



Affine Springer Fibers and Level-Rank Duality

Minh-Tâm Quang Trinh

Yale University

- 1 Springer Theory
- 2 Deligne–Lusztig Theory
- 3 Level-Rank Duality

Mainly about joint work with Ting Xue:

[arXiv:2311.17106](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.17106)

See also the extended abstract on my website, which we have submitted to FPSAC '25.

1 Springer Theory Work over \mathbf{C} .

\mathbf{G} connected reductive group

\mathbf{A} maximal torus

W Weyl group

The *rational Cherednik algebra* D_c^{rat} is a deformation of $\mathbf{C}W \ltimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a})$ depending on a parameter $c \in \mathbf{C}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 D_c^{\text{rat}} & \mathbf{U}\mathbf{g} \\
 \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{a}] \otimes \mathbf{C}W \otimes \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{a}^*] & \mathbf{U}\mathbf{n}_- \otimes \mathbf{U}\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{U}\mathbf{n}_+ \\
 \Delta_c(\chi) & \Delta(\lambda) \\
 L_c(\chi) & L(\lambda)
 \end{array}$$

For c *rational*, D_c^{rat} can fail to be semisimple. This is the most interesting case.

For c rational and *positive*, D_c^{rat} -modules from the geometry of *affine Springer fibers*.

B Borel containing **A**
I $\subseteq \mathbf{G}[[z]]$ Iwahori lifting **B** $\subseteq \mathbf{G}$

The affine Springer fiber over $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}((z))$ is

$$\mathcal{FL}_\gamma = \{g\mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{G}((z))/\mathbf{I} \mid \gamma \in \text{Lie}(g\mathbf{I}g^{-1})\}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{G}((z))/\mathbf{I}$ is infinite-dimensional.

We say that γ is *regular semisimple* iff $\mathbf{G}((z))_\gamma^\circ$ is a maximal torus.

Here \mathcal{FL}_γ is finite-dimensional!

But it varies wildly over $\mathfrak{g}((z))^{\text{rs}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}((z))$.

Fix rational $c = \frac{d}{m} > 0$ in lowest terms.

Let $\mathbf{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathbf{G}((z))$ according to

$$\boxed{c \cdot g(z) = \text{Ad}(c^{d\rho^\vee})g(c^m z).} \quad (\rho^\vee = \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha^\vee)$$

(Oblomkov–Yun) \mathcal{FL}_γ is locally constant over

$$\mathfrak{g}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}} = \{\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}((z))^{\text{rs}} \mid c \cdot \gamma = c^d \gamma\},$$

and $\mathbf{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{FL}_\gamma$ for such γ .

We say that γ is *homogeneous of slope* $\frac{d}{m}$.

Example Take $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}_2$ and **B** upper-triangular.

Then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ z & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} z & \\ & -z \end{pmatrix}$ have slopes $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$.

(Oblomkov–Yun) Take \mathbf{G} simply-connected, simple.

For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}}$ such that $\mathcal{F}l_\gamma$ is proper:

- A perverse filtration $\mathbf{P}_{\leq *}$ on $H_{\mathbf{C}^\times}^*(\mathcal{F}l_\gamma)$.

It arises from a Ngô-type global model.

- An action of $D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}}$ on

$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma := \text{gr}_*^{\mathbf{P}} H_{\mathbf{C}^\times}^*(\mathcal{F}l_\gamma)^{\pi_0(\mathbf{G}_0, \gamma)}|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 1},$$

where $\mathbf{G}_0 = (\mathbf{G}((z))^{\mathbf{C}^\times})^\circ$ and $\epsilon \in H_{\mathbf{C}^\times}^2(\text{point})$.

As a module, \mathcal{E}_γ contains $L_{d/m}(\chi_{\text{triv}})$.

Equality holds when m is the Coxeter number.

Problem Give a formula for $D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}} \curvearrowright \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ in general.

In practice, too hard. Replace with

$$E_\gamma := \sum_i (-1)^i \text{gr}_*^{\mathbf{P}} H_{\mathbf{C}^\times}^i(\mathcal{F}l_\gamma)^{\pi_0(\mathbf{G}_0, \gamma)}|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 1}.$$

Idea $D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}}$ commutes with monodromy of \mathcal{E}_γ over

$$\mathfrak{c}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}},$$

a Kostant-type transverse slice to $\mathbf{G}_0 \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}}$.

The monodromy seems to factor through an algebra from *Deligne–Lusztig theory*.

Deligne–Lusztig studied groups over *finite fields*. But up to Tate twist,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q|\mathbf{F}_q) \simeq \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{C}((z))}|\mathbf{C}((z))).$$

Forms of \mathbf{G} are classified by Dynkin automorphisms in the same way over \mathbf{F}_q as over $\mathbf{C}((z))$.

