

## 5.

Throughout,  $G$  is a connected, reductive algebraic group over  $k = \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$  with a Frobenius map  $F : G \rightarrow G$ . We fix an  $F$ -stable Borel pair  $(B, T)$  and write  $U = [B, B]$ . We fix  $\delta \geq 1$  so that  $F^\delta$  acts trivially on  $W$ , and a section  $w \mapsto \dot{w} : W \rightarrow N_{G^{F^\delta}}(T^{F^\delta})$ . With these choices,  $X_w \subseteq G/B$  and  $\tilde{X}_w \subseteq G/U$  are  $F^\delta$ -stable for all  $w \in W$ .

### 5.1.

Recall that in our running example where  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $F$  is standard, we can write  $W = \{e, s\}$  with  $e = \mathrm{id}$ , and take  $\delta = 1$ . Last time, we computed the graded  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell[F]$ -modules formed by the compactly-supported  $\ell$ -adic cohomologies of  $X_e$  and  $X_s$ :

$$H_c^*(X_e) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^{\oplus(q+1)}, \quad H_c^*(X_s) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^{\oplus q}[-1] \oplus \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell[-2](-1).$$

Above  $[-m]$  means “shift up by degree  $m$ ” and  $(-m)$  means “twist the Frobenius action by a factor of  $q^m$ ”.

One more property of  $\ell$ -adic cohomology that I could have added to the list from last time:

- (10)  $H^0(X)$  is the vector space of  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -valued functions on the set of connected components of  $X$ .

This gives another way to identify  $H_c^*(X_e) = H_c^0(X_e)$ , and by Poincaré duality,  $H_c^2(X_s) \simeq H^0(X_s)^\vee[-2](-1)$ . But it does more: It enables us to identify the  $G^F$ -actions on these vector spaces. It remains for us to identify the  $G^F$ -action on  $H_c^1(X_s)$ .

### 5.2.

As mentioned last time, it is easier in general to work with the virtual character  $R_{w,\theta}$  than with the individual representations  $H_c^i(\tilde{X}_w)[\theta]$ . For any  $k$ -scheme of finite type  $X$  and automorphism  $g : X \rightarrow X$ , the *Lefschetz number* of  $g$  on  $H_c^*(X)$  is defined to be

$$\mathcal{L}_X(g) = \sum_i (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(g \mid H_c^i(X)).$$

The Lefschetz fixed-point formula tells us that if  $f$  is a Frobenius map, then  $\mathcal{L}_X(f) = |X^f|$ . At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{X_w} &= R_{w,1}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_w} &= \sum_{\theta} R_{w,\theta} \end{aligned}$$

as functions on  $G^F$ .

The next result that we present, combining Exercise 4.7.4 and Theorem 4.4.12 in Geck, is a bridge between these two uses of Lefschetz number. Recall that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  commutes with a Frobenius map  $F : X \rightarrow X$  corresponding to some  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational structure  $X = X_1 \otimes k$  if and only if  $g$  descends to  $X_1$ , meaning  $g = g_1 \otimes \text{id}$ . Note that since  $X$  is of finite type,  $g$  is cut out by finitely many polynomials in finitely many variables. Thus,  $g$  is always defined over some finite subfield of  $k$ ; in other words, given  $g$ , we can always find some Frobenius that commutes with  $g$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth affine  $k$ -variety with Frobenius  $f$ , and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is an automorphism of finite order that commutes with  $f$ . Then:*

- (1)  $gf^m$  is a Frobenius map on  $X$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .
- (2) The formal series

$$\mathcal{L}_X(g, t) := - \sum_{m \geq 1} |X^{gf^m}| t^m$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_X(g) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_X(g, t)$ .

*Proof of (2) from (1).* Since  $f$  and  $g$  commute, we can triangularize them simultaneously. Suppose that  $(\lambda_{i,j})_j$ , resp.  $(\mu_{i,j})_j$ , is the list of eigenvalues of  $f$ , resp.  $g$ , on  $H_c^i(X)$ . Since  $gf^m$  is a Frobenius map, the Lefschetz formula gives

$$|X^{gf^m}| = \sum_i (-1)^i \sum_j \mu_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j}^m,$$

from which

$$-\mathcal{L}_X(g, t) = \sum_{m,i,j} (-1)^i \mu_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j}^m t^m = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \mu_{i,j} \frac{\mu_{i,j} t}{1 - \mu_{i,j} t}.$$

