

## PSet 4 Solutions

① a)  $KCK^{-1} = C$

$$[E, C] = [E, FE] + [E, \frac{Kg + K^{-1}q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}] = [E, F]E + E \frac{Kg + K^{-1}q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2} - \frac{Kg + K^{-1}q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}E$$

$$= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}E + \frac{Kq^{-1} + K^{-1}q}{(q - q^{-1})^2}E - \frac{Kg + K^{-1}q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}E = 0$$

Similar computation shows  $[F, C] = 0$

6)  $K^{d_0} E K^{-d_0} = q^{2d_0} E = E, K^{d_0} F K^{-d_0} = q^{-2d_0} F = F \Rightarrow K^{d_0}$  is central  
 $KE^{d_0} K^{-1} = q^{2d_0} E^{d_0} = E^{d_0}, [F, E^{d_0}] = [(1, 2)]$  in  $\text{Lie } 14 = -[d_0]$ ,  ~~$[K, 1-d_0]$~~   
 $E^{d_0-1} = 0 \Rightarrow E^{d_0}$  is central. Similarly, we see that  $F^{d_0}$  is central.

② 2) All matrices  $K_i$  are diagonal so (ii<sub>g</sub>) holds. Note that  
 $K_i \mapsto q^{h_i}$ , where  $h_i = (0, 0, 1, -1, 0)$  so (i<sub>g</sub>) follows from the corresponding  
relations for  $\mathfrak{S}_n^L$  and so does (iii<sub>g</sub>) (note that  $K_i - K_i^{-1}$  goes to  $h_i$ )  
(iv<sub>g</sub>) follows from the relations for  $\mathfrak{S}_n^L$ . If  $a_{ij} = 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow |i-j| \geq 1$ ), then  
 $E_i E_j, F_i F_j, E_j E_i, E_j E_i$  go to 0. If  $|i-j|=1$ , then  $E_i^2 E_j, E_i E_j E_i, E_j E_i^2, F_i^2 F_j, F_i F_j F_i, F_j F_i^2$  all go to 0 (direct computation), hence (v<sub>g</sub>), (vi<sub>g</sub>)

6)  $R \Delta^P(K_i) = \Delta(K_i)R$  is straightforward. Let us check that

$$R \Delta^P(E_i) v_j \otimes v_k = \boxed{\dots} \Delta(E_i) R(v_j \otimes v_k). \text{ If } i+1 \notin \{j, k\}, \text{ then both sides are 0. If } \{j, k\} \subset \{i, i+1\}, \text{ then the equality follows from the case of } \mathfrak{S}_2^L \text{ considered in Lecture 13. So we need to consider two cases: } j = i+1, k \notin \{i, i+1\} \text{ or } k = i+1, j \notin \{i, i+1\}$$

We have  $\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \Delta^P(E_i) = 1 \otimes E_i + E_i \otimes K_i$

$$R \Delta^P(E_i) v_j \otimes v_k = R v_j \otimes v_k = v_j \otimes v_k + S_{i \rightarrow k} (q^{-1}-q) v_k \otimes v_i$$

$$\Delta(E_i) R v_{i+1} \otimes v_k = (E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i)(v_{i+1} \otimes v_k + S_{i+1 \rightarrow k} (q^{-1}-q) v_k \otimes v_{i+1})$$

$$= v_i \otimes v_k + S_{i+1 \rightarrow k} (q^{-1}-q) v_k \otimes v_i. \text{ Since } k \notin \{i, i+1\}, \text{ we have } S_{i \rightarrow k} = S_{i+1 \rightarrow k}$$

and the required equality follows. The case  $k = i+1, j \notin \{i, i+1\}$  as well

