

Real-Time Skin Cancer Detection: MobileNet-Based Analysis for Accurate Classification

D. Sri Anjaneyam

*Department of Information Technology
Institute of Aeronautical Engineering
Hyderabad, India
mr.anjaneyam@gmail.com*

D. Saiteja

*Department of Information Technology
Institute of Aeronautical Engineering
Hyderabad, India
saitejachintu2003@gmail.com*

T. Yashaswini

*Department of Information Technology
Institute of Aeronautical Engineering
Hyderabad, India
yashaswinithummanapally@gmail.com*

Dr. M Pala Prasad Reddy

*Department of Information Technology
Institute of Aeronautical Engineering
Hyderabad, India
p.prasadreddy@iare.ac.in*

Abstract—Skin cancer is the 17th most common type of cancer worldwide, with 2-3 million non-melanoma cases and 132,000 melanoma cases worldwide. It arises due to the unusual proliferation of skin cells, caused by excessive exposure to UV rays. Detecting and accurately classifying skin lesions early is essential for effective treatment and better patient outcomes. As dermatologists face difficulties in accurately diagnosing skin cancer, there is an urgent need for a reliable automated diagnostic machine.

This paper presents a method that can be used to classify skin lesions into seven skin cancer types using a neural network (CNN) model trained on the HAM10000 dataset containing 10,015 different Dermoscopic images of the skin. This approach leverages the MobileNet architecture, renowned for its efficiency and image classification performance. The MobileNet model is pre-trained on the extensive publicly available ImageNet dataset and enhanced on the HAM10000 dataset to specialize in classification of skin lesions using transfer learning. The model achieved an overall accuracy of 89.21% across the seven skin cancer classes, with top-2 and top-3 accuracies of 96.55% and 98.45%, respectively. The trained model demonstrated promising results, underscoring its potential as a reliable tool for assisting dermatologists in identifying skin cancer at an early stage.

Keywords—Skin Cancer, ImageNet, MobileNet, HAM10000 Dataset, Lesion Classification, CNN.

I. INTRODUCTION

Skin cancer is among the most prevalent types of cancer worldwide, with millions of cases diagnosed every year. A primary cause is excessive exposure to ultraviolet (UV) rays from the sun, which damages the DNA in skin cells and can lead to mutations that trigger cancer [1]–[3]. Early detection of skin cancer, particularly melanoma—the most dangerous type, is crucial, as it improves survival rates significantly. However, accurately distinguishing between harmless and cancerous lesions is challenging even for trained dermatologists, leading to potential misdiagnoses and delayed treatments.

To tackle these challenges, recent advancements in AI and deep learning have demonstrated encouraging outcomes in the analysis of medical images. Convolutional Neural Networks

(CNNs), known for their effectiveness in identifying patterns within images, have been employed to aid in the diagnosis of skin cancer. Studies highlight the effectiveness of MobileNet [4], a CNN model known for its speed and efficiency, making it suitable for mobile and embedded systems. Unlike more complex models like VGGNet or ResNet, MobileNet requires fewer resources while still achieving high accuracy. By using transfer learning, MobileNet can be adapted to new datasets, like the HAM10000 skin lesion dataset [5], to accurately classify different types of skin cancer. EfficientNet models, another CNN variant, have also demonstrated strong performance in handling the complexity of skin lesion classification, especially for datasets with an uneven distribution of classes.

However, existing models still face challenges in balancing accuracy and computational efficiency, especially when distinguishing between similar-looking benign and malignant lesions. This project proposes a solution using MobileNet, fine-tuned on the HAM10000 dataset [5], to develop a fast and reliable tool for multi-class skin cancer classification. By creating a lightweight and accessible model, this project aims to support dermatologists with an effective diagnostic aid, helping to improve early skin cancer detection and patient outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Deep learning models for diagnosing skin cancer has gained much momentum lately as it is able to process large-size datasets and provide accurate outcomes of diagnosis. For instance, the ResNet architectures, such as ResNet-34 and ResNet-50 show promising capabilities to classify dermoscopic images. However, these models often reject multi-modal patient data, such as medical history, which might be helpful a lot in diagnostic performance [6]. In contrast, extensive datasets about which Inception-v3 was trained achieved dermatologist-level accuracy but, due to poor validation in

real-world settings and constraints in datasets, remains a concern for its applicability in clinics [7].

Various techniques for reducing the limitations of the dataset have been explored through data augmentation. For example, some Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)-based techniques have managed to generate high-resolution synthetic skin lesion images; it has been demonstrated through conditional GAN and progressively growing GAN.

