

Warmup let $D : F[x] \rightarrow F[x]$ be $D(p) = dp/dx$

what are some D -stable linear subspaces?

if W is D -stable and q in W ,
then $D(q), D^2(q), D^3(q), \dots$ in W

e.g., for all n ,
 $P_n = \{p \mid p = 0 \text{ or } \deg(p) \leq n\}$ is D -stable

non-example:

$\{p \mid p(3) = 0\}$ is not D -stable [why?]

Q does D have eigenvectors in $F[x]$?

only the nonzero const polys, with eigenvalue 0

Def a formal power series over F ($= \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) is
an infinite sum $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k$
with a_i in F for all i

$F[[x]] = \{\text{formal power series } f(x)\}$

the formal derivative on $F[[x]]$ is
the F -linear operator D def by

$$D(\sum_k a_k x^k) = \sum_k k a_k x^{k-1}$$

Q does D have eigenvectors in $F[[x]]$?

yes: $\exp(ax)$ where $\exp(x) = \sum_k (1/k!) x^k$

Q is $F[[x]]$ iso to a familiar v.s.? [yes: $F^{\mathbb{N}}$]

(Axler §5C) recap: fix $T : V$ to V

- if $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, then T might have no eigenvals
[example? any rotation of $\theta \neq 0, \pi$ radians]
- if $V = F[x]$, then T might have no eigenvals
[example? multiplication by x]
- if $V = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then T has no eigenvals by defn

[stated last time:]

Thm if $F = \mathbb{C}$ and V is fin. dim. and not $\{\mathbf{0}\}$
then T must have some eigenval

what does this fact say in terms of matrices?

Lem if V is fin. dim. and T has an eigenline
then T has a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & & \dots \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Pf suppose v is the eigenvector for T
set $v_1 = v$
extend to a basis $(v_i)_i$ for V

Cor if $F = \mathbb{C}$ and $n > 0$
then any $n \times n$ matrix is conjugate to
one of this form

Thm [something stronger holds:]
 if $F = \mathbb{C}$ and V is fin. dim., then
 any T has an upper-triangular matrix:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & * \end{array}$$

idea: induct on $\dim V$
 if $\dim V = 0$ then done
 else T has some eigenvector v with eigenvalue λ
 [what next?] $Tv = \lambda v$ means v in $\ker(T - \lambda)$
 so $\dim \ker(T - \lambda) > 0$
 so $\dim \operatorname{im}(T - \lambda) < \dim V$
 so want to apply inductive hypothesis to $\operatorname{im}(T - \lambda)$

Stability Lem for any $T : V$ to V and $p(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}[z]$,
 $\operatorname{im}(p(T))$ is T -stable

Pf if w in $\operatorname{im}(p(T))$
 then $w = p(T) v$ for some v in V
 so $Tw = T(p(T) v) = p(T)(T v)$ in $\operatorname{im}(p(T))$

to see the last equality:
 $zp(z) = p(z)z$ as polynomials
 so $Tp(T) = p(T)T$ as operators on V
 [even though general operators don't commute!]

Pf of Thm let $n = \dim V$; can assume $n > 0$

suppose $Tv = \lambda v$ where $v \neq \mathbf{0}$
 let $W = \operatorname{im}(T - \lambda)$

by lem, W is T -stable and $\dim W < n$
 by inductive hypothesis,
 have ordered basis for W making $T|_W$ triangular:
 say, (w_1, \dots, w_m)

extend ordered basis from W to V :
 say $(w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$
 claim that T is triangular wrt this extended basis[!]
 suffices to check Tv_i 's: for all i ,

$$Tv_i = (T - \lambda)v_i + \lambda v_i \text{ in } W + Fv_i$$

[draw matrix] \square

Cor any square matrix is conjugate to
 an upper-triangular matrix

Cor let $f : \text{Mat}_2$ to F be a function def by
 a polynomial in matrix coords

$$\text{i.e.} \quad f = p(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}) \quad \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{array}$$

if f is conj-invariant
 then f is a polynomial in tr and \det

Pf Sketch $\text{Tri}_2 = \{\text{upper-triangular matrices}\}$
 $\text{Diag}_2 = \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$

by thm, f is uniq. determ. by $f|_{\{\text{Tri}_2\}}$
 observe: $f|_{\{\text{Tri}_2\}} = q(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22})$ for some q
 $\text{tr}|_{\{\text{Tri}_2\}} = x_{11} + x_{22}$
 $\det|_{\{\text{Tri}_2\}} = x_{11} x_{22}$
 want $f|_{\{\text{Tri}_2\}}$ to be a poly in $x_{11} + x_{22}, x_{11} x_{22}$

Claim 1) q is indep of x_{12}

so q is uniq. determ. by $q|_{\{\text{Diag}_2\}}$

Claim 2) $q|_{\{\text{Diag}_2\}}$ invariant for $x_{11} \leftrightarrow x_{22}$

to finish, use Viète's Thm:

any poly in X, Y invariant under $X \leftrightarrow Y$

is a poly in $X + Y$ and XY

[look up "elementary symmetric functions"]

shows $q|_{\{\text{Diag}_2\}}$ is a poly in $x_{11} + x_{22}, x_{11} x_{22}$

$$1) \quad a \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ 1/a & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} 1/a = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & a x_{12} \\ a & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

so $q(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22}) = q(x_{11}, a^2 x_{12}, x_{22})$ for all a

[exercise:] forces q to be indep of x_{12}

$$2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ x_{22} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{22} & 0 \\ x_{11} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

forces $q|_{\{\text{Diag}_2\}}$ invariant under $x_{11} \leftrightarrow x_{22}$ \square

return to the triangularity thm:

if $F = \mathbb{C}$ and V is fin. dim.

then $T = T' + T''$, where

T' has a diagonal matrix

T'' has a nilp. upper-triangular matrix

[in particular, it has 0's on the diagonal]

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Q how block-diagonal can we make T ?

next week:

Thm if W fin. dim. and $S : W$ to W nilpotent
then S has a matrix where
the only nonzero entries are 1's
on the “super-diagonal”

problem: in general, $T = T' + T''$

Q basis where T' is super-diagonal,
 T'' is diagonal
simultaneously?