

Titlepage

International Journal of Student Research in Archaeology,
October 2016, Volume Vol. 2, Number No. 2

Cover design: [Rhiannon Stammers](#), La Trobe University (Australia)

Logo design: [Christina Carolus](#), UC-Berkeley and Yale University (USA).

Layout design: [Lukas C. Bossert](#), Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Germany).

This issue was typeset by [digitales-altertum.de](#) with \LaTeX
using the fonts Linux Libertine and Linux Biolinum.

Cover Image:

Published in 2016 by Gonzalo Linares Matás and
the Editorial board of the International Journal of Student Research in Archaeology.

For enquiries please contact: Gonzalo Linares Matás, Executive Editor:

gonzalo.linaresmatas@st-hugh.ox.ac.uk

St. Hugh's College, St. Margaret's Road, Oxford, OX2 6LE.

Journal e-mail: editor.ijsra@gmail.com

Web: <http://ijsrapublication.wix.com/ijsra>

This is an open-access journal. Any original, previously unpublished content is licensed under the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

To view a copy of this license, please visit creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0.



OPEN ACCESS

The image shows the Open Access logo, which is a stylized orange 'a' inside a circle, positioned next to the words 'OPEN' and 'ACCESS'.

Executive Editor

Gonzalo Linares Matás, St. Hugh's College, University of Oxford (UK). Archaeology and Anthropology.

Editorial Board

- Jennifer Bates, University of Cambridge (UK). South Asian Archaeology.
- Samara Broglia de Moura, École Pratique des Hautes Études (France). Central Asian Archaeology.
- Gesualdo Busacca, Stanford University (USA). Near Eastern Archaeology.
- Christina Carolus, University of California, Berkeley (USA). Archaeobotany.
- Ximena Chávez Balderas, Tulane University (USA) and Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia (México). Mesoamerican Archaeology.
- Chelsea Colwell-Pasch, Flinders University (Australia). Maritime Archaeology.
- Amelia Eichengreen, Columbia University (USA). Classical Archaeology.
- Lucy Gill, Columbia University (USA). Environmental Archaeology.
- Linda Gosner, Brown University (USA). Classical Archaeology.
- Alexandra Guglielmi, University College Dublin (Ireland). Iron Age Europe and Roman Archaeology.
- Jacqueline Jordaan, Pretoria University (South Africa). African Archaeology.
- Kate Rose, Harvard University (USA). Egyptian Archaeology.
- Fabio Saccoccia, Università Roma-La Sapienza (Italy). Prehistoric Archaeology.
- Celeste Samec, Universidad de Buenos Aires (Argentina). South American Archaeology.
- Erik Shell, University of California, Los Angeles (USA). Classical Archaeology.
- Rhiannon Stammers, La Trobe University (Australia). Experimental and South African Archaeology.
- Nick Stephens, Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology (Germany). Human Evolutionary Studies.

Editing Team

- Lukas C. Bossert, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Germany). Classical Archaeology.
- Devin Lea Ward, University of Cambridge (UK). Biological Anthropology.
- Celeste Samec, Universidad de Buenos Aires (Argentina). South American Archaeology.
- Samara Broglia de Moura, École Pratique des Hautes Études (France). Central Asian Archaeology.

Reviewing Team

- Constantina Alexandrou, Trinity College Dublin (Ireland). Cypriot Archaeology.
- Foteini Athanasiadou, University of Oxford (UK). Greek Archaeology.
- Sabrina Autenrieth, Leiden University (Netherlands). Prehistoric Europe.
- Rebecca Biermann, State University of New York, Buffalo (USA). Human Evolutionary Studies, African Archaeology.
- Lukas C. Bossert, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Germany). Classical Archaeology.
- Guy Bud, University of Oxford (UK). Numismatics, Colonial and Post-Medieval History.
- Tiffany Cain, University of Pennsylvania (USA). Historical Archaeology (Mesoamerica).
- Brian Clark, Rice University (USA). East African Archaeology.
- Cherene De Bruyn, Pretoria University (South Africa). South African Archaeology, Physical Anthropology.
- Antonia Edwards-Freshwater, University of Oxford (UK). Near Eastern Archaeology.
- Francisco Gomes, University of Lisbon (Portugal). Mediterranean Archaeology.
- Jordan Graham, University of Oxford (UK). Museum Studies, Indigenous Archaeology.
- Helen Heath, Otago University (New Zealand). Pacific and South-East Asian Archaeology.
- Jina Heo, University of Wisconsin-Madison (USA). Korean and East Asian Archaeology.

