

9.

Having constructed the HOMFLY-PT link polynomial from Markov traces on the Hecke algebras of the symmetric groups, we analyze general traces from a character-theoretic viewpoint, in the setting of general symmetric algebras. The main reference is Chapter 7 of Geck–Pfeiffer’s book.

9.1.

Fix a commutative ring A with unity. Given any A -module E , we write E^\vee to denote the module dual to E .

Fix an associative algebra H over A . Let $Z(H)$ denote its center. We will always assume that $A \subseteq Z(H)$.

For any A -module E , an *E -valued trace* on H is a A -linear map $\tau : H \rightarrow E$ such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Example 9.1. Any H -module M that is free of finite rank over A defines an A -valued trace χ_M called its *character*: $\chi_M(x) = \text{tr}_A(x \mid M)$ for all $x \in H$.

Let $[H, H]$ be the additive subgroup of H generated by all commutators $[x, y] := xy - yx$. It is a $Z(H)$ -module, hence an A -module. The quotient $H/[H, H]$ is called the *cocenter* of H . By construction, an A -linear map out of H is a trace if and only if it factors through the map $H \rightarrow H/[H, H]$, which could be called the *universal trace* on H .

Remark 9.2. Our $[H, H]$ is not the commutator ideal of H , which some texts denote by the same notation. The quotient of H by its commutator ideal is its abelianization, which is usually smaller than its cocenter.

9.2.

Henceforth, we assume that H is free of finite rank as an A -module. We say that an A -valued trace τ on H is *symmetrizing*, and that (H, τ) forms a *symmetric algebra* over A , if and only if the symmetric bilinear pairing

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H \otimes H &\rightarrow A \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \tau(xy) \end{aligned}$$

is nondegenerate. Explicitly, this means: If $\tau_x \in H^\vee$ denotes the functional

$$\tau_x(y) = \tau(xy),$$

then the map that sends $x \mapsto \tau_x$ is an isomorphism of modules $H \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\vee$.

For convenience, let $\mathcal{T}(H) \subseteq H^\vee$ denote the module of A -valued traces on H . Unwinding the definitions, we see:

Proposition 9.3. *If $\tau : H \rightarrow A$ is symmetrizing, then:*

(1) The pairing (9.1) descends to a nondegenerate pairing

$$Z(H) \otimes H/[H, H] \rightarrow A.$$

(2) The map $x \mapsto \tau_x$ restricts to an isomorphism of modules $Z(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H)$.

To describe the inverse to the map in (2), let $(e_i)_i, (f_i)_i$ be ordered A -linear bases for H that are dual under (9.1). This means $\tau(e_i f_j)$ equals 1 when $i = j$ and 0 when $i \neq j$.

Proposition 9.4. *For any $x \in H$, we have $x = \sum_i \tau(x e_i) f_i$.*

Proof. If y denotes the right-hand side, then $\tau_x(e_i) = \tau_y(e_i)$ for all i , whence $\tau_x = \tau_y$, whence $x = y$. \square

Corollary 9.5. *The inverse to the map in Proposition 9.3(2) sends a trace χ to the element $z_\chi := \sum_i \chi(e_i) f_i$.*

Observe that for any traces $\chi, \psi \in \mathcal{T}(H)$, we have

$$\psi(z_\chi) = \sum_i \chi(e_i) \psi(f_i) = \sum_i \psi(f_i) \chi(e_i) = \chi(z_\psi).$$

This leads us to consider the symmetric bilinear pairing

$$(-, -)_\tau : \mathcal{T}(H) \otimes \mathcal{T}(H) \rightarrow A$$

for which $(\chi, \psi)_\tau$ is the element above. It turns out that we have all seen an example of this pairing before.

Example 9.6. Let Γ be any finite group, and take $H = A\Gamma$, its group algebra over A . Let $e \in \Gamma$ be the identity. Then there is a symmetrizing trace τ on H defined by $\tau(e) = 1$ and $\tau(g) = 0$ for all $g \neq e$. If $(g_i)_i$ is any ordering of the elements of Γ , then $(g_i^{-1})_i$ is the dual ordered basis under (9.1). Therefore,

$$(\chi, \psi)_\tau = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \chi(g) \psi(g^{-1}).$$

We conclude that when A is a field whose characteristic does not divide $|\Gamma|$, then $(-, -)_\tau$ is a rescaling of the usual pairing $(-, -)_\Gamma$ on class functions on Γ .

9.3.

Based on the last example, we might hope that the representations of symmetric algebras are as clean as those of finite groups. It turns out that if $(-, -)_\tau$ is nondegenerate, then they are, in fact, semisimple.

In what follows, we keep A, H, τ , and the dual (ordered) bases $(e_i)_i, (f_i)_i$ as above. We will write the H -action on H -modules as a right action, both

to be consistent with Geck–Pfeiffer and because we will later take H to be an Iwahori–Hecke algebra, which previously acted on $R_{e,1}$ from the right.

To start, there is a version of Weyl’s unitarization trick for symmetric algebras: namely, Geck–Pfeiffer Lem. 7.1.10.

Proposition 9.7. *For any H -modules M, M' , there is an A -linear map*

$$I = I_{M,M'} : \text{Hom}_A(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(M, M').$$

Explicitly, $I(\phi)(m) = \sum_i \phi(m \cdot e_i) \cdot f_i$ for all $m \in M$. Moreover, $I_{M,M'}$ is independent of the choice of $(e_i)_i, (f_i)_i$.

Proof of the first claim. We must show that for all $m \in M$ and $x \in H$, we have $I(\phi)(m \cdot x) = I(\phi)(m) \cdot x$. Let $a_{i,j} \in A$ be the unique scalars such that $xe_i = \sum_j a_{i,j} e_j$ for all i . By Proposition 9.4,

$$f_j x = \sum_i \tau(f_j x e_i) f_i = \sum_{i,k} a_{i,k} \tau(f_j e_k) f_i = \sum_i a_{i,j} f_i \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_i \phi(m \cdot x e_i) \cdot f_i = \sum_{i,j} \phi(m \cdot e_j) \cdot a_{i,j} f_i = \sum_j \phi(m \cdot e_j) \cdot f_j x,$$

as desired. \square

9.4.

Using the “averaging” operators $I_{M,M'}$, it is possible to generalize much of classical character theory from finite groups to symmetric algebras. To save time, we will omit proofs, merely pointing out the classical parallels. Henceforth:

- We assume that A is an integral domain with field of fractions K . We set $KH = K \otimes_A H$.
- We only consider KH -modules that have finite dimension over K .

Extending τ to a K -valued trace on KH , we see that it defines a symmetrizing trace on KH as well.

We now focus on KH . The following result, Geck–Pfeiffer Lemma 7.1.11, generalizes Maschke’s theorem for a finite group Γ , since $I(\text{id}_V) = |\Gamma| \text{id}_V$ for any representation V of Γ .

Theorem 9.8 (Gaschütz–Ikeda). *Let V be a KH -module. Then V is projective over KH if and only if $\text{id}_V = I(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \text{End}_K(V)$.*

Schur’s lemma says that if V is a simple KH -module, then $\text{End}_{KH}(V)$ is a division algebra over K . Recall that such a module V is *split* over K if and only if $\text{End}_{KH}(V) \simeq K \text{id}_V$. The following result, combining Geck–Pfeiffer Theorem 7.2.1 and Corollary 7.2.2, generalizes Schur orthogonality for matrix coefficients.

Theorem 9.9. *If V is a simple KH -module split over K , then there is a (unique) element \mathbf{s}_V such that*

$$I(\phi) = \mathbf{s}_V \operatorname{tr}(\phi) \operatorname{id}_V \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \operatorname{End}_K(V).$$

It only depends on the isomorphism class of V as a KH -module.

In particular, if V' is another such KH -module and $\rho : KH \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_n(K)$, resp. $\rho' : KH \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_{n'}(K)$ is the action on V , resp. V' in a fixed basis, then

$$\sum_i \rho(e_i)_{k,l} \rho'(f_i)_{k',l'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_V & V = V' \text{ and } (k,l) = (l',k'), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By Geck–Pfeiffer Exercise 7.4, a simple KH -module V split over K is determined by its character χ_V . The following result, Geck–Pfeiffer Corollary 7.2.4, generalizes Schur orthogonality for characters.

Corollary 9.10. *Let V, V' be simple KH -modules split over K . Then*

$$(\chi_V, \chi_{V'})_\tau = \begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_V \dim(V) & V \simeq V' \text{ as } KH\text{-modules,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{s}_V = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_i \chi_V(e_i) \chi_V(f_i)$.

The following result, combining Geck–Pfeiffer Theorem 7.2.6 and Proposition 7.2.7, describes when KH is semisimple, and recovers Artin–Wedderburn in this case. To state it, recall that KH is *split* over K if and only if every simple KH -module is split over K .

