

Warmup on \mathbb{R}^ω , recall:

box topology:

basis opens $(a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times \dots$

product topology:

basis opens $B_{\{J, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}$

for finite J and $(a_i)_{i \in J}, (b_i)_{i \in J}$

where

$B_{\{J, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} = \{x \mid a_i < x_i < b_i \text{ for } i \in J\}$

uniform (metric) topology:

basis opens $B_u(x, \delta)$

where, for $0 < \delta < 1$,

$B_u(x, \delta) = \{y \mid \sup_i |x_i - y_i| < \delta\}$

$T_{\{\text{prod}\}} \subset T_{\{\text{unif}\}} \subset T_{\{\text{box}\}}$

do any two coincide?

1) fix $0 < \delta < 1$

let $U = B_u(0, \delta) = \{x \mid |x_i| < \delta \text{ for all } i\}$

then U is not open in the product topology
because no $B_{\{J, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \subset U$

2) let $V = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \times \dots$

then V is not open in the uniform topology
because PS2, #6(1)

(Munkres §15, 19) [generalize $T_{\{\text{prod}\}}$, $T_{\{\text{box}\}}$]

let $\{X_i\}_i$ be any collection of topological spaces
their (set-theoretic) product is

$$\text{prod}_i X_i = \{(x_i)_i \mid x_i \in X_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

the i th projection map is $\text{pr}_i : \text{prod}_i X_i$ to X_i

Df the box topology on $\text{prod}_i X_i$ is:

the topology generated by the basis

$$\{\text{prod}_i U_i \mid U_i \text{ is open in } X_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

Df the product topology on $\text{prod}_i X_i$ is:

I) the topology generated by the subbasis
 $\{C_{\{i, U\}} \text{ for any } i \text{ and open } U \text{ sub } X_i\}$
where $C_{\{i, U\}} = \{(x_j)_j \mid x_i \in U\}$

II) the coarsest topology s.t. pr_i is cts for all i

Lem I) and II) do define the same topology

Pf let T be any topology on $\text{prod}_i X_i$. then

pr_i is cts wrt T

iff $C_{\{i, U\}}$ is open in T for all open U sub X_i

iff the topology def by I) is a subcollection of T

Prop a) box top finer than product top
 b) if there are finitely many X_i 's,
 then box top = product top

Pf a) proved similarly to analytic case
 b) follows from observing
 $\text{prod}_i U_i = \bigcap_i C_{\{i, U_i\}}$

Ex suppose each X_i is discrete

the box top on $\text{prod}_i X_i$ is also discrete
the product top on $\text{prod}_i X_i$ need not be

e.g. take $X_i = \{0, 1\}$ for all i
then $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \dots$ is not open in the product top

[why the product topology is nicer in general:]

Thm consider $f = \text{prod}_i f_i : Y \text{ to } \text{prod}_i X_i$

f is cts wrt the product topology

iff

$f_i = \text{pr}_i \circ f : Y \text{ to } X_i$ is cts for all i

Lem if $\{B_i\}_i$ is a basis for T on X , then

$f : Y \text{ to } X$ is cts wrt T

iff

$f^{-1}(B_i)$ is open in Y for all i

Pf any open in X is a union of basis opens

Pf of Thm $\{ \text{finite intersections of } C_{\{i, U\}} \}$
 is a basis for the product top on X
 so:

f is cts wrt product topology on X
 iff $f^{-1}(\text{any fin intersxn of } C_{\{i, U\}}\text{'s})$ is open
 iff $f^{-1}(C_{\{i, U\}})$ is open for all i, U
 iff f_i is cts for all i \square

Moral to give a cts function into $(X, T_{\{\text{prod}\}})$
 is
 to give a cts function into X_i for each i

Another Moral to check continuity of f ,
 check $f^{-1}(B)$ for basis elts B

Ex analogue of thm for box top is false,
 even when $X_i = Y = \text{analytic } \mathbb{R}$

let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\omega$ be def by $f(x) = (x, x, x, \dots)$

[what next?]

let $U = (-1, 1) \times (-1/2, 1/2) \times (-1/3, 1/3) \times \dots$
 then for all i ,

$$f^{-1}(U) \supset f_i^{-1}((-1/i, 1/i)) \\ = (-1/i, 1/i)$$

so $f^{-1}(U) = \{0\}$

Digression the Axiom of Choice says:

given any collection of nonempty sets $(X_i)_{i \in I}$
we can choose an elt from X_i for all i

equivalently (“Tychonoff’s Thm”)
a product of nonempty sets is always nonempty

[is AoC true?]

sometimes the choice function is obvious
e.g., if $X_i = \mathbb{R}$ for all i ,
then $\mathbb{R}^\omega = \prod_i X_i$ is nonempty too

the point is to deal with cases where it is not

Ex the collection of subsets of \mathbb{R}^ω :

it contains

\emptyset ,
 $\{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\}$,
 $\{(0, 1, 0, 1, \dots)\}$,
 $\{(3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, \dots)\}$,
 $\{(0, 0, 0, \dots), (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots)\}$,
 $\{x \text{ s.t. } x_{\{2025\}} = 0\}$,
 \mathbb{R}^∞ ,
...

can you describe a rule that,
given an arbitrary subset of \mathbb{R}^ω ,
exhibits an elt of that subset?