However, these models fail to reproduce the richness of real-world lesions, and elements such as human hair or mixed lesion regions always intervene poorly with correct representation of lesions [8]–[10]. More domain-specific techniques, such as attention mechanisms combined with GANs, have advanced feature extraction while still being greatly limited by the power of hardware and resolution [11].

Beyond that, the whole ensemble of combined models such as ResNeXt, DenseNet, and Xception variants has improved classification accuracy even further. However, typical ensemble approaches make use of similar architecture models, thereby inhibiting the total number of diversity and generalizability of the results [12]. Even, models such as Inception-v2 with an optimized mobile version found their way by ensuring real-time applications. However these models have an overfitting problem and hence robustness augmentation techniques are needed for deploying them in practical scenarios [13]. Despite all these developments, there are still limitations. For example studies on VGG-16 and VGG-19 make use of transfer learning to decrease the training time but suffer from overfitting due to the paucity of images for training [14], [15]. Other issues that arise in such deep CNN applications are noise interference in clinical images as well as poor classification, and so on, which necessitate efficient noise-removal algorithms and stronger train sets [16].

Class-weighted loss functions have been effective but are very expensive computationally and do not handle rare disease classification very well [17]. In summary, although several key steps have been observed for the application of deep learning in skin cancer diagnosis, several limitations such as insufficiency in diversity of samples, overfitting, interference by noise, and clinical applicability are relevant for future research. Such limitations provide avenues toward more generalized, scalable, and clinically validated diagnostic frameworks.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. HAM10000 Dataset

The HAM10000 dataset was employed for training and validation in this research [5]. As a benchmark dataset, HAM10000 provides high-quality data with over 50% of its lesions confirmed through pathology. It includes 10,015 dermoscopic images, which are categorized into seven types of skin lesions:

- (a) 6,705 Melanocytic nevi (NV) images
- (b) 1,113 Melanoma (MEL) images
- (c) 1,099 Benign keratosis-like lesions (BKL) images
- (d) 514 Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) images

- (e) 327 Actinic keratosis (AKIEC) images
- (f) 142 Vascular lesions (VASC) images
- (g) 115 Dermatofibroma (DF) images

The resolution of all images in the dataset is 600x450 pixels [18]. Figure 1 displays sample images of various cancer types in the HAM10000 dataset.




Fig. 1. Few samples of the HAM10000 dataset for each type labeled above

B. Data Preprocessing

The lesion images were pre-processed using Keras's ImageDataGenerator. The dataset had 57 missing entries in the 'Age' attribute, which were addressed by filling them with the mean value. Dermoscopy images were resized from their original resolution of 600x450 pixels to 224x224 pixels to ensure compatibility with the MobileNet model [19]. The dataset, consisting of 10,015 images, was split into two separate sets, a validation set and a training set with 8,912 images and 1,103 images, respectively [20]. To maintain authenticity in the validation process, duplicate images were removed and ensured no overlaps in validation set. Additionally, data augmentation techniques, such as random rotations, horizontal and vertical flips, zoom-in and zoom-out transformations, and brightness and contrast adjustments, were applied using Keras ImageDataGenerator [3] to enhance the diversity of training data and prevent overfitting.

C. Model training

The training of the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model utilized the MobileNet architecture, leveraging its efficiency in image classification tasks. The MobileNet model was initialized with weights pre-trained on the extensive ImageNet dataset [3], which includes millions of images across various categories. This pre-trained model served as the foundational




Fig. 2. Preprocessing of data

network, and transfer learning techniques were used to adapt it for the specific task of classifying skin lesions.

The HAM10000 dataset, comprising 10,015 dermoscopic images, was split into a training set of 8,912 images and a validation set of 1,103 images [19]. Each image was pre-processed to a resolution of 224x224 pixels to align with the input requirements of the MobileNet model.

During the training phase, the MobileNet architecture was fine-tuned on the HAM10000 dataset. The top layers of the pre-trained MobileNet model were replaced with a Dropout layer, a Global Average Pooling layer with a rate of 0.25 to mitigate overfitting, and a 7-unit Dense layer corresponding to the seven different skin cancer classes. This final layer used the softmax activation function to output class probabilities.

