

4.

More notes on Mellit, “Poincaré Polynomials. . .”, refining 2302_06.

4.1. Fix a field \mathbf{F} , which we will eventually take to be \mathbf{F}_q . Fix an integer $n > 0$. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ over \mathbf{F} . Let \mathcal{N} be the nilpotent cone in \mathfrak{g} .

Let $F = \mathbf{F}((z))$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{F}[[z]]$. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(F)^2$ be the set of pairs (η, θ) such that η and θ are conjugate under $G(F)$. Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(F)^2 \times G(F)$ be the set of triples (η, θ, g) such that $\eta = g\theta g^{-1}$. Forgetting g defines a map $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

4.2. There is an action of $G(F)^2$ on \mathcal{V} by conjugation in each entry. This lifts to an action on \mathcal{T} defined by

$$(x, y) \cdot (\eta, \theta, g) = (x\eta x^{-1}, y\theta y^{-1}, xgy^{-1}).$$

For all η , let $\mathcal{V}_\eta \subseteq \mathcal{N}(F)$ be the fiber of \mathcal{V} over η . For all θ , let $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta} \subseteq G(F)$ be the fiber of \mathcal{T} over (η, θ) .

Let $G(F)_\eta$ and $G(F)_\theta$ be the respective stabilizers of η and θ under conjugation by $G(F)$. Then the action of $G(F)^2$ on \mathcal{T} induces an action of $G(F)_\eta \times G(F)_\theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}$. The resulting actions of $G(F)_\eta \times 1$ and $1 \times G(F)_\theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}$ are simply transitive.

4.3. Let $\mathcal{V}^\theta \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{T}^\theta \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ be the subsets where $\eta, \theta \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$.

Given $(\eta, \theta, g) \in \mathcal{T}^\theta$, we say that g is *θ -kernel-strict* and g^{-1} is *η -kernel strict* if and only if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $g : \ker(\theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\eta)$ restricts to a bijection $\ker(\theta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\eta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n$.
- $g^{-1} : \ker(\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\theta)$ restricts to a bijection $\ker(\eta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\theta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n$.
- $\ker(\theta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n = \ker(\theta) \cap g^{-1}\mathcal{O}^n$ as subsets of F^n .
- $\ker(\eta) \cap \mathcal{O}^n = \ker(\theta) \cap g\mathcal{O}^n$ as subsets of F^n .

Let $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{ks}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\theta$ be the subset of triples (η, θ, g) in which g is θ -kernel-strict. The action of $G(F)^2$ on \mathcal{T} restricts to an action of $G(\mathcal{O})^2$ on \mathcal{T}^θ that preserves $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{ks}}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}} = \mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta} \cap \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{ks}}$.

Let J_η and J_θ be the respective stabilizers of η and θ under conjugation by $G(\mathcal{O})$. Then the action of $G(F)_\eta \times G(F)_\theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}$ restricts to an action of $J_\eta \times J_\theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}}$. Unlike before, the resulting actions of $J_\eta \times 1$ and $1 \times J_\theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}}$ are usually not simply transitive.

4.4. Let $G(F) = \coprod_{d \in \mathbf{Z}} G(F)^{(d)}$ be the decomposition in which $G(F)^{(d)}$ is the subset of elements whose determinant has z -valuation d . What follows is Lemma 3.7 in [M20]:

Lemma 4.1 (Mellit). *Let $(\eta, \theta) \in \mathcal{V}^\theta$. Suppose that $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F})$. Then there is an integer $d_{\eta, \theta}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}} \subseteq G(F)^{(d_{\eta, \theta})}$. (By construction, it only depends on θ up to conjugation by $G(\mathcal{O})$.) Moreover, $d_{\eta, \theta}$ is nonnegative.*

4.5. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}, \max} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\mathrm{ks}}$ be the subset of elements g such that the most negative z -valuation among the matrix entries of g is the most positive that it can be.

The groups J_η, J_θ are commensurable in the following sense, adapted from Proposition 3.12 and Remark 3.14 of [M20].

Lemma 4.2 (Mellit). *Let $(\eta, \theta) \in \mathcal{V}^\mathcal{O}$. Suppose that $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F})$. Then for any double coset $J_\eta g J_\theta \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\text{ks}}$ with $g \in \mathcal{T}_{\eta, \theta}^{\text{ks}, \max}$, the sets*

$$J_\eta \backslash J_\eta g J_\theta \subseteq G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F)^{(d_{\eta, \theta})} \quad \text{and} \quad J_\eta g J_\theta / J_\theta \subseteq G(F)^{(d_{\eta, \theta})} / G(\mathcal{O})$$

are bounded (e.g., in the Schubert stratification). If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_q$, then the ratio of point counts

$$\text{wt}_{\eta, \theta}(q) := \frac{|J_\eta g J_\theta / J_\theta|}{|J_\eta \backslash J_\eta g J_\theta|}$$

is independent of g . (Again, this number only depends on θ up to conjugation by $G(\mathcal{O})$.)