as the equality  $R \Delta^q(F_i) = \Delta(F_i)R$  are analogous  $\square$

3) We can write  $R(v_i \otimes v_j) = a_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j + b_{ij} v_j \otimes v_i$  with  $a_{ij} = q^{-\delta_{ij}}$ ,  $b_{ij} = \delta_{ij} (q^{-1})$   
let's check QYBE:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12} R_{13} R_{23}(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k) &= P_{12} P_{13} (a_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k + b_{ij} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k) = R_{12} (a_{ik} a_{jk} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k \\ &+ b_{ik} a_{jk} v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i + a_{ij} b_{jk} v_i \otimes v_k \otimes v_j + b_{ij} b_{jk} v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i) = \\ (1) \quad &a_{ij} a_{ik} a_{jk} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k + b_{ij} a_{ik} q_{jk} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k + a_{ik}^2 b_{ik} v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i + b_{ij} b_{ik} a_{jk} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k \\ &+ a_{ik} a_{ij} b_{jk} v_i \otimes v_k \otimes v_j + b_{ik} a_{ij} b_{jk} v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j + a_{jk} b_{ij} b_{ik} v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i + b_{jk}^2 v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{23} R_{13} R_1(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k) &= P_{23} P_{13} (a_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k + b_{ij} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k) = P_{23} (a_{ik} a_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k + \\ &+ b_{ik} a_{ij} v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i + a_{jk} b_{ij} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k + b_{jk} b_{ij} v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j) = \\ (1) \quad &a_{ij} a_{ik} a_{jk} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k + b_{ij} a_{ik} q_{jk} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k + a_{ik}^2 b_{ik} v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i + b_{ij} b_{ik} a_{jk} v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k \\ &+ a_{ik} a_{ij} b_{jk} v_i \otimes v_k \otimes v_j + b_{ik} a_{ij} b_{jk} v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j + a_{jk} b_{ij} b_{ik} v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i + b_{jk}^2 v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i \end{aligned}$$

The summands marked (1)-(4) in 2 sums are the same (note that  $b_{ij} b_{jk}^2 = b_{ij}^2 b_{jk}$ )

So the difference  $R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} - R_{23} R_{13} R_1(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k) = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ , where

$$S_1 = (b_{kj} b_{ik} + b_{ij} b_{jk} - b_{ij} b_{ik}) a_{jk} \cdot v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i$$

$$S_2 = (b_{ik} b_{jk} - b_{ik} b_{ji} - b_{jk} b_{ij}) a_{ij} \cdot v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j$$

$$S_3 = b_{ik} (a_{jk}^2 - a_{ij}^2) v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let's simplify } S_1. \quad &b_{ij} b_{ik} + b_{ij} b_{jk} - b_{ij} b_{ik} = (q^{-1})^2 (S_{i>k,j>i} + S_{i>j,k>i} - S_{i>j,k}) \\ &= -S_{i>k} S_{k,j} (q^{-1})^2. \quad \text{So } S_1 = -S_{i>k} S_{jk} q^{-1} (q^{-1})^2 v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $S_2 = S_{i>k} S_{ij} q^{-1} (q^{-1})^2 v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j$ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{cases} i, j \notin \{k, l\} \text{ or } i=j=k \text{ or } k=l \\ \end{cases}$$

$$S_3 = \begin{cases} (q^{-1}) (q^{-2}) v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i & \text{if } i>j>k \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} (q^{-1}) (1-q^{-2}) v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j & \text{if } i=j>k \\ \end{cases}$$

We conclude that  $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = 0$  that finishes the proof of QYBE

Let's now show the Hecke relation:  $(t - q^{-1})(t + q) = 0$ . We have

$$(t - q^{-1})(v_i \otimes v_j) = \begin{cases} v_j \otimes v_i - q^{-1} v_i \otimes v_j, & i < j \\ v_j \otimes v_i - q v_i \otimes v_j, & i > j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$(\tau_i + q)(v_i \otimes v_j) = \begin{cases} (1+q)v_i \otimes v_j, & i=j \\ v_j \otimes v_i + q v_i \otimes v_j, & i < j \\ v_j \otimes v_i + q^{-1} v_i \otimes v_j, & i > j \end{cases}$$

The equality  $(\tau - q^{-1})(\tau + q) v_i \otimes v_j = 0$  is now straightforward.

4) Let's check (M1). Recall that  $\varphi_m'(b)$  commutes w.  $K^{\otimes m}$  - that is how  $K$  acts on  $V^{\otimes m}$ . So  ~~$\varphi_m(ab) = q^{n \deg(ab)} \text{tr}(\varphi_m'(a)\varphi_m'(b)K^{\otimes m})$~~   $\varphi_m(ab) = q^{n \deg(ab)} \text{tr}(\varphi_m'(a)K^{\otimes m}\varphi_m'(b)) = q^{n \deg(ab)} \text{tr}(\varphi_m'(b)\varphi_m'(a)K^{\otimes m}) = \varphi_m(ba)$

Let's now check (M2):  $\varphi_{m+1}(bT_m) = \varphi_m(b)$  (for  $T_m^{-1}$  the check is completely analogous). Set  $\varphi_m(b) = q^{n \deg(b)} \varphi_m'(b) K^{\otimes m}$  so that  $\varphi_{m+1}(b) = (\varphi_m(b) \otimes \text{id}_V) \circ (\text{id}_V^{\otimes m} \otimes K) q^n T_m = \text{id}_V^{\otimes m+1} \circ (R \circ b)$

