

1. LATTICES AND RECTIFIABILITY

1.1. Recall that if V is a $k((t))$ -vector space, then a $k[[t]]$ -submodule $M \subseteq V$ is a **lattice** in V iff:

- (1) It is free over $k[[t]]$.
- (2) The map $M[\frac{1}{t}] = M \otimes_{k[[t]]} k((t)) \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism.

If hypothesis (1) holds and V is finite-dimensional, then hypothesis (2) holds if and only if the $k[[t]]$ -rank of M equals the dimension of V .

Now, let A be a k -algebra and V a free module over $A \hat{\otimes} F$ of finite rank. Let M be an arbitrary $(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ -submodule of V .

Definition 1.2. We say that M is an \mathcal{O} -**lattice** in V iff:

- (1) It is *locally* free over $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ in the Zariski topology.
- (2) The map $M \otimes_{A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}} (A \hat{\otimes} F) \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism.

Note that if hypothesis (1) holds, then hypothesis (2) holds if and only if the $(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ -rank of M equals the $(A \hat{\otimes} F)$ -rank of V .

By [SP, Tag 00NX], a finitely-generated module over an arbitrary ring is locally free in the Zariski topology if and only if it is projective. By [SP, Tag 0593], any projective module over a local ring is free. Therefore, Definition 1.2 recovers the definition in §1.1 when $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]]$ and $A = k$.

We say that an \mathcal{O} -lattice is **free** iff it is free over $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$, not just locally free. Henceforth, we fix a free \mathcal{O} -lattice

$$V_{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq V.$$

For instance, if we have chosen an isomorphism $V \simeq (A \hat{\otimes} F)^{\oplus n}$, then we can take $V_{\mathcal{O}} = (A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})^{\oplus n}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. We say that M is *i -rectifiable* with respect to $V_{\mathcal{O}}$ iff:

- (1) $\mathfrak{m}^i V_{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq M \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_{\mathcal{O}}$.
- (2) $(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_{\mathcal{O}})/M$ is locally free over A of finite rank.

We say that M is **rectifiable** with respect to $V_{\mathcal{O}}$ iff it is i -rectifiable for some i .

Our terminology differs from Görtz's terminology in [G, §2.3]. What he calls a lattice is what we call a rectifiable module. However, he always assumes that $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]]$. The results below show that \mathcal{O} -lattices are always rectifiable, and in the case where $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]]$, the converse holds.

Proposition 1.4. *Every \mathcal{O} -lattice in V is rectifiable with respect to $V_{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Lemma 1.5. *If M is a free \mathcal{O} -lattice in V , then it admits a free complement as an A -submodule of V .*

Proof. We can assume $V = (A \hat{\otimes} F)^{\oplus n}$ for some n . Since M is a free \mathcal{O} -lattice, it is the image of $(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})^{\oplus n}$ under some invertible $(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ -linear map $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$. Pick a splitting of k -vector spaces $F \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus E$. Then we have a splitting of A -modules $A \hat{\otimes} F \simeq (A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}) \oplus (A \otimes E)$, so the image of $(A \otimes E)^{\oplus n}$ under Φ is the desired complement of M . \square

Lemma 1.6. *If M, M' are free \mathcal{O} -lattices in V and $M' \subseteq M$, then M/M' is locally free over A of finite rank.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.5, we have A -module isomorphisms $V \simeq M \oplus N \simeq M' \oplus N'$, where N, N' are free over A . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/M' \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

splits, so M/M' is projective over A . Moreover, M/M' is finitely generated over A because M is. By [SP, 00NX], we deduce that M is locally free over A . \square

Proof of Proposition 1.4. First, we will construct an A -algebra A' such that, if

$$(1) \quad M' = M \otimes_{A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}} (A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}),$$

then M is free over $A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$. Pick a *finite* Zariski open cover of $\text{Spec}(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ that trivializes M , say, $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \prod_i (A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})_{f_i}$. For each i , let $a_i \in A$ be the image of f_i under $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} k = A$. Since the f_i generate $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$, the a_i generate A . Taking $A' = \prod_i A_{a_i}$, we see that the map $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ factors as

$$A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \prod_i (A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})_{f_i} \rightarrow \prod_i (A_{a_i} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})_{f_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_i (A_{a_i} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O},$$

where the last isomorphism uses the finiteness of the product. Thus, the module M' defined by (1) is free over $A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$.

In what follows, let V' , *resp.* $V'_\mathcal{O}$ denote the base change of V , *resp.* $V_\mathcal{O}$ along $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$. Since M' is free of finite rank over $A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$, we can pick i large enough that we get inclusions

$$\mathfrak{m}^i V'_\mathcal{O} \subseteq M' \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-i} V'_\mathcal{O}$$

of $(A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ -submodules of V' . We claim that they restrict to inclusions

$$\mathfrak{m}^i V_\mathcal{O} \subseteq M \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_\mathcal{O}.$$

Indeed, the map $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ is injective because the map $A \rightarrow A'$, being faithfully flat, is injective. Since $V_\mathcal{O}$ and M are flat over $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$, the induced maps $V_\mathcal{O} \rightarrow V'_\mathcal{O}$ and $M \rightarrow M'$ are also injective, and the claim follows. It remains to check that $(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_\mathcal{O})/M$ is locally free over A of finite rank. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V'_\mathcal{O})/M' &\simeq \frac{(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V'_\mathcal{O})/(\mathfrak{m}^i V'_\mathcal{O})}{M'/(\mathfrak{m}^i V'_\mathcal{O})} \\ &\simeq \frac{(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_\mathcal{O})/(\mathfrak{m}^i V_\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}} (A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})}{M/(\mathfrak{m}^i V_\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}} (A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})} \\ &\simeq \frac{(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_\mathcal{O})/(\mathfrak{m}^i V_\mathcal{O}) \otimes_A A'}{M/(\mathfrak{m}^i V_\mathcal{O}) \otimes_A A'} \\ &\simeq (\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V_\mathcal{O}/M) \otimes_A A'. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.6, $(\mathfrak{m}^{-i} V'_\mathcal{O})/N$ is locally free over A' of finite rank, so we're done by Zariski descent. \square

Remark 1.7. Suppose that $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithfully-flat morphism of k -algebras. It is *not* necessarily true that $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ is faithfully flat: See

<https://mathoverflow.net/a/152538>

for a counterexample. Consequently, it is also not necessarily true that $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \simeq B \otimes_A (A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$. So in the above proof, the difference between base change along $A \rightarrow A'$ and along $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A' \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ is essential where it appears.

Proposition 1.8. *If \mathcal{O} is normal, then every rectifiable $(A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ -submodule of V is an \mathcal{O} -lattice.*

Proof. This is the (1) \implies (2) direction in [G, Lem. 2.11]. □

Example 1.9. We give two examples that show how Proposition 1.8 fails when \mathcal{O} is not normal.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{O} = k[[x, y]]/(xy)$, so that $F = k((x)) \times k((y))$. Let $M = k[[x]] \times k[[y]]$.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{O} = k[[t^2, t^3]]$, so that $F = k((t))$. Let $M = k[[t]]$.

In both cases, $M \subseteq F$ is 1-rectifiable with respect to \mathcal{O} , but not locally free over \mathcal{O} .