Supplemental Materials, AIED, 2024 Anon.

Table 3: Parameters used for generating training materials: prompts, the LLM model used, and the corresponding temperature.

Material	Generation goal		Model	T
Test case ca	ategory hint To generate test categories that are meaningfu	l for the programming exercise.	GPT-3.5	0.3
[Sys.] [User] [LLM]	You are a helpful and experienced teaching assistant of Problem Description: {problem_description}. List three describing the type of input. Write only each aspect if {A list of test category names}	e most important aspects of this problem that need	to be test	ted by
Test case d	esc. hint To accurately describe the key aspect a test ca	se is covering.	GPT-3.5	0.1
[Sys.] [User] [LLM] [User] [LLM]	You are a helpful and experienced teaching assistant of Problem Description: {problem_description}. Briefly do this problem that the following test case covers: {tes {A description of the key aspect}} Reformat it as a one-sentence hint. Use this template: {One sentence hint in the right format}	escribe this test case's input and explain what import_case}	ortant aspe	ect of
Buggy code	e To over-generate bugs with mixed quality for	selecting behaviorally distinct practice codes.	GPT-3.5	0.7
[Sys.] [User] [LLM] [LLM]	You are a novice student in intro CS, you make mistake ### Problem Description: {problem_description} ### Instruction: Write different buggy solutions with ### Buggy Implementations: {buggy code #1} {buggy code #2} {}			
Bug explan	nation and fix in- To describe each unique bug, and write a corre generate their explanations and fixes separate.	sponding fix instruction. If there are multiple bugs in the code, y.	GPT-4	0.3
[Sys.] [User] [LLM] [User]	You are a helpful and experienced teaching assistant of an introductory programming class. Hi, I'm a student in your class. I'm having trouble with this problem in the programming assignment: {problem_description I've tried to fix my code but I'm still stuck. Can you help me? Sure, let's take a look at your code. Here's my buggy code: {buggy_code} What's wrong with my code? List all the unique bugs included, but do not make up bugs For each point, put in the format of: {explanation: accurate and concise explanation of what the code does and what the bug is, for a novice, fix: how to fix the bug, within 30 words} Only return the bullet list. Do not write any other text or code. {Bullet list of json formats with explanation and fixes}			
Bug fix	To edit the buggy code according to the fix ins	truction, without over- or under- fix.	GPT-3.5	0.3
[Sys.] [User]	You fix bugs in Python code closely following the inst Original code: {buggy_code}; Code modification: {expla Translate the statement into actual, minimal code chan {original code snippet: ""copy the lines of code that or be dited code snippet: ""write the edited code snippet {JSON formatted old to new snippet, e.g., numbers_list	<pre>nation} ge in this format: need editing"" """}</pre>		
[Sys.] [User] [LLM]	You fix bugs in Python code closely following the inst Old Code:{buggy_code}; Instruction:{Old snippet to new {The complete version of the new fixed code}			

- Test case category hint: A good test case category should be reasonable to test for a problem. We define the success rate as the proportion of suitable test categories over all the test categories per problem.
- Test case description hint: A good test case hint should accurately describe the test case behavior. We define the success rate as the proportion of test case hints that provide an accurate description.
- Buggy codes: Intuitively, we prefer buggy codes that add more meaningful variations to existing buggy code collections. Therefore, we define success rate as the ratio of behaviorally distinct codes, where code behavior is automatically categorized with instructor-provided reference test inputs.
- Bug explanation and fix instruction: As the prompt requires identifying multiple bugs, we manually evaluate each pair of explanation
 and fix instructions, and count one generation as correct when there is a matching explanation and the corresponding fix, and both are
 correct.⁶
- Bug fix: We define a bug fix to be a success if the { old code snippet > new code snippet } accurately reflects the instruction without over- or under- fix.

⁶ In some cases the LLM describes the same bug twice because there are conceptual differences between them even when they actually share the same fix. We still count this as correct, as they only require humans to delete the extra bugs.

Table 4: Description, number of generated bugs, and number of final fixed codes for problems used in LLM experiment

Problem	Description	# Bugs	# Fixes
first_num_greater_than	Write a Python function first_num_greater_than(numbers_list, key) that takes a list of integers (numbers_list) and an integer key (key), and returns the first number in the list that is greater than the key. If there is no number greater than the key, then you should return None.	30	36
remove_extras	Function remove_extras(lst) takes in a list of integers and returns a new list with the first occurrence of each element, which is the same as lst but with all repeated occurrences of any element removed.		31
num_smaller Function num_smaller(seq, x) takes in an integer x and a sorted integer sequence seq, and returns the number of elements in seq that is strictly smaller than x.		18	24
sort_age We represent a person using a tuple (<gender>, <age>). Given a list of people, write a function sort_age that returns a list in an order such that the older people are at the front of the list. You may assume that no two members in the list of people are of the same age.</age></gender>		28	42
top_k Write a function top_k that takes a list of integers as the input and returns the greatest k number of values as a list, with its elements sorted in descending order. You may use any sorting algorithm, but you are not allowed to use the Python function sort and sorted.		28	42
swap_keys_values	Write a function swap_keys_values that takes in a dictionary, and returns a new dictionary with the keys and values swapped.	18	20
Total		145	195

Table 5: Human annotation tasks and success rate definitions for each type of material generated in LLM experiment

Material	Success Rate Definition	Human Label Tasks
Buggy code	# behaviorally distinct buggy code # non-identical buggy code	remove extra comments e.g., # the operator should actually be >
Bug explanation and fix instruction	# correct expl & fix # total buggy code	multibug_correct: T/F (does this code have meaningful and isolate fixes specify for the bug(s) listed), NA (there is only one single bug as specified) expl_correct: T/F (does the explanation accurately reflect the bug in code) fix_correct: T/F (does the fix correctly and minimally fix the bug in code) edited_expl_fix: edited for next step if needed {expl:; fix:}
Fixed Code	# accurately fixed code # total buggy code	fix_round1_correct: T/F (does the code snippet accurately reflect the instruction without over- or under-fix) & edited_round1_fix: edited fix lines if needed fix_round2_correct: T/F (does the fixed code accurately reflect the instruction without over or under-fix) & edited_fixed_code: edited fix code if needed
Test case description hint	# correct test case hint # total test case	tc_hint_correct: T/F (does this hint accurately describe what the test case is doing) & edited_tc_hint: edited test case hint if needed
Test case category	# correct test category # total test category	tc_category_correct: T/F (is this category reasonable to test for this problem) & edited_tc_category: edited category if needed