UNIVERSITY OF GUAM (A COMPONENT UNIT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GUAM)

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL AND ON COMPLIANCE

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Deloitte.

Deloitte & Touche LLP 361 S. Marine Corps Drive Tamuning, GU 96913-3911

Tel: 1-671-646-3884 Fax: 1-671-649-4932 www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Regents University of Guam:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the University of Guam (the University), which comprise the statement of net position as of September 30, 2013, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and of cash flows for the year then ended and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 24, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the University's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Tamuning, Guam

February 24, 2014

Deloitte.

Deloitte & Touche LLP 361 S. Marine Corps Drive Tamuning, GU 96913-3911

Tel: 1-671-646-3884 Fax: 1-671-649-4932 www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Board of Regents University of Guam:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the University of Guam's (the University's) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (*OMB*) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the University's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2013. The University's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the University's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the University's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the University complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2013-001 through 2013-005. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The University's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The University's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the University's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2013-001 through 2013-005 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

The University's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The University's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the University of Guam as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated February 24, 2014, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Tamuning, Guam

February 24, 2014

Summary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, by Grantor Year Ended September 30, 2013

Federal Grantor Agency	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture \$	4,761,666
U.S. Department of Commerce	692,121
U.S. Department of Defense	1,378,113
U.S. Department of the Interior	2,047,470
U.S. Department of Justice	104,854
U.S. Department of Labor	131,381
National Endowment for the Humanities	101,931
National Science Foundation	353,486
Small Business Administration	888,808
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	40
U.S. Department of Energy	685,673
U.S. Department of Education	20,723,024
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	4,037,424
Corporation for National and Community Service	406,445
Grand Total \$=	36,312,436
Reconciliation to the basic financial statements:	
Operating expenses §	35,395,513
Capital asset additions	1,392,000
Indirect cost allocation	(371,792)
Program income	(103,285)
\$	36,312,436

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Federal CFDA #	Federal Grantor/Program Title		Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Direct from U.S. Department of Agriculture:			
10.025	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	\$	503,606	\$ 503,606
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	•	149,795	149,795
10.202	Cooperative Forestry Research		44,393	44,393
10.203	Payments to Agricultural Experiment Stations Under the Hatch Act		1,028,589	1,028,589
10.206	Grants for Agricultural Research: Competitive Research Grants		2,451	2,451
10.308	Resident Instruction Grants for Insular Area Activities		771,483	4,47 L
10.500	Cooperative Extension Service		962,797	
10.652	Forestry Research		13,961	13,961
10.680	Forest Health Protection		29,121	13,301
10.783	Rural Business Enterprise Grants - ARRA		8,818	
10.912	Environmental Quality Incentives Program		13,335	13,335
10.912	Environmental Quality Incentives Program		62,760	15,555
	Subtotal Direct Programs	*******	3,591,109	1,756,130
	Pass-through from Government of Guam Department of Agriculture:		, ,	1,,00,100
10.025	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care		100,349	100 240
10.170	Specialty Crop Block Grant Program - Farm Bill		189,040	100,349
	Pass-through from Center for Tropical and Subtropical Agriculture:		102,040	189,040
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants		*** ***	
			45,696	45,696
10.000	Pass-through from University of Hawaii:			
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants		13,472	13,472
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants		227	,
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)		685,462	
	Pass-through from University of Wyoming:			
10.500	Cooperative Extension Service		18,289	
	Pass-through from Utah State University:		10,209	-
10.215	Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education			
10,212			26,987	xir
10.202	Pass-through from University of Arizona:			
10.303	Integrated Programs		5,137	
	Pass-through from Universitty of California Davis:		,	
10.303	Integrated Programs		4,995	_
10.304	Homeland Security_Agricultural		6,440	6,440
	Pass-through from University of Puerto Rico:			0,110
10.309	Specialty Crop Research Initiative		46,686	
	Pass-through from University of Virgin Islands:		40,000	→
10.322	Distance Education Grants for Institutions of Higher Education in Insular Areas		5.004	
			5,801	-
10.500	Pass-through from Kansas State University:			
10.500	Cooperative Extension Service	**************************************	21,976	**
	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs	***************************************	1,170,557	354,997
	U.S. Department of Agriculture Total	\$	4,761,666 \$	2,111,127
		Management of the last of the		4,111,14/

