# Praveen Kumar - 111986420 - CSE628 Assignment2 - Report

# **System configuration:**

OS - MAC OS 10.13.6 Python - 2.7.15

Need num2words to run feat gen.py file

## **Commands:**

For CRF:

python data.py --model crf --test ./conlleval.pl -r -d  $\t <$  ./predictions/twitter dev.crf.pred

For memm:

python data.py --model memm --test ./conlleval.pl -r -d  $\t <$  ./predictions/twitter dev.lr.pred

To check feature generation file:

python feat\_gen.py

# Question 1) Description of your viterbi implementation:

- Added start scores to trans scores
- Added start scores to emission scores
- Two zero matrices are initialised one both with dimension's of emission\_scores ( one named as em\_scores ) and the other with type of int32 named as back ptrs
- 0th record of em scores is set as start scores + 0th record of em\_scores.
- For all the indices across the N tokens, i do the following
- 1) trans\_plus\_score = trans\_scores + expanded dim of scores[i-1] with row = 1
  - 2) back ptrs[kth row] = row wise max value of trans plus score
  - 3) em scores[kth row] = max value of trans plus score + em scores[kth

```
- Generate the most likely path viterbi = row wise max ( end_scores + em_scores[-1] )
- Viterbi score = max sum of end_scores + em_scores[-1]
- For each back_ptr element in reversed back_ptrs

1) append(back_ptr[v[-1]])
- Finally reverse the viterbi list and return it and the viterbi score
```

# Question 2) Description of the added features:

In preprocessing step - replace tab with space and also remove extra line spaces

Features addition step -

```
positive emotion = { "<3",":D", ":d", ":dd", ":P",":p","8)","8-)", ":-)", ":)", ";)",
"(-:","(:",":')", "xD", "XD","yay!", "yaay","yaaay", "yaaaay", "yaaaay", "yaaaay", "Yay!", "Yaaaay", "Yaaaay", "Hurray", "Hurr
"Hurraaay"}
        negative emotion = {":/",">",":'(",":-(", ":(", ":s", ":-s", "- -","-.-"}
        emotion = "IS_NOT_AN_EMOTION"
        if word.split(" ")[ 0 ] in positive emotion: ——> Checking if it is a
positive emotion
                emoji = "IS POSITIVE EMOTION"
        elif word.split(" ")[ 0 ] in negative_emotion: ——> Checking if it is a
negative emotion
                 emoji = "IS NEGATIVE EMOTION"
        ftrs.append(emotion)
        if word.startswith("https://"): ——> Checking if it has a
secured url
                if len( word[9:] )!=0:
                         ftrs.append("IS_A_SECURED_URL")
                         ftrs.append("IS NOT A SECURED URL")
        elif word.startswith("http://") : ——> Checking if it has a url
                if len( word[8:] )!=0:
```

```
ftrs.append("IS_A_URL")
    else:
       ftrs.append("IS NOT A URL")
  if "!" in word:
                                     --> Checking if it has an
exclamation mark
    ftrs.append("HAS A EXCLAIMATION MARK")
  if word.startswith("@") :
                                        --> Checking if it has a header
    if len( word[1:] ) != 0:
       ftrs.append("IS HEADER")
    else:
       ftrs.append("IS NOT HEADER")
  if "?" in word:
                                                               __>
Checking if it has a question mark
    ftrs.append("HAS A QUESTION MARK")
  if word.startswith("#") :
                                         --> Checking if it has a hashtag
    if len( word[1:] )!=0:
       ftrs.append("IS A HASHTAG")
    else:
       ftrs.append("IS NOT A HASHTAG")
  w = word.split("")[0]
  if w.endswith("ed"):
                                        --> Checking if the word is
ending with a particular suffix
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ED")
  elif w.endswith("ing"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ING")
  elif w.endswith("s"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH S")
  elif w.endswith("es"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_ES")
  elif w.endswith("ous"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH OUS")
  elif w.endswith("able"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ABLE")
  elif w.endswith("al"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH AL")
  elif w.endswith("an") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH AN")
  elif w.endswith("ar"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH AR")
  elif w.endswith("ent") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ENT")
  elif w.endswith("ful"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH FUL")
```

```
elif w.endswith("ic"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_IC")
elif w.endswith("ical"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ICAL")
elif w.endswith("ine"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH INE")
elif w.endswith("ile"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ILE")
elif w.endswith("ive"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH IVE")
elif w.endswith("less"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH LESS")
elif w.endswith("ous"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH_OUS")
elif w.endswith("some"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH SOME")
elif w.endswith("ty"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_TY")
elif w.endswith("ly"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH LY")
elif w.endswith("ie") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH IE")
elif w.endswith("or"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH OR")
elif w.endswith("ance"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ANCE")
elif w.endswith("ish"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ISH")
elif w.endswith("ion"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_ION")
elif w.endswith("ce") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_CE")
elif w.endswith("ge"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH GE")
elif w.endswith("ite") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ITE")
elif w.endswith("acy") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ACY")
elif w.endswith("asy"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ASY")
elif w.endswith("ize"):
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH IZE")
elif w.endswith("ise") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ISE")
elif w.endswith("yze") :
  ftrs.append("ENDS WITH YZE")
```

```
elif w.endswith("yse") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_YSE")
  elif w.endswith("ance"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ANCE")
  elif w.endswith("ence"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ENCE")
  elif w.endswith("ancy"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ANCY")
  elif w.endswith("ency") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ENCY")
  elif w.endswith("ant"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ANT")
  elif w.endswith("ent"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ENT")
  elif w.endswith("ary").
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ARY")
  elif w.endswith("ery") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ERY")
  elif w.endswith("ory") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ORY")
  elif w.endswith("y"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH Y")
  elif w.endswith("ogue") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH OGUE")
  elif w.endswith("og") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH OG")
  elif w.endswith("oe"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH OE")
  elif w.endswith("ae") :
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH AE")
  elif w.endswith("ence"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH ENCE")
  elif w.endswith("ense"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_ENSE")
  elif w.endswith("efy"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS_WITH_EFY")
  elif w.endswith("ify"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH IFY")
  elif w.endswith("y"):
    ftrs.append("ENDS WITH Y")
  ftrs.append("WORD LENGTH:"+str(len(word)-1))
                                                     ——> Checking the
word length
  ftrs.append("HASH LENGTH:" + str(hash(word.split(" ")[0])))
Checking the hash length
  ftrs.append("BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: " +
num2words(sys.getsizeof(word)).upper()) ——> Checking byte length
```



# Question 3 : Comparison of your features against the basic features

```
Highlighting the difference in basic vs my features for sents =
       I "I PRON".
        "love ADJ",
        "and CONI",
        ":D ADJ",
        "https://www.youtube.com/ X",
        "Yay! ADJ",
        "http://xyz.com X",
        "@abc X",
        "#xyz X"]
Highlighted the difference by highlighting with red colour
Basic features -
I PRON: ['BIAS', 'SENT BEGIN', u'WORD=I PRON', u'LCASE=i pron', 'IS UPPER',
'NEXT BIAS', u'NEXT WORD=love ADJ', u'NEXT LCASE=love adj']
love ADJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=love ADJ', u'LCASE=love adj', 'PREV BIAS',
'PREV SENT BEGIN', u'PREV WORD=I PRON', u'PREV LCASE=i pron',
'PREV_IS_UPPER', 'NEXT_BIAS', u'NEXT_WORD=and CONJ', u'NEXT_LCASE=and
conj']
and CONJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=and CONJ', u'LCASE=and conj', 'PREV BIAS',
u'PREV WORD=love ADJ', u'PREV LCASE=love adj', 'NEXT BIAS',
u'NEXT WORD=:D ADJ', u'NEXT LCASE=:d adj', 'NEXT IS UPPER']
:D ADJ : ['BIAS', u'WORD=:D ADJ', u'LCASE=:d adj', 'IS UPPER', 'PREV BIAS',
u'PREV WORD=and CONJ', u'PREV LCASE=and conj', 'NEXT BIAS',
u'NEXT WORD=https://www.youtube.com/ X',
u'NEXT_LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/ x']
https://www.youtube.com/ X: ['BIAS', u'WORD=https://www.youtube.com/ X',
```

u'LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/ x', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=:D ADJ', u'PREV LCASE=:d adj', 'PREV IS UPPER', 'NEXT BIAS', u'NEXT WORD=Yay! ADJ',

u'NEXT LCASE=yay! adj']

Yay! ADJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=Yay! ADJ', u'LCASE=yay! adj', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=https://www.youtube.com/ X', u'PREV\_LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/ x', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=http://xyz.com x']

http://xyz.com X : ['BIAS', u'WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'LCASE=http://xyz.com x', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=Yay! ADJ', u'PREV\_LCASE=yay! adj', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=@abc X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=@abc x']

@abc X : ['BIAS', u'WORD=@abc X', u'LCASE=@abc x', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'PREV\_LCASE=http://xyz.com x', 'NEXT\_BIAS', 'NEXT\_SENT\_END', u'NEXT\_WORD=#xyz X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=#xyz x']

#xyz X : ['BIAS', 'SENT\_END', u'WORD=#xyz X', u'LCASE=#xyz x', 'PREV\_BIAS',
u'PREV WORD=@abc X', u'PREV LCASE=@abc x']

## My features:

I PRON: ['BIAS', 'SENT\_BEGIN', u'WORD=I PRON', u'LCASE=i pron', 'IS\_UPPER', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'WORD LENGTH:5', 'HASH LENGTH:9344028104', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-TWO', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=love ADJ', u'NEXT\_LCASE=love adj', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH:7', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH:5971043325596305668', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX']

love ADJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=love ADJ', u'LCASE=love adj', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'WORD LENGTH: 7', 'HASH LENGTH: 5971043325596305668', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX', 'PREV\_BIAS', 'PREV\_SENT\_BEGIN', u'PREV\_WORD=I PRON', u'PREV\_LCASE=i pron', 'PREV\_IS\_UPPER', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH: 5', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH: 9344028104', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-TWO', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=and CONJ', u'NEXT\_LCASE=and conj', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH: 7', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH: 1453079729200098176', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX']

and CONJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=and CONJ', u'LCASE=and conj',
'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'WORD LENGTH:7', 'HASH LENGTH:
1453079729200098176', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX', 'PREV\_BIAS',
u'PREV\_WORD=love ADJ', u'PREV\_LCASE=love adj', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION',
'PREV\_WORD LENGTH:7', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH:5971043325596305668',
u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=:D

ADJ', u'NEXT\_LCASE=:d adj', 'NEXT\_IS\_UPPER', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH :5', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH :7424044602067048', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-TWO']

:D ADJ : ['BIAS', u'WORD=:D ADJ', u'LCASE=:d adj', 'IS\_UPPER', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'WORD LENGTH :5', 'HASH LENGTH :7424044602067048', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-TWO', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=and CONJ', u'PREV\_LCASE=and conj', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH : 7', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH :1453079729200098176', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-SIX', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=https://www.youtube.com/ X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/ x', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_IS\_A\_SECURED\_URL', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH :25', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH :-1422413245927943911', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : ONE HUNDRED AND TWO']

https://www.youtube.com/ X: ['BIAS', u'WORD=https://www.youtube.com/ X', u'LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/ x', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'IS\_A\_SECURED\_URL', 'WORD LENGTH:25', 'HASH LENGTH:-1422413245927943911', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: ONE HUNDRED AND TWO', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=:D ADJ', u'PREV\_LCASE=:d adj', 'PREV\_IS\_UPPER', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH:5', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH:7424044602067048', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-TWO', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=Yay! ADJ', u'NEXT\_LCASE=yay! adj', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_HAS\_A\_EXCLAIMATION\_MARK', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH:7', 'NEXT\_HASH\_LENGTH:821293140639314160', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX']

Yay! ADJ: ['BIAS', u'WORD=Yay! ADJ', u'LCASE=yay! adj', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'HAS\_A\_EXCLAIMATION\_MARK', 'WORD LENGTH: 7', 'HASH LENGTH: 821293140639314160', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-SIX', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=https://www.youtube.com/X', u'PREV\_LCASE=https://www.youtube.com/x', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_IS\_A\_SECURED\_URL', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH: 25', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH: 1422413245927943911', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: ONE HUNDRED AND TWO', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=http://xyz.com x', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_IS\_A\_URL', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH:15', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH:-5750080177430901690', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: EIGHTY-TWO']

http://xyz.com X : ['BIAS', u'WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'LCASE=http://xyz.com x', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'IS\_A\_URL', 'WORD LENGTH :15', 'HASH LENGTH :-5750080177430901690', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : EIGHTY-TWO', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=Yay! ADJ', u'PREV\_LCASE=yay! adj', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_HAS\_A\_EXCLAIMATION\_MARK', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH :7', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH :821293140639314160', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-SIX', 'NEXT\_BIAS', u'NEXT\_WORD=@abc X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=@abc x', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_IS\_HEADER', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH :5', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH :7637656320375785316', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-TWO']

@abc X : ['BIAS', u'WORD=@abc X', u'LCASE=@abc x', 'IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'IS\_HEADER', 'WORD LENGTH :5', 'HASH LENGTH :7637656320375785316', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-TWO', 'PREV\_BIAS', u'PREV\_WORD=http://xyz.com X', u'PREV\_LCASE=http://xyz.com x', 'PREV\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'PREV\_IS\_A\_URL', 'PREV\_WORD LENGTH :15', 'PREV\_HASH LENGTH :-5750080177430901690', u'PREV\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : EIGHTY-TWO', 'NEXT\_BIAS', 'NEXT\_SENT\_END', u'NEXT\_WORD=#xyz X', u'NEXT\_LCASE=#xyz x', 'NEXT\_IS\_NOT\_AN\_EMOTION', 'NEXT\_IS\_A\_HASHTAG', 'NEXT\_WORD LENGTH :5', 'NEXT\_HASH LENGTH :-2164168021658837856', u'NEXT\_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD : SIXTY-TWO']

#xyz X: ['BIAS', 'SENT_END', u'WORD=#xyz X', u'LCASE=#xyz x', 'IS_NOT_AN_EMOTION', 'IS_A_HASHTAG', 'WORD LENGTH:5', 'HASH LENGTH:-2164168021658837856', u'BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-TWO', 'PREV_BIAS', u'PREV_WORD=@abc X', u'PREV_LCASE=@abc x', 'PREV_IS_NOT_AN_EMOTION', 'PREV_IS_HEADER', 'PREV_WORD LENGTH:5', 'PREV_HASH LENGTH:7637656320375785316', u'PREV_BYTE LENGTH OF WORD: SIXTY-TWO']

# Question 4: Comparison of MEMM and CRFs

#### CRF on dev data with basic features:

python data.py --model crf Twitter pos data loaded.

- .. # train sents 379
- .. # dev sents 112

Classes: 12 ['.' 'ADJ' 'ADP' 'ADV' 'CONJ' 'DET' 'NOUN' 'NUM' 'PRON' 'PRT' 'VERB' 'X']

- -- 0 features added.
- -- 1000 features added.
- -- 2000 features added.
- -- 3000 features added.
- -- 4000 features added.
- -- 5000 features added.
- -- 6000 features added.
- -- 7000 features added.
- -- 8000 features added.
- -- 9000 features added.

```
-- 10000 features added.
-- 11000 features added.
-- 12000 features added.
-- 13000 features added.
-- 14000 features added.
379 14712
Number of weights 176712
Starting training
iteration 0
avg loss: 0.429752 w: [[ 0. -1. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 1
avg loss: 0.225986 w: [[ 0. -1. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 2
avg loss: 0.154722 w: [[ 0. -1. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 3
avg loss: 0.109606 w: [[0. 1. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 4
avg loss: 0.069096 w: [[ 0. 1. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 5
avg loss: 0.050942 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 6
avg loss: 0.036174 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 7
avg loss: 0.026419 w: [[ 1. 1. 1. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 8
avg loss: 0.029264 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 9
avg loss: 0.020593 w: [[ 1. 1. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 10
avg loss: 0.014632 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 11
avg loss: 0.015581 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 12
avg loss: 0.014361 w: [[ 1. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 13
avg loss: 0.006639 w: [[ 2. 0. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 14
avg loss: 0.007993 w: [[ 2. 0. -1. ... -1. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 15
```

avg loss: 0.010839 w: [[ 2. -1. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]

effective learning rate: 1.000000

iteration 16

avg loss: 0.007452 w: [[ 2. -1. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]

effective learning rate: 1.000000

iteration 17

avg loss: 0.007181 w: [[ 2. -1. 0. ... -1. 0. 0.]]

effective learning rate: 1.000000

### Train evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 99.71548570654383
Token-wise F1 (macro) 99.71914040429829
Token-wise F1 (micro) 99.71548570654383
Sentence-wise accuracy 94.72295514511873
precision recall f1-score support

	1.00	1.00	1.00	901
ADJ	1.00	0.99	0.99	341
ADP	1.00	1.00	1.00	549
ADV	1.00	0.99	1.00	401
CONJ	1.00	1.00	1.00	161
DET	1.00	1.00	1.00	426
NOUN	1.00	1.00	1.00	1685
NUM	0.99	1.00	1.00	142
PRON	1.00	1.00	1.00	671
PRT	1.00	1.00	1.00	207
<b>VERB</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	1215
Χ	1.00	1.00	1.00	682

micro avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381 macro avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381 weighted avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381

# ### Dev evaluation

Token-wise accuracy **84.15326395458845**Token-wise F1 (macro) 83.36271018265512
Token-wise F1 (micro) 84.15326395458845
Sentence-wise accuracy 10.714285714285714
precision recall f1-score support

	0.95	0.98	0.97	254
ADJ	0.68	0.48	0.56	99
ADP	0.89	0.89	0.89	151
ADV	0.85	0.60	0.70	129
CONJ	0.95	0.95	0.95	42
DET	0.98	0.92	0.95	130
NOUN	0.78	0.85	0.81	479
NUM	0.81	0.74	0.77	34
PRON	0.96	0.94	0.95	194
PRT	0.86	0.86	0.86	57
VERB	0.77	0.84	0.80	362
Χ	0.80	0.78	0.79	183

micro avg 0.84 0.84 0.84 2114 macro avg 0.86 0.82 0.83 2114

(venv) Praveens-MacBook-Pro: Assignment2 for students praveenkumar\$ ./conlleval.pl -r -d  $\t <$  ./predictions/twitter dev.crf.pred processed 2114 tokens with 2114 phrases; found: 2114 phrases; correct: 1779. accuracy: 84.15%; precision: 84.15%; recall: 84.15%; FB1: 84.15 .: precision: 94.70%; recall: 98.43%; FB1: 96.53 264 ADJ: precision: 67.61%; recall: 48.48%; FB1: 56.47 71 ADP: precision: 88.74%; recall: 88.74%; FB1: 88.74 151 ADV: precision: 84.62%; recall: 59.69%; FB1: 70.00 91 CONJ: precision: 95.24%; recall: 95.24%; FB1: 95.24 42 DET: precision: 98.35%; recall: 91.54%; FB1: 94.82 121 NOUN: precision: 77.76%; recall: 85.39%; FB1: 81.39 526 NUM: precision: 80.65%; recall: 73.53%; FB1: 76.92 31 PRON: precision: 95.79%; recall: 93.81%; FB1: 94.79 190 PRT: precision: 85.96%; recall: 85.96%; FB1: 85.96 57 VERB: precision: 77.49%; recall: 83.70%; FB1; 80.48 391 X: precision: 79.89%; recall: 78.14%; FB1: 79.01 179 (venv) Praveens-MacBook-Pro: Assignment2 for students praveenkumar\$

#### CRF on dev data with basic+advanced features:

python data.py --model crf Twitter pos data loaded.

- .. # train sents 379
- .. # dev sents 112

Classes: 12 ['.' 'ADJ' 'ADP' 'ADV' 'CONJ' 'DET' 'NOUN' 'NUM' 'PRON' 'PRT' 'VERB' 'X']