- Nayeli Jiménez Cano, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (Spain). Mayan Archaeology, Zooarchaeology.
- Lisa Johnson, University of California, Berkeley (USA). Mesoamerican Archaeology.
- Milosz Kłosowski, University of Oxford (UK). Classical Archaeology, Art History Studies.
- Marisol Lang, University of Oxford (UK). Classical Archaeology.
- Juan Ignacio Morales Hidalgo, Institut de Paleoecología Humana i Evolució Social (Spain). Prehistoric Archaeology.
- Pablo Poveda Arias, Universidad de Salamanca (Spain). Medieval Archaeology.
- Gabriel Prieto, Yale University (USA). South American Archaeology.
- Katie Rhodes, University of Chicago (USA). Human Genetic Studies.
- Hannah Ryan, University of Oxford (UK). Scientific Archaeology - Isotopic Studies.
- Richard Takkou, University of Oxford (UK). Mediterranean and Mesoamerican Archaeology.
- John Vandergugten, Simon Fraser University (Canada). Social Zooarchaeology, Historical Archaeology.
- Katerina Velentza, University of Southampton (UK). Maritime Archaeology. Aegean Archaeology.
- Devin Lea Ward, University of Cambridge (UK). Biological Anthropology.
- Crista Wathen, University of Oxford (UK). Scientific Archaeology – Dating.
- Manuel Will, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen (Germany). Human Evolutionary Studies, Prehistoric Archaeology.

Contents

I ARTICLES	1
Tim Forssman The Late Holocene Occupation of Mafunyane Shelter, Eastern Botswana	3
II CONFERENCE REVIEWS	23
III INTERVIEWS	25



Part I

ARTICLES



The Late Holocene Occupation of Mafunyane Shelter, Eastern Botswana

TIM FORSSMAN*

tim.forssman@gmail.com

Postdoctoral Researcher at the Rock Art Research Institute
School of Geography
Archaeology and Environmental Studies
University of the Witwatersrand (South Africa)

Keywords: Later Stone Age, stone tools, hunter-gatherers, forager-farmer interactions, Greater Mapungubwe Landscape, eastern Botswana

Mafunyane Shelter is a small rockshelter situated in eastern Botswana along the Limpopo River. The site was occupied from possibly the first centuries A.D. until the Leopard's Kopje period, A.D. 1000 to 1300. Excavations revealed an extensive Later Stone Age (LSA) assemblage including stone tools, beads and a diverse faunal record as well as ceramics, a figurine fragment, metal implements, and various metal-working associated finds. Inside the rockshelter is a limited number of rock markings including paintings, cupules and grooves. The site was originally excavated by Walker (1994) but until now has not been dated. Here, new findings from a subsequent excavation are presented along with a revised chronological sequence. The occupation of Mafunyane is discussed and the implications relating to the farmer-associated items such as the ceramics and metal assemblage are considered. The results suggest that an expansion of our research approach might reveal aspects of the LSA seldom occurring at large rockshelter sites, such as specific site utilisation patterns, specialist or craft activities, and the variable social responses from forager-farmer interactions.

THE Later Stone Age (LSA) sequence of the Greater Mapungubwe Landscape, which includes parts of eastern Botswana, northern South Africa and south-western Zimbabwe (fig. 1), has been at the centre of a number of studies (e.g. Hall and Smith, 2000; van Doornum, 2005; van Doornum, 2007; van Doornum, 2008; van Doornum, 2014; Forssman, 2010; Forssman, 2013c; Forssman, 2013b; Forssman, 2013a; Forssman, 2014b; Forssman, 2014a). Most have been restricted to large rock-shelter sites in South Africa, and from this work a fairly comprehensive occupation

* This paper is the product of DPhil research carried out at the University of Oxford (UK). Tim Forssman is a postdoctoral fellow at the University of the Witwatersrand in the Rock Art Research Institute, Johannesburg. His research interests are forager-farmer contact, forager economies and economic contributions, trade dynamics, and rock art. Most recently, Forssman completed his doctoral study on forager-farmer relations in eastern Botswana through the University of Oxford.




Figure 1: The Greater Mapungubwe Landscape with sites mentioned in the text and other prominent sites.

sequence has been established. In an attempt to expand upon this, the author conducted research in eastern Botswana where a series of sites including open-air camps, small or discrete rockshelters and homesteads containing LSA stone artefacts were excavated. The aim of this study was to develop a regional understanding of the local LSA sequence and perform trans-national research, crossing modern boundaries that may not have been present in the past but which potentially confine our work today (for details see Forssman, 2014b; Forssman, 2014a; Forssman, 2013a; Forssman, 2015). One of the excavated sites, Mafunyane Shelter, is a small rockshelter originally excavated by Walker (1994) as Tuli Lodge. In new excavations at the site an archaeological assemblage was uncovered that offered an additional perspective on landscape patterns, forager-farmer interactions and metal-working. Presented here are these results.

Mafunyane is situated in a sandstone belt that stretches along the Motloutse, Limpopo, and Shashe Rivers. Within this area are low-lying koppies (sandstone inselbergs) and ridges interspersed with water networks and small floodplains. The area immediately surrounding the site is characterised by a fine loam to clay soil horizon, created by depositional processes from flooding by the Limpopo River, 460 m away, and a nearby seasonal stream. The vegetation around the site is characterised by dense pockets of mopane veld (*Colophospermum mopane*) and more widespread vachellia and acacia open shrubland. The site's near proximity to the Limpopo River suggests that in the past the immediate area may have been a riverine woodland, which today is restricted to the banks of major rivers due to the receding water table (Alexander, 1984).