Now observe that  $\frac{\mu_{i,j} t}{1 - \mu_{i,j} t} \rightarrow -1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Remark 5.2.** The *Weil zeta series* of  $X$  with respect to  $f$  is defined by

$$Z_X(t) = \exp \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} |X^{f^m}| \frac{t^m}{m} \right),$$

where  $\exp$  is a formal exponential. We see that

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\text{id}, t) = -t \frac{d}{dt} \log Z_X(t).$$

In this sense,  $\mathcal{L}(t, |g, X)$  is a mild generalization of the zeta series.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Keeping the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1, suppose that  $X$  is the union of disjoint subvarieties  $X'$  and  $X''$  that are  $f$ -stable and  $g$ -stable. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{L}_{X'} + \mathcal{L}_{X''}$$

*as functions of  $g$ .*

One can show that the Deligne–Lusztig varieties  $X_w$  and  $\tilde{X}_w$  are always affine varieties: See Deligne–Lusztig Corollary 1.12 or Geck Lemma 4.3.14. If  $g \in G^F$ , then the action of  $g$  on  $G/B$  and  $G/U$  commutes with that of  $F$ , and hence, its action on  $X_w$  and  $\tilde{X}_w$  commutes with that of  $F^\delta$ . So we can apply Theorem 5.1 and its corollary to the case where  $X = X_w, \tilde{X}_w$ , or some unions of these, and  $f = F^\delta$  and  $g \in G^F$ .

Returning to the setup with  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $F$  standard, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_{G/B} = \mathcal{L}_{X_e} + \mathcal{L}_{X_s} = R_{e,1} + R_{s,1}.$$

We also know the cohomology of  $G/B$ , since it is  $\mathbf{P}^1$ :

$$H_c^*(G/B) \simeq H^*(G/B) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \oplus \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell[-2](-1),$$

Since  $H^0(G/B)$  carries the trivial representation of  $G^F$ , the same is true of its Poincaré dual  $H^2(G/B)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{L}_{G/B}(g) = 2$  for all  $g$ .

From Mackey, we saw that the  $G^F$ -equivariant endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}_{e,1} = H_c^*(X_e) = H_c^0(X_e)$  form a 2-dimensional algebra, which forces  $\mathbf{R}_{e,1}$  to be a sum of two irreducible representations of  $G^F$ . But  $\mathbf{R}_{e,1}$  is also the space of functions on  $X_e$ , which contains the trivial representation. So we must have

$$R_{e,1} = 1 + \mathrm{St} \quad \text{for some irreducible character } \mathrm{St}.$$

This is the Steinberg character mentioned previously. Finally,

$$R_{s,1} = \mathcal{L}_{G/B} - R_{e,1} = 2 - (1 + \mathrm{St}) = 1 - \mathrm{St}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}_{s,1} = H_c^1(X_s) \oplus H_c^2(X_s)$ , and  $H_c^2(X_s)$  also carries the trivial character, we deduce that  $H_c^1(X_s)$  carries the Steinberg character.

5.3.

Before we can describe  $\mathbf{R}_{s,\theta} = H_c^*(X_s)[\theta]$  and  $R_{s,\theta}$  for other  $\theta$ , we should describe  $T^{sF}$  more explicitly. Taking  $T$  to be the diagonal torus given by

$$T(k) = \{t_a \mid a \in k^\times\}, \quad \text{where } t_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that  $s \cdot t_a = t_{a^{-1}}$ . Therefore,

$$T^{sF} = \{t_a \in T \mid a^q = a^{-1}\} = \{t_a \in T \mid a^{q+1} = 1\}.$$

In particular,  $T^{sF}$  is cyclic of order  $q + 1$ .

Note that the condition  $a^{q+1} = 1$  forces  $a \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}^\times$ . Moreover,  $a \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$  only happens for  $a = \pm 1$ . These computations show that in general, the embedding of  $T$  into  $G$  does *not* restrict to an embedding of  $T^{sF}$  into  $G^F = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Nonetheless:

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $G$  be any connected, smooth reductive algebraic group over  $k$  with Frobenius  $F$ . For any  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $T \subseteq G$  and element  $w \in W = N_G(T)/T$ , we can find some  $g \in G(k)$  such that  $S := gTg^{-1}$  is  $F$ -stable and  $S^F = gT^{wF}g^{-1}$ . In particular, we get an embedding*

$$T^{wF} \xrightarrow{\sim} S^F \rightarrow G^F.$$

*Proof.* Lift  $w$  to an element  $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)(k) \subseteq G(k)$ . By Lang's theorem, we can find  $g \in G(k)$  such that  $\dot{w} = g^{-1}F(g)$ . We see that

$$F(gTg^{-1}) = F(g)TF(g)^{-1} = g\dot{w}T\dot{w}^{-1}g^{-1} = gTg^{-1},$$

proving that  $gTg^{-1}$  is  $F$ -stable. Moreover, for all  $t \in T(k)$ , we see that  $F(gtg^{-1}) = gtg^{-1} \iff \dot{w}F(t)\dot{w}^{-1} = t$ . Thus  $(gTg^{-1})^F = gT^{wF}g^{-1}$ .  $\square$

