

**1**

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$   $q$  prime power

$B$  upper-triangular subgroup

$U$  unipotent upper-triangular subgroup

$\mathcal{U}$  set of all unipotent elts of  $G$

Thm (Steinberg < 1965)  $|\mathcal{U}| = |U|^2$   $(= q^{n(n-1)})$

**2**

$T$  diagonal subgroup

$N_G(T)$  monomial matrices,  $W := N_G(T)/T \simeq S_n$

Bruhat decomposition  $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB$

Thm (Kawanaka 1975, v1)

$$|\mathcal{U} \cap BwB| = |UU_- \cap BwB|$$

where  $U_- = w_\circ U w_\circ$  is opposite to  $U$

**3**

Ex ( $n = 2$ )  $w_\circ = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \cap B &= UU_- \cap B &= U \\ \mathcal{U} \cap Bw_\circ B &= UU_- \cap Bw_\circ B &= \mathcal{U} \setminus U \end{aligned}$$

Ex ( $n = 3$ )  $w_\circ = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \cap Bw_\circ B &\simeq U \times \{(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid (1 + \frac{1}{ab})^3 = \frac{cd}{ab}\} \\ UU_- \cap Bw_\circ B &\simeq U \times \{(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid 1 + ab = abcd\} \end{aligned}$$

Minh-Tâm Quang Trinh

## 4

Fact 1 everything extends to a finite reductive group  $G$ :

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad \dots$$

$B$  becomes a Borel subgrp,  $U := [B, B]$

$W := N_G(T)/T$  is called the Weyl group

Fact 2 Kawanaka proved an even more general thm (v2)

$$|\mathcal{U} \cap v^{-1} P_J v \cap BwB| = |U_{v^{-1}}(w_{J \circ} v)^{-1} U_{w_{J \circ} v}^- w_{J \circ} v \cap BwB|$$

## 5

Goal clarify (& go even further) using Hecke algebras

Hecke algebra:  $\mathcal{H} = \{G\text{-invariant functions } X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\}$

where  $X := G/B$  is the flag variety, under

$$(\varphi * \psi)(yB, xB) := \sum_{zB} \varphi(yB, zB) \psi(zB, xB)$$

## 6

$\mathcal{H}$  “is” a deformation of  $\mathbf{CW}$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{C}\langle 1_w \mid w \in W \rangle \text{ where } 1_w(yB, xB) = \begin{cases} 1 & y^{-1}x \in BwB \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

write Kawanaka’s thm (v2) as  $\mathrm{LH}_{J,w}^v = \mathrm{RH}_{J,w}^v$ :

Thm (T-Williams)  $\sum_w \mathrm{LH}_{J,w}^v 1_w, \sum_w \mathrm{RH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \in Z(\mathcal{H})$

**7**

in fact, both arise from the horocycle correspondence

$$G \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} G \times X \xrightarrow{\text{act}} X \times X \quad \text{where } \text{act}(yB, z) = (yB, zyB)$$

Harish-Chandra transform:  $\text{hc} = \text{act}_! \text{pr}^* : Cl(G) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{H})$

$$\text{explicitly given by } \text{hc}(\varphi)(yB, xB) = \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ gyB = xB}} \varphi(g)$$

Thm (Kawanaka, v1)  $\text{hc}(1_U) = 1_{w_\circ} * 1_{w_\circ}$

**8**

fix system of simple refl's  $S \subseteq W$  and  $J \subseteq S$

defines parabolic  $P_J = U_J \rtimes L_J \supseteq B$

parabolic induction  $\text{ind}_J^S : Cl(L_J) \rightarrow Cl(P_J) \rightarrow Cl(G)$ ,

relative norm  $\text{n}_J^S : Z(\mathcal{H}(L_J)) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{H}(G))$

$\text{n}_J^S$  defined by  $\text{n}_J^S(\alpha) = \sum_{v \in W^J} q^{-\ell(v)} 1_v^{-1} * \alpha * 1_v$