Walker (1994) previously excavated the site under the name Tuli Lodge. It was not known at first whether Mafunyane was the Walker (1994) site because no GPS co-ordinates were published and at the time of this study the reserve management had not been informed of his research on the farm. Once it was established that the sites

were the same it was decided to re-excavate the rockshelter in order to increase the site's sample size, obtain charcoal samples for radiocarbon dating and relate the findings made here to the broader regional sequence. Walker (1994) recovered an extensive LSA assemblage including 14,379 stone tools along with 21 pieces of worked bone, fragments from tortoise and ostrich eggshell bowls and containers, 67 ostrich eggshell beads, 64 potsherds, a pipe and crucible, six glass trade beads and 16 metal implements as well as large amounts of metal prills. He concluded that based on the prevalence of scrapers in the assemblage, the site was occupied from probably the first few centuries A.D. , and that the foragers using the site were in contact with farmers. In addition, he states that the rockshelter was "clearly a major living site" that "was probably seasonally occupied, but it was later used by metalworking people to smelt copper" (Walker, 1994:10).

Excavation, Site 1, Spits I–VII, floor was divided into a grid of 1 × 1 m squares with alphabetical designations running east to west and numeric designations running south to north. Square 3C was selected for excavations primarily because it was located in an area most likely to contain a deep and intact deposit (fig. 2). On excavating the square it was found that a portion of it, the southwestern quadrant, protruded into what appeared to be the Walker (1994) excavation and so this quadrant was excluded; thus only three quadrants were excavated to bedrock. Initially excavations were also to be conducted in the large open-air living area north of the site, but this area was found to contain little deposit and appeared highly disturbed. Excavations therefore only proceeded inside the rockshelter and were conducted in spits of 30 mm following natural stratigraphic units.

In total seven spits between the surface and bedrock were excavated, revealing three stratigraphic layers, each distinct from the other and similar to Walker (1994). The upper most layer, Pale brown sand (PBS), contains small (<25 mm) sandstone pebbles in an unconsolidated deposit, less than 6 cm thick filling 2.30 buckets (13 L each). PBS occurred in Spit I–III but from Spit II, Ashy sand (AS) appears and may be a hearth layer. This layer is ash grey, loosely consolidated, with few to no pebbles and is also about 6 cm in thickness (3.40 buckets). The lowermost layer, Stony-ashy sand (SAS), is the most substantial (\pm 12 cm in thickness; 4.50 buckets) and also appears to be a hearth with a similar colour to AS but with considerably more pebbles and stones included in the deposit (fig. 3). The depth of bedrock was on average 20 cm below the surface and a total of 10.30 buckets was removed from the site (for more details see Forssman, 2014b:95).

Two charcoal samples were submitted for radiocarbon dating to Beta Analytic and the results are presented in table 1. The samples indicate that the site was occupied between A.D. 941 and 1265. However, the more recent date is from Spit VII and the older from Spit II. The laboratory did not find any evidence indicating that the samples were possibly contaminated and so this does not seem to have caused the inverted dates. It is possible that the sample from Spit VII is 'old wood', which introduces an unresolvable variable into the calibration process (Kennett et al., 2002), but could equally have moved after deposition, possibly due to bioturbation (see Lancaster and Simpson, 2003) or root action in the deposit (roots were recorded above bedrock). Ceramics do little to assist, although they appear to be contemporaneous (discussed below), and no other




Figure 2: Only Square C was excavated at Mafunyane since Square D protruded into the Walker (1994) excavation, not visible on the surface but recorded once the surface layer was removed (from Forssman, 2014b:96).




Figure 3: Section drawing of the north wall in Square C at Mafunyane indicating the approximate location of the radiocarbon dates.

Square	Strat.	Date BP	Calibration	%	Code
3/C/II	AS	1120±30	897 - 923 Cal AD	14.2	Beta-339425
			941 - 1027 Cal AD	81.2	
3/C/VIII	SAS	900±30	1050 - 1079 Cal AD	6	Beta-339426
			1146 - 1235 Cal AD	85.4	
			1243 - 1265 Cal AD	4	

Table 1: Calibrated radiocarbon dates on charcoal samples from Mafunyane.

chronological markers were identified in the relevant spits. Therefore, the integrity of the deposit is uncertain (but see discussion below).

The Stone Tool Assemblage produced a total of 6,349 stone tools within 10.30 buckets (0.10 m³). As with other rockshelter excavations in the area, the assemblage is dominated by crypto-crystalline silicates (CCS), after which quartz follows and then consistently low frequencies of quartzite, agate and dolerite (table 2). The greatest number of stone artefacts is found between Spits II and V, followed by a decrease until bedrock is reached in Spit VII (fig. 4). A similar pattern was noted with regard to the stone tool density (artefacts/13 L bucket) with the exception of the high density on the surface and in Spit I. If the high density of stone tools on the surface represents a forager use of the rockshelter, it may be after A.D. 1200 based on the radiocarbon dates and the Leopard's Kopje ceramics found by Walker (1994) in the upper levels of his excavation.




Figure 4: The distribution and density (artefacts/13L bucket) of stone tools at Mafunyane.

