Review given vec. spaces V\_1, V\_2, ..., V\_r, U,

$$\mu: V_1 \times V_2 \times ... \times V_r$$
 to U is multilinear iff for any index i, and choice of w\_j in V\_j for all  $j \neq i$ , the map V\_i to U def by v mapsto  $\mu(..., w_{i-1}, v, w_{i-1}, ...)$  is linear

μ is called a <u>multilinear functional</u> when U = F and V\_1 = ... = V\_r

previously saw:  $\beta$ : F^2 × F^2 to F def by  $\beta((a, c), (b, d)) = ad - bc$  is a bilinear form on F^2

moreover,  $\beta$  has antisymmetry:  $\beta(w, v) = -\beta(v, w)$  [pause: where have we seen ad – bc before?]

$$ad - bc = det a b$$
  
  $c d$ 

today: generalize this example to higher dim's, using multilinear forms

(Axler §9B, cont.) fix r, finite-dim'l V, and an r-linear form μ : V<sup>r</sup> to F

Df we say μ is an <u>alternating r-form</u> iff μ(v\_1, ..., v\_r) = 0 for any v\_1, ..., v\_r that include a repeated vector: i.e., v\_i = v\_j for some distinct i and j

Ex β((a, c), (b, d)) = ad - bc is alternating [check on board]

is the dot product on F^2 alternating? [wait...] no

<u>Ex</u> for any V and r, there is a silly example of an alternating form [what is it?]: the <u>zero r-form</u>

given V, r, can we find other examples? [turns out there is a constraint:]

Prop if r > dim V, then
the only alternating r-form on V is
the zero form

[did we see the condition r > dim V before? wait] recall that if r > dim V, then a list of r vectors cannot form a linearly independent set

so the prop follows from:

Lem if v\_1, ..., v\_r is a linearly dependent set of vectors in V then  $\mu(v_1, ..., v_r) = 0$  for any alternating r-form on V

<u>Pf</u> by the dependence, we can write some  $v_i$  as a lin combo of the others: say,  $v_i = sum_{j \neq i} c_{j \neq i}$ 

so  $\mu(..., v_i, ...) = sum_{j \neq i} \mu(..., c_jv_j, ...) = 0$ 

so alt. r-forms only interesting for 0 < r ≤ dim V

Rem recall that multilinear functionals on  $V_1 \times ... \times V_r$  form a vector space [under  $(a \cdot \mu + \mu')(...) = a\mu(...) + \mu'(...)$ ]

so r-linear forms on V form a vector space and alternating r-forms form a linear subsp. that we will denote Alt^r(V)

[the main thm of today:]

Thm let n = dim V let e\_1, ..., e\_n be an ordered basis

then an alternating n-form  $\mu$  on V is determined by the value  $\mu(e_1, ..., e_n)$ 

Lem for any permutation  $\sigma = (i_1, ..., i_n)$  of (1, ..., n)

[i.e., we have  $1 \le i_j \le n$  for j = 1, ..., n, and the numbers  $i_j$  have no repeats]

$$\mu(e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_n})$$
  
=  $(-1)^{\ln v(\sigma)} \mu(e_1, ..., e_n)$ 

where  $Inv(\sigma) = |\{(j, k) \mid 1 \le j < k \le n \text{ but } i\_j > i\_k\}|$ a.k.a. the <u>inversion number</u> of  $\sigma$ 

[thus:  $\mu(e_{i_1}, ...)$  is determined by  $\mu(e_1, ...)$ ]

let n = 3 and 
$$\sigma$$
 = (2, 1, 3),  $\sigma'$  = (3, 1, 2)  
[give them time to compute]  
 $Inv(\sigma) = 1$ ,  $Inv(\sigma') = 2$ 

## <u>Pf Sketch</u> we assume the following fact:

every permutation  $\sigma$  is the result of applying a finite sequence of <u>transpositions</u> [i.e., pick two indices j < k, then swap them]

by induction, can show

 $Inv(\sigma) \equiv \# \text{ of transpositions needed (mod 2)}$ 

so it remains to show:

$$\mu(..., e_k, ..., e_j, ...) = -\mu(..., e_j, ..., e_k, ...)$$
 [pause: what's next?] expand 
$$\mu(..., e_j + e_k, ..., e_j + k, ...)$$
 into four terms [do explicitly on board] then apply the alternating property

Pf of Thm suppose  $\mu$  in Alt^n(V)

want to show: for all  $v_1, ..., v_n$  in V,  $\mu(v_1, ..., v_n)$  is determined by  $\mu(e_1, ..., e_n)$ 

since (e\_i)\_i is a basis, have a\_{j,i} in F s.t.

$$v_i = sum_i a_{j, i} e_j$$
 for all i

[what next?] substituting and using multilinearity,

= sum\_
$$\sigma$$
 (-1)^Inv( $\sigma$ ) a\_{1, i\_1}...a\_{n, i\_n}  $\mu$ (e\_1, ...)

in fact, the proof gives more than the thm: it gives a formula for  $\mu(v_1, ..., v_n)$  [in the box], for any list  $v_1, ..., v_n$ 

Cor 1 if the matrix (a\_{j, i})\_{j, i} is upper-triangular, then

$$\mu(v_1, ..., v_n) = a_{1,1}...a_{n,n}$$
  
 $\mu(e_1, ..., e_n)$ 

<u>Pf</u> upper-triangular means for all j > i, we have  $a_{j}$ , i > 0

so in the sum formula for  $\mu(v_1, ..., v_n)$ , any term where  $\ln v(\sigma) > 0$  must vanish so only  $\sigma = (1, 2, ..., n)$  contributes

 $\underline{\text{Cor 2}}$  if n = dim V, then the space Alt^n(V) of alternating n-forms on V is 1-dim'l

Pf pick a basis e\_1, ..., e\_n any  $\mu$  is determined by  $\mu$ (e\_1, ..., e\_n)

in particular: if  $\mu'(e_1, ..., e_n) = \lambda \mu(e_1, ..., e_n)$ then we must have  $\mu'(...) = \lambda \mu(...)$ 

Motivation using Alt^n(V), we can interpret determinants as "scaling factors"

for any lin. op T : V to V, alt. form  $\mu$  in Alt^n(V), let T\* $\mu$  in Alt^n(V) be def by

$$T^*\mu(v_1, ..., v_n) = \mu(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$$

Rem Axler writes  $\mu$ \_T instead of T\* $\mu$ 

<u>Thm</u> [in this setup:]  $T^*\mu(...) = det(T) \mu(...)$ 

<u>Pf</u> since dim Alt^n(V) = 1 [by Cor 1], suffices to prove it for a <u>fixed nonzero</u>  $\mu$ 

pick a basis e\_1, ..., e\_n s.t. the matrix of T wrt (e\_i)\_i is a Jordan canonical form matrix pick μ s.t. μ(e\_1, ..., e\_n) = 1

write the matrix as  $(a_{j, i})_{j, i}$ then  $det(T) = a_{1,1}...a_{n,n}$  [by earlier class] =  $\mu(Te_{1, ..., Te_{n}})$  [by Cor 1] =  $T^*\mu(e_{1, ..., e_{n}})$  [if out of time, just state last cor without proof]

 $\underline{Cor} \qquad \det(S \circ T) = \det(S) \det(T)$ 

<u>Pf</u> pick  $\mu$  nonzero and observe:

 $(S \circ T)^*\mu = \det(S \circ T) \mu$ but also  $(S \circ T)^*\mu = S^*(T^*\mu) = \det(S) \det(T) \mu$