#### 5.4.

To conclude our discussion of fixed-point formulas, we present two main results by Deligne–Lusztig, and explain their application to the discrete series of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Geck omits their proofs in his Section 4.5.

The first result is Deligne–Lusztig Theorem 3.2. To motivate it, recall that any invertible matrix  $g$  over a field has a *Jordan decomposition*  $g = g_s g_u = g_u g_s$ , where  $g_s$  is diagonalizable (or *semisimple*) and  $g_u$  is unipotent. If the field characteristic is  $p > 0$  and the (multiplicative) order of  $g$  is finite, then the order of  $g_s$  is coprime to  $p$ , while the order of  $g_u$  is a power of  $p$ .

**Theorem 5.5** (Deligne–Lusztig). *Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth affine  $k$ -variety with Frobenius  $f$ , and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is an automorphism of finite order that commutes with  $f$ . Suppose that  $g = g_s g_u = g_u g_s$ , where  $g_s : X \rightarrow X$ , resp.  $g_u : X \rightarrow X$ , has order coprime to  $p$ , resp. a power of  $p$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_X(g) = \mathcal{L}_{X^{g_s}}(g_u).$$

In the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  example, this theorem implies that for any  $t \in T^{sF}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s}(1)$ . But  $T^{sF}$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}_s$ , so the right-hand side vanishes

whenever  $t \neq 1$ ! By character theory, we deduce that as a representation of  $T^{sF}$ , the vector space  $H_c^*(\tilde{X}_s)$  is a  $\oplus$ -power of the regular representation of  $T^{sF}$ . Since  $T^{sF}$  is abelian, every character occurs in the latter with the same multiplicity. Therefore

$$\dim R_{s,\theta} = \dim R_{s,1} = 1 - q \quad \text{for all } \theta.$$

To actually determine how these characters decompose beyond the  $\theta = 1$  case, we need more firepower.

The following result is Deligne–Lusztig Theorem 6.8. It is a geometric generalization of the Mackey-type formula we saw earlier. For the transporter scheme  $N_G(S, S') = \{g \in G \mid S' = gSg^{-1}\}$  that we use below, see Milne Chapter 1, Section i.

**Theorem 5.6** (Deligne–Lusztig). *Suppose that  $w', S'$  also satisfy the hypotheses on  $w, S$  in the setup of Proposition 5.4. Fix a character  $\theta$  of  $S^F$ , resp.  $\theta'$  of  $(S')^F$ , and identify it with a character of  $T^{wF}$ , resp.  $T^{w'F}$ . Then*

$$(R_{w,\theta}, R_{w',\theta'})_{G^F} = \frac{|N_G((S, \theta), (S', \theta'))^F|}{|S^F|},$$

where  $N_G((S, \theta), (S', \theta'))$  is the subvariety of elements  $g \in N_G(S, S')$  such that  $\theta' = {}^g\theta$ .

**Corollary 5.7.** *In the setup above,*

$$(R_{w,\theta}, R_{w,\theta})_{G^F} = |\{w \in W^F \mid {}^w\theta = \theta\}|.$$

The theorem statement hints that some features of the theory should really be stated directly in terms of  $S^F$ , rather than  $T^{wF}$ . We will return to this later.

In the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  example, we have

$$(R_{s,\theta}, R_{s,\theta})_{G^F} = \begin{cases} 2 & \theta^2 = 1, \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $-R_{s,\theta}$  is an actual, irreducible representation of  $G^F$  whenever  $\theta$  is a character of  $T^{sF}$  such that  $\theta^2 \neq 1$ . For  $q$  odd, there are  $q - 1$  choices of such  $\theta$ , which form  $\frac{1}{2}(q - 1)$  conjugate pairs under  $s$ . Each pair contributes one new irreducible. The remaining two irreducibles of  $G^F$  are the summands of  $\mathbf{R}_{s,\theta}$  for  $\theta$  the order-2 character of  $T^{sF}$ . Taken together, these are all the *discrete series representations* of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .