

MATH 665 PROBLEM SET 1

FALL 2024

Due Thursday, September 19. You may consult books, papers, and websites as long as you cite all sources and write up your solutions in your own words. **Updated on 9/12, in blue.**

Problem 1. (1) Compute the sizes of the conjugacy classes of S_4, S_5, S_6 .
(2) Use (1) to show that A_4 is not simple, but A_5 and A_6 are.

Problem 2. (1) Show that $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_7)| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 8$.
(2) Find a reference listing the eleven conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_7)$.
(3) Use (2) to compute the six conjugacy classes of

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_7) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_7)/\{\pm 1\}$$

and their sizes.

(4) Use (1) and (3) to show that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_7)$ is simple.

Problem 3. Over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, for q odd, let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$. Let $B = TU$ be its upper-triangular subgroup, where T is the diagonal torus and U the unipotent radical of B . Let $F : G \rightarrow G$ correspond to the split \mathbf{F}_q -form, so that B, T, U are F -stable. For any character χ of T^F , viewed as a character of B^F , let $I_\chi = \mathrm{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F}(\chi)$.

- (1) Taking $q = 3$:
 - (a) Use Bruhat to find the number of double cosets of U^F in G^F .
 - (b) For all χ , use Mackey to decompose I_χ into its irreducible summands as a representation of G^F . **The total number of χ , times $|W^F|$, should match your answer to (a).**
- (2) Repeat (2), now taking $q = 5$.

Problem 4. Keep the setup of the previous problem. Recall the Deligne–Lusztig variety

$$\tilde{X}_s = \{gU \in G/U \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in U s U\}, \quad \text{where } s = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The G -action on \mathbf{A}^2 induces an isomorphism $G/U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Show that at the level of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ -points, this isomorphism identifies \tilde{X}_s with the plane curve $xy^q - x^qy = 1$, where x, y are the standard coordinates on \mathbf{A}^2 .

Problem 5. Let q be any prime power. Over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, let X be an algebraic variety with an action of a smooth algebraic group H . Suppose that there are Frobenius maps F on X and H such that $F(h \cdot x) = F(h) \cdot F(x)$. Show that:

- (1) If H is *connected*, then every F -stable $H(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ -orbit on $X(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ has an F -fixed point. *Hint:* Pick a point and apply Lang’s theorem.

- (2) In the setting of (1), suppose that the action map

$$\begin{aligned} H \times X &\rightarrow X \times X \\ (h, x) &\mapsto (h \cdot x, x) \end{aligned}$$

is smooth and its pullback along the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times X$ has connected geometric fibers. Note that the fibers of this pullback are the stabilizers of the H -action on X . Deduce that there is a bijection $(X/H)^F \simeq X^F/H^F$.

- (3) If H is not connected, then the conclusions to (1)–(2) fail, even when $X = \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and H acts freely.

Problem 6. Over any algebraically closed field k , let $Z \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the subgroup of scalar matrices, acting on the larger group by multiplication.

- (1) Compute the subring $k[\mathrm{GL}_2]^Z \subseteq k[\mathrm{GL}_2]$.
- (2) Deduce that the embedding $\mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2$ descends to an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}_2 // Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SL}_2 // \{\pm 1\}.$$

Above, $k[X // H] := k[X]^H$ for any algebraic variety X over k with an action of an algebraic group H .

This problem suggests why we prefer not to define an algebraic group PSL_2 distinct from PGL_2 .¹

¹See <https://mathoverflow.net/a/16150> for further context.