#### ANNULAR WEBS AND A CONJECTURE OF HAIMAN

### MINH-TÂM QUANG TRINH

ABSTRACT. Haiman conjectured that when traces corresponding to monomial symmetric functions are evaluated on the Hecke-algebra elements denoted  $C_w'$  by Kazhdan–Lusztig, the resulting polynomials have nonnegative coefficients. We show that recent work on annular webs implies this for permutations w that are 321-hexagon-avoiding.

# 1. Introduction

1.1. Let  $H_n(x)$  be the Iwahori–Hecke algebra of the symmetric group  $S_n$  over  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ . As a quotient of the group algebra of a braid group, it has a standard basis  $\{\sigma_w\}_{w\in S_n}$ , consisting of the images of the positive permutation braids.

Kazhdan-Lusztig introduced two new bases for  $H_n(x)$  with remarkable properties [KL79]. Taking our x to be their  $q^{1/2}$ , we will focus on the basis that they denote by  $\{C'_w\}_w$ , but write  $b_w$  in place of  $C'_w$  for simplicity. When the elements  $b_w$  are expanded in the standard basis, the coefficients are Laurent polynomials in x with nonnegative integer coefficients. Up to rescaling, these are the celebrated Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for  $S_n$ . Their positivity can be proved through a geometric interpretation of  $H_n(x)$  in terms of sheaves on flag varieties.

The representation theory of  $S_n$  deforms to that of  $H_n(x)$ . In particular, each character  $\chi: S_n \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  defines a  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear function  $\chi_x: H_n(x) \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}(x)}$  that still enjoys the trace property  $\chi(\alpha\beta) = \chi(\beta\alpha)$ . At the same time, the *irreducible* characters of  $S_n$  are indexed by integer partitions of n. Let  $\chi^{\lambda}$  be the irreducible character indexed by  $\lambda \vdash n$ . A geometric argument, similar to that used in the positivity of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials, proves that  $\chi_x^{\lambda}(b_w) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}[x^{\pm 1}]$  for all w and  $\lambda$ .

Haiman found evidence for a stronger positivity statement. Recall that for all  $\lambda, \mu$ , the Kostka number  $K_{\lambda,\mu}$  counts semistandard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\mu$ . The Kostka numbers can be assembled into a unitriangular matrix of nonnegative integers. In particular, this matrix has an inverse with integer entries, so there are functions  $\phi_x^{\mu}: H_n(x) \to \mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$  uniquely defined by requiring

(1.1) 
$$\chi_x^{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda,\mu} \phi_x^{\mu} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \vdash n.$$

What follows is the main part of Conjecture 2.1 in [Hai93].

Conjecture 1.1 (Haiman).  $\phi_x^{\mu}(b_w) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}[x^{\pm 1}]$  for all  $w \in S_n$  and  $\mu \vdash n$ .

Abreu–Nigro observe that Conjecture 1.1 would imply several conjectures about the indifference graphs of Hessenberg functions in algebraic combinatorics: notably, the Stanley–Stembridge conjecture on the *e*-positivity of their chromatic symmetric functions, and Shareshian–Wachs's generalization of this conjecture to chromatic quasi-symmetric functions [AN24].

1.2. This note will show how recent work of Queffelec-Rose and Gorsky-Wedrich on the diagrammatics of  $H_n(x)$  solves some cases of Conjecture 1.1.

For  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , let  $b_i = b_{s_i}$ , where  $s_i \in S_n$  is the transposition that swaps i and i+1. The main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.2.**  $\phi_x^{\mu}(b_{i_1}\cdots b_{i_\ell}) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}[x^{\pm 1}]$  for any sequence of indices  $i_1,\ldots,i_\ell$  that range between 1 and n-1 inclusive, and any  $\mu \vdash n$ .

In what follows, suppose that  $w \in S_n$  is given by  $w = [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n]$ , meaning it sends i to  $w_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Fix  $m \le n$  and  $v = [v_1 v_2 \cdots v_m] \in S_m$ . We say that w is  $v_1 \cdots v_m$ -avoiding if and only if the sequence  $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  does not contain a subsequence of size m whose elements have the same relative order as  $(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ . More formally, this means we cannot find indices  $1 \le p_1 < \cdots < p_m \le n$  such that  $w_{p_i} < w_{p_j}$  whenever i < j and  $v_i < v_j$ .