The model was compiled using Adam optimizer with learning rate 0.01. The Adam optimizer adjusts the weight moving averages dynamically using past gradients and their squares to speed up convergence and improve accuracy [21], [22]. The negative function of product decay was used to evaluate the performance of the model and indicators such as categorical accuracy, top 2 accuracy and top 3 accuracy facts were monitored throughout the training process to evaluate the performance of the classification.

The training process was conducted over 100 epochs, with early stopping and model checkpointing mechanisms implemented. Early stopping monitored the validation accuracy and halted training if no improvement was observed for a set number of epochs, while model checkpointing saved the best-performing model weights based on validation accuracy.




Fig. 3. MobileNet layers and processing

D. Evaluation metrics

This model efficiency is measured critically through various indicators. metrics include the accuracy score and Micro-Average Precision (MAP), Micro-Average Recall and the Micro-Average F1 score. (MAF) [23]. Additionally, For greater comparison, the average value of precision, recall, and F1 scores have been computed across all classes. The metrics were calculated as follows:

- Accuracy is the number of correctly classified Samples contained actual positives as well as actual negatives, in comparison to the total number of samples [24].
- Precision is determined by taking the number of true positive predictions and dividing it by the total number of instances classified as positive [25].
- Recall indicates the ratio of true positives identified to the total actual positive cases.
- The F1 Score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall scores, thus it is well-balanced between both these metrics to measure up the performance of the model concerning the positive samples. [26], [27].

E. Model Deployment

The deployment of the skin cancer classification model involves an interactive and user-friendly interface (shown in Figure 4), accessible through GitHub Pages, which allows users to upload images of skin lesions for analysis. This interface accepts image files either via drag-and-drop or manual file selection. Upon upload, the image undergoes preprocessing using TensorFlow.js, where it is resized to a 224x224 pixel format and normalized to ensure compatibility with the pre-trained MobileNet model. The backend logic is implemented using JavaScript, where the model is loaded asynchronously to optimize the page's performance and provide a seamless user experience. Once the image is processed, the classification results, indicating the probability of each skin cancer type, are displayed in real-time.




Fig. 4. User Interface

The classification results include a visual representation of the prediction, where the probabilities for each class (such as melanoma, benign lesions, etc.) are displayed as percentages

as shown in results screenshot in Figure 10. Each prediction is enhanced with animated progress bars, making it easier for users to interpret the likelihood of each skin lesion type. This improves accessibility and usability for both medical professionals and patients, offering a clear and interactive way to visualize the results.

Security measures are integrated into the deployment to prevent unauthorized interactions with the web page. Right-click functionality and specific key combinations (such as F12 for developer tools) are disabled, ensuring that the backend logic and frontend interface remain secure from tampering or inspection. This adds an extra layer of protection for the deployed system, keeping the integrity of the model intact.

The system efficiently handles multiple image uploads and provides real-time feedback, making it scalable and robust for real-world applications. Additionally, the model is loaded at the time of page load, ensuring that the classification process begins immediately when an image is uploaded, enhancing the responsiveness of the overall deployment.

This combination of GitHub Pages for frontend hosting, TensorFlow.js for model integration, and security precautions offers a powerful solution for skin cancer classification that is accessible, secure, and efficient for end-users. The system's performance and user experience are optimized to deliver real-time skin lesion analysis with minimal latency. The deployment is live at skincancer.srianjaneyam.tech.

Following this, we'll discuss the Results section, presenting the performance of the model, the data analysis, and how various metrics illustrate the efficacy of this approach.

IV. RESULTS

A. Hardware configurations

The calculations were performed on a Kaggle kernel with the following configurations and was documented in [28]:

- 4 CPU cores with 29GB RAM.
- 2 CPU cores with 16GB RAM (GPU P100).

B. Exploratory Data Analysis

As shown in Figure 5, the graph represents the frequency distribution of various skin lesion types such as Basal Cell Carcinoma, Vascular Lesions, Melanoma, Actinic Keratoses, Benign Keratosis-like Lesions, Dermatofibroma, and Melanocytic Nevi within the dataset

The graph in Figure 6 illustrates the distribution of skin lesion locations by gender, providing valuable insights into the spatial prevalence across different anatomical regions.

The histogram in Figure 7 depicts the distribution of patient ages in the dataset, highlighting the demographic spread of individuals across various age groups affected by skin lesions.