**9**

Thm (T)

$$(1) \quad \sum_w \text{LH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \propto \text{hc}_G \text{ind}_J^S(1_{U(L_J)})$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_w \text{RH}_{J,w}^v 1_w \propto \text{n}_J^S(1_{w_{J_\circ}} * 1_{w_{J_\circ}}) = \text{n}_J^S \text{hc}_{L_J}(1_{U(L_J)})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Cl(L_J) & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} & Cl(G) \\ \text{Conj (T)} & \text{hc} \downarrow & \downarrow \text{hc} & \text{commutes} \\ Z(\mathcal{H}(L_J)) & \xrightarrow{\text{n}} & Z(\mathcal{H}(G)) \end{array}$$

Minh-Tâm Quang Trinh

## 10

Tie-In 1 observe that  $\text{hc}(1_{\{z\}}) = 1_{\text{id}}$  for  $z \in Z(L_J)$

Thm ( $\approx$  Lusztig) if  $J = \emptyset$ , meaning  $L_J = T$ , then

$$\text{hc ind}_J^S(1_{\{z\}}) = \mathbf{n}_J^S(1_{\text{id}}) \quad \text{for all } z \in T$$

$$z \text{ generic: } \sum_w |\{g \in BwB \mid g \sim z\}| \mathbf{1}_w$$

$$z = 1: \sum_w |\{(g, xB) \in BwB \times X \mid g \in xUx^{-1}\}| \mathbf{1}_w$$

observed by Gu (2021) with  $\mathbf{1}_{w_\circ} * \mathbf{1}_{w_\circ}$  replacing  $\mathbf{1}_w$

## 11

Tie-In 2 a trace on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a linear function  $\tau : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  s.t.

$$\tau(\alpha\beta) = \tau(\beta\alpha)$$

$$\text{standard trace} \quad \tau(1_w) = \begin{cases} 1 & w = \text{id} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$Z(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{traces on } \mathcal{H}\}: \zeta \mapsto \tau[\zeta]$  def by  $\tau[\zeta](\beta) = \tau(\zeta\beta)$   
so can recast results in terms of traces

## 12

recall  $\mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n) \simeq \mathbf{C}Br_n/\langle \cdots \rangle$

Ocneanu: traces  $\mu_n : \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[a^{\pm 1}]$  s.t.

- $\mu_n$  “deforms” the standard trace
- if  $\beta \in Br_n$  with link closure  $\hat{\beta}$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\beta) := (-a)^{\text{wr}(\beta)} \mu_n(\beta) \quad \text{only depends on } \hat{\beta}$$

**13**

Thm (Kálmán 2009)  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{hi}}(\beta\delta^2) = \mathbb{P}_{\text{lo}}(\beta)$ , where  $\delta \mapsto 1_{w_0}$

Thm (T 2021) if  $\beta = \sigma_{s_1} \cdots \sigma_{s_\ell}$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\beta) \propto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} |M(\beta)_u| \tilde{H}_{\text{Jordan}(u)}(a, q),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_\mu(a, q) = \sum_k (-a^2)^k \langle s_{k, 1^{n-k}}, \tilde{H}_\mu(q) \rangle$  and

$$M(\beta)_u = \{[g_i]_i \in Bs_1B \times^B \cdots \times^B Bs_\ell B \mid g_1 \cdots g_\ell = u\}$$

**14**

Cor (T)  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{lo}}(\beta) \propto \sum_u |M(\beta)_u|$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{hi}}(\beta\delta^2) \propto |M(\beta\delta^2)_1|$

Cor (T) Kawanaka v1  $\iff$  Kálmán via formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} &\rightsquigarrow \text{triply-graded KhR homology} \\ \text{Kawanaka v1} &\rightsquigarrow H_{c,B}^*(\mathcal{U} \cap BwB) \simeq H_{c,B}^*(UU_- \cap BwB) \end{aligned}$$