We write  $S_n^{v_1 \cdots v_m} \subseteq S_n$  for the set of  $v_1 \cdots v_m$ -avoiding elements. Following Billey-Warrington, we say that w is 321-hexagon-avoiding if and only if

$$w \in S_n^{321} \cap S_n^{46718235} \cap S_n^{46781235} \cap S_n^{56718234} \cap S_n^{56781234}$$
.

In [BW01], Billey-Warrington prove that w is 321-hexagon-avoiding if and only if we have  $b_w = b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_\ell}$  whenever  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  and  $\ell$  is the minimal length among such expressions. Via this result, Theorem 1.2 implies:

Corollary 1.3. Conjecture 1.1 holds when w is 321-hexagon-avoiding.

1.3. The key observation is that Remark 4.21 of [GW23], a refinement of the annular web evaluation algorithm of [QR18], provides a counterpart to Theorem 1.2 (in fact, a slightly stronger statement) in the setting of Murakami–Ohtsuki–Yamada (MOY) webs. The passage from Hecke-algebra traces to web diagrammatics is best explained by assembling the cocenters of all the Hecke algebras into a direct sum that we identify with Macdonald's ring of symmetric functions  $\Lambda(x)$  over  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ , after extending scalars. There is a universal trace

$$\operatorname{tr}:\bigoplus_n H_n(x)\to \Lambda(x).$$

There is also a natural candidate for the diagrammatic counterpart to tr: the map ann that sends a rectangular web to its annular closure.

For any  $\beta \in H_n(x)$ , the value of  $\phi_x^{\mu}(\beta)$  is just the  $\mu$ -th coefficient when we expand  $\operatorname{tr}(\beta)$  in the basis of complete homogeneous symmetric functions  $\{h_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$ : a fact already noted in [AN24]. Ultimately, we relate Theorem 1.2 to [GW23, Rem. 4.21] through a commutative diagram that relates tr to ann, and assigns simple webs to the  $b_i$  and  $h_{\mu}$ .

In fact, there is another, inequivalent commutative diagram, where  $\{b_w\}_w$  is replaced by Kazhdan–Lusztig's *other* basis for the Hecke algebra, and  $\{h_\mu\}_\mu$  is

replaced by the basis of elementary symmetric functions  $\{e_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$ . We present both diagrams together in Theorem 4.3. Their existence follows almost tautologically from the defining properties of two, mutually dual versions of the MOY web calculus:  $h_{\mu}$  corresponds to the *symmetric* version where strand labels are symmetric powers, while  $e_{\mu}$  corresponds to the *anti-symmetric* version where they are exterior powers. The original formalism of [MOY98] is the anti-symmetric one.

We have not found any explicit prior statement of Theorem 4.3 in the literature, though it seems to be folklore. Lemma 4.25 and Remark 4.26 of [GW23] establish closely related statements. We show that either of our commutative diagrams can be deduced from the other via a more general statement, Proposition 5.1, that holds for any finite Coxeter group. In particular, our treatment is *not* compatible with [RW20], where the skein relations for the symmetric and anti-symmetric web calculi are inconsistent.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** I thank Elijah Bodish for many discussions that helped me to understand webs and the research literature on them. I also thank Mikhail Khovanov and Paul Wedrich for useful comments.

#### 2. Hecke Algebras

- 2.1. This section reviews background that applies to any finite Coxeter group W with system of simple reflections S. Let < denote the Bruhat order on W, and for any  $w \in W$ , let  $\ell_w$  denote the Bruhat length of w [GP00, Ch. 1].
- 2.2. Formally, we define the *Iwahori–Hecke algebra* of W to be the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra  $H_W(x)$  spanned as a free module by elements  $\sigma_w$  for  $w \in W$ , modulo the following relations:

(2.1) 
$$\sigma_w \sigma_s = \begin{cases} \sigma_{ws} & ws > w, \\ \sigma_{ws} + (x - x^{-1})\sigma_w & ws < w. \end{cases}$$

Let D be the additive involution of  $H_W(x)$  that sends  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_w \mapsto \sigma_{w^{-1}}^{-1}$  for all  $w \in W$ .

