

Last time $A \text{ sub } X$

the subspace topology on A induced by X is
 $\{A \cap V \mid V \text{ is an open set in } X\}$

if $U \text{ sub } A \text{ sub } X$
then $(U \text{ open in } X) \implies (U \text{ open in } A)$ [why?]
but converse can fail

Ex give \mathbb{R} the analytic topology

	$\{0\} \text{ open}$	$\{1\} \text{ open}$
$A = \{0, 1\}$	y	y
$A = \mathbb{Q}$	n	n
$A = \{0\} \cup \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$	n	y

Rem [how do subsp's interact with cts maps?]

1. if $A \text{ sub } X$, then the inclusion map $i : A \text{ to } X$
def by $i(a) = a$ is cts
2. compositions of cts maps are cts
3. so if $f : X \text{ to } Y$ is cts, then $f|_A : A \text{ to } Y$ is cts
(compare to PS2, #6)

Rem if A is open in X itself
then $(U \text{ open in } A) \iff (U \text{ open in } X)$
(see PS2, #3)

[Thm if $\{B_i\}_i$ is a basis for the top on X ,
then $\{A \cap B_i\}_i$ is a basis for
the subspace top on A

Pf by earlier criterion, just need to show:
for all U open in A and x in U ,
have i s.t. x in $A \cap B_i \subset U$

indeed, $U = A \cap V$ for some V open in X
then x in V , so have i s.t. x in $B_i \subset V$
so x in $A \cap B_i$, and also, $A \cap B_i \subset U$]

(Munkres §20) recall from real analysis:

Df a metric on X is a fn $d : X \times X$ to $[0, \infty)$

s.t., for all x, y, z in X ,

- 1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- 2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- 3) $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$

[why is 3) called the “triangle inequality”?]

Ex Euclidean metric e on \mathbb{R}^n :

$e(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$
for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

Df any metric d on X defines the balls

$B_d(x, \delta) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \delta\}$
for all x in X and $\delta > 0$

Thm the collection $\{B_d(x, \delta) \mid x \in X, \delta > 0\}$
forms a basis on X

Df the metric topology on X generated by d
is the one generated by the basis

metric \mapsto basis of balls \mapsto metric topology

just as different bases can give the same topology
so different metrics can give the same topology

Pf of Thm must check axioms for basis

easy to see that the balls cover X

enough to show that for all x, x' in X and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$
and z in $B_d(x, \varepsilon) \cap B_d(x', \varepsilon')$,
have $\delta > 0$ s.t.

$B_d(z, \delta) \subset B_d(x, \varepsilon) \cap B_d(x', \varepsilon')$

[draw picture]

rephrased:

given that $d(x, z) < \varepsilon$ and $d(x', z) < \varepsilon'$

want $\delta > 0$ s.t.

$d(z, y) < \delta$ implies $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ and $d(x', y) < \varepsilon'$

notice $0 < \varepsilon - d(x, z)$

so we can find α s.t. $0 < \alpha < \varepsilon - d(x, z)$

then $d(x, z) + \alpha < \varepsilon$

then for all y s.t. $d(z, y) < \alpha$,

get $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) < d(x, z) + \alpha < \varepsilon$

similarly, can find α' s.t. $0 < \alpha' < \varepsilon' - d(x', z)$

then for all y s.t. $d(z, y) < \alpha'$

get $d(x', y) \leq d(x', z) + d(z, y) < d(x', z) + \alpha' < \varepsilon'$

now take $\delta = \min(\alpha, \alpha')$

then for all y s.t. $d(z, y) < \delta$,

get $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ and $d(x', y) < \varepsilon'$

Ex square metric on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\rho(x, y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|)$$

for all x and y

Q what are the balls in this metric?

Q what topology does ρ generate on \mathbb{R}^n ?