Warmup suppose V, W are finite-dim'l

Hom(V, W) = {linear maps V to W}

Q1 dim Hom(V, W) in terms of dim V, dim W?

 $\underline{A1}$  dim Hom(V, W) = (dim V)(dim W)

Q2 basis for Hom in terms of bases for V, W?

A2 pick ordered bases (v\_1, ..., v\_n) for V (w 1, ..., w m) for W

linear iso Hom(V, W) to  $Mat_{m \times n}(F)$ :

T mapsto M s.t.  $Tv_i = sum_j M_{j, i}w_j$ 

for all k, \ell, have a matrix M s.t.

 $M_{\ell}, k = 1$ 

 $M_{j, i} = 0 \text{ for all } (j, i) \neq (\ell, k)$ 

[draw matrix]

[what is the corresponding elt of Hom(V, W)?]

let  $\theta_{\ell}$ , k}: V to W be def by

 $\theta_{\ell}, k(v_k) = w_{\ell}$ 

 $\theta_{\ell}, k(v_i) = 0$  for all  $i \neq k$ then  $(\theta_{\ell}, k)_{\ell}$  is a basis for Hom

Q3 take V = F how does everything above simplify?

A3 take the basis for V to be  $(v_1)$ where  $v_1 = 1$  in F then the basis for Hom is  $\theta_{1}, 1, ..., \theta_{m}, 1$  where  $\theta_{\ell}, 1$ 

in particular, dim Hom(F, W) = m = dim Wso Hom(F, W) and W are linearly isomorphic in fact, we have an explicit iso: [what is it?]

<u>Claim</u> this iso is the same for any basis for W i.e., a coord-indep iso Hom(F, W) to W

Proof it can be rewritten as:

$$\theta$$
 mapsto  $\theta(1)$  for all  $\theta$  in Hom(F, W)

 $\underline{Q4}$  similarly, dim V = n = dim Hom(V, F) so V and Hom(V, F) are linearly isomorphic we have the explicit iso

 $v_k$  mapsto  $\theta_{1, k}$ 

is this iso the same for any basis for V?

if coord-indep, then v'\_k mapsto  $\theta'$ \_{1, k} where  $\theta'$ \_2(v'\_1) = 0  $\theta'$ \_2(v'\_2) = 1

but we need 
$$\theta'_{1}, 2 = \theta_{1}, 1 + \theta_{1}, 2$$
  
but  $\theta_{1}, 1$ (v'\_2) +  $\theta_{1}, 2$ (v'\_2)  
=  $\theta_{1}, 1$ (v\_1) +  $\theta_{1}, 1$ (v\_2)  
+  $\theta_{1}, 2$ (v\_1) +  $\theta_{1}, 2$ (v\_2)  
=  $1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2$ , contradiction  
[so let's treat Hom(V, F) as different from V]  
(Axler §3F)

the dual vector space to V is
$$V^{v} := Hom(V, F)$$

$$= \{linear maps \theta : V to F\}$$

$$under \quad (\theta + \theta')(v) = \theta v + \theta' v$$

$$(a \cdot \theta)v = a \theta v$$

its elements are called F-linear functionals

<u>Def-Lem</u> if V is finite dim'l, then dim  $V^{v} = \dim V$  in fact: a basis for V defines a <u>dual basis</u> for V

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Def-Pf}} & \text{if } v\_1,\, v\_2,\, ...,\, v\_n \text{ is a basis for V} \\ & \text{then for all } k,\, \text{define } v^v\_k \text{ in } V^v \text{ by} \\ & v^v\_k(e\_k) = 1 \\ & v^v\_k(e\_j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq k \end{array}$ 

[earlier, v<sup>v</sup>\_k was called θ\_{1, k}]

Lem if V is infinite-dim'l then V' has greater cardinality than V

Pf when V = F[x] [assuming F = R or C]

<u>Df</u> suppose T : V to W is a linear map its dual T' : W' to V' is the map def by

 $F[x] = \{const's\} cup bigcup_{n > 0} \{p \mid deg(p) = n\}$ so (cardinality of F[x])

 $T^{v}(\psi) = \psi \circ T$  (as a map from V to F)

≤ (cardinality of F sqcup F^2 sqcup ...)

Lem T<sup>v</sup> is also linear

= (cardinality of F) because F is infinite

picture: T  $\psi, \psi'$  V to V to V

claim: (cardinality of  $F^{\mathbf{N}}$ )  $\leq$  (cardinality of  $F[x]^{\mathbf{v}}$ )
for any f in  $F^{\mathbf{N}}$ , define  $\theta_{f}$  in  $F[x]^{\mathbf{v}}$  by  $\theta_{f}(x^{\mathbf{n}}) = f(n)$ then f mapsto  $\theta_{f}$  is an injective map  $F^{\mathbf{N}}$  to  $F[x]^{\mathbf{v}}$ 

Pf want  $T^{\vee}(\psi + \psi') = T^{\vee}(\psi) + T^{\vee}(\psi')$ :

by Cantor, (cardinality of F) < (cardinality of F^N)

 $((\psi + \psi') \circ T)v = (\psi + \psi')(Tv) = \psi(Tv) + \psi'(Tv)$  $= (\psi \circ T)v + (\psi' \circ T)v$ 

Rem inj. map  $F^{\mathbf{N}}$  to  $F[x]^{\mathbf{v}}$  is actually an iso

the proof that  $T^{v}(a \cdot \theta) = a \cdot T^{v}(\theta)$  is similar

## **Summary**

- taking duals of vector spaces and lin maps "reverses" the direction of other constructions ["contravariance"]
- 2) a basis for V defines a dual basis for V<sup>v</sup>
- 3) if we view elts of F<sup>n</sup> as cols, then we also view elts of (F<sup>n</sup>) as rows: (F<sup>n</sup>) = Hom(F<sup>n</sup>, F) = Mat<sub>1</sub> x n}(F)

## An Application recall:

Thm if F = C and V is fin. dim.
then any lin. op on V has
an upper-triangular matrix

earlier, proved via induction on  $n = \dim V$ base case n = 0

earlier, used eigenline = T-stable subsp. of dim 1 this time, will use:

<u>Thm'</u> there's a T-stable subsp. of dim n - 1

## Thm' implies Thm:

pick ordered basis (e\_1, ..., e\_{n - 1)} for W s.t. matrix of T|\_W wrt e\_i is triangular extend to ordered basis (e\_1, ..., e\_n) for V matrix of T is wrt e\_i is again triangular

[draw matrix]

Pf of Thm' since V' is also finite-dim'l,  $T^{v}: V^{v}$  to  $V^{v}$  has an eigenvector θ

say, with eigenval λ

 $ker(\theta)$  is T-stable:

if v in ker(θ)

then  $\theta(\mathsf{T}\mathsf{v}) = (\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{v}(\theta))\mathsf{v} = (\lambda\theta)\mathsf{v} = \lambda(\theta\mathsf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ so  $\mathsf{T}\mathsf{v}$  in  $\ker(\theta)$ 

claim dim  $ker(\theta) = n - 1$ 

know dim  $ker(\theta) = n - dim im(\theta)$ 

but  $\theta \neq 0$ , so im( $\theta$ ) = F, so dim im( $\theta$ ) = 1  $\Box$ 

key step?

(Fθ T $^{v}$ -stable in V $^{v}$ ) implies (ker(θ) T-stable in V)

more generally:

<u>Df</u> for any linear subspace U sub V the annihilator of U is

Ann\_{V}(U) = { $\theta$  in V |  $\theta$ (u) = 0 for all u in U}

next time: Ann\_{V'}(U) is a linear subspace of V'