<u>Warmup</u>	<u>Q1</u>	is T_ ℓ really a topology on R? [yes]
	<u>Q2</u>	how does T_ ℓ compare to T_{an}?
analytic topology T_{an} on R^n:		
	<u>Prop</u>	T_ℓ is strictly finer than T_{an}
U sub R^n is in T_{an} iff		
for all x in U there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. B(x, δ) sub U	<u>Pf</u>	T_ ℓ is finer than T_{an}:
		suppose U anlytc open in R
equivalently (when $n = 1$)		suppose x in U
for all x in U, there exist a, b s.t. x in (a, b) sub U		pick a < b s.t. x in (a, b) sub U
		then [x, b) sub (a, b)
<u>Df</u> lower-limit topology T_ℓ on R:		
	strict because [0, 1) in T_ℓ but notin T_{an}	
U sub R is in T_ℓ iff		

 $T_{indisc} < T_f < T_{an} < T_\ell < T_{disc}$

for all x in U, there exists b s.t. [x, b) sub U

(Munkres §18, 16)	recall from real	analysis:
-------------------	------------------	-----------

Goal generalize this notion

f: R^n to R^m is Bolzano continuous iff

for all x in R^n and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. $|x - x'| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(x')| < \varepsilon$

Df a function f : X to Y is <u>continuous</u> iff V open in Y implies f^{-1}(V) open in X

given topological spaces (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y):

equivalently for all x in Rⁿ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. x' in B(x, δ) implies f(x') in B(f(x), ε) Thm f: R^n to R^m is Bolzano cts
iff
f is cts wrt the analytic topologies

equivalently for all x in R^n and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. $f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon))$ contains $B(x, \delta)$

suppose f cts wrt analytic topologies:

Pf

```
fix x in R^n and \epsilon > 0
 B(f(x), \epsilon) is open in R^m
 so f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon)) is open in R^n
 so B(x, \delta) sub f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon)) for some \delta > 0
```

suppose f Bolzano cts:

```
fix V anlytc open in R^m want f^{-1}(V) anlytc open in R^n suppose x in f^{-1}(V) can find \epsilon > 0 s.t. B(f(x), \epsilon) sub V then f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon)) sub f^{-1}(V) pick \delta > 0s.t. B(x, \delta) sub f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon)) then x in B(x, \delta) sub f^{-1}(V)
```

<u>Ex</u> which maps are continuous?

```
f: (R, T_\ell) to (R, T_\{an\}), f(x) = x [yes]
f: (R, T_\{an\}) to (R, T_\ell), f(x) = x [no: [0, 1)]
f: (R, T_\{an\}) to (R, T_\ell), f(x) = 31 [yes]
```

General Facts

- 1) S finer than T:
 - id: (X, S) to (X, T) continuous
- 2) S strictly coarser than T: id: (X, S) to (X, T) not continuous
- 3) constant maps are always continuous

also

4) compositions of cts maps are cts

henceforth omit T from (X, T) when understood

<u>Df</u> cts f : X to Y is a homeomorphism iff it has a two-sided cts inverse g : Y to X i.e. g(f(x)) = x for all x in X, f(g(y)) = y for all y in Y

"what is shape?"

"X and Y have the same shape when there is a homeo between them"

<u>Ex</u> id: (X, T) to (X, T) is always a homeo with inverse id

Ex [however:]
a cts bijection need not be a homeo

[we already have an example! which?]

$$f: (R, T_{\ell}) \text{ to } (R, T_{an}), \quad f(x) = x$$

<u>Ex</u> more homeo's in the analytic topology:

f: R to R, $f(x) = x^3$ f: R^2 to R^2 $f(x, y) = (x + y, (x - y)^3)$

[compositions of homeo's are homeo's]

Q is there a homeo R to R^2? vice versa?

The Subspace Topology fix A sub X

<u>Df</u> the subspace topology on A induced by X:

U sub A is open iff there exists V open in X s.t. U = V cap A

$$Ex$$
 X = R and A = $[0, \infty)$

suppose $0 \le a < b$ (a, b) open in $[0, \infty)$? in R? [yes, yes] [a, b) open in $[0, \infty)$? [depends]

[here, must clarify where U is open]

<u>Ex</u>if A is open in X, and U sub A,thenU open in A iff U open in X

Prop the subspace topology on A is the (unique) coarsest topology s.t. the inclusion i : A to X is continuous

Pf easy that i : A to X cts wrt sub. topology

suppose i : A to X cts wrt some topology T on A fix U open in subspace topology on A

U = A cap V for some V open in X

so U = i^{-1}(V) open in T by continuity wrt T