- -- 0 features added.
- -- 1000 features added.
- -- 2000 features added.
- -- 3000 features added.
- -- 4000 features added.
- -- 5000 features added.
- -- 6000 features added.
- -- 7000 features added.
- -- 8000 features added.
- -- 9000 features added.
- -- 10000 features added.
- -- 11000 features added.
- -- 12000 features added.
- -- 13000 features added.
- -- 14000 features added.
- -- 15000 features added.
- -- 16000 features added.
- -- 17000 features added.
- -- 18000 features added.
- -- 19000 features added.
- -- 20000 features added.
- -- 21000 features added.
- -- 22000 features added.

```
379 22865
Number of weights 274548
Starting training
iteration 0
avg loss: 0.390597 w: [[ 0. -1. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 1
avg loss: 0.209050 w: [[ 1. -1. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
avg loss: 0.132638 w: [[ 1. -1. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 3
avg loss: 0.098903 w: [[ 1. -1. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 4
avg loss: 0.075464 w: [[ 1. -1. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 5
avg loss: 0.058664 w: [[ 2. 2. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 6
avg loss: 0.039832 w: [[ 2. 1. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 7
avg loss: 0.041729 w: [[ 2. 2. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 8
avg loss: 0.028858 w: [[ 2. 0. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 9
avg loss: 0.022084 w: [[ 2. 0. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 10
avg loss: 0.017342 w: [[ 2. 0. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 11
avg loss: 0.015174 w: [[ 2. -1. -2. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 12
avg loss: 0.014361 w: [[ 2. 0. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 13
avg loss: 0.011110 w: [[3. 0. 0. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 14
avg loss: 0.009077 w: [[ 3. 0. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 15
avg loss: 0.010703 w: [[ 3. 0. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
iteration 16
avg loss: 0.007587 w: [[ 3. 0. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]
effective learning rate: 1.000000
```

iteration 17

avg loss: 0.007858 w: [[ 3. 0. -1. ... 0. 0. 0.]]

effective learning rate: 1.000000

### Train evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 99.78322720498576
Token-wise F1 (macro) 99.79042005534997
Token-wise F1 (micro) 99.78322720498576
Sentence-wise accuracy 95.77836411609498
precision recall f1-score support

	1.00	1.00	1.00	901
ADJ	1.00	0.99	0.99	341
ADP	1.00	1.00	1.00	549
ADV	1.00	1.00	1.00	401
CONJ	1.00	1.00	1.00	161
DET	1.00	1.00	1.00	426
NOUN	0.99	1.00	1.00	1685
NUM	0.99	1.00	1.00	142
PRON	1.00	1.00	1.00	671
PRT	1.00	1.00	1.00	207
VERB	1.00	1.00	1.00	1215
Χ	1.00	1.00	1.00	682

micro avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381 macro avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381 weighted avg 1.00 1.00 1.00 7381

## ### Dev evaluation

Token-wise accuracy **85.99810785241249**Token-wise F1 (macro) 84.63228749432923
Token-wise F1 (micro) 85.99810785241247
Sentence-wise accuracy 14.285714285714285
precision recall f1-score support

	0.96	0.98	0.97	254
ADJ	0.67	0.52	0.58	99
ADP	0.86	0.89	0.88	151
ADV	0.82	0.71	0.76	129
CONJ	0.95	0.95	0.95	42
DET	0.98	0.91	0.94	130
NOUN	0.79	0.88	0.83	479
NUM	0.79	0.68	0.73	34
PRON	0.95	0.93	0.94	194
PRT	0.89	0.88	0.88	57
<b>VERB</b>	0.85	0.85	0.85	362
Χ	0.84	0.83	0.83	183

micro avg 0.86 0.86 0.86 2114 macro avg 0.86 0.83 0.85 2114 weighted avg 0.86 0.86 0.86 2114

#### Memm on dev data with basic features:

python data.py --model memm Twitter pos data loaded.

- .. # train sents 379
- .. # dev sents 112

(7381,)

- -- 0 features added.
- -- 1000 features added.
- -- 2000 features added.
- -- 3000 features added.
- -- 4000 features added.
- -- 5000 features added.
- -- 6000 features added.
- -- 7000 features added.
- -- 8000 features added.
- -- 9000 features added.
- -- 10000 features added.
- -- 11000 features added.
- -- 12000 features added.
- -- 13000 features added.
- -- 14000 features added.

Features computed

(7381, 14712)

/Users/praveenkumar/Desktop/Sem 1/NLP/venv/lib/python2.7/site-packages/sklearn/linear\_model/logistic.py:432: FutureWarning: Default solver will be changed to 'lbfgs' in 0.22. Specify a solver to silence this warning. FutureWarning)

/Users/praveenkumar/Desktop/Sem 1/NLP/venv/lib/python2.7/site-packages/sklearn/linear\_model/logistic.py:459: FutureWarning: Default multi\_class will be changed to 'auto' in 0.22. Specify the multi\_class option to silence this warning.