4.6. For all $\mu \vdash n$, let $K_\mu \subseteq G(\mathcal{O})$ be the standard parahoric of type μ . Then $\mathcal{B}_\mu := G(\mathcal{O})/K_\mu$ is the usual partial flag variety of type μ .

For another $\lambda \vdash n$, let $\eta_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be of Jordan type λ . Mellit introduces

$$C_{\lambda, \mu, q}(t) = \sum_{[\theta] \in \mathcal{V}_{\eta_\lambda}^\mathcal{O} / G(\mathcal{O})} t^{d_{\eta_\lambda, \theta}} \text{wt}_{\eta_\lambda, \theta}(q) |\mathcal{B}_\mu^\theta(\mathbf{F}_q)|,$$

where $\mathcal{B}_\mu^\theta \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is the Springer fiber for θ . After correction, his Theorem 5.15 is:

Theorem 4.3 (Mellit). *For all $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\lambda, \mu, q}(t) &= \frac{\langle h_\mu, \tilde{H}_{\lambda'}(q, t) \rangle}{\prod_{\substack{\square \in \lambda' \\ l(\square) \neq 0}} (1 - t^{l(\square)} q^{-a(\square)-1})} \\ &= \frac{\langle h_\mu, \tilde{H}_\lambda(t, q) \rangle}{\prod_{\substack{\square \in \lambda \\ a(\square) \neq 0}} (1 - t^{a(\square)} q^{-l(\square)-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where, on the right-hand side, we implicitly specialize q to the prime power $|\mathbf{F}_q|$.

Example 4.4. Taking $\lambda = (1^n)$, so that $\eta_\lambda = 0$, the identity becomes

$$C_{1^n, \mu, q}(t) = |\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \langle h_\mu, \tilde{H}_{1^n}(t, q) \rangle.$$

Note that above, each expression is a polynomial in q alone.

Example 4.5. Taking $t = 0$, we find that the Macdonald expression becomes

$$\langle h_\mu, \tilde{H}_\mu(0, q) \rangle = |\mathcal{B}_\mu^{\eta_\lambda}(q)|.$$

So in this limit, the identity becomes $C_{\lambda, \mu, q}(0) = |\mathcal{B}_\mu^{\eta_\lambda}(q)|$. This, in turn, is equivalent to the claim that any element $\theta \in \mathcal{V}_{\eta_\lambda}^\mathcal{O}$ with $d_{\eta_\lambda, \theta} = 0$ is already conjugate to η_λ under $G(\mathcal{O})$. These statements generalize the previous example.

Example 4.6. Take $n = 2$ and $\lambda = (2)$. For all integers $i \geq 0$, let

$$g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \varpi^i \end{pmatrix} \in G(F), \quad \theta_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi^i \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Then $g_i^{-1}\eta g_i = \theta_i$, and in fact, the θ_i form a full set of representatives for the adjoint action of $G(\mathcal{O})$ on \mathcal{V}^η . Note that

$$G(F)_\eta = G(F)_{\theta_i} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

from which $J_\eta = J_{\theta_i} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\eta, \theta_i} &= \mathcal{J}_{\eta, \theta_i}^{\text{ks}} = G(F)_\eta g_i = g_i G(F)_{\theta_i} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F \\ & \varpi^i \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq G(F)_i^{\geq i}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{\eta, \theta_i}^{\text{ks, max}} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi^i \mathcal{O} \\ & \varpi^i \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter display shows that for any $g \in \mathcal{J}_{\eta, \theta_i}^{\text{ks, max}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\eta g J_{\theta_i} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ & \varpi^i \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ J_\eta g J_{\theta_i} / J_{\theta_i} &\simeq p\mathfrak{t}, \\ J_\eta \setminus J_\eta g J_{\theta_i} &\simeq \mathbf{F}^i. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $d_{\eta, \theta_i} = i$ and $\text{wt}_{\eta, \theta_i}(q) = q^{-i}$. Altogether,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\lambda, \mu, q}(t) &= 1 + \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} t^i q^{-i} \right) |\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)| = 1 + \frac{tq^{-1}}{1 - tq^{-1}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = (2), \\ 1 + q & \mu = (1^2) \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - tq^{-1}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = (2), \\ 1 + t & \mu = (1^2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$