

1

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ q prime power

B upper-triangular subgroup

U unipotent upper-triangular subgroup

\mathcal{U} set of all unipotent elts of G

Thm (Steinberg < 1965) $|\mathcal{U}| = |U|^2$ $(= q^{n(n-1)})$

2

T diagonal subgroup

$N_G(T)$ monomial matrices, $W := N_G(T)/T \simeq S_n$

Bruhat decomposition $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB$

Thm (Kawanaka 1975, v1)

$$|\mathcal{U} \cap BwB| = |UU_- \cap BwB|$$

where $U_- = w_\circ U w_\circ$ is opposite to U

3

Ex ($n = 2$) $w_\circ = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \cap B &= UU_- \cap B &&= U \\ \mathcal{U} \cap Bw_\circ B &= UU_- \cap Bw_\circ B &&= \mathcal{U} \setminus U \end{aligned}$$

Ex ($n = 3$) $w_\circ = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \cap Bw_\circ B &\simeq U \times \{(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid (1 + \frac{1}{ab})^3 = \frac{cd}{ab}\} \\ UU_- \cap Bw_\circ B &\simeq U \times \{(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid 1 + ab = abcd\} \end{aligned}$$

4

Fact 1 everything extends to a finite reductive group G :

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \dots$$

B becomes a Borel subgrp, $U := [B, B]$

$W := N_G(T)/T$ is called the Weyl group

Fact 2 Kawanaka proved an even more general thm (v2)

$$|\mathcal{U} \cap v^{-1}P_J v \cap BwB| = |U_{v^{-1}}(w_{J \circ v})^{-1}U_{w_{J \circ v}}^- w_{J \circ v} \cap BwB|$$

5

Goal clarify (& go even further) using Hecke algebras

Hecke algebra: $\mathcal{H} = \{G\text{-invariant functions } X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\}$

where $X := G/B$ is the flag variety, under

$$(\varphi * \psi)(yB, xB) := \sum_{zB} \varphi(yB, zB) \psi(zB, xB)$$

6

\mathcal{H} “is” a deformation of $\mathbf{C}W$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{C}\langle 1_w \mid w \in W \rangle \text{ where } 1_w(yB, xB) = \begin{cases} 1 & y^{-1}x \in BwB \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

write Kawanaka’s thm (v2) as $\mathrm{LH}_{J,w}^v = \mathrm{RH}_{J,w}^v$:

$$\underline{\text{Thm}} \text{ (T-Williams)} \quad \sum_{v,w} \mathrm{LH}_{J,w}^v 1_w, \sum_{v,w} \mathrm{RH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \in Z(\mathcal{H})$$

7

in fact, both arise from the horocycle correspondence

$$G \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} G \times X \xrightarrow{\text{act}} X \times X \quad \text{where } \text{act}(yB, z) = (yB, zyB)$$

Harish-Chandra transform: $\text{hc} = \text{act}_! \text{pr}^* : Cl(G) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{H})$

$$\text{explicitly given by } \text{hc}(\varphi)(yB, xB) = \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ gyB = xB}} \varphi(g)$$

Thm (Kawanaka, v1) $\text{hc}(1_{\mathcal{U}}) = 1_{w_{\circ}} * 1_{w_{\circ}}$

8

fix system of simple refl's $S \subseteq W$ and $J \subseteq S$

defines parabolic $P_J = U_J \rtimes L_J \supseteq B$

parabolic induction $\text{ind}_J^S : Cl(L_J) \rightarrow Cl(P_J) \rightarrow Cl(G),$

relative norm $\text{n}_J^S : Z(\mathcal{H}(L_J)) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{H}(G))$

$$\text{n}_J^S \text{ defined by } \text{n}_J^S(\alpha) = \sum_{v \in W^J} q^{-\ell(v)} 1_v^{-1} * \alpha * 1_v$$

9

Thm (T)

$$(1) \quad \sum_{v,w} \text{LH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \propto \text{hc}_G \text{ind}_J^S(1_{\mathcal{U}(L_J)})$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{v,w} \text{RH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \propto \text{n}_J^S \text{hc}_{L_J}(1_{\mathcal{U}(L_J)})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Cl(L_J) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} & Cl(G) \\ \text{hc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{hc} \\ Z(\mathcal{H}(L_J)) & \xrightarrow{\text{n}} & Z(\mathcal{H}(G)) \end{array} \quad \text{commutes}$$

Conj (T)

10

Tie-In 1 observe that $\text{hc}(1_{\{z\}}) = 1_{\text{id}}$ for $z \in Z(L_J)$

Thm (\approx Lusztig) if $J = \emptyset$, meaning $L_J = T$, then

$$\text{hc ind}_J^S(1_{\{z\}}) = \text{n}_J^S(1_{\text{id}}) \quad \text{for all } z \in T$$

$$z \text{ generic: } \sum_w |\{g \in BwB \mid g \sim z\}| 1_w$$

$$z = 1: \sum_w |\{(g, xB) \in BwB \times X \mid g \in xUx^{-1}\}| 1_w$$

observed by Gu (2021) with $1_{w_\circ} * 1_{w_\circ}$ replacing 1_w

11

Tie-In 2 a trace on \mathcal{H} is a linear function $\tau : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ s.t.

$$\tau(\alpha\beta) = \tau(\beta\alpha)$$

$$\text{standard trace} \quad \tau(1_w) = \begin{cases} 1 & w = \text{id} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$Z(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{traces on } \mathcal{H}\}: \quad \zeta \mapsto \tau[\zeta] \text{ def by } \tau[\zeta](\beta) = \tau(\zeta\beta)$$

so can recast results in terms of traces

12

recall $\mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n) \simeq \mathbf{C}Br_n / \langle \cdots \rangle$

Ocneanu: traces $\mu_n : \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[a^{\pm 1}]$ s.t.

- μ_n “deforms” the standard trace
- if $\beta \in Br_n$ with link closure $\hat{\beta}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(\beta) := (-a)^{\text{wr}(\beta)} \mu_n(\beta) \quad \text{only depends on } \hat{\beta}$$

13

Thm (Kálmán 2009) $\mathbb{P}_{\text{hi}}(\beta\delta^2) = \mathbb{P}_{\text{lo}}(\beta)$, where $\delta \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{w_\circ}$

Thm (T 2021) if $\beta = \sigma_{s_1} \cdots \sigma_{s_\ell}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(\beta) \propto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} |M(\beta)_u| \tilde{H}_{\text{Jordan}(u)}(a, q),$$

where $\tilde{H}_\mu(a, q) = \sum_k (-a^2)^k \langle s_{k, 1^{n-k}}, \tilde{H}_\mu(q) \rangle$ and

$$M(\beta)_u = \{[g_i]_i \in Bs_1B \times^B \cdots \times^B Bs_\ell B \mid g_1 \cdots g_\ell = u\}$$

14

Cor (T) $\mathbb{P}_{\text{lo}}(\beta) \propto \sum_u |M(\beta)_u|$, $\mathbb{P}_{\text{hi}}(\beta\delta^2) \propto |M(\beta\delta^2)_1|$

Cor (T) Kawanaka v1 \iff Kálmán via formula

$$\mathbb{P} \rightsquigarrow \text{triply-graded KhR homology}$$

$$\text{Kawanaka v1} \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{H}_{c,B}^*(\mathcal{U} \cap BwB) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{c,B}^*(UU_- \cap BwB)$$