CFDA #	Federal Grantor/Program Title		Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Direct from U.S. Department of Commerce:			
11.307	Economic Adjustment Assistance	\$	32,158 \$	
11.417	Sea Grant Support	·	159,784	159,784
11.417	Sea Grant Support		149,766	100,704
11.426 11.452	Financial Assistance for National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science		100,345	100,345
11.452	Unallied Industry Projects		30,569	30,569
11.463	Unallied Industry Projects Habitat Conservation		18,393	*
11.482	Coral Reef Conservation Program		60,399	60,399
11.702	_		3,233	3,233
	Subtotal Direct Programs		554,647	354,330
11.419	Pass-through from Government of Guam Bureau of Statistics and Planning:			
11.419	Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards		29,939	29,939
11.482	Financial Assistance for National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Coral Reef Conservation Program		18,235	18,235
111102			53,009	53,009
11.432	Pass-through from University of Hawaii at Manoa: NOAA Cooperative Institutes			
11.752	Passathrough from National Occapio & Atmospharia A 1 11 1 11		24,872	24,872
11.482	Pass-through from National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration: Coral Reef Conservation Program			
	•		11,419	11,419
	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs	******	137,474	137,474
	U.S. Department of Commerce Total	\$	692,121	491,804
	Direct from the U.S. Department of Defense:			
12.002	Procurement Technical Assistance for Business Firms	\$	274 517 0	
12.116	Department of Defense Appropriation Act of 2003	Þ	274,516 \$ 96,248	06.240
12.300	Natural Disasters Warning, Survival and Rehabilitation		232,734	96,248
12.335	Navy Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence		2029134	232,734
	Surveillance, and Reconnaissance		442,688	442,688
	Subtotal Direct Programs	***************************************	1,046,186	
	Pass-through from Department of Navy:		1,040,100	771,670
12.300	Natural Disasters Warning, Survival and Rehabilitation		232,886	
	Pass-through from National Marine Fisheries Service:		232,660	466
12.300	Natural Disasters Warning, Survival and Rehabilitation		31,154	
	Pass-through from Naval Facilities:		31,134	***
2.300	Natural Disasters Warning, Survival and Rehabilitation		23,414	
2.607	Pass-through from Department of Defense Office of Economic Adjustment: Community Economic Adjustment Assistance for		,	
	Establishment, Expansion, Realignment, or Closure of a Military Installation		44,473	***
	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs	***************************************	331,927	
	U.S. Department of Defense Total	\$	1,378,113 \$	771,670

Federal CFDA#	Federal Grantor/Program Title	- Administration of the Control of t	Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Direct from U.S. Department of the Interior:			
15.649 15.805 15.875 15.945	Service Training and Technical Assistance Assistance to State Water Resources Research Institutes Economic, Social and Political Development of the Territories Cooperative Research and Training Programs Resources of the	\$	821 238,267 248,170	\$ 821 238,267
15.DAV	National Park System Cooperative Ecosystem System Studies Unit Awards		38,018	38,018
	Subtotal Direct Programs		23,918 549,194	23,918 301,024
	Pass-through from Government of Guam Department of Agriculture:		J 17,17 T	301,024
15.605 15.634	Sport Fish Restoration Program State Wildlife Grants		16,729 34	16,729 34
15.820	Pass-through from the Research Corporation of the University of Hawaii: National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center		1,640	J4
15.808 15.875 15.875 15.975	Pass-through from Government of Guam Bureau of Statistics and Planning: U.S. Geological Survey - Research and Data Acquisition Economic, Social and Political Development of the Territories Economic, Social and Political Development of the Territories Research Information		14,272 44,597 1,415,105 5,899	14,272 44,597
	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs		1,498,276	5,899
	U.S. Department of the Interior Total	\$	2,047,470	81,531 382,555
	Direct from the U.S. Department of Justice:	***************************************		
16.525	Grants to Reduce Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking on Campus	\$	104,854_\$	
	U.S. Department of Justice Total	\$	104,854 \$	
	U.S. Department of Labor:			
17.260	Pass-through from Government of Guam Department of Labor: WIA Dislocated Workers	\$	131,381_\$	
	U.S. Department of Labor Total	\$	131,381 \$	_

