

Last time      if     $X, Q$  are sets,  
                          $p : X$  to  $Q$  is a surjective map,  
                          $X$  has a topology,

then the resulting quotient topology on  $Q$  is  
 $\{U \subset Q \mid p^{-1}(U) \text{ is open in } X\}$

a quotient space of  $X$  is a topological space  
formed this way [how do they appear in practice?]

Df            an equivalence relation on a set  $X$  is  
                 a rule  $\sim$  on elts of  $X^2$  s.t., for all  $x, y, z$ ,

- I)     $x \sim x$ , for all  $x$  in  $X$
- II)   if  $x \sim y$ , then  $y \sim x$
- III) if  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ , then  $x \sim z$

Fact        if  $p : X$  to  $Q$  is a surjective map,  
                 then the rule  $\sim$  on  $X^2$  def by

$$x \sim y \text{ iff } p(x) = p(y)$$

is an equivalence relation

conversely, every equiv relation arises from  
a surjective map this way

Ex             $X = R \times \{a, b\}$  = union of two copies of  $R$   
                  $Y = X/\sim$  where  $\sim$  is this equiv. relation:

- $(x, a) \sim (y, a) \text{ iff } x = y$
- $(x, b) \sim (y, b) \text{ iff } x = y$
- $(x, a) \sim (y, b) \text{ iff } x = y \neq 0$

[draw]

$Y$  is not Hausdorff in the quotient top

[draw]

(Munkres §23)

Df a separation of  $X$  is  
a pair of disjoint nonempty opens  $U, V$   
s.t.  $X = U \cup V$

we say that  $X$  is connected iff it has no separation  
i.e.

whenever  $X = U \cup V$  for disjoint open  $U, V$ ,  
we require either  $U = \emptyset$  or  $V = \emptyset$

Rem if  $U, V$  form a separation  
then  $U, V$  are not just open, but closed

“clopen” = “both open and closed”

Ex  $X = [0, 1/2) \cup (1/2, 1]$  in the subsp top  
inherited from analytic  $\mathbb{R}$

$U = [0, 1/2)$  and  $V = (1/2, 1]$  is a separation

Ex  $Q$  in the subsp top inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$

for  $\alpha$  irrational,  $U = \{x \in Q \mid x < \alpha\}$ ,  
 $V = \{x \in Q \mid x > \alpha\}$   
is a separation

Thm  $\mathbb{R}$  in the analytic topology is connected

[but the proof is hard]

Moral subspaces of connected spaces  
need not be connected

[but upshot:]

Thm if  $A$  is a connected subspace of  $X$   
and  $U, V$  form a separation of  $X$   
then either  $A \subset U$  or  $A \subset V$

Pf if  $A \cap U$  and  $A \cap V$  both nonempty,  
then they form a separation of  $A$   
so either  $A \cap U = \emptyset$  or  $A \cap V = \emptyset$

Df the maximal connected subspaces of  $X$   
are called its connected components

Thm

- 1) if  $X, X'$  are each connected, then so is  $X \times X'$
- 2) if  $f : X$  to  $Y$  is cts and  $X$  is connected,  
then  $f(X)$  is connected as a subspace of  $Y$
- 3) if  $A, A'$  sub  $X$  are connected  
and  $A \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ ,  
then  $A \cup A'$  is connected

Ex observe: only one topology exists on  $\emptyset$   
is  $\emptyset$  connected? yes!

Q is  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  connected in the box topology?  
in the product topology?