Much of Oblomkov–Yun’s setup generalizes from \mathbf{G} to any of its forms $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}$.

The tori $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}_\gamma$ generalize to forms $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z)), \gamma}$.

These have corresponding forms $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_q}$.

2 Deligne–Lusztig Theory Work over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ for good q .

$$\{\text{forms of } \mathbf{G} \text{ over } \mathbf{F}_q\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Frobenii } \mathbf{F} \curvearrowright \mathbf{G}\}$$

We say that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is a *finite group of Lie type*.

\mathbf{F} -stable Levis $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ correspond to Levis $\mathbf{L} \subseteq G$.

Deligne–Lusztig introduced varieties[†] $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ such that

$$G \curvearrowright H_c^*(Y_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}) \curvearrowright L.$$

Induction map $\mathbf{R}_L^{\mathbf{G}} : K_0(L) \rightarrow K_0(G)$:

$$\mathbf{R}_L^{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(Y_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}})[\lambda].$$

[†] Actually, $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ depends on a parabolic $\mathbf{P} \supseteq \mathbf{L}$.

(Broué–Malle) For m -regular maximal tori \mathbf{T} , a specific algebra $H_T^G(\mathbf{q})$ such that

$$H_T^G(\zeta_m) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}} W_T^G, \quad \text{where } W_T^G = N_G(T)/T.$$

They conjecture:

- 1 $H_T^G(q) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \simeq \text{End}_G(H_c^*(Y_{\mathbf{T}}^G)[1_T]).$
- 2 As a virtual $(G, H_T^G(q))$ -bimodule,

$$R_T^G(1_T) = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \text{Irr}(G) \\ (\rho, R_T^G(1_T)) \neq 0}} \varepsilon_{T, \rho} (\rho \otimes \chi_{T, \rho, q})$$

where $\varepsilon_{T, \rho} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\chi_{T, \rho} \in \text{Irr}(W_T^G).$

(And $\chi_{T, \rho, q} \in K_0(H_T^G(q))$ corresponds to $\chi_{T, \rho}.$)

Back to Springer. $(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_q} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z)), \gamma})$

It turns out that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{T} are 1- and m -regular.

Moreover, $\pi_1(\mathbf{c}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}})$ is the braid group of $W_T^G.$

Conjecture (T–Xue)

- 1 $\pi_1(\mathbf{c}_{d/m}^{\text{rs}}) \curvearrowright \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ factors through $H_T^G(1).$
- 2 As a virtual $(D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}}, H_T^G(1))$ -bimodule,[†]

$$E_\gamma = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \text{Irr}(G) \\ (\rho, R_A^G(1_A)) \neq 0 \\ (\rho, R_T^G(1_T)) \neq 0}} \varepsilon_{T \rho} (\Delta_{d/m}(\chi_{A, \rho}) \otimes \chi_{T, \rho, 1}).$$

[†] In general, $D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}}$ is defined using $W_A^G.$

Theorem (T-Xue) True in these cases:

- m is the (twisted) Coxeter number of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}$.
- $(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}, m) = ({}^2A_2, 2), (C_2, 2), (G_2, 3), (G_2, 2)$.

Under a conjecture of OY, true in further cases.

Example Take $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{C}((z))}$ split, m its Coxeter number.

$\chi_{A,\rho}$ runs over characters $\chi_{\wedge^k(\mathbf{a})}$ of W_A^G .

$\chi_{T,\rho}$ runs over *all* characters of $W_T^G = \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$.

In $K_0(D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} [E_\gamma] &= \sum_k (-1)^k [\Delta_{d/m}(\chi_{\wedge^k(\mathbf{a})})] \\ &= [L_{d/m}(\chi_{\text{triv}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Cf. the BGG resolution of Berest–Etingof–Ginzburg.

3 Level-Rank Duality Compare E_γ given by

$$\sum_\rho \varepsilon_{T,\rho}(\Delta_{d/m}(\chi_{A,\rho}) \otimes \chi_{T,\rho,1})$$

with $R_A^G(1_A) \otimes_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell G} R_T^G(1_T)$ given by

$$\sum_\rho \varepsilon_{T,\rho}(\chi_{A,\rho,q} \otimes \chi_{T,\rho,q}).$$

The *Knizhnik–Zamolodchik functor*

$$\mathbf{KZ} : \text{Rep}(D_{d/m}^{\text{rat}}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(H_A^G(\zeta_m))$$

sends $\mathbf{KZ}(\Delta_{d/m}(\chi)) = \chi_{\zeta_m}$. Thus an analogy:

$$\boxed{\mathbf{F}_q : (q, q) :: \mathbf{C}((z)) : (\zeta_m, 1)}$$

The symmetry between A and T led us to new discoveries about the Harish–Chandra theory of G .