Federal CFDA#	Federal Grantor/Program Title		Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Direct from National Endowment for the Humanities:			
45.312	National Leadership Grants	\$ _	101,931_\$	t 1
	National Endowment for the Humanities Total	\$ ₌	101,931 \$	•
	Direct from National Science Foundation:			
47.050 47.074	Astronomical, Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean Sciences Biological Sciences	\$	51,824 \$ 120,023	51,824 120,023
47.080	Office of Cyberinfrastructure		164,762	164,762
	Subtotal Direct Programs		336,609	336,609
47.076	Pass-through from University of Hawaii: Education and Human Resources			
17.070	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs	Np. ma	16,877	16,877
	National Science Foundation Total		16,877	16,877
		•	353,486 \$	353,486
	Direct from Small Business Administration:			
59.037 59.XXX 59.044	Small Business Development Centers Center Registration for Economic Development Veterans Business Development	\$	708,139 \$ 22,383 158,286	-
	Small Business Administration Total	\$	888,808 \$	
66.600	Pass-through from Guam Economic Protection Agency: Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas - Program Support	\$	40 \$	
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Total	\$	40 \$	and the second s
	U.S. Department of Energy:			
	Pass-through from Guam Energy Office: 81.041A State Energy Program - ARRA			
81.041	ARRA State Energy Program	\$	685,673 \$	
	U.S. Department of Energy Total	\$	685,673 \$	-

Federal CFDA #	Federal Grantor/Program Title		Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Direct from U.S. Department of Education:			***************************************
	Student Financial Aid Cluster:			
84.007	Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant	\$	108,350	¢
84.033	Federal Work-Study Program	Ψ	356,403	5
84.063	Federal Pell Grant Program		7,924,514	•
84.268	Federal Direct Student Loans		9,402,667	
84.375	Academic Competitiveness Grants		68,500	Sie
	Student Financial Aid Cluster Subtotal		17,860,434	
	TRIO Cluster:		, ,	
84.042	TRIO-Student Support Service		292,609	
84.044	TRIO-Talent Search		358,237	**
84.047	TRIO-Upward Bound		400,606	
	TRIO Cluster Subtotal	*****	1,051,452	***
84.031	Higher Education Institution Aid		414,427	_
84.116	Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education		13,911	13,911
84.195	Bilingual Education: Professional Development		4,646	15,711
84.224	Assistive Technology		148,569	_
84.325	Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and		•	-
84.373	Results for Children with Disabilities		322,894	-
84.3/3	Special Education-Technical Assistance on State Data Collection	NOTICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	139,524	
	Subtotal Direct Programs		19,955,857	13,911
	Pass-through from Guam Department of Education and Federated States of Micronesia:			
84.027	Special Education Grants to States		9,569	
84.181	Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families		13,730	*
84.215	GPSS Project Menhalom		50,354	50,354
84.264	Rehabilitation Training Continuing Education		(372)	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
84.336	Teacher Quality Partnership Grants		479,353	No.
84.402	Consolidated Grants to the Outlying Areas, Recovery Act		55,534	~
84.403	Consolidating Grant to the Outside Area	viertebeleinis	158,999	
	Subtotal Pass-Through Programs	Williams	767,167	50,354
	U.S. Department of Education Total	\$	20,723,024 \$	64,265

Federal CFDA #	Federal Grantor/Program Title	Professional de Autoria de Calabrica de La Cal	Expenditures	Research and Development Cluster
	Corporation for National and Community Service:			
94.006	Pass-through from Government of Guam Department of Labor: Americorps	\$_	406,445	
	Corporation for National and Community Service Total	\$_	406,445	\$
	Grand Total	\$ _	36,312,436	\$5,469,146

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2013

(1) Scope of Audit

The University of Guam (the University) is a component unit of the Government of Guam created by Public Law 13-194, "The Higher Education Act," which became effective on November 3, 1976, as an autonomous agency of the Government of Guam. Only the financial statements of the University are included within the scope of the OMB Circular A-133 audit (the "Single Audit").

Programs Subject to Single Audit

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents each Federal program related to the following agencies:

- · U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Commerce
- U.S. Department of Defense
- · U.S. Department of the Interior
- U.S. Department of Justice
- · U.S. Department of Labor
- National Endowment for the Humanities
- National Science Foundation
- Small Business Administration
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- Corporation for National and Community Service

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the University and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting, consistent with the manner in which the University maintains its accounting records. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. All expenses and capital outlays are reported as expenditures.

The University recognizes contributions from the federal government when qualifying expenditures are incurred, and expenditures are recognized on the accrual basis of accounting.

