<u>Review</u>	for vector spaces V and W, have
---------------	---------------------------------

a vector space  $W \otimes V = Bil(W^v, V^v)$ called their tensor product [whose elts are called tensors]

and a bilinear map W x V to W ⊗ V denoted

(w, v) mapsto  $w \otimes v$ ,

explicitly,  $w \otimes v : W^v \times V^v$  to F is def by  $(w \otimes v)(\psi, \theta) = \psi(w)\theta(v)$ 

Rem the elts of W  $\otimes$  V taking the form w  $\otimes$  v are called <u>pure</u> tensors all other elts are called mixed tensors

today: be more concrete, assuming V, W fin. dim'l

Q what is dim W ⊗ V in terms of dim W and dim V? [pause]

 $\underline{A} \qquad \dim W \otimes V = \dim Bil(W^{v}, V^{v})$  $= (\dim W^{v})(\dim V^{v})$  $= (\dim W)(\dim V)$ 

Α

Q how to get a basis for W ⊗ V of this size? [pause] in terms of bases of W and V? [pause]

fix ordered bases v1, ..., vn for V w1, ..., wm for W consider the elts w\_j  $\otimes$  v\_i

<u>Γhm</u>	(w_j ⊗ v_	_i)_{j, i} is a	basis for $W \otimes V$
------------	-----------	-----------------	-------------------------

<u>Pf</u> this set has size  $mn = dim W \otimes V$  so, enough to show it is linearly indep.

suppose sum\_ $\{j, i\}$  c\_ $\{j, i\}$  (w\_ $j \otimes v_i) =$ **0** $for some c_<math>\{j, i\}$  in F

[idea: need to use def of w\_j ⊗ v\_i somehow]
our chosen bases of V and W define dual bases
θ1, ..., θn for V'
ψ1, ..., ψm for W'
[recall what dual means]

then the def of  $w_j \otimes v_i$  becomes:

$$(w_j \otimes v_i)(\psi_{\ell}, \theta_k) = 1 \text{ if } (j, i) = (\ell, k)$$
  
0 else

so evaluating sum\_{j, i} c\_{j, i} (w\_j  $\otimes$  v\_i) (as a bilinear functional) on  $(\psi \ \ell, \theta \ k)$ 

gives c\_{ $\ell$ , k} (w\_ $\ell \otimes v_k$ )( $\psi_\ell$ ,  $\theta_k$ ) = c\_{ $\ell$ , k}

so if sum\_{j, i} c\_{j, i} (w\_j  $\otimes$  v\_i) = **0**\_{W}  $\otimes$  V} then c\_{l, k} = 0 for all l, k

hence the set of  $w_j \otimes v_i$ 's is linearly indep.  $\Box$ 

Q suppose v = sum\_i a\_iv\_i w = sum\_j b\_jw\_j

what is the expansion of  $w \otimes v$  wrt the basis  $(w_j \otimes v_i)_{j, i}$ ?

simpler questions:

given v, v' in V and w, w' in W and c in F, how to simplify  $(w + w') \otimes v$ ?

> $w \otimes (v + v')$ ?  $(cw) \otimes v$ ?  $w \otimes (cv)$ ?

A [mentioned last time that we would prove:]

Lem the map B : W  $\times$  V to W  $\otimes$  V def by B(w, v) = w  $\otimes$  v is bilinear

i.e.,  $B(w,-): V \text{ to } W \otimes V$  and  $B(-,v): W \text{ to } W \otimes V$ 

are linear maps for any w in W, v in V

equivalently: for all v, v', w, w', and c,

1)  $(w + w') \otimes v = w \otimes v + w' \otimes v$ 

2)  $W \otimes (V + V') = W \otimes V + W \otimes V'$ 

3) (cw)  $\otimes$  v = c(w  $\otimes$  v) = w  $\otimes$  (cv)

Pf of 1) for any  $(\psi, \theta)$  in  $W^v \times V^v$ :