"this warning.", FutureWarning)

### Train evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 98.19807614144425

Token-wise F1 (macro) 97.74689850492388

Token-wise F1 (micro) 98.19807614144425

Sentence-wise accuracy 71.50395778364116

precision recall f1-score support

	0.99	1.00	1.00	901
ADJ	0.99	0.92	0.95	341
ADP	0.98	0.98	0.98	549
ADV	0.98	0.96	0.97	401
CONJ	0.99	0.98	0.98	161
DET	0.98	1.00	0.99	426
NOUN	0.98	0.98	0.98	1685
NUM	0.97	0.91	0.94	142
PRON	1.00	0.99	1.00	671
PRT	0.99	0.99	0.99	207

0.98 **VERB** 0.99 0.99 1215 Χ 0.97 0.98 0.97 682 7381 0.98 0.98 0.98 micro ava 0.98 0.97 0.98 7381 macro avg weighted avg 0.98 0.98 0.98 7381

### Dev evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 84.38978240302744
Token-wise F1 (macro) 83.33422799705717
Token-wise F1 (micro) 84.38978240302745
Sentence-wise accuracy 8.928571428571429
precision recall f1-score support

	0.94	0.98	0.96	254
ADJ	0.73	0.36	0.49	99
ADP	0.92	0.88	0.90	151
ADV	0.94	0.59	0.72	129
CONJ	1.00	0.93	0.96	42
DET	0.99	0.92	0.95	130
NOUN	0.73	0.90	0.80	479
NUM	0.85	0.68	0.75	34
PRON	0.99	0.92	0.96	194
PRT	0.89	0.88	0.88	57
VERB	0.80	0.85	0.82	362
Χ	0.81	0.77	0.79	183

micro avg 0.84 0.84 0.84 2114 macro avg 0.88 0.80 0.83 2114 weighted avg 0.85 0.84 0.84 2114

./conlleval.pl -r -d \\t < ./predictions/twitter\_dev.memm.pred processed 2114 tokens with 2114 phrases; found: 2114 phrases; correct: 1784. accuracy: 84.39%; precision: 84.39%; recall: 84.39%; FB1: 84.39

.: precision: 94.34%; recall: 98.43%; FB1: 96.34 265
ADJ: precision: 73.47%; recall: 36.36%; FB1: 48.65 49
ADP: precision: 91.72%; recall: 88.08%; FB1: 89.86 145
ADV: precision: 93.83%; recall: 58.91%; FB1: 72.38 81
CONJ: precision: 100.00%; recall: 92.86%; FB1: 96.30 39
DET: precision: 99.17%; recall: 91.54%; FB1: 95.20 120
NOUN: precision: 72.71%; recall: 89.56%; FB1: 80.26 590
NUM: precision: 85.19%; recall: 67.65%; FB1: 75.41 27
PRON: precision: 99.44%; recall: 92.27%; FB1: 95.72 180
PRT: precision: 89.29%; recall: 87.72%; FB1: 88.50 56
VERB: precision: 79.64%; recall: 85.36%; FB1: 82.40 388
X: precision: 81.03%; recall: 77.05%; FB1: 78.99 174

#### **Memm on dev data with basic + my features:**

python data.py --model memm Twitter pos data loaded.

- .. # train sents 379
- .. # dev sents 112
- .. # test sents 295 (7381.)
- -- 0 features added.
- -- 1000 features added.
- -- 2000 features added.
- -- 3000 features added.
- -- 4000 features added.
- -- 5000 features added.
- -- 6000 features added.
- -- 7000 features added.
- -- 8000 features added.
- -- 9000 features added.
- -- 10000 features added.
- -- 11000 features added.
- -- 12000 features added.
- -- 13000 features added.
- -- 14000 features added.
- -- 15000 features added. -- 16000 features added.
- -- 17000 features added.
- -- 18000 features added.
- -- 19000 features added.
- -- 20000 features added.
- -- 21000 features added.
- -- 22000 features added.

Features computed

(7381, 22865)

/Users/praveenkumar/Desktop/Sem 1/NLP/venv/lib/python2.7/sitepackages/sklearn/linear model/logistic.py:432: FutureWarning: Default solver will be changed to 'lbfgs' in 0.22. Specify a solver to silence this warning. FutureWarning)

/Users/praveenkumar/Desktop/Sem 1/NLP/venv/lib/python2.7/sitepackages/sklearn/linear model/logistic.py:459: FutureWarning: Default multi class will be changed to 'auto' in 0.22. Specify the multi class option to silence this warning.

