

## 6.

Today, we discuss a big-picture overview of the consequences of Deligne–Lusztig theory and Lusztig’s subsequent work on finite reductive groups.

### 6.1.

Suppose that  $G$  is a reductive algebraic group with Frobenius  $F$  and  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $T$ . If  $T$  is maximally split, *i.e.*, contained in an  $F$ -stable Borel  $B \subseteq G$ , then we can perform *parabolic induction* of representations from  $T^F$  to  $G^F$  in the spirit of Harish-Chandra: Pull back from  $T^F$  to  $B^F$ , then induct from  $B^F$  to  $G^F$ .

The key idea of Deligne–Lusztig—which they attribute to Macdonald—is to obtain the other irreducible characters of  $G^F$  by constructing, for all other  $F$ -stable maximal tori  $S \subseteq G$ , an analogous induction functor from  $S^F$  to  $G^F$ . What we have presented thus far is the construction of this functor when  $S^F$  is conjugate in  $G(k)$  to  $T^{wF}$  for some  $w \in W$ . For such  $S$ , the virtual character that we denote by  $R_{w,\theta}$  is, in other texts, constructed in terms of  $S$  and denoted by  $R_{S,\theta}$ , after replacing  $\theta$  by the appropriate conjugate.

We are led to ask: Does every  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $S \subseteq G$  have the property that  $S^F$  is conjugate to  $T^{wF}$  for some  $w$ ? The answer is yes. Pick some  $g \in G(k)$  such that  $S = gTg^{-1}$ . Then the  $F$ -stability of  $S$  and  $T$  implies that  $g^{-1}F(g) \in N_G(T)(k)$ , so we can take  $w$  to be the image of  $g^{-1}F(g)$  in  $W$ . Suppose that we choose some other  $g' \in G(k)$  such that  $S = g'T(g')^{-1}$ , and take  $w'$  to be the image of  $(g')^{-1}F(g')$  in  $W$ . Then  $g^{-1}g' \in N_G(T)(k)$ , and its image  $x \in W$  satisfies  $xw'F(x)^{-1} = w$ .

In general, we say that elements  $w, w' \in W$  are  *$F$ -conjugate* if and only if  $xw'F(x)^{-1} = w$  for some  $x \in W$ . We have constructed a map from the set of  $F$ -stable maximal tori of  $G$  to the set of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $W$ . The image of a torus under this map is sometimes called its *type*.

**Proposition 6.1.** *The map that sends an  $F$ -stable maximal torus to its type descends to a bijection*

$$\{F\text{-stable maximal tori of } G\} / G^F\text{-conjugacy} \xrightarrow{\sim} W / F\text{-conjugacy}.$$

*Proof.* We get surjectivity by choosing any section  $W \rightarrow N_G(T)$ . To show injectivity, observe that we can reduce to the  $w = e$  case, where we must show that if  $S = gTg^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}F(g)$  maps to the identity  $e \in W$ , then  $S = hTh^{-1}$  for some  $h \in G^F$ . Indeed:  $g^{-1}F(g) \in T(k)$ , so by Lang’s theorem,  $g^{-1}F(g) = t^{-1}F(t)$  for some  $t \in T(k)$ . Now take  $h = gt^{-1}$ .  $\square$

## 6.2.

So the virtual characters  $R_{w,\theta}$  comprise all the virtual characters that we can get “geometrically” from  $F$ -stable maximal tori. The next problem is to determine which ones contribute the same irreducible summands as each other.

Last time, we stated a formula of Deligne–Lusztig implying that if  $w, w' \in W$  belong to different  $F$ -conjugacy classes, then  $R_{w,\theta}, R_{w'\theta'}$  are orthogonal for every pair of characters  $\theta$  of  $T^{wF}$  and  $\theta'$  of  $T^{w'F}$ . But we have also seen an example where  $w, w'$  are not  $F$ -conjugate and  $R_{w,1}, R_{w',1}$  have the same *virtual* summands: For  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  under the standard Frobenius,  $R_{e,1} = 1 + \rho$  and  $R_{s,1} = 1 - \rho$  for  $1$  the trivial character and  $\rho$  the Steinberg character.