Corollary 9.11. *A simple KH -module V split over K is projective if and only if $\mathbf{s}_V \neq 0$. In particular, if H is split over K , then:*

- (1) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (a) *KH is semisimple as an algebra.*
 - (b) *$\mathbf{s}_V \neq 0$ for all simple KH -modules V .*
 - (c) *The pairing $(-, -)_\tau$ on $\mathcal{T}(KH)$ is nondegenerate.*
- (2) *In the situation of (1), we have*

$$\tau = \sum_V \frac{1}{\mathbf{s}_V} \chi_V \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(KH),$$

$$1 = \sum_V e_V \text{ in } KH, \quad \text{where } e_V = \frac{1}{\mathbf{s}_V} \sum_i \chi_V(e_i) f_i$$

and the sums run over isomorphism classes of simple KH -modules. The e_V are primitive orthogonal idempotents of KH .

Example 9.12. Fix a finite Coxeter group W . Fix an integral domain A' containing $\mathbf{Z}[q^{-1/2}]$, with field of fractions K_0 . Take $A = A'[x^{\pm 1}]$, so that $K = K'(x)$, and take

$$H = A' \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_W(x) = A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]} H_W(x),$$

where $H_W(x)$ is the Hecke algebra of W over $\mathbf{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$. Then H has the A -linear basis $(\sigma_w)_{w \in W}$. There is a symmetrizing trace τ on H defined by $\tau(\sigma_e) = 1$ and $\tau(\sigma_w) = 0$ for all $w \neq e$. Under this trace, $(\sigma_{w^{-1}})_w$ is the ordered basis dual to $(\sigma_w)_w$. We deduce that for any simple KH -module V , we have

$$s_V = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_w \chi_V(\sigma_w) \chi_V(\sigma_{w^{-1}}).$$

It remains to describe when KH is semisimple, and in this case, to classify its simple modules.

9.5.

To conclude, we explain the general form of the Tits deformation theorem giving a criterion for: (1) KH_W to be semisimple, and (2) the existence of a bijection between irreducible characters of W and characters of simple modules over KH_W . For this we need some machinery that is usually presented in the setting of modular representation theory.

Let $R(KH)$ be the Grothendieck group of (finite-dimensional) KH -modules, and let $R^+(KH) \subseteq R(KH)$ be the semiring of classes represented by actual, not virtual, modules. There is a map

$$p_K : R^+(KH) \rightarrow (1 + tK[t])^H$$

that sends $[V]$ to the collection of characteristic polynomials for elements of H acting on V :

$$p_K(V) = (\det_K(1 - tx \mid V))_{x \in H}.$$

Lemma 9.13 (Brauer–Nesbitt). *If the characters χ_V form a linearly independent subset of $\mathcal{T}(KH)$ as we run over simple KH -modules V , then p_H is injective.*

Lemma 9.14. *If A is integrally closed, then p_K factors through $\text{Maps}(H, A[t])$.*

Let B be another integral domain, say with field of fractions L , and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. Then we can form $BH = B \otimes_A H$ and $LH = L \otimes_B BH$. Let $R(LH)$, $R^+(LH)$, p_L be defined similarly to $R(KH)$, $R^+(KH)$, p_K .

Theorem 9.15. *Suppose that A is integrally closed and LH is split over L . Then there is a unique additive map $d_\varphi : R^+(KH) \rightarrow R^+(LH)$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^+(KH) & \xrightarrow{\rho_K} & (1 + tA[t])^H \\ d_\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ R^+(LH) & \xrightarrow{\rho_L} & (1 + tL[t])^H \end{array}$$

Explicitly, if $\mathcal{O} \subseteq K$ is a valuation ring such that \mathcal{O} , *resp.* its maximal ideal, contains A , *resp.* $\ker(\varphi)$,¹ then there is an embedding of L into the residue field of \mathcal{O} . Let k be this residue field. If LH is split over L , then the map $R^+(LH) \rightarrow R^+(kH)$ given by extension of scalars is an isomorphism. The map d_φ above sends $[V]$ to the class of the image in k of any H -stable, full \mathcal{O} -lattice in V , viewed as an element of $R^+(LH)$.

Theorem 9.16 (Tits Deformation). *In the setup above, suppose furthermore that KH is split and LH is semisimple. Then:*

- (1) KH is also semisimple.
- (2) d_φ induces a bijection between simple KH -modules up to isomorphism and simple LH -modules up to isomorphism.

Example 9.17. Keep the setup of Example 9.12. Let $B = A'$, so that $L = K'$, and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be the map that sends $x \mapsto q^{1/2}$.

If A' is integrally closed, then so is A by Gauss's lemma. So if A' is integrally closed and $K'W$ is split semisimple as a K' -algebra, then Tits's deformation theorem applies, giving us a bijection between $\text{Irr}(W)$ and the set of simple KH -modules up to isomorphism.

It turns out that if W is crystallographic, then we can take $K' = \mathbf{Q}$. If W is merely a finite Coxeter group, then we can take K' to be the totally real number field generated by the character values of all characters of W .

¹Thank-you to Vlad for finding the correct statement here, during the lecture.