

Good points

- Clear, well structured code
- Apart from class diagram, all the requirements are met
- Sequence diagrams are well done

Points to improve

- For grade 2, you have done a little too much (i.e. authorization)
- Some more comments would be nice, though the code is understandable
- Class diagram is very messy, and you might have some confusion about what a class diagram is. We strongly suggest looking through Chapter 16.1 in “Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Edition”, which will clarify how to make a good class diagram

As a developer would the diagrams help you and why/why not?

As already mentioned above, the class diagram would not be very helpful to a developer. The sequence diagrams, however, are well made and will certainly be useful.

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the diagrams why/why not?

Personally, we think that a domain model would be the best way to communicate information to a domain expert, but it was not a part of the requirement. Sequence diagrams, even if they are very well made, are of little to no use to a domain expert. A class diagram could be somewhat helpful, but the diagram that you have provided needs some improvement.

Do you think the design/implementation has passed the grade 2 criteria?

The code of the program is very well done, the program also has a great design. At this point, the class diagram is the only weak point of the project, but once it is fixed you should pass the grade 2 criteria.

References

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062