2.3. Let  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}(x)$ , where  $\mathbf{F} \supseteq \mathbf{Q}$  is a splitting field for W. If  $W = S_n$ , then we can take  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Q}$ .

It turns out that  $\mathbf{K}H_W(x) := \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]} H_W(x)$  is split as a  $\mathbf{K}$ -algebra [GP00, Thm. 9.3.5]. At the same time, there is an isomorphism of rings  $H_W(x)|_{x\to 1} \simeq \mathbf{Z}W$ . So by Tits deformation [GP00, §7.4], the semisimplicity of  $\mathbf{F}W$  implies the semisimplicity of  $\mathbf{K}H_W(x)$ , and moreover, there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of simple  $\mathbf{K}H_W(x)$ -modules and those of simple  $\mathbf{F}W$ -modules, compatible with the  $x\to 1$  specialization from  $H_W(x)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}W$ .

This induces the assignment from characters  $\chi$  of W to  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear trace functions  $\chi_x: H_W(x) \to \bar{\mathbf{K}}$  mentioned in the introduction. Explicitly,  $\chi_x(\beta)$  is the trace of  $\beta$  on the  $\mathbf{K}H_W(x)$ -module that corresponds to the  $\mathbf{F}W$ -module with character  $\chi$ .

2.4. Kazhdan-Lusztig proved that for all  $w \in W$ , there is a unique *D*-invariant element  $b_w \in H_W(x)$  such that

$$b_w = \sum_{y \le w} x^{\ell_y - \ell_w} P_{y,w}(x^2) \sigma_y$$

for some  $P_{y,w}(q) \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$  satisfying

(2.2) 
$$P_{w,w}(q) = 1,$$
 
$$\deg P_{y,w}(q) \le \frac{1}{2}(\ell_w - \ell_y - 1) \quad \text{for all } w, y \in W \text{ with } y \le w.$$

Let j be the additive involution of  $H_W(x)$  that sends  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell_w} \sigma_w$ . Let  $c_w = j(b_w)$ . Then  $c_w$  is the unique D-invariant element of  $H_W(x)$  such that

$$c_w = \sum_{y \le w} (-1)^{\ell_y} x^{\ell_w - \ell_y} P_{y,w}(x^{-2}) \sigma_y$$

for some  $P_{y,w}(q) \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$  satisfying (2.2). They turn out to be the same polynomials as before.

The sets  $\{b_w\}_{w\in W}$  and  $\{c_w\}_{w\in W}$  form bases for  $H_W(x)$  as a free  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -module, known as the two Kazhdan-Lusztig bases or canonical bases. The polynomials  $P_{y,w}(q)$  are the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for W. Note that in [KL79],  $b_w$  and  $c_w$  are respectively denoted  $C_w'$  and  $-C_w$ . (Also note the minus sign.)

2.5. For any  $s \in S$ , we have

(2.3) 
$$b_s = x^{-1} + \sigma_s = x + \sigma_s^{-1},$$

$$c_s = x - \sigma_s = x^{-1} - \sigma_s^{-1}.$$

Just as  $\{\sigma_s\}_{s\in S}$  generates  $H_W(x)$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra, so do  $\{b_s\}_s$  and  $\{c_s\}_s$ . In fact, one can check using (2.1) and (2.3) that whether we take  $\gamma_s = b_s$  for all s or take  $\gamma_s = c_s$  for all s, the defining relations of  $H_n(x)$  with respect to the generating set  $\{\gamma_s\}_s$  remain the same.

Let  $\eta$  be the involution of  $H_n(x)$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra defined by swapping  $b_s$  and  $c_s$  for all  $s \in S$ . This is different from j, since j is not  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear.

2.6. In the next two sections, we will focus on  $W = S_n$ . Here, we will always take  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$ , where  $s_i = (i, i+1)$  as in the introduction.