C. Model Evaluation

The model was evaluated using a collection of 1,103 unseen images from the validation dataset. To determine the effectiveness of the MobileNet model, we computed both micro and weighted averages for F1-score, recall, and precision scores [18]. The results of the evaluation revealed a Weighted




Fig. 5. Frequency distribution of diseases in the dataset




Fig. 6. Location of lesions




Fig. 7. Age classification of patients

Average of 89% for Precision, Recall, and F1-score, while the Micro Averages were 76% for Precision, 67% for Recall, and 70% for F1-score. The Melanocytic Nevi category exhibited the highest performance across F1-score, precision and recall metrics [21]. A comprehensive Multi-Class Classification Report, presented in Table 1, details the micro and weighted averages for each metric [23].

TABLE I
CLASSIFICATION REPORT

Lesion Types	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Actinic Keratosis	0.58	0.47	0.52
Basal Cell Carcinoma	0.68	0.86	0.76
Benign Keratosis	0.67	0.61	0.64
Dermatofibroma	1.00	0.50	0.67
Melanoma (mel)	0.47	0.46	0.46
Melanocytic Nevi	0.95	0.96	0.96
Vascular Lesions	1.00	0.85	0.92
Micro Average	0.76	0.67	0.70
Weighted Average	0.89	0.89	0.89

In Table 2, this research's findings are compared with previous researches, which are focused on two to seven lesion classes.

TABLE II
ACCURACY COMPARISON OF CLASSIFIERS IN
PREVIOUS AND CURRENT STUDIES

Source	Year	Classifier	No. of Classes	Accuracy %
[28]	2020	AlexNet	Six	84
[29]	2021	DCNN	Two	91.43
[30]	2021	RCNN with Fuzzy K-means Clustering	Two	93.1
[31]	2022	CNN	Seven	83.1
		ResNet50		83.6
		InceptionV3		85.7
		Inception ResNet		84.1
[32]	2023	VGG-13	Two	89.57
This Study	2024	MobileNet	Seven	89.21(cat)
				96.55 (top2)
				98.45 (top3)

D. Confusion Matrix

The confusion matrix for the MobileNet model, illustrated in Figure 8, presents an evaluation across seven classes without normalization [21]. It highlights the performance by comparing the actual labels with the predicted labels for each image in the validation set. The model achieved the best results for Melanocytic Nevi(nv), accurately predicting 850 out of 883 images. Basal Cell Carcinoma was correctly identified for 30 out of 35 images. Benign Keratosis proved to be challenging, with only 54 correct predictions out of 88 images, indicating potential confusion with other classes. The overall results

highlight areas for improvement, particularly in the accurate classification of Benign Keratosis and Melanoma.




Fig. 8. Confusion Matrix showing true vs. predicted classifications

E. Evaluation of Training results

The training process of the model was monitored using loss and accuracy curves, which provides a graphical depiction of the model's performance over time. The loss curve (Fig.9) shows the change in the loss function's value during training and validation, indicating how well the model is learning the core patterns in the data. A steadily decreasing loss curve signifies that the model is improving its predictions.

Likewise, the accuracy curve shown in Figure 9 monitors the model's accuracy across both the training and validation datasets. An increasing accuracy curve suggests that the predictive performance of the model is getting better. Ideally, the training and validation curves should converge, indicating that the model is not overfitting or underfitting.

In this paper, a categorical accuracy of 89.21%, a top-2 accuracy of 96.55%, a top-3 accuracy of 98.45%, and a recall of 89% were achieved using the trained MobileNet model. The classification method for seven types of lesions demonstrated superior performance compared to earlier computer-aided diagnostic systems regarding accuracy and recall. Additionally, this approach is more efficient, taking advantage of the quick processing speeds and lightweight design offered by MobileNet.

F. Analyzing Testing Results

The testing results of the skin cancer classification model show promising performance, with the model accurately classifying the 7 types of skin cancer using the preprocessed images. The percentages are shown in the website as shown in Figure 10, for each of the seven classes. The MobileNet model achieved high accuracy, with detailed metrics for each class, including melanoma and benign lesions, presented through probability scores. During testing, the model demonstrated an accuracy of over 89%, highlighting its reliability. The model also handled unseen images well, providing quick and accurate




Fig. 9. (a) Categorical accuracy, (b) Loss, (c) Top2 accuracy, (d) Top3 accuracy

predictions, making it suitable for practical applications in clinical settings.




