3.

Notes on the heat equation, the Jacobi theta function, and sums of two squares.

*3.1.* 

Heat is kinetic energy Q in a state of transfer between two systems. Temperature is a measure T of the average kinetic energy of a physical system. Change in temperature is proportional to heat inflow and inversely proportional to system mass:

$$\Delta T \propto \frac{Q}{m}$$
.

Now imagine a perfectly conductive solid cylinder of uniform mass and infinitesimal thickness. We write z for the position coordinate, so that  $m \propto \Delta z$ . The temperature over the cylinder gives a function T(z,t).

Imagine the infinitesimal cross-section from z to  $z + \Delta z$ . In terms of T, how much heat is transferred to the cross-section over an instant  $\Delta t$ ? Experiments suggest that it is proportional to the difference in  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$  between z and  $z + \Delta z$ . More accurately:

$$Q \propto \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}(z + \Delta z, t) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}(z, t)\right) \Delta t.$$

Altogether,

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t} \propto \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} (z + \Delta z, t) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} (z, t) \right].$$

As  $\Delta z \to 0$ , the right-hand side tends to  $\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}$ . As  $\Delta t \to 0$ , the left-hand side tends to  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$ . Writing  $\alpha > 0$  for the proportionality constant, we arrive at the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}.$$

3.2.

Suppose that the cylinder is the interval  $0 \le z \le 1$ , and that at time t = 0, both ends start at absolute zero. Here, Fourier solved the heat equation as follows.

First he guessed that the general solution T(z,t) could be written as an (infinite) superposition of separable solutions, meaning those of the form A(z)B(t) for some functions A and B. In the simplest case where T(z,t) = A(z)B(t), we get

$$\frac{B'(t)}{B(t)} = \alpha \frac{A''(z)}{A(z)}.$$

Since z and t are independent variables, there must be a constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$A'' = \lambda A$$
 and  $B' = \alpha \lambda B$ .

If  $\lambda > 0$ , then A has the general solution  $c_1 e^{\lambda^{1/2}z} + c_2 e^{-\lambda^{1/2}z}$ . But then our boundary conditions force  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$  and  $c_1 e^{\lambda^{1/2}} + c_2 e^{-\lambda^{1/2}} = 0$ . Together these imply  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . If instead  $\lambda = 0$ , then A has the general solution  $c_1 z + c_2$ , so our boundary condition again force  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ .

The only interesting case is  $\lambda < 0$ . Here A and B have the general solutions

$$A = c_1 \cos(|\lambda|^{1/2}z) + c_2 \sin(|\lambda|^{1/2}z),$$
  

$$B = c_3 e^{\alpha \lambda t}.$$

Our boundary conditions force  $c_1 = 0$  and  $|\lambda|^{1/2} = \pi n$  for some positive integer n. Altogether:

**Theorem 3.1** (Fourier). On a perfectly conductive cylinder from z = 0 to z = 1 of infinitesimal thickness, any separable solution to the heat equation looks like

$$T(z,t) = \sin(\pi n z)e^{-\alpha(\pi n)^2 t}$$

for some n > 0.

Remember that Fourier expected the general solution to be a superposition of separable solutions: say,

$$T(z,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(\pi n z) e^{-\alpha(\pi n)^2 t}$$

for some coefficients  $a_n$ . He observed a very beautiful inversion formula for the  $a_n$ , which explains why we now call them Fourier coefficients.

**Theorem 3.2** (Fourier). If T(z,t) is sufficiently "nice", then for all n, we have

$$a_n = 2 \int_0^1 T(z,0) \sin(\pi n z) dz.$$

*3.3*.

Now suppose that at time t=0, there is a temperature spike at z=1/2 and the temperature everywhere else is absolute zero. More precisely suppose that T(z,0) is the Dirac delta given by:

$$\int_0^1 T(z,0)\varphi(z) dz = \varphi(\frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{for any smooth } \varphi \text{ integrable on } [0,1].$$

For such T, we have

$$a_n = 2\sin(\frac{\pi n}{2}) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \pm 2 & n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

which in turn gives

$$T(z,t) = 2\left(\sin(\pi z)e^{-\alpha\pi^2 t} - \sin(3\pi z)e^{-\alpha(3\pi)^2 t} + \sin(5\pi z)e^{-\alpha(5\pi)^2 t} - \cdots\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i (n+1/2)(z-1/2)} e^{-\alpha(4\pi^2)(n+1/2)^2 t}$$

via de Moivre's formula. Above, the last sum converges because of the fast decay of  $e^{-\alpha(4\pi^2)(n+1/2)^2t}$  with respect to n.