We will also write  $H_n(x)$  in place of  $H_{S_n}(x)$ , and write  $\sigma_i, b_i, c_i$  in place of  $\sigma_{s_i}, b_{s_i}, c_{s_i}$ . Whether we take  $\gamma_i = b_i$  or  $\gamma_i = c_i$ , the defining relations of  $H_n(x)$  with respect to the generating set  $\{\gamma_i\}_i$  are:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \gamma_i - \gamma_i = \gamma_{i+1} \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_{i+1}, \\ \gamma_i \gamma_j = \gamma_j \gamma_i & \text{for } |i-j| > 1, \\ \gamma_i^2 = (x + x^{-1}) \gamma_i. \end{cases}$$

# 3. Symmetric Functions

3.1. Let  $\Lambda$  be the graded ring of symmetric functions over **Z** in (countably) infinitely many variables. For background on  $\Lambda$ , we refer to [Mac15, Ch. I]. In this note, we

will need the following elements of  $\Lambda$  indexed by integer partitions  $\lambda$ :

the Schur functions  $m_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda_1} m_{\lambda_2} \dots,$ the monomial symmetric functions the complete homogeneous symmetric functions  $h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \dots,$ the elementary symmetric functions  $e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \dots$ 

Let  $\Lambda_n$  be the degree-n component of  $\Lambda$ . The Schur functions  $s_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda \vdash n$  form a basis for  $\Lambda$  as a free **Z**-module; analogous statements hold with  $m_{\lambda}$  or  $h_{\lambda}$  or  $e_{\lambda}$  in place of  $s_{\lambda}$ .

3.2. Recall the Kostka numbers  $K_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathbf{Z}$  from the introduction. As explained in [Mac15, §I.6], they relate the elements  $s_{\lambda}$ ,  $m_{\mu}$ ,  $h_{\mu}$  via the identities

$$(3.1) s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda,\mu} m_{\mu}$$

(3.1) 
$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda,\mu} m_{\mu},$$

$$h_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda} K_{\lambda,\mu} s_{\lambda}.$$

Comparing (1.1) to (3.1) shows the analogy: Haiman's character  $\phi_x^{\mu}$  is to  $m_{\mu}$  as the irreducible character  $\chi_x^{\lambda}$  is to  $s_{\lambda}$ .

Note that  $K_{\lambda,\lambda} = 1$  for all  $\lambda$ , and that  $K_{\lambda,\mu} = 0$  whenever  $\mu > \lambda$  in the dominance order on partitions. This makes precise the unitriangularity mentioned earlier.

3.3. Let  $\Lambda(x) = \mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \otimes \Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_n(x) = \mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \otimes \Lambda_n$  for all n. The map tr mentioned in §1.3 is the sum of the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear maps

$$\operatorname{tr}_n: H_n(x) \to \Lambda_n(x)$$
 defined by  $\operatorname{tr}_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_x^{\lambda} s_{\lambda}$ .

By construction,  $\operatorname{tr}_n(\alpha\beta) = \operatorname{tr}_n(\beta\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ . So the universal property of the cocenter of  $H_n(x)$  defines a  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear map from the cocenter into  $\Lambda(x)$ , which turns out to be an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -modules.

Let  $\langle -, - \rangle : \Lambda(x) \times \Lambda(x) \to \mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$  be the *Hall pairing*: the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear pairing under which the Schur functions  $s_{\lambda}$  are orthonormal. By (1.1) and (3.2), we deduce:

Lemma 3.1. 
$$\operatorname{tr}_n = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \phi_x^{\mu} h_{\mu}.$$

Altogether, Theorem 1.2 is claiming that for any sequence of indices  $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ that range between 1 and n-1 inclusive, the expansion of  $\operatorname{tr}_n(b_{i_1}\cdots b_{i_\ell})$  in the complete homogeneous basis of  $\Lambda_n(x)$  will have coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}[x^{\pm 1}]$ .

## 4. Webs

4.1. Let  $H_n^{\text{web}}(x)$  be the free  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -module generated by strictly upward-oriented web diagrams in a rectangle, connecting n inputs with label 1 at the bottom to noutputs with label 1 at the top, modulo the relations of the MOY bracket. It forms a  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra under concatenation of diagrams. Via quantum Schur-Weyl duality, the work of Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada shows that this algebra is isomorphic to  $H_n(x)$  [MOY98]. Note that their q is our x.

For  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , let  $\operatorname{can}_i \in H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  denote the *i*th merge-split web. The notation can is intended to suggest the adjective *canonical*. The precise result implied by [MOY98] is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras

$$\Theta_c: H_n(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_n^{\text{web}}(x)$$
 defined by  $\Theta_c(c_i) = \mathsf{can}_i$ ,

By precomposition with the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra involution  $\eta$  from §2.5, we obtain an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras

$$\Theta_b: H_n(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$$
 defined by  $\Theta_b(b_i) = \mathsf{can}_i$ .

