

Zeta Functions as Knot Invariants

Minh-Tâm Quang Trinh

Howard University

- 1 The Riemann Hypothesis
- 2 Weil's Rosetta Stone
- 3 From Curves to Knots
- 4 Cherednik's New Hypothesis

- O. Kivinen, M. Q. Trinh. The Hilb-vs-Quot Conjecture.
- J. reine angew. Math. (Crelle), (2025). 44 pp.

1 The Riemann Hypothesis

(Euler $\sim 1730s$) Proof of the infinitude of primes:

If there were finitely many, then we'd have

$$\prod_{\text{prime } p > 0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}.$$

But the series diverges—a contradiction.

He also implicitly studied the zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

For real s > 1, we have $\zeta(s) = \prod \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$.

1

What if we allow s to be complex?


(Riemann 1859) A unique C-valued function ζ that is

- holomorphic (complex-differentiable) when $s \neq 1$.
- given by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ when Re(s) > 1.

He checked that $\zeta(n)=0$ for $n=-2,-4,-6,\ldots$ by relating these zeros to poles of the Γ function.

He speculated from examples that all other zeros of ζ live on the *critical line* $\mathrm{Re}(s)=\frac{1}{2}.$

Location of zeros \iff distribution of prime numbers.



Wikipedia

(Hardy 1914) Infinitely many zeros on the line.

(Pratt–Robles–Zaharescu–Zeindler 2020) Among zeros s with 0 < Re(s) < 1, over five-twelfths on the line.

(Dedekind ~1860s) Generalize the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

by replacing **Z** with other rings R.

Thus R is a set with operations + and \cdot resembling addition and multiplication.

$$\zeta_R(s) = \sum_{\substack{\text{ideals } I \subseteq R \\ |R/I| < \infty}} \frac{1}{|R/I|^s}$$

An *ideal* I is the collection of all finite linear combos $c_1 \cdot x_1 + \cdots + c_k \cdot x_k$ for some fixed $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in R$.

The quotient R/I is the set of translates $y + I \subseteq R$.

Note For ζ_R to make sense, the number of I such that |R/I|=n must be finite for each n>0.

Ex Every ideal of Z takes the form

 $n\mathbf{Z} = \{\text{multiples of } n\} \text{ for some integer } n \geq 0.$

For instance, the ideal generated by 30 and 2025 is

$$\{c_1 \cdot 30 + c_2 \cdot 2025 \mid c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{Z}\} = 15\mathbf{Z}.$$

We see that

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}}(s) = \sum_{\substack{n\mathbf{Z}\subseteq\mathbf{Z}\\n>0}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}|^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

In other rings, ideals may not simplify so nicely.

Why care?

(Hilbert–Polyá ~1910s) The values e^{it} such that

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = 0$$
 and $0 < \text{Re}(\frac{1}{2} + it) < 1$

behave like the eigenvalues of a random unitary matrix. Maybe this is what forces t to be <u>real</u>?

(Weil ~1940s) There is a class of rings R, coming from algebraic geometry over $\mathbf{F}_p := \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, where an analogous fact for ζ_R might be provable.

(Grothendieck–Deligne ~1960s–70s) Yes

2 Weil's Rosetta Stone Algebraic geometry studies shapes cut out by polynomial equations.

For simplicity, we'll stick to (affine) hypersurfaces

$$V_f = {\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^n \mid f(\vec{a}) = 0},$$

cut out by a single polynomial $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

 V_f is smooth at a point \vec{a} when $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) \neq 0$ for some i. Else, singular at \vec{a} .

Ex Hypersurfaces in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$ are plane curves. Consider

$$f(x,y) = y^2 - x^3 - c$$
 for constant $c \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

For which c is V_f smooth everywhere?

4

The ring of polynomial functions on V_f is

$$R_f := \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f).$$

André Weil, in a letter to his sister Simone, described a dictionary:

$${f Z}$$
 R_f V_f $n{f Z}$ ideals (closed) subvarieties $p{f Z}$ maximal ideals (closed) points

The first and last columns: a Rosetta stone between number theory and algebraic geometry.

Conj (Weil 1948) Assume V_f is smooth everywhere. Then zeros of $\zeta_{R_f}(s)$ have $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{2n-1}{2}\}$.

Set $\zeta_f(s) := \zeta_{R_f}(s)$ for convenience.