Fig. 10. Classification Results

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an automatic skin lesion classification system is developed which is based on the MobileNet architecture for a CNN model. Using the HAM10000 dataset comprising 10015 dermoscopy images, the given model was first of all shown to differentiate between 7 types of skin cancers with high-level accuracy. The model achieved a categorical accuracy of 89.21%, top-2 accuracy of 96.55%, and top-3 accuracy of 98.45% with the extensive ImageNet pre-trained MobileNet model and fine-tuning on our task. The produced results are better than previous works and prove the suitability of this method for the early and accurate detection of skin cancer. The confusion matrix analysis revealed that Melanocytic Nevi were

best identified; however, Benign Keratosis were troublesome to differentiate. Overall, this study establishes the capabilities of applying lightweight CNN architectures like MobileNet for real-time and efficient diagnosis of skin cancer and provides a robust armory support to dermatologists in clinical settings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aksoy, S., Demircioglu, P. and Bogrekci, I., 2024. Enhancing Melanoma Diagnosis with Advanced Deep Learning Models Focusing on Vision Transformer, Swin Transformer, and ConvNeXt. *Dermatopathology*, 11(3), pp.239-252. <https://doi.org/10.3390/dermatopathology11030026>
- [2] Cao, Y., Zhang, H., Luo, N., Li, H., Cheng, L.J. and Huang, W., 2024. Assessing the reliability of a novel cancer-specific multi-attribute utility instrument (FACT-8D) and comparing its validity to EQ-5D-5L in colorectal cancer patients. *Quality of Life Research*, pp.1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-024-03774-1>
- [3] Sharma, D. and Bikshandi, B., 2020. Artificial empathy—an artificial intelligence challenge. In *Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 321-326). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429317415>
- [4] Bandan, S.S., Sunve, S.A. and Romel, S.M., 2023, July. A Deep Learning Approach for Bengali News Headline Categorization. In *2023 14th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)* (pp. 1-9). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4367-2>
- [5] Tschandl, P., & ViDIR Group. (2018). The HAM10000 dataset, a large collection of multi-source dermatoscopic images of common pigmented skin lesions (Version 4) [Data set]. Harvard Dataverse. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/DBW86T>
- [6] Mishra S, Imaizumi H, Yamasaki T. Interpreting Fine-Grained Dermatological Classification by Deep Learning. *Proc IEEE/CVF Conf Comput Vision Pattern Recognit Workshops* (2019), 0–0. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2019.00331
- [7] Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, et al. Dermatologist-Level Classification of Skin Cancer With Deep Neural Networks. *Nature* (2017) 542:115–8. doi: 10.1038/nature21056
- [8] Bisla D, Choromanska A, Berman RS, Stein JA, Polsky D. Towards Automated Melanoma Detection With Deep Learning: Data Purification and Augmentation. *Proc IEEE/CVF Conf Comput Vision Pattern Recognit Workshops* (2019), 0–0. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW.2019.00330
- [9] Qin Z, Liu Z, Zhu P, Xue Y. A Gan-Based Image Synthesis Method for Skin Lesion Classification. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* (2020) 95:105568. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105568
- [10] Kaur R, GholamHosseini H, Sinha R. Synthetic Images Generation Using Conditional Generative Adversarial Network for Skin Cancer Classification, in: *TENCON 2021–2021 IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON)*, Manhattan, New York, U.S: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) (2021). 381–6 pp.
- [11] Abdelhalim ISA, Mohamed MF, Mahdy YB. Data Augmentation for Skin Lesion Using Self-Attention Based Progressive Generative Adversarial Network. *Expert Syst With Appl* (2021) 165:113922. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa. 2020.113922
- [12] Rahman Z, Hossain MS, Islam MR, Hasan MM, Hridhee RA. An Approach for Multiclass Skin Lesion Classification Based on Ensemble Learning. *Inf Med Unlocked* (2021) 25:100659. doi:10.1016/j.imu.2021.100659
- [13] Shen S, Xu M, Zhang F, Shao P, Liu H, Xu L, et al. Low-Cost and High Performance Data Augmentation for Deep-Learning-Based Skin Lesion Classification. *ArXiv* (2021) abs/2101.02353:arXiv:2101.02353. doi: 10.34133/2022/9765307
- [14] Lopez AR, Giro-i Nieto X, Burdick J, Marques O. Skin Lesion Classification From Dermoscopic Images Using Deep Learning Techniques, in: *13th IASTED International Conference on Biomedical Engineering (BioMed)*, Manhattan, New York, U.S: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) (2017). pp. 49–54.
- [15] Jaworek-Korjakowska J, Kleczek P, Gorgon M. Melanoma Thickness Prediction Based on Convolutional Neural Network With Vgg-19 Model Transfer Learning. *Proc IEEE/CVF Conf Comput Vision Pattern Recognit Workshops* (2019), 0–0. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW. 2019.00333
- [16] Nasr-Esfahani E, Samavi S, Karimi N, Soroushmehr S, Jafari M, Ward K, et al. Melanoma Detection by Analysis of Clinical Images Using Convolutional Neural Network, in: *38th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and*