Remark 4.1. We point out that  $\Theta_b$ , but not  $\Theta_c$ , appears in [GW23]. Recall that we can identify  $H_n(x)$  with the skein algebra of a rectangle with n inputs and n outputs, by sending  $\sigma_i$  to the ith simple twist. Decategorified, rectangular analogues of formulas (16) and (17) in [GW23] define two isomorphisms from this skein algebra to  $H_n^{\text{web}}(x)$ . Our map  $\Theta_b$  corresponds to their framed map (16). By contrast,  $\Theta_c$  does not quite correspond to the unframed map (17), even up to rescaling.

4.2. Let  $C^{\text{web}}(x)$  be the free  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -module generated by positively-oriented web diagrams in an annulus. It forms a commutative  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra under nesting of diagrams.

For any n and  $\mu \vdash n$ , let  $o^{\mu} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  be the diagram consisting of concentric essential circles with labels  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$  Note that by the commutativity of  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$ , the order of these circles does not matter. The annular web evaluation algorithm of Queffelec-Rose [QR18, Lem. 5.2] shows that the set  $\{o_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$  forms a basis for  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  as a free  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -module. The definition of the MOY bracket then implies, directly, that  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  is freely generated as an algebra by the elements  $o_n := o_{(n)}$  corresponding to single, labeled essential circles.

At the same time, display (2.4), resp. (2.8), in [Mac15] implies that  $\Lambda(x)$  is freely generated as an algebra by the set  $\{e_n\}_n$ , resp. the set  $\{h_n\}_n$ . Thus, there are isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras

$$\Xi_h, \Xi_e : \Lambda(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$$
 defined by  $\Xi_e(e_\mu) = o_\mu$  and  $\Xi_h(h_\mu) = o_\mu$ .

They differ precisely by the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra involution of  $\Lambda(x)$  that swaps  $h_{\mu}$  and  $e_{\mu}$ . Prior to the introduction of webs, an analogous isomorphism for the skein algebra of the annulus was first established by Turaev [Tur88].

4.3. Queffelec-Rose's annular web evaluation algorithm originally treated  $C^{\text{web}}(x)$  as the triangulated Grothendieck group of the bounded homotopy category of a graded, linear category of foams between positively-oriented annular webs. Gorsky-Wedrich observed that it could be refined, by instead treating  $C^{\text{web}}(x)$  as the additive Grothendieck group of the *Karoubi* or *idempotent completion* of this foam category [GW23, Rem. 4.21]. The refinement shows:

**Theorem 4.2** (Queffelec-Rose + Gorsky-Wedrich). The expansion of any annular web in the basis  $\{o_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$  for  $C^{\text{web}}(x)$  will have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}[x^{\pm 1}]$ .

# 4.4. There is a $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear map

$$\operatorname{ann}: \bigoplus_n H_n^{\operatorname{web}}(x) \to \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{web}}(x)$$

called *annular closure*. It is defined graphically, by embedding a rectangle into an annulus as a sector, so that the upward orientation in the rectangle becomes the positive orientation in the annulus, then wrapping the n outputs of the rectangle around the annulus, without crossing, back to the n inputs. For more on annular closure in the skein literature, see [MM08] and the references there.

Via Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.2, we conclude that Theorem 1.2 follows from the commutativity of diagram (I) below.

**Theorem 4.3.** The following diagrams commute:

$$(I) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H_n(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{tr} \quad} \Lambda_n(x) \\ & \Theta_b \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_h \\ & H_n^{\text{web}}(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{ann} \quad} \mathcal{C}^{\text{web}}(x) \\ & & H_n(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{tr} \quad} \Lambda_n(x) \\ (II) & \Theta_c \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_e \\ & & H_n^{\text{web}}(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{ann} \quad} \mathcal{C}^{\text{web}}(x) \end{array}$$

Below, we handle (I) and (II) in parallel. As an alternative, we show in §5.2 how the commutativity of one implies that of the other.

