<u>Warmup</u>	in F^2, provisionally define:	take	e_1 =	1 e_2	= -1
a reflection	to be a lin op that sends			ı	ı
	e_1, e_2 mapsto e_1, -e_2 for some basis e_1, e_2	graphin	g shows:	e_1, e_2	mapsto e_1, -e_2
	_ , _	yields	0 1	in the sto	d basis
a shear	to be a lin op that sends		1 0		
	e_1, e_2 mapsto e_1, e_1 + be_2				
	for some basis e_1, e_2	<u>Ex</u>	M = 5/2	2 - 3/2	is a shear matrix
			3/2	2 -1/2	wrt e_1, e_2
e.g.,					
1 0 is a	a shear matrix that is not 1 b	Me_1 = e_1 and			
c 1	0 1				
		M sends	s e_2 to	<b>-4</b> =	-3 + -1
	lection matrix that is not diagonal? ear matrix that is not triangular?			-4 = -2	<b>-</b> 3 1

Idea have: qualitative defns of geometric opsand examples given by matriceswant: matrix-independent defns

<u>Q</u> how to formalize "matrix-independent"?

fix linear map T: V to W

(e\_1, ..., e\_n) ordered basis of V, (f\_1, ..., f\_m) ordered basis of W M matrix of T wrt (v\_i)\_i, (w\_j)\_j

(e\_1, ..., e\_n) another ordered basis of V, (f\_1, ..., f\_m) another ordered basis of W matrix of T wrt (e\_i)\_i, (f\_j)\_j? in terms of M?

id\_V T id\_W
V to V to W to W
e\_i v\_i w\_j f\_j

A, B are invertible [since they represent iso's] the matrix of T wrt (e\_i)\_i, (f\_j)\_j is B • M • A

possibly confusing:

ith col of A expresses e\_i as sum\_j A\_{k, i} v\_k jth col of B w\_j as sum\_ $\ell$  B\_ $\ell$ , j} f\_ $\ell$ 

[id\_V sends e\_i to e\_i; id\_W sends w\_j to w\_j]

suppose 
$$W = V$$
,  
 $(w_{j})_{j} = (v_{i})_{i}$ ,  
 $(f_{j})_{j} = (e_{i})_{i}$ 

A is the matrix of the id map "from e\_i to v\_i" B is the matrix of the id map "from v\_i to e\_i" so  $A = B^{(-1)}$ 

## Rem general case T : V to W also useful, but the statement is cumbersome – easier to rederive from picture of maps

Ex 
$$V = \{p \text{ in } F[x] \mid p = 0 \text{ or deg } p \le 3\}$$
  
 $T(p) = dp/dx$   
 $(v1, v2, v3, v4) = (1, x, x^2, x^3)$   
 $(e1, e2, e3, e4) = (1, x, x^2/2, x^3/6)$ 

[compute B^(-1)] [compute BMB^(-1)]

<u>Df</u>	matrices M, N are conjugate* iff,				
	for some matrix P,				
	P • M • P^(-1) is well-defined,				
	equals N				

\* also say "M and N are conjugates of each other"

Rem almost always, we only use this notion in the context where M, N are square

<u>Df</u> a property of M is conjugation-invariant iff it is the same for all conjugates of M

Cor if M is the matrix of a lin op T, then any property of T is a conjugation-invariant property of M

and conversely!

any conjugation-invariant property of M
only depends on T

Ex entries of M are not conj-invariant

what are the conj-invariant functions Mat\_2 to F?

for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix M = P Q

tr(M) = P + S and det(M) = PS - QR are invariant [any others?]

Thm any invariant poly of the coord fns on Mat\_2 must be a poly in tr and det

why this is hard:

a

PQd-b RS-ca

 $aP + bR \ aQ + bS$  d -b  $cP + dR \ cQ + dS$  -c a

adP + bdR - acQ - bcS-abP - bbR + aaQ + bbS

cdP + ddR - ccQ - cdS

-bcP -bdR + acQ + adS

...we will give a bettter proof later

Ex fix an integer k > 0

the property (M^k = 0\_n) is conj-invariant because

 $(BMB^{(-1)})^k = BM^kB^{(-1)} = 0_n$ 

corresponds to having  $T \circ ... \circ T = zero$ where T is iterated k times

<u>Ex</u> since nilpotence is conj-invariant, unipotence is conj-invariant:

 $B(I_n + M)B^{-1} = BI_nB^{-1} + BMB^{-1}$ =  $I_n + BMB^{-1}$  above, used the left & right distributive properties for matrix multiplication

Ex for fixed k > 0, the property  $M^k = I_n$  is conj-invariant

M is called an <u>involution</u> iff  $M^2 = I_n$ 

Rem reflections are involutions