(Grothendieck ~1964) $\zeta_f(s)$ is a rational function in $\mathsf{q} := p^{-s}.$

In fact: polynomials $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n-2}$ such that

$$\zeta_f(s) = \frac{\phi_1(\mathsf{q}) \cdots \phi_{2n-3}(\mathsf{q})}{\phi_0(\mathsf{q}) \cdot \phi_2(\mathsf{q}) \cdots \phi_{2n-2}(\mathsf{q})}.$$

 ϕ_k is the charpoly of a certain operator F on a certain vector space $\mathrm{H}^k(V_f)$.

Reduces Weil's conjecture to a "Hilbert-Polyá" claim:

Conj The eigenvalues of F on $H^k(V_f)$ all have absolute value* $p^{k/2}$.

* With respect to any embedding into ${f C}$.

(Deligne 1974) True for all f (assuming V_f smooth).

In fact, Weil conjectured—and Deligne proved—results for all smooth varieties, not just hypersurfaces.

Ex Taking
$$n = 2$$
 and $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^3 - c$:

$$\phi_0(t) = 1 - pq$$

$$\phi_1(t) = 1 - \frac{a_p}{a_p} q + pq^2$$
 for some integer $\frac{a_p}{a_p}$,

giving
$$\zeta_f(s) = \frac{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}}{1 - p^{1-s}}$$
. It turns out:

- $|a_p| \le 2p^{1/2}$.
- So the two roots of $\phi_1(q)$ satisfy $|q| = p^{-1/2}$.
- So the zeros of $\zeta_f(s)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

What if V_f has singularities?

Simplest case: V_f has a unique singularity at the origin $(0, \dots 0)$. It turns out that here,

$$\zeta_f(s) = \zeta_f^{\circ}(s) \cdot \hat{\zeta}_f(s),$$

where:

- ζ_f° satisfies Weil's Riemann Hypothesis.
- $\hat{\zeta}_f$ is the analogue of ζ_f with the power-series ring

$$\hat{R}_f := \mathbf{F}_p[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]/(f)$$

in place of R_f .

Does
$$\hat{\zeta}_f(s) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \hat{R}_f \\ |\hat{R}_f/I| < \infty}} \frac{1}{|\hat{R}_f/I|^s}$$
 satisfy a RH?


Ex If
$$f = y^2 - x^3$$
, then $\hat{\zeta}_f(s) = \frac{1 + pq^2}{1 - q}$.

Roots are $q = \pm p^{-1/2}$.

Ex If
$$f = y^3 - x^4$$
, then

$$\hat{\zeta}_f(s) = \frac{1 + p \mathsf{q}^2 + p^2 \mathsf{q}^3 + p^2 \mathsf{q}^4 + p^3 \mathsf{q}^6}{1 - \mathsf{q}}.$$

Two roots on the circle $|\mathbf{q}| = p^{-1/2}$. The rest <u>not</u> on any circle $|\mathbf{q}| = p^{-k/2}$.



WolframAlpha

3 From Curves to Knots In general, if V_f is a plane curve through the origin, then

$$\hat{\zeta}_f(s) = \frac{P_f(p^{1/2}, p^{-s})}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

for some $P_f(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q}) \in \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q},\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{q}}\right]$.

The polynomials P_f are remarkably ubiquitous.

(Gorsky-Mazin 2013)

If
$$f = y^n - x^{n+1}$$
, then $P_f(1, 1) = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!}$, the *n*th Catalan number.

For instance, if $f = y^3 - x^4$, then

$$\begin{split} P_f(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q}) &= 1 + \mathsf{t}^2 \mathsf{q}^2 + \mathsf{t}^4 \mathsf{q}^3 + \mathsf{t}^4 \mathsf{q}^4 + \mathsf{t}^6 \mathsf{q}^6, \\ P_f(1,1) &= 5. \end{split}$$

7

The P_f also arise from knot/link invariants.

A *knot* is a (tame) embedding of S^1 into \mathbf{R}^3 or S^3 .



A *link* is similar, but can have multiple circles.



Two links are *isotopic* when they fit into a continuous family of embeddings.

Chmutov-Duzhin-Mostovoy

A complex plane curve $V_f \subseteq \mathbf{C}^2$ through (0,0) defines a link

 $L_f := V_f \cap S^3$, where S^3 is a 3-sphere around (0,0).

