```
from the HW:
                                                           <u>Df</u>
                                                                      sum {i in I} W i is a direct sum
                                                                     iff [what?]
if {W i} {i in I} is a collection of linear sub.'s of V,
                                                                      every elt has a unique expression
     with I possibly infinite,
                                                                           sum_{i in I} w_i
                                                                     w_i in W_i for all i
then their sum is defined to be [what?]
                                                           s.t.
                                                                      (and w i = 0 for all but fin many i)
sum_{i in I} W_i
     = {sum {i in J} w i | J sub I finite,
                                                           [what does unique mean?]
                         w_i in W_i for all i}
     = {sum_i w_i | w_i in W_i for all i,
                                                           for any sets {w i} i, {w' i} i
                    w_i = 0 for all but fin many i })
                                                           s.t. w i, w' i in W i for all i
                                                                      and w i, w' i = 0 for all but fin many i,
     [will use second version today]
                                                           sum i w i = sum i w' i implies (w i = w' i for all i)
Prop
          sum_{i in I} W_i is the minimal lin. sub.
```

containing W i for all i

```
Prop suppose the uniqueness holds for 0: for any set {w_i}_i
```

s.t.  $w_i$  in  $W_i$  for all i (and  $w_i = 0$  for all but fin many i),

sum\_{i in I} w\_i = **0** implies (w\_i = **0** for all i)

then the uniqueness holds in general: i.e., sum\_{i in I} W\_i is a direct sum

Pf suppose that
sum\_i w\_i = v = sum\_i w'\_i

then sum\_i (w\_i - w'\_i) =  $\mathbf{0}$ so w\_i - w'\_i =  $\mathbf{0}$  for all i (Axler §2A) {v\_i}\_{i in I} any set of vectors in V

Df {v\_i}\_i is said to be
a linearly independent set of vectors iff
either of these equivalent cond's:

I) **0** has a unique expression as sum\_i a\_iv\_i: for any set {a\_i}\_i

s.t.  $a_i$  in F for all i,  $a_i$  = 0 for all but fin many i,

sum\_i a\_iv\_i = **0** implies (a\_i = 0 for all i)

II) sum\_i Fv\_i is a direct sum

else we say {v\_i}\_i is a <u>linearly dependent</u> set

Lem {v\_i}\_i is linearly dependent iff there exist finite subset {v\_j}\_{j in J}, i notin J

s.t.  $v_i = sum_{j in J} a_{j v_j}$ 

in this case, we say:

v\_i is a <u>linear combination</u> of the v\_j's for j in J, with coeffs a\_j's

also say:

v\_i is <u>linearly dependent upon</u> the v\_j's

[motivates next defn:]

<u>Df</u> the span of {v\_i}\_i is (simultaneously)

1) {sum\_i a\_iv\_i | a\_i in F for all i, a\_i = 0 for all but fin many i}

2) sum\_{i in I} Fv\_i, where Fv\_i = {av\_i | a in F}

3) the minimal linear subspace of V containing v\_i for all i

i.e. 1), 2), 3) are all the same and {v\_i}\_i is said to span [verb] it

 $\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$  in  $\mathsf{F}[\mathsf{x}] = \{\mathsf{set} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{polynomials} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{over} \ \mathsf{F}\}$ :

 $\{x^k \mid k \ge 0\} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, ...\} \text{ spans } F[x]$ 

[why? every polynomial is a sum of monomials]

let  $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ in  $F^{\mathbf{N}} = \{\text{functions from N into F}\}:$ let  $e_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{N}$  to F be the function  $e_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{i}) = 1,$  $e_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{j}) = 0$  for  $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}$ 

{e\_i | i in **N**} does not span F^**N** 

[why?] consider the function f s.t. f(i) = 1 for all i

[most striking thm thus far:]

Thm (Steinitz Exchange) if {v\_1, ..., v\_k} is a lin. independent set in V, {e\_1, ..., e\_n} spans V

then k ≤ n

[crucially, both sets of vectors are finite]

Cor if V is spanned by n vectors, then any set with > n vectors has some linear dependence

Cor if there is a linearly independent set of k vectors in V, then any set with < k vectors cannot span V

 $\underline{\mathsf{Pf}\;\mathsf{of}\;\mathsf{Thm}}\qquad\mathsf{let}\;\mathsf{S}\_\mathsf{0}=\{\mathsf{e}\_\mathsf{1},\,\ldots,\,\mathsf{e}\_\mathsf{n}\}$ 

will prove that for  $\ell = 1, ..., k$ , we can construct  $S_{\ell}$  from  $S_{\ell} = 1$  s.t.

```
1) S_ℓ still spans V
```

2) S\_ $\ell$  has one more v\_i and one fewer e\_j than S  $\{\ell - 1\}$ 

thus  $\ell \le n$  at each step [and  $k \le n$  at the last step]

WLOG reindex the v\_i's and e\_j's s.t.

since  $S_{\ell-1}$  spans V,

 $v_{\ell} = sum_{i} = 1$ 

 $S_{\ell-1} = \{v \mid 1, ..., v \mid \ell-1\}, e \mid \ell, ..., e \mid n\}$ 

+ sum\_{j = l}^n b\_je\_j
with some coeff nonzero

if b\_i = 0 for all j, then {v\_i}\_i lin. dep.

so we can pick j = 0 for all j, then  $\{v_{-1}\}_{-1}$  lin. dep.

so  $e_j = (1/b_j)(v_{\ell} - other stuff)$ 

build S\_ℓ by appending v\_ℓ and removing e\_j □

(Axler §2B–2C)

<u>Df</u> a basis for V is a set of vectors {v\_i}\_i

s.t. 1) {v\_i}\_i spans V

2) {v\_i}\_i is a linearly independent set

Cor if V has a finite basis of size r, then any basis for V has size r

<u>Pf</u> if {e\_1, ..., e\_r} is a basis, and {f\_1, ..., f\_s} is another:

r ≤ s because {e\_i}\_i is lin. indep. and {f\_j}\_j is spanning s ≥ r because

{f\_i}\_i is lin. indep. and {e\_j}\_j is spanning

Df if V has a finite basis,
 then we define the dimension of V to be
 dim(V) = size of any basis for V

else we say V is infinite-dimensional

"the Good, the Bad, and the Ugly"

V has finite dimension V has infinite dimension, yet has an explicit basis e.g., F[x]

V has infinite dimension and no explicit basis e.g., F^N