Spit	Quartz	%	Quartzite	%	CCS	%	Agate	%	Dolerite	%	Total
SUR	81	40.5	16	8	86	43	9	4.5	8	4	200
I	131	27.3	43	9	274	57.2	18	3.8	13	2.7	479
II	458	40	88	7.7	488	42.6	65	5.7	47	4.1	1146
III	341	35.2	57	5.9	473	48.8	64	6.6	35	3.6	970
IV	394	34.7	117	10.3	457	40.3	79	7	87	7.7	1134
V	592	34.3	137	7.9	656	38	197	11.4	146	8.4	1728
VI	205	34.5	49	8.2	233	39.2	49	8.2	58	9.8	594
VII	37	37.8	12	12.2	37	37.8	8	8.2	4	4.1	98
Total:	2239	35.3	519	8.2	2704	42.6	489	7.7	398	6.3	6349

Table 2: Raw material use through the spits and total stone tool distribution.

Below the stone tool assemblage has been separated into two categories based on the typological breakdown from Walker (1994): 1) debitage and utilised pieces and 2) formal tools.

Debitage Debitage are pieces that might have been used but do not contain secondary working. At Mafunyane, this amounts to 98.30 % of the rockshelter's total stone tool assemblage (n=6,242). The majority of these are chips, comprising of 3,391 artefacts and 53.40 % of the total assemblage. Chips measure less than 10 mm in length and do not contain secondary working or high utilisation damage (see Deacon, 1984). The presence of chips indicates that a) the deposit has not been exposed to high levels of colluvial action (Kuman and Fields, 2009) and b) primary stone tool knapping occurred at the site.

There are 82 cores (1.30 %) and most were found in Spits IV and V, part of SAS, totalling 52 artefacts and 63.40 % of the core category. Irregular cores are the most frequent core type (n=37). This is followed by single platform and rice seed (n=10 each), casual (n=9), preliminary flaked (n=8), bipolar bladelet (n=3), radial (n=2) and bipolar, bipolar radial and opposed platform cores (n=1 each). The bipolar, radial and rice seed cores indicate that a bipolar flaking technique was used (see van Doornum, 2005) and total 15 artefacts. van Doornum (2008:259) found that at Balerno Main Shelter bipolar flaking increased from the beginning of contact with farming (agropastoralist) communities in the first centuries A.D. It is not possible to draw the same conclusion at Mafunyane since the site appears to have been occupied for only a short phase within this period.

Formal Tools The formal tool assemblage, which totals 107 artefacts (1.70 %), is not unlike other LSA assemblages in the area (van Doornum, 2005)(fig. 5). Most of the formal tools were produced using CCS materials (fig. 6) and were found in Spits IV and V, after which they decrease until bedrock is reached in Spit VII. Stratigraphically it is the lowermost unit, SAS, that contains the majority of the formal tools (n=79; 73.80 %). Scraping tools (57 %), of which there are 11 different forms, dominate the formal tool assemblage and fall into three size classes: small (<20 mm; n=51; 83.60 %), medium (20 × 30 mm; n=6; 9.80 %) and large (>30 mm; n=4; 6.60 %; table 3). Also frequent are backed stone tools (25.20 %), a category which includes segments in various stages of completion (n=12), whole (n=10) and broken (n=1) segmented backed bladelets and two broken and one complete backed bladelet. The only other tools found at Mafunyane were adzes (n=5) possibly used for woodworking (Walker, 1994), awls (n=2) associated with boring activities (Deacon, 1984), and miscellaneous backed pieces (MBP; n=11).

The majority of scrapers (n=46) and backed tools (n=18) were found in SAS, the lowermost unit (table 4). It is also within this stratigraphic unit that the majority of stone tools were found and may have been a period of increased activity at the site, or in the population living at the rockshelter (but no depositional study has been performed). Scraper and backed tool lengths varied between the spits and throughout the deposit. The average scraper length is 16.30 mm while the average length of backed tools is 14.70 mm. It is clear that while scraper lengths vary from the base of the trench to the surface, there is no distinctive pattern, although in general they appear to increase in size across the spits whereas between the stratigraphic units they decrease. Backed tools on the other hand decrease in size between both the spits and stratigraphic units. These findings are consistent with those made by Walker (1994:31).




Figure 5: Examples of formal tools from Mafunyane: A & O, medium end scrapper; B, C, K & R, segment; D, F & S, small side scraper; E, G-I, N, P & Q, small end scraper; J, L & T, segmented backed bladelet; and M, adze.




Figure 6: Raw material utilisation in the formal tool assemblage.

	Split	End scraper (s)	End + end scraper (s)	End + side scraper (s)	Broken end scraper (s)	End scraper (m)	Side + end scraper (s)	Side + side scraper (s)	Broken side scraper (s)	Side scraper (m)	Segment	Incomplete segment	Broken segment	Adze	Awl	Backed bladelet	Broken backed bladelet	Segmented backed bladelet	Broken segmented backed bladelet	MBP	Battered piece	Total
SUR	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
I	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	5
II	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
III	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
IV	5	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	6	0	4	0	30
V	11	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	2	2	3	1	0	3	0	0	1	2	1	3	1	41
VI	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
VII	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
SUR	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
PBS	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
AS	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	10
SAS	18	1	1	4	3	1	13	1	2	2	5	1	0	5	2	1	1	9	1	7	1	79
Totals:	25	1	1	4	7	1	17	1	2	2	11	1	1	5	2	1	2	10	1	11	1	107

Table 3: Formal tool types and distribution separated by spits and stratigraphic layers. Scrapers marked with s (small), m (medium) and l (large) indicate their size class.