Let $\text{Uch}(G)$ be the set of *unipotent* irreps of G , which occur in $R_T^G(1_T)$ for some maximal torus \mathbf{T} .

(Broué–Malle–Michel) Fix a positive integer l .

- $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ is *l-split* iff $\mathbf{L} = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})^\circ$, where

\mathbf{S} is a torus with $|S|$ a power of $\Phi_l(q)$.

- $\lambda \in \text{Uch}(L)$ is *l-cuspidal* iff $(\lambda, R_M^G(\mu)) = 0$ for any l -split $M \neq L$.

As we run over pairs (\mathbf{L}, λ) up to conjugacy,

$$\text{Uch}(G) = \coprod \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{L}, \lambda},$$

where $\text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{L}, \lambda} = \{\rho \mid (\rho, R_L^G(\lambda)) \neq 0\}$.

For $l = 1$, these are classical *Harish-Chandra series*.

Generalizing our discussion for maximal tori:

Broué–Malle define a Hecke algebra $H_{L, \lambda}^G(\mathbf{q})$ such that

$$H_{L, \lambda}^G(\zeta_l) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}} W_{L, \lambda}^G, \text{ where } W_{L, \lambda}^G = N_G(L, \lambda)/L.$$

They conjecture:

- 1 $H_{L, \lambda}^G(q) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell = \text{End}_G(H_c^*(Y_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}})[\lambda]).$
- 2 As a virtual $(G, H_{L, \lambda}^G(q))$ -bimodule,

$$R_L^G(\lambda) = \sum_{\rho \in \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{L}, \lambda}} \varepsilon_{L, \lambda, \rho} (\rho \otimes \chi_{L, \lambda, \rho, q})$$

where $\varepsilon_{L, \lambda, \rho} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\chi_{L, \lambda, \rho} \in \text{Irr}(W_{L, \lambda}^G).$

Via the *decomposition map*

$$\chi \mapsto \chi_{\zeta_m} : \text{Irr}(W_{L,\lambda}^G) \rightarrow K_0(H_{L,\lambda}^G(\zeta_m)),$$

we partition $\text{Irr}(W_{L,\lambda}^G)$ into *blocks*, describing how $H_{L,\lambda}^G(\zeta_m)$ fails to be semisimple.

Conjecture (T–Xue) Fix l, m .

Fix an l -cuspidal (\mathbf{L}, λ) and m -cuspidal (\mathbf{M}, μ) .

1 The set

$$\{\chi_{L,\lambda,\rho} \mid \rho \in \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{L},\lambda} \cap \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{M},\mu}\},$$

$$\text{resp. } \{\chi_{M,\mu,\rho} \mid \rho \in \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{L},\lambda} \cap \text{Uch}(G)_{\mathbf{M},\mu}\},$$

is a union of $H_{L,\lambda}^G(\zeta_m)$ -, resp. $H_{M,\mu}^G(\zeta_l)$ -blocks.

2 The indexing induces a matching of blocks.

Theorem (T–Xue) (1), (2) are compatible with block sizes for essentially all G, l, m with G exceptional.

Conjecture (T–Xue) In the preceding setup:

3 Via KZ functors, the bijection in (2) lifts to a derived equivalence between category-O blocks of appropriate rational Cherednik algebras.

Theorem (T–Xue) (1), (2), (3) hold for $G = \text{GL}_n$ when l, m are coprime.

Note that $W_{L,\lambda}^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \simeq S_N \ltimes \mathbf{Z}_l^N$ for some N , *etc.*

$$\mathrm{Rep}(H_{L,\lambda}^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\zeta_m)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Rep}(H_{M\mu}^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\zeta_l))$$

can be interpreted in terms of *higher-level Fock spaces*

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\vec{s} \in \mathbf{Z}^l \\ |\vec{s}|=s}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\vec{s}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^s \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\substack{\vec{r} \in \mathbf{Z}^m \\ |\vec{r}|=s}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\vec{r}}.$$

Thank you for listening.

Above, $\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\vec{s}} \simeq \bigoplus_N K_0(S_N \ltimes \mathbf{Z}_l^N) \otimes \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})$, *etc.*

Level-rank duality of Frenkel, Uglov, Chuang–Miyachi,
Rouquier–Shan–Varagnolo–Vasserot. . .

Our conjectures generalize level-rank duality from
 GL_n to arbitrary G .