \in \text{QBS}(\mathbb{R}^p, X)$

for any QBS morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the pair μ and $f \circ \alpha$ is a measure

up to isomorphisms

Integration let $f \in \text{QBS}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $[\mu, \alpha]$ a measure on X

$$\int_X f(x)[\mu, \alpha](dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \circ \alpha(r) \mu(dr)$$

Integration in QBS X boils down to integration in \mathbb{R} .

Example - Linear Regression

```
def model() =  
  m = sample(Gaussian(0, 2))  
  b = sample(Gaussian(0, 2))  
  f = lambda x: m * x + b  
  return f  
s = sample(infer(model))(4)
```

Measure space: \mathbb{R}^2 with borelians **Probability** $\mathbb{P}: m, b \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2) \otimes \mathcal{N}(0, 2)$

Random variable: $\alpha: (m, b) \mapsto \lambda x. m * x + b$

Distribution: $\llbracket model \rrbracket = [\alpha, \mu]$

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \text{sample}(\text{infer}(\text{model}))(4) \rrbracket &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}} f(4) [\alpha, \mu](df) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (4 * m + b) \mathcal{N}(0, 2)(dm) \mathcal{N}(0, 2)(db)\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

Skills and knowledge

Take home

Modeling and Semantics of PPL

Semantics of IMP and pPCF using Markov Processes

Inference is **intractable** (even in discrete Bayesian Networks)

Heuristics for efficient exact inference (in practical case)

Personal Homework

Quasi-Borel-Spaces and PPL

30% final mark

Date: 14/11/24 by email to christine.tasson@lip6.fr

Next

Build Your Own PPL

Give its semantics

Enrich its inference algorithm

Use static analysis to validate an SVI algorithm