Biology Society (EMBC),Manhattan, New York, U.S: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) (2016). 1373–6 pp. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2016.7590963

- [17] Le DN, Le HX, Ngo LT, Ngo HT. Transfer Learning With Class Weighted and Focal Loss Function for Automatic Skin Cancer Classification. *ArXiv* (2020). doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2009.05977
- [18] Singh, S. K., Roy, P., Raman, B., & Nagabhushan, P. (Eds.). (2021). *Computer Vision and Image Processing: 5th International Conference, CVIP 2020, Prayagraj, India, December 4-6, 2020, Revised Selected Papers, Part I. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol. 1376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1086-8>
- [19] Agrahari, P., Agrawal, A. and Subhashini, N., 2022. Skin cancer detection using deep learning. In *Futuristic Communication and Network Technologies: Select Proceedings of VICFCNT 2020* (pp. 179-190). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4625-6_18
- [20] Chen, Z., He, P., He, Y., Wu, F., Rao, X., Pan, J. and Lin, H., 2023. Eggshell biometrics for individual egg identification based on convolutional neural networks. *Poultry Science*, 102(4), p.102540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2023.102540>
- [21] A. E. Hassaniien, R. Bhatnagar, and A. Darwish, Eds., *Advanced Machine Learning Technologies and Applications*. Springer Singapore, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3383-9>
- [22] Reyad, M., Sarhan, A.M. and Arafa, M., 2023. A modified Adam algorithm for deep neural network optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 35(23), pp.17095-17112.
- [23] S. S. Chaturvedi, K. Gupta, and P. S. Prasad, “Skin Lesion Analyser: An Efficient Seven-Way Multi-class Skin Cancer Classification Using MobileNet,” *Advanced Machine Learning Technologies and Applications*, pp. 165–176, May 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-3383-9_15.
- [24] Hanief Wani, M. and Faridi, A.R., Deep learning-based video surveillance system for suspicious activity detection. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, (Preprint), pp.1-12. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-234365>
- [25] Prince, R.H., Mamun, A.A., Peyal, H.I., Miraz, S., Nahiduzzaman, M., Khandakar, A. and Ayari, M.A., CSXAI: A Lightweight 2D CNN-SVM Model for Detection and Classification of Various Crop Diseases with Explainable AI Visualization. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15, p.1412988. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1412988>
- [26] F. Mukhammadiev, “The role of competence- based approach in preparing future english teachers,” *Modern trends in innovative development of science and education in the global world*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 344–346, Mar. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.47689/STARS.university-pp344-346>
- [27] Benjamin Consolvo: Hardware Available on Kaggle, April 2024. <https://www.kaggle.com/code/bconsolvo/hardware-available-on-kaggle>
- [28] Ameri, A., 2020. A deep learning approach to skin cancer detection in dermoscopy images. *Journal of biomedical physics & engineering*, 10(6), p.801. doi: 10.31661/jbpe.v0i0.2004-1107
- [29] Ali, M.S., Miah, M.S., Haque, J., Rahman, M.M. and Islam, M.K., 2021. An enhanced technique of skin cancer classification using deep convolutional neural network with transfer learning models. *Machine Learning with Applications*, 5, p.100036. doi: 10.1016/j.mlwa.2021.100036
- [30] Nawaz, M., Mehmood, Z., Nazir, T., Naqvi, R.A., Rehman, A., Iqbal, M. and Saba, T., 2022. Skin cancer detection from dermoscopic images using deep learning and fuzzy k-means clustering. *Microscopy research and technique*, 85(1), pp.339-351. doi: 10.1002/jemt.23908
- [31] Gouda, W., Sama, N.U., Al-Waakid, G., Humayun, M. and Jhanjhi, N.Z., 2022, June. Detection of skin cancer based on skin lesion images using deep learning. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 7, p. 1183). doi: 10.3390/healthcare10071183
- [32] Qasim Gilani, S., Syed, T., Umair, M. and Marques, O., 2023. Skin cancer classification using deep spiking neural network. *Journal of Digital Imaging*, 36(3), pp.1137-1147. doi: 10.1007/s10278-023-00776-2