*Proof.* For convenience, set  $(\star, \diamond, \heartsuit) \in \{(I, b, h), (II, c, e)\}.$ 

Step 1. First, we reduce to checking specific central elements of  $H_n(x)$ . In what follows,  $\mathsf{Z}(-)$  and [-] denote center and cocenter, respectively. Let  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}(x)$ . The map  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}$  induces  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\Theta_{\Diamond}: \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n(x)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad [\Theta_{\Diamond}]: [\mathbf{K}H_n(x)] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)].$$

It is enough to show the commutativity of diagram  $(\star)$  where we extend scalars to  $\mathbf{K}$  and replace  $H_n(x)$ ,  $H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$ ,  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}$  with  $[\mathbf{K}H_n(x)]$ ,  $[\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)]$ ,  $[\Theta_{\diamondsuit}]$ . But the cocenters of  $\mathbf{K}H_n(x)$  and  $\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  are isomorphic to their centers. So it remains to show the commutativity of

$$Z(\mathbf{K}H_n(x)) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \mathbf{K}\Lambda_n(x) 
\Theta_{\Diamond} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Xi_{\heartsuit} 
Z(\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ann}} \mathbf{K}\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$$

where  $\mathbf{K}\Lambda_n(x)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  are the **K**-linear extensions of  $\Lambda_n(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$ . As the top arrow is bijective, the basis  $\{\heartsuit_{\mu}\}_{\mu}$  for  $\mathbf{K}\Lambda(x)$  lifts to a basis  $\{\heartsuit_{\mu}^{\vee}\}_{\mu}$  for  $\mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n(x))$ . To show the commutativity of  $(\star)$ , it remains to show that the annular closure of  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}(\heartsuit_{\mu}^{\vee})$  is  $o_{\mu}$ .

Step 2. Next, we reduce to the case where  $\mu$  is a trivial partition. Observe that for general  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell})$ , we have **K**-linear maps

(4.1) 
$$\mathbf{K}H_{\mu_1}(x) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}H_{\mu_{\ell}}(x) \to \mathbf{K}H_n(x),$$

(4.2) 
$$\mathbf{K}H_{\mu_1}^{\mathsf{web}}(x) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}H_{\mu_{\ell}}^{\mathsf{web}}(x) \to \mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$$

compatible with  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}$ . The first sends  $(\heartsuit_{\mu_1}^{\lor}, \dots, \heartsuit_{\mu_\ell}^{\lor}) \mapsto \heartsuit_{\mu}^{\lor}$ . Moreover, as we run over n and  $\mu$ , the maps of the first, resp. second, kind endow  $\bigoplus_n \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n(x))$ , resp.  $\bigoplus_n \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x))$ , with the structure of a commutative algebra, in such a way that

$$\bigoplus_{n} \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_{n}(x)) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \mathbf{K}\Lambda(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{n} \mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_{n}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ann}} \mathbf{K}\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$$

become algebra isomorphisms. Recall from §4.2 that  $\Lambda(x) \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\heartsuit}} \mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  is one, too. So if ann sends  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}(\heartsuit_{\mu_i}^{\lor}) \mapsto o_{\mu_i}$  for all i, then it also sends  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}(\heartsuit_{\mu}^{\lor}) \mapsto o_{\mu}$ .

Step 3. Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_n \in H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$  be the web that merges all n strands into a single strand labeled n, then splits them up again. We see that  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_n$  is quasi-idempotent in  $\mathsf{Z}(\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x))$  (viewed as a subring of  $\mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x)$ ). Let  $\mathbf{z}_n$  be the idempotent rescaling of  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_n$ . Then  $\mathsf{ann}(\mathbf{z}_n) = o_\mu$ . It remains to show that  $\Theta_{\diamondsuit}(\heartsuit_n^{\lor}) = \mathbf{z}_n$ .

For any free **K**-module V of finite rank, let  $\mathbf{K}H_n^V(x)$  be the commutant of the  $U_x(\mathfrak{gl}(V))$ -action on  $V^{\otimes n}$ . These algebras admit analogues of (4.1)–(4.2):

(4.3) 
$$\mathbf{K}H_{\mu_1}^V(x) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}H_{\mu_\ell}^V(x) \to \mathbf{K}H_n^V(x).$$

Quantum Schur-Weyl duality defines homomorphisms  $\Psi_n : \mathbf{K}H_n(x) \to \mathbf{K}H_n^V(x)$  that intertwine (4.1) with (4.3) for all  $\mu$ , and are faithful for n less than or equal to the rank of V. Writing  $\pi_n^-$  and  $\pi_n^+$  for the elements of  $\mathbf{K}H_n^V(x)$  respectively defined by projection onto the nth exterior and symmetric powers of V, we have  $\Psi_n(e_n^\vee) = \pi_n^-$  and  $\Psi_n(h_n^\vee) = \pi_n^+$ .