Deligne–Lusztig found a stricter condition that rules out this sort of situation. As motivation, observe that since  $G(k) = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} G^{F^m}$ , every pair of maximal tori  $S, S'$  is conjugate under  $G^{F^m}$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Since  $S$  is commutative, there is a group homomorphism

$$\mathbf{N}_m : S^{F^m} \rightarrow S^F,$$

called the *Galois norm*, that sends any element to the product of its conjugates under  $F^0, F^1, \dots, F^{m-1}$ . Fix characters  $\theta$  of  $S^F$  and  $\theta'$  of  $(S')^F$ . We say that the pairs  $(S, \theta)$  and  $(S', \theta')$  are *geometrically conjugate* if and only if there exists some  $m \geq 1$  and  $g \in G^{F^m}$  such that  $S' = {}^g S$  and  $\theta' \circ \mathbf{N}_m = {}^g(\theta \circ \mathbf{N}_m)$ . What follows is Corollary 6.3 in Deligne–Lusztig’s paper.

**Theorem 6.2** (Deligne–Lusztig). *If  $R_{S,\theta}, R_{S',\theta'}$  share an irreducible summand, then  $(S, \theta)$  and  $(S', \theta')$  are geometrically conjugate.*

## 6.3.

We also stated a formula about Lefschetz numbers, reducing the calculation of a Lefschetz function on  $G^F$  to those of other Lefschetz functions (for smaller schemes) on the subset of unipotent elements. It turns out that this formula reduces the calculation of  $R_{S,\theta}$  to the case where  $\theta = 1$ , at the cost of replacing  $G$  with a collection of smaller reductive algebraic groups. For clarity in what follows, we will write  $R_S^G(\theta)$  in place of  $R_{S,\theta}$ .

For any  $g \in G^F$ , let  $g = g_s g_u = g_u g_s$  be its *Jordan decomposition*. This decomposition is uniquely determined by requiring  $g_s$  to be *semisimple*, meaning an element of some maximal torus of  $G$ , and  $g_u$  unipotent. It turns out that  $g_s, g_u \in G^F$  as well, and that the centralizer  $C(g_s) = C_G(g_s)$  is a reductive algebraic group. Let  $C(g_s)^\circ \subseteq C(g_s)$  be the connected component at the identity. Observe that if  $g_s$  is contained in a torus, then the torus is contained in  $C(g_s)^\circ$ .

**Theorem 6.3** (Deligne–Lusztig). *For any  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $S$  and element  $g \in G^F$ , we have*

$$R_{S^F}^{G^F}(\theta)(g) = \frac{1}{|(C(g_s)^\circ)^F|} \sum_{\substack{x \in G^F \\ g_s \in {}^x S^F}} {}^x \theta(g_s) R_{x S^F}^{(C(g_s)^\circ)^F}(1)(g_u).$$

This formula simplifies substantially when  $g = g_s$ . In particular, when  $g = 1$ , Deligne–Lusztig use the formula to express the dimension of any irreducible character  $\rho$  of  $G^F$  as a linear combination of the multiplicities  $(\rho, R_{S^F}^{G^F}(\theta))_{G^F}$ , running over all  $F$ -stable maximal tori  $S$  and characters  $\theta$  of  $S^F$ . From this they deduce their Corollary 7.7:

**Corollary 6.4** (Deligne–Lusztig). *Every irreducible representation of  $G^F$  occurs as a virtual summand of  $R_{S,\theta}$  for some  $S, \theta$ : hence, of  $R_{w,\theta}$  for some  $w, \theta$ .*

6.4.

From these results, we begin to glimpse how the Deligne–Lusztig induction functors give structure to the set of irreducible characters of  $G^F$ , when  $G$  is a connected reductive algebraic group over  $k$  with Frobenius  $F$ .

- (1) First, it is partitioned into subsets indexed by the geometric conjugacy classes of pairs  $(S, \theta)$ , where  $S$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$  and  $\theta$  is a character of  $S^F$ .
- (2) Second, the values of the characters associated with a given  $(S, \theta)$  can be computed from analogous values where  $G$  is replaced by a smaller reductive group,  $S$  is replaced by a conjugate torus, and  $\theta$  is replaced by the trivial character.

Remarkably, we can repackage this structure in terms of the geometry of a different group. For any connected reductive  $G$  with Frobenius  $F$ , there is another connected reductive algebraic group  $G^\vee$  over  $k$ , and a Frobenius on  $G^\vee$  that we again denote by  $F$ , with the following properties.

- (1) The geometric conjugacy classes of pairs  $(S, \theta)$  discussed above are in bijection with the semisimple  $G^\vee(k)$ -conjugacy classes of  $(G^\vee)^F$ .
- (2) In (1), the (single) geometric conjugacy class of pairs  $(S, 1)$  corresponds to the conjugacy class of the identity element in  $(G^\vee)^F$ .