"this warning.", FutureWarning)

### Train evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 99.26839181682698

Token-wise F1 (macro) 99.14139182921068

Token-wise F1 (micro) 99.26839181682698

Sentence-wise accuracy 86.80738786279683 precision recall f1-score support

> 1.00 1.00 1.00 901 ADI 0.99 0.97 0.98 341 0.99 0.99 0.99ADP 549 **ADV** 0.99 0.99 0.99 401 CONI 0.99 0.99 0.99 161 DET 0.99 1.00 0.99 426 0.99 0.99 0.99 NOUN 1685 NUM 0.99 0.98 0.99 142 PRON 1.00 1.00 1.00 671

```
PRT 1.00 1.00 1.00 207
VERB 1.00 1.00 1.00 1215
X 1.00 0.99 0.99 682
```

micro avg 0.99 0.99 0.99 7381 macro avg 0.99 0.99 0.99 7381 weighted avg 0.99 0.99 7381

#### ### Dev evaluation

Token-wise accuracy 86.9441816461684
Token-wise F1 (macro) 85.46539859487362
Token-wise F1 (micro) 86.9441816461684
Sentence-wise accuracy 16.071428571428573
precision recall f1-score support

	0.96	0.99	0.97	254
ADJ	0.74	0.39	0.51	99
ADP	0.91	0.89	0.90	151
ADV	0.89	0.71	0.79	129
CONJ	1.00	0.90	0.95	42
DET	0.99	0.92	0.95	130
NOUN	0.76	0.91	0.83	479
NUM	0.82	0.68	0.74	34
PRON	0.98	0.95	0.96	194
PRT	0.93	0.91	0.92	57
<b>VERB</b>	0.84	0.87	0.86	362
Χ	0.89	0.84	0.86	183

micro avg 0.87 0.87 0.87 2114 macro avg 0.89 0.83 0.85 2114 weighted avg 0.87 0.87 0.87 2114

# ### Generating Test predictions

(venv) Praveens-MacBook-Pro: Assignment2 for students praveenkumar\$

./conlleval.pl -r -d  $\t <$  ./predictions/twitter\_dev.lr.pred processed 2114 tokens with 2114 phrases; found: 2114 phrases; correct: 1838. accuracy: 86.94%; precision: 86.94%; recall: 86.94%; FB1: 86.94

.: precision: 95.45%; recall: 99.21%; FB1: 97.30 264
ADJ: precision: 74.07%; recall: 40.40%; FB1: 52.29 54
ADP: precision: 90.54%; recall: 88.74%; FB1: 89.63 148
ADV: precision: 89.22%; recall: 70.54%; FB1: 78.79 102
CONJ: precision: 100.00%; recall: 90.48%; FB1: 95.00 38
DET: precision: 99.17%; recall: 91.54%; FB1: 95.20 120
NOUN: precision: 76.49%; recall: 91.02%; FB1: 83.13 570
NUM: precision: 82.14%; recall: 67.65%; FB1: 74.19 28
PRON: precision: 97.87%; recall: 94.85%; FB1: 96.34 188
PRT: precision: 92.86%; recall: 91.23%; FB1: 92.04 56
VERB: precision: 84.27%; recall: 87.29%; FB1: 85.75 375
X: precision: 89.47%; recall: 83.61%; FB1: 86.44 171

# **Comparison points:**

1)Which methods give the highest accuracy, and by how much?

Memm gives better accuracy than CRF, memm gave **86.9441816461684** while crf gives **85.99810785241249** ( with basic + my features )

and (even with basic features) Memm gives better accuracy than CRF, memm gave **84.38978240302744** while crf gives **84.15326395458845** 

**2)**Further, can you find/create sentences which highlight your features over the basic ones?

Please check my answer for 3rd question in which i elaborated this. Highlighted the difference by highlighting with red colour.

3) Are there sentences for which CRF is much better than MEMM? Why is it better on these? Use any graphs, tables, and figures to aid your analysis, including ones generated by conlleval.pl.

Yes, Hash function helps to increase accuracy of CRF over memm. This below line :

```
ftrs.append("HASH LENGTH:" + str(hash(word.split(" ")[0])))
Reason - Features added would be increased from 14000 to 220000 which helps CRF in increasing accuracy
```