Review recall  $F_X = free group on X$ 

given a group G:

S sub G is a generating set
 iff no smaller subgroup of G contains S
 iff the homomorphism F\_S to G is surjective

in this case:

R sub F\_S is a set of relations wrt S
 iff ker(F\_S to G) is the smallest kernel, i.e.,
 normal subgp of F\_S, containing R

then we can speak of a presentation of G by generators and relations:  $G = \langle S | R \rangle$ if  $R = \emptyset$ , then  $G = F_S$  and we write  $G = \langle S \rangle$  Rem any G has an "obvious" gen'ting set S:

[pause: what is it?]

take S = G itself

[usually we prefer to study smaller S]

take G = Zwhat is a one-elt gen'ting set? [pause]  $S = \{1\}$  works [but also another:]  $S = \{-1\}$  also works

what is a two-elt gen'ting set without  $\pm 1$ ? [pause] [e.g.]  $S = \{2, 3\}$ 

Ex last time, saw that if  $G = \{e, s\}$ then  $G = \langle s \mid s^2 \rangle$ [abusing notation: s should be  $\{s\}$ , etc.]

```
Ex
          let G = Z^2 [under coordinate-wise +]
          what is a generating set? [pause]
          S = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\} works
write a = (1, 0) and b = (0, 1)
what is ker(F_S to Z^2)? [pause]
elts of F_S are words in a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}
if such a word contains
     Ma's,
     N b's,
     M' a^{-1}'s,
     N' b^{-1}'s
then it is mapped to (M - M', N - N') in \mathbb{Z}^2, so
```

e.g., for any w, v in F\_S, it contains
the <u>commutator</u> [w, v] := wvw^{-1}v^{-1}
[here w^{-1} means the group inverse to w]

## Fact ([follows from] Munkres 69.3–69.4)

- {[w, v] | w, v in F\_S} is a generating set for ker(F\_S to Z^2)
- the kernel is the smallest normal subgp containing [a, b]

[defer proof for now]

altogether, get the presentation

$$Z^2 = \langle a = (1, 0), b = (0, 1) \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1}\rangle$$

Free Products [goal: Seifert–van Kampen:] given groups  $G_{-}1 = \langle S_{-}1 \mid R_{-}1 \rangle,$   $G_{-}2 = \langle S_{-}2 \mid R_{-}2 \rangle;$ 

<u>Df 1</u> the free product of G\_1 and G\_2 is

 $G_1 * G_2 = <S1 \text{ cup } S2 \mid R1 \text{ cup } R2>$ 

Problem a priori, G\_1 \* G\_2 could depend on how we present G\_1 and G\_2 [to solve this issue, new defn:]

Df 2 a free product of G\_1, G\_2 is a group G with maps i\_1 : G\_1 to G, i\_2 : G\_2 to G s.t., for any group K, we have a bijection

{pairs of hom's  $\phi_1$ : G\_1 to K,  $\phi_2$ : G\_2 to K} = {hom's  $\Phi$ : G to K}

given explicitly by  $\phi_1 = \Phi \circ I_1$  and  $\phi_2 = \Phi \circ I_2$ 

Thm the free product in definition #2 is unique up to iso [in fact, "unique iso"]

Pf suppose  $(G, I_1, I_2)$ ,  $(G', I'_1, I'_2)$  both work

taking  $\phi_k = \iota'_k$  above gives a hom  $\Phi$  : G to G' s.t.  $\iota'_k = \Phi \circ \iota_k$ 

taking  $\phi_k = \iota_k$  above gives a hom  $\Phi'$ : G' to G s.t.  $\iota_k = \Phi' \circ \iota'_k$ 

substituting,  $I_k = \Phi' \circ \Phi \circ I_k$ so under the defining bijection for G, id\_G and  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$  both correspond to (I\_1, I\_2) [pause: what next?] so id\_G =  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$ 

similarly, id  $\{G'\} = \Phi \circ \Phi'$ 

so  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  are each other's two-sided inverses  $\square$ 

[thm + proof illustrate "category-theoretic" ideas]

<u>Lem</u>  $G_1 * G_2$  in defn #1 satisfies defn #2

Pf left as exercise

 $\underline{Ex}$  the free group F\_2 is isomorphic to Z \* Z

more generally, \* is associative:

F\_n is isomorphic to Z \* Z \* ... \* Z with n copies

Ex let  $G = \{e, s\}$ , the two-elt group how to write down elts of G \* G? [pause]

need to distinguish two copies of s: say, "s" and "t"

 $G * G = \{e, s, t, st, ts, sts, tst, ...\}$ 

(Munkres §70) [but slightly changed notation]

s.t. X = U\_1 cup U\_2, U\_1 and U\_2 are path connected, U := U\_1 cap U\_2 is path-connected

let i\_1 : U to U\_1 and i\_2 : U to U\_2 be inclusion then for any x in U:

1) the homomorphism  $\pi_{-}1(U_{-}1,\,x)*\pi_{-}1(U_{-}2,\,x) \text{ to } \pi_{-}1(X,\,x)$  arising from (j\_{1,\*}, j\_{2,\*}) via the defn of free product is <u>surjective</u>

the kernel of the homomorphism is the smallest normal subgp of the domain containing the elts of the form  $i\_\{1,^*\}([\gamma])^*\{-1\}\ i\_\{2,^*\}([\gamma])$  as we run over elts  $[\gamma]$  in  $\pi\_1(U, x)$  [above,  $i\_\{k,^*\}([\gamma])$  in  $\pi\_1(U\_k, x)$ , but then we implicitly embed it into the free product]

Cor  $\pi_1(X, x)$  is generated by the union of  $\pi_1(U_1, x)$  and  $\pi_1(U_2, x)$ 

if there are open U\_1, U\_2 sub X s.t.
U\_1, U\_2 are simply-connected,
X = U\_1 cap U\_2,
U\_1 cap U\_2 is path-connected,
then X is simply-connected

[we stated the latter corollary in a previous class]

<u>Ex</u> take a figure-eight:

[draw]

take open U\_1, U\_2 s.t.

they deformation retract onto the two loops U\_1 cap U\_2 def. retracts onto the middle pt

[draw]

then  $\pi_1(U_1, x) = \pi_1(U_2, x) = \pi_1(S^1) = Z$ but  $\pi_1(U_1 \text{ cap } U_2, x)$  is trivial

so  $\pi_1(\text{figure-eight}, x) = Z * Z = F_2$