Meanwhile, the anti-symmetric web calculus of [MOY98] shows that there is a collection of homomorphisms  $\Psi_n^{\mathsf{web},-} : \mathbf{K}H_n^{\mathsf{web}}(x) \to \mathbf{K}H_n^V(x)$  uniquely characterized by intertwining (4.2) with (4.3) for all  $\mu$  and the condition that  $\Psi_2^{\mathsf{web},-}(\mathsf{z}_2) = \pi_2^-$ . Moreover,  $\Psi_n^{\mathsf{web},-}(\mathsf{z}_n) = \pi_n^-$  for all n. The symmetric analogue of [MOY98] shows the same statements with + in place of - everywhere. Now we do the crucial calculations<sup>1</sup>

$$e_2^\vee = \tfrac{1}{x+x^{-1}}\,c_1, \qquad h_2^\vee = \tfrac{1}{x+x^{-1}}\,b_1, \qquad \mathsf{z}_2 = \tfrac{1}{x+x^{-1}}\,\tilde{\mathsf{z}}_2 = \tfrac{1}{x+x^{-1}}\,\mathsf{can}_1,$$

which show that  $\Psi_n(\Theta_c^{-1}(\mathbf{z}_2)) = \pi_2^-$  and  $\Psi_n(\Theta_b^{-1}(\mathbf{z}_2)) = \pi_2^+$ . Therefore,

$$\Psi_n \circ \Theta_c^{-1} = \Psi_n^{\mathsf{web},-} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_n \circ \Theta_b^{-1} = \Psi_n^{\mathsf{web},+}.$$

This forces  $\Theta_c^{-1}(\mathsf{z}_n) = e_n^{\vee}$  and  $\Theta_b^{-1}(\mathsf{z}_n) = h_n^{\vee}$  for all n.

4.5. Theorem 4.2 also suggests a categorification of Conjecture 1.1.

Let C be the category denoted  $Kar(AFoam^+)$  in [GW23]: the Karoubi completion of a graded, linear category of foams between positively-oriented annular webs. Let  $H_n$  be the analogous category where we replace the annulus by a rectangle with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Compare to the proof of Lemma 4.25 in [GW23].

n inputs and n outputs. By work of Mackaay-Vaz (in the  $\mathfrak{sl}$ , rather than  $\mathfrak{gl}$ , setting) [MV10],  $H_n$  is a diagrammatic presentation of the category of Soergel bimodules for  $S_n$ , and hence, categorifies  $H_n(x)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B}_w$  be the indecomposable object of  $\mathbf{H}_n$  indexed by  $w \in S_n$ , so that the isomorphism from the Grothendieck group to  $H_n(x)$  sends  $[\mathbf{B}_w]$  to  $b_w$ . Let  $\mathbf{O}_{\mu}$  be the object of  $\mathsf{C}_n$  underlying the annular web  $o_{\mu}$ .

Conjecture 4.4. For all  $w \in S_n$ , the annular closure of  $\mathbf{B}_w$  is isomorphic in  $\mathsf{C}$  to a direct sum of objects of the form  $\mathbf{O}_{\mu}$ .

#### 5. Intertwining Dualities

5.1. We return to the generality of a finite Coxeter group W. Let  $\varepsilon$  be its sign character, defined by  $\varepsilon(w) = (-1)^{\ell_w}$ . The following result should be very well-known, but we have not found an explicit reference.

**Proposition 5.1.** For any irreducible character  $\chi$  of W, we have

$$(\varepsilon\chi)_x = \chi_x \circ \eta$$

as functions on  $H_W(x)$ , where  $\eta$  is the  $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra involution from §2.5 that swaps the Kazhdan-Lusztig bases.

