

Warmup/Interlude recall: gen'lized  $\mu$ -eigenspace  
 $\bigcup_n \ker((T - \mu)^n)$

three cases where  $V$  is a single gen'lized eigensp.

$\mu$	$\mu$	$\mu$	1
$\mu$	$\mu$	1	1
$\mu$	$\mu$	$\mu$	$\mu$

what's the dim of the actual  $\mu$ -eigenspace?

3	2	2
---	---	---

smallest  $k$  s.t.  $\ker((T - \mu)^k) = V$ ?

1	2	3
---	---	---

in general:  $V = \sum_i W_i$   
 for gen'lized eigenspaces  $W_1, \dots, W_\ell$   
 with eigenvals  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$

for each,  $k_i$  s.t.  $\ker((T - \lambda_i)^{k_i}) = W_i$   
 $=$   
 $k_i$  s.t.  $(T - \lambda_i)|_{W_i}^{k_i}$  is zero

in this case  $k_i \leq \dim W_i$

Thm above,  $\prod_i (T - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$  is zero on  $V$

Pf if  $V = \sum_i W_i$ , each  $W_i$  is  $T$ -stable,  
 and  $T|_{W_i}$  is zero  
 then  $T$  is zero on  $V$

(Axler, §5B)

Df the minimal polynomial of  $T$  is  
the monic poly  $p(z)$  of minimal deg s.t.  
 $p(T)$  is zero  
denoted  $\text{minpoly}_T(z)$  in  $C[z]$

(monic = the coeff of the highest power of  $z$  is 1)

Cor if  $F = C$  and  $V$  is fin. dim., then  
1)  $\text{minpoly}_T(z)$  exists  
2)  $\deg \text{minpoly}_T(z) \leq \dim V$

Ex if  $T = \text{id}_V$ , then  $\text{minpoly}_T(z) = z - 1$

Ex if  $T = \text{zero op}$ , then  $\text{minpoly}_T(z) = z$

Rem these are examples where  $\deg < \dim V$

Rem min poly still exists with  $\deg \leq \dim V$   
even when  $F = R$   
(will defer proof to HW or later lecture)

(Axler, §8A) rest of today: structure of  $T|_W$   
for gen'lized eigenspace  $W$   
with eigenval  $\lambda$   
observe:  $T|_W - \lambda$  is nilpotent

Thm for any  $F$  and  $W$  fin. dim.:  
if  $S : W$  to  $W$  is nilpotent  
then  $S$  has a matrix with  
1's and 0's on the super-diagonal  
0's everywhere else

Cor  $T|_W$  has a matrix with  
 1's and 0's on the super-diagonal  
 $\lambda$ 's on the diagonal  
 0's everywhere else

e.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda & 1 & & & & & \\ & \lambda & & & & & \\ & & \lambda & & & & \\ & & & \lambda & 1 & & \\ & & & & \lambda & 1 & \\ & & & & & \lambda & \end{array}$$

above:  $\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$  called Jordan blocks

each Jordan block J corresponds to  
 a T-stable linear subspace  $W_J \subset W$

$W_J$  has a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  s.t.  
 $T|_{W_J} e_1 = \lambda e_1$   
 $T|_{W_J} e_i = \lambda e_i + e_{i-1}$  for  $1 < i \leq d$

Df a sequence  $e_d \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow e_1$  of max len  
 obeying these identities is called  
 a Jordan chain for T of length d  
 with eigenval  $\lambda$

thus: T has a Jordan chain of len d, eigenval  $\lambda$   
 iff  
 T has a matrix with a  $d \times d$  Jordan block  
 with diagonal entries all  $\lambda$

[now, can restate thm for nilpotent ops  
 in terms of Jordan chains]

Thm for any  $F$  and  $W$  fin. dim.:  
 if  $S : W \rightarrow W$  is nilpotent  
 then there is a basis for  $W$  that is  
 a disj union of Jordan chains for  $S$ ,  
 all with eigenval 0

intuition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & 1 & & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & & 0 & 1 & & \\
 & & & & 0 & 1 & \\
 & & & & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Jordan chains:  $e_2 \rightarrow e_1$ ,  
 $e_3$ ,  
 $e_6 \rightarrow e_5 \rightarrow e_4$

$$\text{im}(S) = \text{span}(e_1, e_4, e_5)$$

$\text{im}(S)$  is T-stable:

Jordan chains for  $T_{\{\text{im}(S)\}}$ :  $e_1$   
 $e_5 \rightarrow e_4$

just need two steps to bootstrap from  $\text{im}(S)$  to  $W$ :

- 1) extend each Jordan chain in  $\text{im}(S)$  by one elt
- 2) add a Jordan chain of length 1  
 for each  $e_i$  in  $\ker(S)$  s.t.  $e_i \notin \text{im}(S)$

then check that the result is indeed a basis for  $W$

above: 1) adds  $e_2, e_6$   
 2) adds  $e_3$

Pf      if  $W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then done  
          induct on  $\dim W$

else:  $\ker(S) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$  as some power of  $S$  is zero  
so  $\dim \operatorname{im}(S) < \dim W$   
and  $\operatorname{im}(S)$  is  $S$ -stable (by stability lem)  
so by the inductive hypothesis,  
     $\operatorname{im}(S)$  has a basis that is a disj union  
    of Jordan chains all with eigenval 0

say,       $w_1 \rightarrow S w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S^{d_1} w_1,$   
           $w_2 \rightarrow S w_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S^{d_2} w_2,$   
           $\dots$   
           $w_s \rightarrow S w_s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S^{d_s} w_s$

for some  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s$  in  $\operatorname{im}(S)$

note: since this is a basis for  $\operatorname{im}(S)$ ,  
     $\{S^{d_1} w_1, \dots, S^{d_s} w_s\}$  is  
    a basis for  $\ker(S|_{\operatorname{im}(S)})$   
    [why? linearly independent and span]

[steps described earlier:]

- 1) pick  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  in  $W$  s.t.  $S e_i = w_i$
- 2) extend above basis for  $\ker(S|_{\operatorname{im}(S)})$  to  
    a basis for  $\ker(S)$

say,  $\{S^{d_1} w_1, \dots, S^{d_s} w_s, u_1, \dots, u_t\}$

claim:       $\bigcup_i \{f_i, S f_i, \dots, S^{d_i + 1} f_i\}$   
           $\cup \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$   
          is a basis for  $W$

[the  $S^k f_i$ 's give the Jordan chains of len  $\geq 2$ ]

[the  $u_j$ 's give the Jordan chains of len 1]

by PS3, #1, suffices to show:

- # of vectors =  $\dim W$
- linear independence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{\# of vectors: } & (d_1 + 2) + \dots + (d_s + 2) + t \\ &= (d_1 + 1) + \dots + (d_s + 1) + s + t \\ &= \dim \operatorname{im}(S) + s + t \\ &= \dim \operatorname{im}(S) + \dim \ker(S) \\ &= \dim W\end{aligned}$$

linear independence: pick  $a_{i,k}, b_j$  s.t.

$$\sum_{i,k} a_{i,k} S^k f_i + \sum_j b_j u_j = \mathbf{0}$$

applying  $S$  to both sides:

$$\sum_{i,k} a_{i,k} S^{k+1} f_i + \sum_j b_j \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

so by inductive hypothesis,  $a_{i,k} = 0$  for all  $i, k$

$$\text{so now, } \sum_j b_j u_j = \mathbf{0}$$

but the  $u_j$ 's were constructed as part of a basis  
so  $b_j = 0$  for all  $j$   $\square$