For  $u \in V^{\otimes m+1}$  we can write  $\varphi_{m+1}(b)$  as  $\varphi_{m+1}(b)(u \otimes v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u) \otimes v_j$ . So

$\varphi_{m+1}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(A_{ij})$ . Now let us compute  $\varphi_{m+1}(b)$ . Apply  $\varphi_{m+1}(bT_m) \circ$

$v_i \otimes v_j$ :

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} q^n T_m(u \otimes v_i \otimes v_j) &= q^n u \otimes R(v_i \otimes v_j) = q^n u \otimes (q^{-\delta_{ij}} v_i \otimes v_j + \sum_{j>i} (q^{-1}-q) v_i \otimes v_j) \\ (\text{id}_V^{\otimes m} \otimes K) q^n T_m(u \otimes v_i \otimes v_j) &= q^n q^{n+1-2i} q^{-\delta_{ij}} u \otimes v_i \otimes v_j + q^n q^{n+1-2j} \sum_{j>i} (q^{-1}-q) u \otimes v_i \otimes v_j. \end{aligned}$$

In the computation of the trace we only care about components  $A_{ij}$  defined by

$\varphi_{m+1}(bT_m)u \otimes v_i \otimes v_j = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}(u) \otimes v_i \otimes v_j$ . Such a component is zero when

$i > j$  by (\*). When  $i = j$ , by (\*) we have

$$A_{ii}(u) = q^n q^{n+1-2i} q^{-1} A_i(u) = q^{2n-2i} A_i(u)$$

When  $j > i$ , then we have  $A_{ij}(u) = q^{2n+1-2j} (q^{-1}-q) A_i(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \varphi_{m+1}(bT_m) &= \sum_i q^{2n-2i} \text{tr} A_i + \sum_i \sum_{j>i} (q^{2n-2j} - q^{2n+2-j}) \text{tr}(A_i) = \\ &= \sum_i \text{tr}(A_i) = \varphi_m(b) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\varphi_{m+1}(b)$  indeed forms a Markov trace.

5) We can present  $L_+, L_-, L_0$  by strands of the form  $b_1 T_1 b_2, b_1 T_1^{-1} b_2$ ,  $b_1 b_2$ . Recall the Heron rule for the action of  $T_i$ :  $T_i \circ T_i^{-1} = (q^{-1}-q) \cdot 1$ . It follows that  $q^{-n} \varphi_m(b_1 T_1 b_2) - q^n \varphi_m(b_1 T_1^{-1} b_2) =$

$= (q^{-1} - q) \varphi_{\text{ml}}(L)$  flat translates into  $q^{-n} P(L_+) - q^n P(L_-) =$   
 $= (q^{-1} - q) P(L_0)$ . Also if  $L$  is the unlink w.r.t components, then  
 $L = \overline{1_L}$ , where  $1 \in B_K$  is the unit and  $P(L) = \text{tr}(K^{\otimes k}) = \delta(K)^k$   
 $= \left(\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)^k$ . So for each  $a = q^{2k}$  we get a knot invariant, let's  
 denote it by  $P_n(L)$

But now we can pick any  $n$ . Resolving the crossings in  $L$ , we get a  
 function in  $q^{\pm n}, q^{-1}$  that can be written as a polynomial in  $q^{\pm n}, q^{\pm 1}$ ,  
 ~~$q^n - q^{-n}$  and less that doesn't depend on  $n$~~ . So we can plug  $a$  instead of  
 ~~$q^{\pm n}$~~  and get a knot invariant.

③ a) Consider the subalgebra in  $\mathbb{K}$  obtained by localizing  $R$  in  $[i]_2$  for  
 $i < d$ . Denote it by  $R'$ . We still can specialize  $q$  to a primitive  $d$ th  
 root of 1 in  $R'$ . Consider the  $U_R$ -module  $L_{R'}(Rq^r)$ ,  $0 \leq r < d$ .  
 We have the basis  $U_i$  as in Thm 1.8 in Lec 13. Let  $L_{R'}(Rq^r)$  be  
 the  $R'$ -span of these basis elements. The restriction of  $C_e \otimes_{R'} L_{R'}(Rq^r)$   
 to  $U_i$  is  $L(Re^i)$ . The elements  $E^{(2)}, F^{(2)}$  act on  $L_{R'}(Rq^r)$  by 0.  
 The space  $C_e \otimes_{R'} L_{R'}(Rq^r)$  is naturally a  $U_i = C_e \otimes_{R'} U_{R'}$ -module.