Level	Scraper					Backed tool				
	No.	%	Length	Width	/bucket	No.	%	Length	Width	/bucket
SUR	3	4.92	14.87	13.73	30	2	7.41	10.47	5.74	20
I	1	1.64	22.16	14.27	1.82	2	7.41	15.02	5.09	3.64
II	8	13.11	16.23	12.62	4.71	1	3.7	12.26	3.93	0.59
III	3	4.92	20.42	18.04	3.16	4	14.81	13.3	6.07	4.21
IV	14	22.95	16.85	13.3	466.67	8	29.63	14	6.39	266.67
V	26	42.62	15.99	13.76	10.61	8	29.63	17.28	7.94	3.27
VI	4	6.56	15.36	12.89	2.58	2	7.41	15.35	7.76	1.29
VII	2	3.28	18.61	15.89	1.33	0	0	-	-	-
SUR	3	4.92	14.87	13.73	30	2	7.41	10.47	5.74	20
PBS	7	11.48	16.98	12.51	3.07	3	11.11	13.64	4.51	1.32
AS	5	8.2	18.88	16.35	1.47	4	14.81	13.3	6.07	1.18
SAS	46	75.41	16.31	13.64	10.22	18	66.67	15.54	7.36	4
Totals:	61		16.53	13.37	5.93	27		14.72	6.67	2.63

Table 4: Scraper and backed stone tool distribution and density based on the spits (above) and stratigraphic layers (below).




Figure 7: Ceramic distribution showing a peak in Spits II and III.

Ceramics occur from the surface to Spit VI but generally in low densities (average 3/bucket; fig. 7). A total of 31 sherds were recovered with most being undiagnostic or plain ($n=28$; 90.30 %). Two decorated (6.50 %) and one plain rim (3.20 %) were also found as well as a decorated rim on the surface outside the excavation but still within the rockshelter (fig. 8). Unfortunately, the decorated sherds are small and difficult to place within a ceramic facies with any certainty. However, based on the incised decorations and their location in the neck it is possible that they are from the K2 facies.¹ This designation is supported by the Leopard's Kopje ceramics (A.D. 1000 to 1300; K2 is a subgroup within the Leopard's Kopje branch) identified by Walker (1994). If accurate, the ceramic facies represented at the site overlaps with a large portion of the radiocarbon dates from A.D. 941 to 1265.

A total of 54 beads were recovered from the excavation, of which 41 are shell, 6 bone, 6 glass, and 1 metal. Ostrich eggshell (75.90 %), six from bone (11.10 %), three each from land snail and glass (5.60 %) and one from metal (1.90 %; 0.1/bucket; table 5). Ostrich eggshell beads are divided into four categories (after Orton, 2008): complete (40 %), broken (15 %), incomplete/preform (15 %) and broken preform (30 %). All ostrich eggshell beads were measured and the complete specimens have an average length of 3.70 mm and a width of 3.60 mm. Of the complete beads, there are 14 small beads (< 5 mm), typically associated

¹ A.D. 1000 to 1220; ceramic identification Antonites pers. comm. 2015




Figure 8: Decorated ceramics from Mafunyane too small to identify with certainty but might be K2

with foragers (c.f. Orton, 2014), and four medium beads (5×6 mm). In both the spit and stratigraphic units the average length of beads decreased from Spit V to the surface (no complete beads were found in Spits VI and VII). Broken and preform ostrich eggshell bead lengths displayed no pattern but broken preforms decrease in length from the base of the trench; it might indicate that a preference for smaller beads occurred in the more recent deposits but if so one would expect the same pattern in the previous two categories. The different bead variations appear throughout the trench, barring in Spit VII, and no pattern is discernible (figs. 9 and 10). The presence of beads in various states of manufacture indicate that during Mafunyane's occupation ostrich eggshell bead processing was occurring at the site, and if the beads Walker (1994) identified are included ($n=67$) it is possible that the manufacturing operation was either of a considerable scale or took place over a short intense period of production.

The glass beads are European, two possibly dating to the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries (fig. 10J & K) and one post-dating the seventeenth century (fig. 10L). It is possible that all were introduced after foragers abandoned the rockshelter. Each glass bead was given a Munsell value using the Munsell colour chart for glass beads: fig. 10J is white (N9) and fig. 10K is medium blue (5.0B 4/6) and each is opaque-translucent (slight glow of light along edges; Wood, 2011:70). Figure 10L is opaque with an outer colour of orchid mist (2.5RP 7/4) and an inner colour of light turquoise (10.0GB 7/4). Walker (1994) found six glass beads and all but one were in the top unit - he did not place them into a typology or determine their Munsell value. Only a single wound metal bead was recovered from Spit I but Walker (1994) found a total of 16 at the site.