Jacobi got very interested in this function, but in a generalization to complex variables. Namely, for any  $z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ , let

$$\Theta(z,\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i n z} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau}.$$

For fixed z, the formula for  $\Theta$  defines an analytic function on the upper half-plane of  $\mathbf{C}$ . When  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi}$ , we get  $T(z,t) = e^{\pi i(z-1/2)}e^{-t/4}\Theta(z+\frac{it}{2}-\frac{1}{2},it)$ .

*3.4*.

Set  $\theta(\tau) = \Theta(0, \tau)$ . It turns out that  $\theta$  satisfies many nice symmetry properties: notably,

- (1)  $\theta(\tau + 1) = \theta(\tau)$ .
- (2)  $\theta(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\theta(\tau)$ , where  $\sqrt{-i\tau}$  is the square root in the upper half-plane.

The hard one is item (2), which uses a theorem from Fourier analysis called Poisson summation.

Now set  $q^{\pi i \tau}$ . We notice that  $\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$ , and therefore,

$$\theta(\tau)^2 = \sum_{m \ge 0} r_2(m) q^m$$
, where  $r_2(m) = |\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid m = a^2 + b^2\}|$ .

The function  $r_2$  has been studied since antiquity. Brahmagupta noticed that

if 
$$m = a^2 + b^2$$
 and  $n = c^2 + d^2$ , then  $mn = (ac + bd)^2 + (ad - bc)^2$ ,

which shows that if  $r_2(m)$ ,  $r_2(n) > 0$ , then  $r_2(mn) > 0$ . Motivated by the same observation, Fermat focused attention on  $r_2(m)$  for prime values of m:

$$r_2(2) = 4$$
,  $r_2(3) = 0$ ,  $r_2(5) = 8$ ,  $r_2(7) = 0$ ,  $r_2(11) = 0$ ,  $r_2(13) = 8$ , ...

In general, let

$$\xi(\tau) = 1 + 4 \sum_{m \ge 1} (d_1(m) - d_3(m)) q^m, \quad \text{where } d_k(m) = \left| \begin{cases} \text{divisors of } m \\ \equiv k \mod 4 \end{cases} \right|.$$

It turns out that:

**Theorem 3.3** (Jacobi). We have  $\theta^2 = \xi$  as functions of  $\tau$ , or of q. Equivalently,

$$r_2(m) = 4(d_1(m) - d_3(m))$$

for any integer  $m \geq 1$ .

Most courses in number theory prove this theorem through pure algebra, and starting from the simpler case due to Fermat where m is prime. Following Stein–Shakarchi, we sketch an analytic proof of the full theorem. First, via some combinatorics,

$$\xi(\tau) = 1 + 4\sum_{m>1} \frac{q^m}{1 + q^{2m}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2}{q^n + q^{-n}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\cos(\pi n \tau)}.$$

Using the last formula, one shows:

**Theorem 3.4.** The functions  $\theta^2$  and  $\xi$  are both examples of functions  $f(\tau)$  such that

- (1)  $f(\tau + 2) = f(\tau)$ .
- $(2) f(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = -i \tau f(\tau).$
- (3) f is analytic on the upper half-plane such that  $f(\tau) \to 1$  and  $f(1-\frac{1}{\tau}) \sim -4i\tau e^{\pi i \tau/2}$  as  $\text{Im}(\tau) \to \infty$ . Moreover, f is nonvanishing.

**Corollary 3.5.** The ratio of functions  $F = \xi/\theta^2$  satisfies

- (1)  $F(\tau + 2) = F(\tau)$ .
- (2)  $F(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = F(\tau)$ .
- (3) F is analytic and uniformly bounded on the upper half-plane.

You may have seen a famous theorem of complex analysis called Liouville's theorem, stating that if a uniformly bounded function is analytic over all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then it must be constant. The same conclusion holds for functions F satisfying the properties above. Indeed, the properties of F are summarized by saying that it is a "holomorphic modular form of weight 0 for the theta congruence subgroup". The only such modular forms are constant. Therefore,  $\theta^2$  and  $\xi$  are scalar multiples of each other. But they both have constant term 1 in their q-expansions. Therefore  $\theta^2 = \xi$ .