*Proof.* By Proposition 9.4.1 of [GP00],

$$(\varepsilon \chi)_x(\sigma_w) = (-1)^{\ell_w} \chi_x(\sigma_w)|_{x \to x^{-1}}$$
 for all  $w \in W$ .

Using (2.3), we deduce that

$$(\varepsilon \chi)_x(b_{s^{(1)}} \cdots b_{s^{(\ell)}}) = \chi_x(c_{s^{(1)}} \cdots c_{s^{(\ell)}}) = \chi_x(\eta(b_{s^{(1)}} \cdots b_{s^{(\ell)}}))$$

for any sequence of elements  $s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(\ell)} \in S$ . But  $H_W(x)$  is generated as an algebra by  $\{b_s\}_{s\in S}$ , so every element of  $H_W(x)$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $b_{s^{(1)}}\cdots b_{s^{(\ell)}}$ .

5.2. Using Proposition 5.1, we can show that the commutativity of either diagram in Theorem 4.3 implies that of the other.

*Proof.* First, recall that the involution of  $\Lambda(x)$  that swaps  $h_{\mu}$  and  $e_{\mu}$  also swaps  $s_{\lambda}$  and  $s_{\lambda^t}$ , where  $\lambda^t$  is the transpose of  $\lambda$  [Mac15, (3.8)]. So the map

$$\operatorname{tr}_n^t: H_n(x) \to \Lambda_n(x)$$
 defined by  $\operatorname{tr}_n^t = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_x^{\lambda} s_{\lambda^t}$ 

satisfies  $\Xi_e \circ \operatorname{tr}_n = \Xi_h \circ \operatorname{tr}_n^t$ . Next, observe that

$$\operatorname{tr}_n^t = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_x^{\lambda^t} s_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (\varepsilon \chi^\lambda)_x s_\lambda.$$

So by Proposition 5.1,  $\operatorname{tr}_n^t = \operatorname{tr}_n \circ \eta$ .

Altogether,  $\Xi_e \circ \operatorname{tr}_n = \Xi_h \circ \operatorname{tr}_n \circ \eta$ , whereas  $\Theta_c = \Theta_b \circ \eta$ . So by the involutivity of  $\eta$ , we have  $\operatorname{ann} \circ \Theta_c = \Xi_e \circ \operatorname{tr}_n$  if and only if  $\operatorname{ann} \circ \Theta_b = \Xi_h \circ \operatorname{tr}_n$ .

#### References

- [AN24] Alex Corrêa Abreu and Antonio Nigro. An update on Haiman's conjectures. Forum Math. Sigma, 12:Paper No. e86, 15, 2024.
- [BW01] Sara C. Billey and Gregory S. Warrington. Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for 321-hexagon-avoiding permutations. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 13(2):111–136, 2001.
- [GP00] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer. Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, volume 21 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [GW23] Eugene Gorsky and Paul Wedrich. Evaluations of annular Khovanov-Rozansky homology. Math. Z., 303(1):Paper No. 25, 57, 2023.
- [Hai93] Mark Haiman. Hecke algebra characters and immanant conjectures. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 6(3):569–595, 1993.
- [KL79] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig. Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. Invent. Math., 53(2):165–184, 1979.
- [Mac15] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 2015. With contribution by A. V. Zelevinsky and a foreword by Richard Stanley.
- [MM08] H. R. Morton and P. M. G. Manchón. Geometrical relations and plethysms in the Homfly skein of the annulus. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 78(2):305–328, 2008.
- [MOY98] Hitoshi Murakami, Tomotada Ohtsuki, and Shuji Yamada. Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs. Enseign. Math. (2), 44(3-4):325–360, 1998.
- [MV10] Marco Mackaay and Pedro Vaz. The diagrammatic Soergel category and sl(N)-foams, for  $N \geq 4$ . Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., pages Art. ID 468968, 20, 2010.
- [QR18] Hoel Queffelec and David E. V. Rose. Sutured annular Khovanov-Rozansky homology. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 370(2):1285–1319, 2018.
- [RW20] Louis-Hadrien Robert and Emmanuel Wagner. Symmetric Khovanov-Rozansky link homologies. J. Éc. polytech. Math., 7:573–651, 2020.
- [Tur88] V. G. Turaev. The Conway and Kauffman modules of a solid torus. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), 167:79–89, 190, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06520 Email address: minh-tam.trinh@yale.edu