Other Finds 14 pieces of worked metal, including the metal bead, between Spits I and IV (fig. 11). Walker (1994) suggested that the metal he found was copper and it appears consistent with copper artefacts in the Miller (2001) and Miller (2002) reports from K2 and around Mapungubwe. To confirm this, an X-ray fluorescence analyses was performed on three artefacts. It was found that fig. 12A and C were copper alloys

Spit	Strat	Type	Material	ST	UFSO >6mm	UFSO <6mm	IB	FF	N	SF	Total
SUR	SUR	SBB	Agate		1	1				1	3
SUR	SUR	SEG	CCS								0
I	PBS	BR SEG	CCS				1	1			2
I	PBS	SEG	Agate								0
II	AS	SEG	Agate			1			1		2
III	AS	SEG	CCS				1		2		3
III	AS	SEG	CCS	1							1
III	AS	SEG	CCS							1	1
III	AS	BR BB	Agate				1			1	2
IV	SAS	SBB	CCS								0
IV	SAS	SEG	Agate						1		1
IV	SAS	SBB	CCS	1							1
IV	SAS	SBB	Quartz				1				1
IV	SAS	SBB	Agate				1				1
IV	SAS	SBB	CCS	1			2				3
IV	SAS	SBB	CCS								0
V	SAS	SEG	CCS								0
V	SAS	BR SBB	Agate				1		2		3
V	SAS	SEG	CCS				1				1
V	SAS	SEG	Agate			1				1	2
V	SAS	BR BB	Quartz				1			1	2
V	SAS	SEG	CCS				1				1
V	SAS	SBB	CCS	1						1	2
VI	SAS	SBB	CCS	1	1		1				3
VI	SAS	SEG	CCS								0
Totals:											
5 2 3 12 1 6 6 35											

Table 5: The distribution and density of bead types from Mafunyane's spits (above) and stratigraphic units (below).




Figure 9: The distribution of the different ostrich eggshell bead forms is inconsistent but their presence suggests bead manufacturing was occurring at Mafunyane.




Figure 10: Ostrich eggshell and glass beads: A-C, H & I, complete; D, broken complete; E-F, broken preform; and G, preform; and J & K, European possibly dating from the eighteenth century; and L, European red-on-green (A.D. 1600 to 1800s; scale 2 mm).




Figure 11: The distribution of metal items, prills and the density of metal prills; metal first appears in Spit V, part of SAS.

(C194HiCu and C197HiCu) with all three samples relatively pure in copper (> 98.80 %; fig. 12 and table 6). Metal prills (see Miller, 2001), likely copper but not tested, occurred in various densities between the surface and Spit V, totalling 134.20 g, but were concentrated between Spits II and V. Also found at these depths were the two slag pieces (Spits III and IV). In addition, what appears to be a fragment of a tuyère was found in Spit IV and is an item used in both smithing and smelting practices (Miller, 2001; Miller, 2002). Lastly, a possible clay figurine fragment was also found in Spit II and appears to include a portion of the back leg, the stomach and the rump of a mammal.

The combined mass of the faunal remains is 845.80 g (82.30 g/bucket) and the highest number of identifiable specimens (NISP) and density of non-identified remains (300.10 g/bucket; table 7) occurs in Spits IV and V. The most frequent faunal specimen at the site is tortoise (n=50), which was mostly identified from carapace remains, followed by lizard (n=15) and small bovids (n=4). Fish remains were identified in both this and




Figure 12: The three samples submitted for XRF analysis (A – C). In each case the right imaged is a magnified portion of the sample; note the cuprous green and red patination on each specimen.

Sample	Fig. 13 A				Fig. 13 B				Fig. 13 C			
Spit	II				II				III			
Strat. Unit	AS				AS				AS			
Heat	1	2	3	Av.	1	2	3	Av.	1	2	3	Av.
Copper (Cu)	99.2	98.9	98	98.7	99.5	99.4	99.4	99.5	98.8	99.1	99.3	99.1
Iron (Fe)	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6
Titanium (Ti)	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
Nickel (Ni)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.1	0.05	0.03	0.06
Vanadium (V)	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
Lead (Pb)	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0	0	0	0	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.05
Zirconium (Zn)	0	0.01	0.03	0.08	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.02	0.01	0

Table 6: XRF analysis results from samples shown in Fig 12: sample numbers in % and Av. is the average of the three heats. Note that copper dominates and no other metal is > 1%.

Species	Body part	SUR	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	Total	
Fish											
Fish	Vertebra		0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Mammals											
Bovid class I	Lunate	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	Metapodial	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
	Patella	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Total class bovid I		0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	
Rock hyrax? <i>Procavia capensis</i>	Femoral head	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Mammalian	Vertebra	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Rodent Rodentia	Astragalus	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Suid Suidae sp.	Tusk fragment	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Reptiles											
Lizard Sauria	Vertebra	0	0	0	1	4	7	3	0	15	
Tortoise	Carapace	1	0	7	5	16	14	3	3	49	
Testudines	Vertebra	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Total tortoise		1	0	7	5	17	14	3	3	50	
		Total:	1	0	9	8	22	23	8	3	74
Indeterminate:											
	Enamel	2	8	8	0	6	2	1	0	27	
	Long bone fragment	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	Rib fragment	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	
	Mandible fragment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		Total:	2	8	8	1	7	4	2	0	32
		Grand total:	3	8	17	9	29	27	10	3	106

Table 7: The NISPs from the Mafunyane Shelter demonstrate a noticeable lack of domesticates.

the Walker (1994) study. Of interest is the presence of various items associated with farming communities but the total lack of domesticates, also noted by Walker (1994). It could indicate that farmers used the site for a specific and possibly non-residential purpose, that they did not have access to livestock, or that the food remains were deposited elsewhere. As will be argued, the former explanation seems most likely.

