

Warmup

what's a basis for $\text{Mag}(F)$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & Y & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Mag}(F) = \{aX + bY + cZ \mid a, b, c \in F\}$$

[works over any field F , by the way]

recall: we also view $\text{Mag}(F)$ as a subspace of F^9

in this sense, (a, b, c) mapsto $aX + bY + cZ$

“embeds” F^3 into F^9

(Axler §3A) let V, W be vector spaces / F

Df an F -linear map from V to W is
a map/function $T : V$ to W s.t.

1) $T(v + v') = T(v) + T(v')$ for all v, v' in V

2) $T(a \cdot v) = a \cdot T(v)$ for all v in V and a in F

i.e., T “respects”/“preserves” the operations $+$, \cdot

Lem if $T : V$ to W linear, then $T(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$

Pf
$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{0}_V) &= T(\mathbf{0}_V + \mathbf{0}_V) \\ &= T(\mathbf{0}_V) + T(\mathbf{0}_V) \end{aligned}$$

subtracting from both sides, $\mathbf{0}_W = T(\mathbf{0}_V)$

Ex if $T : F^3$ to W is a linear map, then

$$T((a, b, c))$$

$$= T((a, 0, 0) + (0, b, 0) + (0, 0, c)) \text{ [next?]}$$

$$= T((a, 0, 0)) + T((0, b, 0)) + T((0, 0, c)) \text{ [next?]}$$

$$= a \cdot T((1, 0, 0)) + b \cdot T((0, 1, 0)) + c \cdot T((0, 0, 1))$$

thus any linear map $T : F^3$ to W is determined by
the values $T((1, 0, 0))$, $T((0, 1, 0))$, $T((0, 0, 1))$

[note: $\{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$ is a basis here]

“The Slogan”

if we know some basis for V ,
then to give a linear map from V to W is to decide
where it sends the elements of the basis—
and any values will do

Ex any linear map F^2 to F^3 is det by
 $3 \times 2 = 6$ numbers:

$$T((1, 0)) = (x, y, z),$$

$$T((0, 1)) = (x', y', z')$$

and any six numbers will work

Ex any linear map F^n to F^m is det by
 mn numbers

Ex any linear map $T : F[x]$ to W is det by
the set $\{T(1), T(x), T(x^2), \dots\}$

Ex take $F = \mathbb{R}$
an \mathbb{R} -linear map $T : \mathbb{C}$ to W is det by
the set $\{T(1), T(i)\}$
or,
the set $\{T(1 + i), T(1 - i)\} \dots$

Ex let $V = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} = \{\text{functions } f : \mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathbb{R}\}$
let $U = \{\text{differentiable functions } f\}$
let $D(f) = df/dx$

D is a well-defined map from U to V [why into V ?]

also $D(f + g) = d(f + g)/dx = df/dx + dg/dx$
 $= D(f) + D(g)$
 $D(a \cdot f) = d(a \cdot f)/dx = a \, df/dx = a \cdot D(f)$

so D is \mathbb{R} -linear

Review a map of sets $T : X$ to Y is:

- 1) injective iff $(T(x) = T(x'))$ forces $x = x'$
- 2) surjective iff (for all y in Y , some x s.t. $T(x) = y$)

know that T is bijective (both inj and surj) iff
it has a two-sided inverse $S : Y$ to X :
i.e., $S(T(x)) = x$ and $T(S(y)) = y$

is D injective? [no: $D(\text{any constant}) = 0$]

is D surjective? [no: $D(f)$ must be locally integrable]
[quantify lack of injectivity/surjectivity in general?]

(Axler §3B)

Df suppose $T : V$ to W is linear

- 1) the kernel or nullspace of T is
 $\ker(T) = \{v \text{ in } V \mid T(v) = \mathbf{0}_W\}$
- 2) the image or range of T is
 $\text{im}(T) = \{T(v) \text{ in } W \mid v \text{ in } V\}$

Rem some people say “range” to mean W ,
not $\text{im}(T)$ [why I prefer “image”]

Prop for a linear map $T : V$ to W
1) T is injective iff $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$
2) T is surjective (onto W) iff $\text{im}(T) = W$

Pf 2) tautological

1) suppose $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$
suppose $T(v) = T(v')$
then $T(v - v') = \mathbf{0}_W$
then $v - v' \in \ker(T)$, so $v - v' = \mathbf{0}_V$

conversely suppose T injective
if $T(v) = \mathbf{0}_W$, then $T(v) = T(\mathbf{0}_V)$, so $v = \mathbf{0}_V$

Prop 1) $\ker(T)$ is a linear subspace of V
2) $\text{im}(T)$ is a linear subspace of W

Pf want: contain $\mathbf{0}$ and stable under $+$, \cdot

observe that $T(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$
so $\mathbf{0}_V \in \ker(T)$ and $\mathbf{0}_W \in \text{im}(T)$

$\ker(T)$ stable under $+$, \cdot because
if $v, v' \in \ker(T)$, then
 $T(av + v') = aT(v) + T(v') = a\mathbf{0}_W + \mathbf{0}_W$

$\text{im}(T)$ stable under $+$, \cdot because
if $w, w' \in \text{im}(T)$, then
 $w = Tv$ and $w' = Tv'$ for some $v, v' \in V$
 $aw + w' = aT(v) + T(v') = T(av + v')$

Ex let $T : F^3 \text{ to } F^3$ be def by
 $T((1, 0, 0)) = (1, 1, 1)$
 $T((0, 1, 0)) = (0, 1, 2)$
 $T((0, 0, 1)) = (-3, -2, -1)$

i.e., $T((a, b, c))$
 $= (a, a, a) + (0, b, 2b) + (-3c, -2c, -c)$
 $= (a - 3c, a + b - 2c, a + 2b - c)$

$\ker(T) = \{(a, b, c) \mid a - 3c = 0,$
 $a + b - 2c = 0,$
 $a + 2b - c = 0\}$

$\text{im}(T) = \{(a - 3c, a + b - 2c, a + 2b - c) \mid a, b, c\}$

$\ker(T) = \{(3c, b, c) \mid 3c + b - 2c = 0,$
 $3c + 2b - c = 0\}$
 $= \{(3c, b, c) \mid b + c = 0, 2c + 2b = 0\}$
 $= \{(3c, -c, c)\} \text{ [dim 1]}$

Moral solving a system of linear eq's
is the same problem as
describing a kernel explicitly

$\text{im}(T) = \text{span}((1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (-3, -2, -1))$
 $= \text{span}((1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2))$
[consider $-3(1, 1, 1) + (0, 1, 2)$]

as $\{(1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2)\}$ is lin. indep., $\dim \text{im}(T) = 2$

Thm if V is fin dim and $T : V$ to W is linear,
then $\dim V = \dim \ker(T) + \dim \operatorname{im}(T)$

Pf Sketch

pick basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ for $\operatorname{im}(T)$

pick u_1, \dots, u_r s.t. $T(v_i) = w_i$ for all i

let $U = \operatorname{span}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$

pick basis v_1, \dots, v_k for $\ker(T)$

show that

$\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ is a basis for U

$\ker(T) + U = V$

$\ker(T) + U$ is a direct sum

by formula from last time,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim V &= \dim \ker(T) + \dim U \\ &= \dim \ker(T) + \dim \operatorname{im}(T)\end{aligned}$$