Ex If $f = y^n - x^m$, then L_f is the (m, n) torus link. It's a knot when m and n are coprime.



Wolfram Language

Ex If $f = y^4 - 2x^3y^2 - 4x^5y + x^6 - x^7$, then L_f is the closure of the braid



Cherednik-Danilenko

Conj (Oblomkov-Shende ~2010)

$$P_f(-1,\mathsf{q}) = \lim_{\mathsf{a}\to 0} \left[(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{q}^{-1})^{\mu} \, \mathbb{P}_{L_f}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{q}) \right],$$

where $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$ and \mathbb{P} is the *HOMFLYPT invariant*, discovered in 1986 and defined by the rules:

(1)
$$a\mathbb{P}_{\nearrow} - a^{-1}\mathbb{P}_{\nearrow} = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})\mathbb{P}_{5}$$

 $(2) \mathbb{P}_{\bigcirc} = 1$

Surprising, since P_f is *intrinsic* to f, while \mathbb{P} is defined diagrammatically.

Full statement incorporates **a** by upgrading P_f .

(Maulik 2012) True (with a) for all plane curves.

Proof sketch Blow up the singularity; control P_f via wall-crossing and L_f via skein algebra.

Conj (Oblomkov-Rasmussen-Shende ~2013)

$$P_f(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q}) = \lim_{\mathsf{a} \to 0} \left[(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{q}^{-1})^{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{L_f}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q}) \right],$$

where \mathbf{P} is a refinement of \mathbb{P} , discovered in the 2000s by Khovanov–Rozansky.

 ${f P}$ is defined by *categorifying* (1)–(2). Polynomials become graded chain complexes.




Melissa Zhang

(Kivinen-T 2025) True for $f = y^3 - x^m$ with $3 \nmid m$. Cor (Kivinen-T) New closed formula for $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{torus}(m,3)}$.

$Proof\ Sketch$

- $\label{eq:problem} 1 \quad \text{Combinatorial recursions for } \mathbf{P}_{\text{torus}(m,n)} \text{ due to } \\ \text{Mellit and Hogancamp-Mellit.}$
- 2 When m and n are coprime, get a formula summing over $m \times n$ Dyck paths:



At the same time, $\hat{R}_f \simeq \mathbf{F}_p \llbracket u^m, u^n \rrbracket$.

We relate the Dyck paths to the combinatorics of \hat{R}_f -submodules $M \subseteq \mathbf{F}_p[\![u]\!]$.

$$3 \quad \text{We relate } \sum_{M} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{F}[\![u]\!]/M|^s} \ \text{to} \ \sum_{I} \frac{1}{|\hat{R}_f/I|^s}.$$

Uses Serre duality. For now, requires $\min(m, n) \leq 3$.

Big Picture

I'm interested in special functions that appear in

- algebraic geometry (e.g., zeta functions)
- knot theory (e.g., HOMFLYPT polynomials)
- combinatorics (e.g., Dyck-path statistics)

A modern name for the study of special functions is $representation\ theory.$

T (2021) If L comes from a positive n-strand braid, then $\mathbf{P}_L(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{t},\mathsf{q})$ can be recovered from a representation of S_n on the cohomology of an explicit variety $\mathbf{\mathcal{Z}}_L$.

So if $L=L_f$, then \mathbf{P}_{L_f} relates to both V_f and \mathcal{Z}_{L_f} . Any direct relationship between these varieties?

4 Cherednik's New Hypothesis

Recall: For prime p and $f = y^3 - x^4$, the roots of

$$P_f(p^{1/2}, \mathsf{q}) = 1 + p\mathsf{q}^2 + p^2\mathsf{q}^3 + p^2\mathsf{q}^4 + p^3\mathsf{q}^6$$

do not all satisfy $|\mathbf{q}| = p^{-1/2}$.

Conj (Cherednik 2018) For any f(x,y), there's some open interval $\mathfrak{I}\subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{all zeros of } P_f(\alpha, \mathsf{q}) \text{ satisfy} \\ |\mathsf{q}| = \alpha^{-1/2}. \end{array}$$

Cherednik + ORS predicts mysterious arithmetic constraints on the link invariant ${\bf P}.$

$$f = y^3 - x^4$$
, $\alpha = 1$:



$$f=y^4-2x^3y^2-4x^5y+x^6-x^7, \quad \alpha=1.51:$$



Thank you for listening.