Grooves, cupules and rock art were found in and around the rockshelter. Rock Paintings were found inside on a large rock (n=21) with an additional cluster of more than 20 identified on an exposed rock to the west of the site. Nine cupules were located all occurring inside the rockshelter (fig. 13). The presence of metal items and ostrich eggshell beads in various stages of production might indicate that the grooves and cupules were used in related activities, but this cannot be said with certainty. Red and black monochrome rock paintings were also recorded at the site but are unfortunately heavily faded (fig. 14). In the main portion of the rockshelter, where the excavation was performed, a black giraffe and sable or roan antelope were identified, and painted




Figure 13: Cupules (A) and grinding grooves (B & C) from inside the rockshelter.



Figure 14: Possible sable or roan antelope and giraffe (A) and a procession of four humans, two identified as female, and an indeterminate antelope (B).

at the eastern edge are a procession of four humans, two of which appear to be females, and an indeterminate animal.

Discussion and conclusions. Mafunyane contributes to the growing body of work exploring the local LSA sequence. Until recently, most of this research was performed in South Africa and focussed primarily at large rockshelters. While the work of Hall and Smith (2000) and van Doornum (2007), van Doornum (2008), and van Doornum (2014) established a tight chronological record of the region's LSA record as well as shifts in forager material culture, it is unlikely that by asking the same questions and excavating the same site types we are going to further develop their findings. However, revising our research agendas and expanding our approach might lead to an improved regional understanding of LSA dynamics. Achieving this includes working in Botswana and Zimbabwe. Mafunyane, in part, contributes to these renewed goals.

It would be remiss to not first consider the possibility of post-depositional mixing given the inverted radiocarbon dates. If this has occurred, it might explain the overturned results. The occurrence of metal-working related activities (discussed below) may have altered the deposit inadvertently. The extent of this cannot be fully assessed until further analysis is performed, but the lack of ceramics and metal in the basal level might suggest that if mixing occurred, it did not affect the entire assemblage equally. Nevertheless, the dated range is from A.D. 941 to 1235, a roughly 300 year period, and if

accurately identified the diagnostic ceramics appear to fall within this phase. To some extent supporting this is the dominance of scrapers in all of the stratigraphic levels, typical of contact period LSA assemblages. Since it appears that the site was occupied over a short period of time, mixing, therefore, does not preclude the possibility of interpreting the site's use by foragers and metal-working people. However, the relationship between the site's occupants is unknown because the exact timing of their use within the site's occupation phase cannot be established.

The LSA material recovered from Mafunyane is similar to what has been found at other locally excavated sites. Namely, it is dominated by CCS materials and has a variety of formal tools. The large amount of stone tools is interesting and there is a far greater density of them than at Balerno Main Shelter, a local aggregation site (van Doornum, 2008). Perhaps contributing to this is evidence of primary manufacturing at the site, suggested by the large amount of stone chips and cores. The site is located near to the Limpopo River and a seasonal stream from which cobbles could easily have been sourced. The formal tool assemblage is diverse, which may suggest that a variety of activities took place, but it is, as mentioned earlier, dominated by scrapers. Scraper lengths vary throughout the deposit, changing inconsistently between both the spits and stratigraphic units, whereas backed tools decrease in length into the upper levels. The inconsistent change in scraper length does not necessarily indicate mixing because the site was occupied over a short period of time and it might suggest that scraper length was not an important consideration in tool production during this period. Lastly, the faunal record is relatively small. This could relate to spatial dynamics or behaviour activities at the site influencing discard patterns or it might suggest Mafunyane was occupied by a small group of foragers. Represented in the faunal record is a variety of small mammals (mostly trappable), some reptiles and fish and an absence of larger animals and domesticates. In summary, there is evidence to suggest that the site was a major living camp, as Walker (1994) concluded, but the limited faunal evidence found in both excavations may suggest otherwise.

It is difficult to interpret the farmer presence at the site because there is little evidence indicating their use or occupation. No domesticates or homestead features such as grainbin foundations, hut remains, middens or a kraal were recorded and the ceramics could easily have been acquired by foragers through trade. However, in the ashy deposit were metal prills, found between the surface and Spit VI, along with metal tools and a tuyère fragment. Walker (1994) also revealed comparable densities of these findings in addition to a clay pipe and crucible. Considering the presence of these artefacts at the site, the farmer use of Mafunyane might have been primarily for metal-working with little other activities occurring in the rockshelter. This is the only local rockshelter within which such activities have been identified and irrespective of mixing is an important finding.

