

from the HW:

if $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of linear sub.'s of V ,
with I possibly infinite,
then their sum is defined to be

$$\sum_{i \in I} W_i = \{ \sum_{i \in J} w_i \mid J \text{ sub } I \text{ finite, } w_i \in W_i \text{ for all } i \}$$

it is a direct sum iff every elt has a unique expr.
 $\sum_i w_i$ with $w_i \in W_i$ for all i

Prop $\sum_{i \in I} W_i$ is the minimal lin. sub.
containing W_i for all i

(Axler §2A) $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ any set of vectors in V

Df the span of $\{v_i\}_i$ is (simultaneously)

- 1) $\{ \sum_{i \in J} a_{iv_i} \mid J \text{ sub } I \text{ finite, } a_i \in F \text{ for all } i \}$
- 2) $\sum_{i \in I} Fv_i$, where $Fv_i = \{av_i \mid a \in F\}$
- 3) the minimal linear subspace of V containing v_i for all i

i.e. 1), 2), 3) are all the same
and $\{v_i\}_i$ is said to span [verb] it

the vector $\sum_{i \in J} a_{iv_i}$ is said to be
a linear combination of the v_i 's with coeff's a_i

Ex in $F[x] = \{\text{set of polynomials in } x \text{ over } F\}$:

$\{x^k \mid k \geq 0\} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ spans $F[x]$

[why? every polynomial is a sum of monomials]

Ex let $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

in $F^{\mathbf{N}} = \{\text{functions from } \mathbf{N} \text{ into } F\}$:

let $e_i : \mathbf{N} \text{ to } F$ be the function

$$e_i(i) = 1,$$

$$e_i(j) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i$$

$\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ does not span $F^{\mathbf{N}}$

[why?] consider the function f s.t. $f(i) = 1$ for all i

Df $\{v_i\}_i$ is said to be
a linearly independent set of vectors iff
either:

I) for any finite set $\{a_i\}_{i \in J}$ of elements of F ,

$\sum_{i \in J} a_i v_i = \mathbf{0}$ implies $(a_i = 0 \text{ for all } i)$

II) $\sum_i Fv_i$ is a direct sum

Lem I) and II) are indeed equivalent

Pf

suppose II)

then $\sum_i 0v_i = \mathbf{0}$ is the unique expr for $\mathbf{0}$

suppose I)

suppose v in $\sum_i Fv_i$ has two expr.'s

$$\sum_{i \in J} a_i v_i = v = \sum_{i \in J'} a'_i v_i$$

let $J'' = J \cup J'$

$$\text{let } a'_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in J - J'$$

$$\text{let } a_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in J' - J$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i \in J''} (a_i - a'_i) v_i = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{so } a_i - a'_i = 0 \text{ for all } i$$

we say $\{v_i\}_i$ is a linearly dependent set iff
it is not linearly independent

we say the eqn $\sum_{i \in J} a_i v_i = 0$ is a linear dependence for the v_i iff some a_i is nonzero

Lem $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ is linearly dependent
iff

there exist $J \subset I$ finite and
 $i \notin J$

s.t. v_i is a linear combo of the v_j with $j \in J$

Pf exercise

[most striking thm thus far:]

Thm (Steinitz Exchange) if
 $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a lin. independent set in V ,
 $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ spans V

then $k \leq n$

[crucially, both sets of vectors are finite]

Cor if V is spanned by n vectors,
then any set with $> n$ vectors
has some linear dependence

Cor if there is a linearly independent set
of k vectors in V ,
then any set with $< k$ vectors
cannot span V

Pf of Thm let $S_0 = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$

will prove that for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$,
we can construct S_ℓ from $S_{\ell-1}$ s.t.

1) S_ℓ still spans V

2) S_ℓ has one more v_i and one fewer e_j
than $S_{\ell-1}$

thus $\ell \leq n$ at each step [and $k \leq n$ at the last step]

WLOG reindex the v_i 's and e_j 's s.t.

$$S_{\ell-1} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{\ell-1}, e_\ell, \dots, e_n\}$$

since $S_{\ell-1}$ spans V ,

$$v_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} a_{iv_i} + \sum_{j=\ell}^n b_{je_j}$$

with some coeff nonzero

if $b_j = 0$ for all j , then $\{v_i\}_i$ lin. dep.

so we can pick j s.t. $b_j \neq 0$

so $e_j = (1/b_j)(v_\ell - \text{other stuff})$

build S_ℓ by appending v_ℓ and removing e_j \square

Df a basis for V is a set of vectors $\{v_i\}_i$

s.t. 1) $\{v_i\}_i$ spans V
2) $\{v_i\}_i$ is a linearly independent set

Cor if V has a finite basis of size r ,
then any basis for V has size r

Pf if $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a basis,
and $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is another:

$r \leq s$ because

$\{e_i\}_i$ is lin. indep. and $\{f_j\}_j$ is spanning

$s \geq r$ because

$\{f_i\}_i$ is lin. indep. and $\{e_j\}_j$ is spanning

Df if V has a finite basis,
then we define the dimension of V to be

$\dim(V) = \text{size of any basis for } V$

else we say V is infinite-dimensional

“the Good, the Bad, and the Ugly”

V has finite dimension

V has infinite dimension, yet has an (infinite) basis

e.g., $F[x]$

V has infinite dimension and no basis

e.g., $F^{\mathbb{N}}$