b) Analyzing the action of  $\binom{K^{\otimes d}}{2}$  on a tensor product is hard because  
 we don't have a formula for  $\Delta$  of this element. On the other hand,  
 it's easy to describe the actions of  $F^{(2)}, E^{(2)}$  on  $L(B, r) \otimes Fr^* L(m)$   
~~when  $d \neq i$~~   
~~Indeed~~ First of all, since  $E^{(i)}, F^{(i)}$  act on  $Fr^* L(m)$  by 0, we get  
 $E^{(i)}(v \otimes v') = E^{(i)}v \otimes v', F^{(i)}(v \otimes v') = F^{(i)}v \otimes v', K(v \otimes v') = K(v \otimes v')$

By the proof of Lem 1.8 in Lec 15, we have

$$\Delta(E^{(2)}) = \sum_{i=0}^d q^{i(d-i)} E^{(d-i)} K^i \otimes E^{(i)}, \quad \Delta(F^{(2)}) = \sum_{i=0}^d q^{i(d-i)} F^{(d-i)} \otimes F^{(i)} K^{d-i}$$

All the summands but ones for  $i=d$  act by 0 on  $L(B, r) \otimes Fr^* L(m)$   
 (we have  $E^{(2)}v = 0 = F^{(2)}v$  and  $E^{(i)}v' = F^{(i)}v'$  for  $0 < i < d$ ). So

$$E^{(2)}(v \otimes v') = v \otimes ev', \quad F^{(2)}(v \otimes v') = v \otimes fv'$$

Now  $K(v \otimes v_m) = K(v \otimes v_m) = e^r v_r \otimes v_m$ . To compute the action of

$$\binom{K_j^{\otimes 2}}{2} \text{ note that } E^{(2)} F^{(2)} = \binom{K_j^{\otimes 2}}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} F^{(d-i)} \binom{K_j^{\otimes 2i-2d}}{i} E^{(d-i)}$$

$$\text{The summands under } \sum \text{ act on } v_{R_n} \text{ by } Q, \text{ so } \binom{K_j^{\otimes 2}}{2} v_{R_n} = E^{(2)} F^{(2)} v_{R_n} = \\ = 2v_{R_n} \otimes v_{R_n} = 2v_{R_n} \otimes h v_{R_n} = M v_{R_n} \otimes v_{R_n} = v_{R_n}$$

c) This follows because  $E(v \otimes v') = (Ev) \otimes v'$ ,  $F(v \otimes v') = F(v) \otimes v'$ ,  $E^{(2)} v \otimes v' = v \otimes \cancel{E^{(2)} v}$ ,  $F^{(2)} v \otimes v' = v \otimes \cancel{F^{(2)} v}$ . The first factor,  $L(R_V)$ , is irreducible w.r.t.  $E, F$ , and the second factor  $Fv^*/l(m) = l(m)$  is irreducible w.r.t.  $E, F$ .

d) The solution is in several steps

1) Let  $A$  be a Hopf algebra, and  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Set  $M^A = \{m \in M \mid am = \eta(a)m\}$ . It's easy to check that  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N)^A$  (use the antipode axiom).

2) So let  $M$  be an irreducible  $U_\epsilon$ -module. Inside, we can find an irreducible  $U_\epsilon$ -submodule  $M_0$ . The irreducible  $U_\epsilon$ -modules are precisely  $L(R_V)$  for  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ , the classification works precisely as for  $S^1_\epsilon(F_p)$ . So we pick  $L(vr) \cong M_0$ . Consider  $\text{Hom}_{U_\epsilon}(M_0, M) = \text{Hom}(M_0, M)^{U_\epsilon}$ . We view  $M$  as a  $U_\epsilon$ -module as  $m(a)$ , so  $\text{Hom}(M_0, M)$  is a  $U_\epsilon$ -module.

3) Now for a  $U_\epsilon$ -module  $N$ , we have  $N^{U_\epsilon} = \{n \in N \mid an = \eta(a)n \forall a \in U_\epsilon\}$  is a module over  $U_\epsilon / ((a - \eta(a), a)_{U_\epsilon}) = U_\epsilon / (\epsilon, F, K_1) \cong U(\mathbb{S}^1_2)$ . We see that  $\text{Hom}_{U_\epsilon}(M_0, M)$  is a  $U(\mathbb{S}^1_2)$ -module ~~and hence, via the Frobenius pull-back, the module~~

4) The natural homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{U_\epsilon}(M_0, M) \otimes M_0 \rightarrow M$  is flat of  $U_\epsilon$ -modules. Besides, it's injective. So it's also surjective, and  $\text{Hom}_{U_\epsilon}(M_0, M)$  is an irreducible  $U(\mathbb{S}^1_2)$ -module. We are done by (c)