The excavations at Mafunyane have assisted with our understanding of the LSA sequence in the region. Most intriguing and worthy of additional research attention is the appearance of a metal assemblage in a LSA context and what this might mean in terms of forager-farmer interactions. Additionally, establishing tighter chronological control of the site, such as by performing a refitting analysis, could help determine the degree of mixing. A large open-air courtyard in front of the rockshelter is also densely

populated with LSA artefacts and although possibly disturbed, studying this area might provide greater resolution regarding the spatial dynamics of Mafunyane's occupation. However, while the site is small and might not be revisited in future studies, it nevertheless demonstrates the complex and nuanced LSA sequence of the Greater Mapungubwe Landscape as well as the challenges facing those who study this aspect of the region's archaeological sequence.

* * *

Acknowledgments
I thank the Palaeontological Scientific Trust and Scatterlings of Africa program, the Meyerstein Fund and the South African National Research Fund are thanked along with the support given by the Mashatu Game Reserve and Tuli Safari Lodge. I thank Abigail Moffett, Ceri Ashley, Christian Louw, Lu-Marie Fraser and Peter Mitchell for commenting on an earlier draft. Abigail Moffett and Foreman Bandama are thanked for their help with the metal identification and Alexander Antonites for assistance with the ceramics. Wendy Voorvelt assisted with fig. 1, fig. 2, and fig. 3.

* * *

References

- Alexander, G. J. 1984: *A preliminary investigation into the relationships between geology, soils and vegetation in the eastern Tuli Block, Botswana*. Unpublished.
- Deacon, J. 1984: *The Later Stone Age of Southernmost Africa*. Vol. 213. BAR International Series. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports.
- Forssman, T. 2010: The Later Stone Age occupation and sequence of the Mapungubwe Landscape. MA thesis. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. Unpublished.
- 2013 a: A preliminary report on fieldwork in the Northern Tuli Game Reserve, north-eastern Botswana. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 68: 63–71.
 - 2013 b: *Beyond the Dripline: Later Stone Age Changes on the Greater Mapungubwe Landscape*. Saarbrücken: Lambert Academic Publishing.
 - 2013 c: Missing pieces: the significance of surface scatters on the Mapungubwe Landscape, South Africa. *Southern African Humanities* 25: 53–73.
 - 2014 a: Dzombo Shelter: a contribution to the Later Stone Age sequence of the Greater Mapungubwe Landscape. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 69: 182–191.
 - 2014 b: The Spaces between Places: A Landscape Study of Foragers on the Greater Mapungubwe Landscape. Unpublished. PhD thesis. Oxford: University of Oxford.
 - 2015: A macro-fracture investigation of the backed stone tools from Dzombo Shelter, eastern Botswana. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 3: 265–274.
- Hall, S. and Smith, B. 2000: Empowering places: rock shelters and ritual control in farmer-forager interactions in the Northern Province. *South African Archaeological Society Goodwin Series* 8: 30–46.

- Kennett, D. et al. 2002: Differences in 14C age between stratigraphically associated charcoal and marine shell from the archaic period site of Kilometer 4, southern Peru: old wood or old water? *Radiocarbon* 44: 53–58.
- Kuman, K. and Fields, A. 2009: The Cutting Edge: New Approaches to the Archaeology of Human Origins, in. Ed. by Schick and Toth, N. 159–169. Gosport: Stone Age Institute Press. Chap. The Oldowan Industry from Sterkfontein Caves, South Africa.
- Lancaster, S. and Simpson, I. A. 2003: Assessing and modelling faunal turbation, in. Vol. 1111. BAR International Series. British Archaeological Reports: 64–85.
- Miller, D. 2001: Metal assemblages from Greifswald areas K2, Mapungubwe hill and Mapungubwe souther terrace. *Southern African Archaeological Bulletin* 56: 83–103.
- 2002: Smelter and smith: Iron Age metal fabrication technology in southern Africa. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 29: 1083–1131.
- Orton, J. 2008: Later Stone Age ostrich eggshell bead manufacture in the Northern Cape, South Africa. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35: 1765–1775.
- 2014: Late Holocene archaeology in Namaqualand, South Africa: hunter-gatherer and herders in a semi-arid environment. unpublished. PhD thesis. Oxford: University of Oxford.
- van Doornum, B. 2005: Changing places, spaces and identity in the Shashe-Limpopo region of Limpopo Province, South Africa. unpublished. PhD thesis. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- 2007: Tshisiku Shelter and the Shashe-Limpopo confluence area hunter-gatherer sequence. *Southern African Humanities* 19: 17–67.
- 2008: Sheltered from change: hunter-gatherer occupation of Balerno Main Shelter, Shashe-Limpopo confluence area, South Africa. *Southern African Humanities* 20: 249–284.
- 2014: Balerno Shelter 3: A Later Stone Age site in the Shashe-Limpopo confluence area, South Africa. *Southern African Humanities* 29: 129–155.
- Walker, N. 1994: The Late Stone Age of Botswana: some recent excavations. *Botswana Notes and Records* 26: 1–35.
- Wood, M. 2011: A glass bead sequence for southern Africa from the 8th to the 16th century AD. *Journal of African Archaeology* 9: 67–84.



Part II

CONFERENCE REVIEWS



Part III

INTERVIEWS