 $((w + w') \otimes v)(\psi, \theta)$  [pause: next?] =  $\psi(w + w')\theta(v)$ 

 $= (\psi(w) + \psi(w'))\theta(v)$ 

 $= \psi(w)\theta(v) + \psi(w')\theta(v)$ 

 $= (w \otimes v)(\psi, \theta) + (w' \otimes v)(\psi, \theta)$ 

 $= (\mathsf{w} \otimes \mathsf{v} + \mathsf{w}' \otimes \mathsf{v})(\psi, \, \theta)$ 

hence  $(w + w') \otimes v = w \otimes v + w' \otimes v$ 

Pf of 2) similar

Pf of 3) "left to the reader"

<u>Cor</u>	given	v = sum_i a_iv_i, w = sum_j b_jw_j
	w 🛇 v	- eum si its (h iw

$$w \otimes v = sum_{j, i} (b_jw_j) \otimes (a_iv_i)$$
  
=  $sum_{j, i} b_ja_i (w_j \otimes v_i)$ 

$$\underline{Q}$$
 what is the "simplest" mixed tensor, i.e., tensor not of the form  $w \otimes v$ ?

if dim V = 1 or dim W = 1? no dice

take  $V = W = F^2$  and (e1, e2) the standard basis

by the thm, F^2  $\otimes$  F^2 has the basis (e1  $\otimes$  e1, e1  $\otimes$  e2, e2  $\otimes$  e1, e2  $\otimes$  e2)

any pure tensor will look like

e.g., e1  $\otimes$  e1 + e2  $\otimes$  e2

(b1 e1 + b2 e2) 
$$\otimes$$
 (c1 e1 + c2 e2)  
= b1 c1 (e1  $\otimes$  e1) + b1 c2 (e1  $\otimes$  e2)  
+ b2 c1 (e2  $\otimes$  e1) + b2 c2 (e2  $\otimes$  e2)

the mixed tensors are the ones that cannot be written this way for any b1, b2, c1, c2 [pause: example?]

Rem so far we've discussed the ⊗ operation on vectors

remember that ⊗ also denotes a separate operation on vector spaces

## Properties of Tensor Products of Vector Spaces

recall that  $W \oplus V$  denotes the vector space formed by  $W \times V$ [e.g.,  $F^m \oplus F^n = F^m + n$ ]

[below, equality signs should be isomphm signs]

- 1)  $W \otimes (V \oplus V') = W \otimes V \oplus W \otimes V'$
- 2)  $(W \oplus W') \otimes V = W \otimes V \oplus W' \otimes V$
- 3)  $(W \otimes V) \otimes U = W \otimes (V \otimes U)$

seem obvious but take more work: iso's, not equalities, so we must give actual maps

Q what's the left-to-right linear iso in 1)?

 $W \otimes (V \oplus V')$  spanned by pure tensors  $w \otimes (v, v')$  so enough to say where they go [pause: where?]

 $\underline{A}$   $w \otimes (v, v')$  mapsto  $(w \otimes v, w \otimes v')$ 

(Axler §9B, 9D) using the associativity 3), form iterated tensor products:

V\_1 ⊗ V\_2 ⊗ ... ⊗ V\_r

Df a map  $\mu$ : V\_1 × V\_2 × ... × V\_r to U is multilinear iff.

for any index i, and choice of w\_j in V\_j for all  $j \neq i$ , the map V\_i to U given by v mapsto  $\mu(..., w_{i-1}, v, w_{i+1}, ...)$  is linear

U = F: we say  $\mu$  is a <u>multilinear functional</u>

U = F and V = V\_i for all i: it's an <u>r-linear form</u>

let Mult(V\_1, ..., V\_r) = {multilinear functionals on  $V_1 \times V_2 \times ... \times V_r$ }

Thm just as V\_1  $\otimes$  V\_2 satisfies
Bil(V\_1, V\_2) = (V\_1  $\otimes$  V\_2) $^{\vee}$ ,
so V\_1  $\otimes$  ...  $\otimes$  V\_r satisfies

 $\mathsf{Mult}(\mathsf{V}\_1,\ \ldots,\ \mathsf{V}\_r) = (\mathsf{V}\_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathsf{V}\_r)^{\mathsf{v}}$ 

[why care?] on Wed: determinants as multilinear forms