(3) American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA)

In February 2009, the Federal Government enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). As of September 30, 2013, the University's grant award notification and expenditures are as follows:

CFDA Program	Grant Amount	FY 2013 Expenditures
10.688 Recovery Act of 2009: Wildland Fire Management 10.783 Rural Business Enterprise Grants - ARRA 81.041 ARRA – State Energy Program 93.708 ARRA - Head Start	\$ 38,000 50,000 1,500,000 664,277	\$ - 8,818 685,673 263,319

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2013

Part I - Summary of Auditors' Results Section

Fi	inancial Statements			
1.	Type of auditors' report issu	Unmodified		
	Internal control over financia	al reporting:		
2. 3.	Material weakness(es) io Significant deficiency(io		No None reported	
4.	Noncompliance material to	financial statements noted?	No	
Fe	deral Awards			
	Internal control over major p	orograms:		
5. 6.	Material weakness(es) ic Significant deficiency(ie	No Yes		
7.	Type of auditors' report issu	ed on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified	
8.	Any audit findings disclosed accordance with Section 510	that are required to be reported in (a) of OMB Circular A-133?	Yes	
9.	Identification of major progr	ams:		
	CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Clus	ter	
	Research and Development Cluster Economic, Social and Political Development of Student Financial Assistance Cluster			
10. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$1,089,373				
11.	11. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			

Part II - Financial Statement Findings Section

No matters were reported.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Part III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs Section

Finding	<u>CFDA</u>		
Number	Number	Finding	Questioned Cost
2013-001	SFA Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions	\$ 4,774
2013-002	R&D Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	\$ -
2013-003	R&D Cluster	Equipment and Real Property Management	\$ -
2013-004	R&D Cluster	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	\$ -
2013-005	R&D Cluster	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment	\$ 18,982

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.: 2013-001

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

CFDA Program: Student Financial Assistance (SFA) Cluster

Grant Number: OPE ID Number: 00393500
Area: Special Tests and Provisions

Questioned Costs: \$4,774

<u>Criteria</u>: The SFA Handbook Volume 5 School Year 2012-2013 (SFA Handbook) states that Title IV funds are awarded to a student under the assumption that the student will attend school for the entire period for which the assistance is awarded. When a student withdraws, the student may no longer be eligible for the full amount of Title IV funds that the student was originally scheduled to receive.

If a recipient of Title IV grant or loan funds withdraws from a school after beginning attendance, the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance earned by the student must be determined. If the amount disbursed to the student is greater than the amount the student earned, the unearned funds must be returned. Pursuant to the SFA Handbook, some schools can opt to use the 50% point as the withdrawal date for a student who unofficially withdraws in determining earned Title IV aid.

Condition: For one (or 1%) of seventy-five students tested aggregating disbursements of \$434,718, the Final Grade Report for student I.D. #28604 indicated an unofficial withdrawal (UW) for all six credits attempted during Fall 2012. The student obtained an unsubsidized \$9,547 direct loan for Fall 2012. In accordance with the criteria above, a return to Title IV is required; however, funds have not been returned as of 11/18/13. The University uses the 50% point as the withdrawal date for students who unofficially withdraw from their attempted courses, thus, 50% (or \$4,774) of the disbursement resulted in a questioned cost since the disbursed amount is greater than the amount earned.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of monitoring student academic progresses and the lack of coordination between the Financial Aid Office and the Office of Admissions and Records.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with special tests and provision requirements related to the return to Title IV. Questioned costs of \$4,774 result since the projected amount of potential noncompliance exceeds \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the University review current processes for monitoring student academic progresses and unearned funds have been assess whether applicable.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name and job title of responsible personnel: Mark Duarte, Financial Aid Office (FAO) Director

Specific corrective procedures to be performed and date of performance: At the end of each semester the Admissions and Records Office will provide the Financial Aid Office (FAO) with a list of students who have officially and unofficially withdrawn. FAO will match this list to students awarded Title IV financial aid. For any matches FAO will process a return to Title IV (RTTIV) calculation. FAO will work through the Bursars Office to collect. This procedure shall be implemented beginning with a review of Fall 2014 awards.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.:

2013-002

Federal Agency: Federal Agency:

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Defense

CFDA Program: Grant Number:

Research and Development (R&D) Cluster 2012-31100-06057 and N00014-10-1-0160

Area:

Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Questioned Costs:

\$0

<u>Criteria</u>: Payroll expenditures must be supported by activity reports signed by the employee, principal investigator, or responsible official(s) to verify that work was performed. Further, if an employee spends less than 100% of his or her time on one federal program, the University is required to implement and maintain a method that must recognize the principle of after the fact confirmation or determination so that costs distributed represent actual costs, unless a mutually agreed satisfactory alternative is reached. The following methods are available to the University:

- Plan Confirmation: At least annually a statement will be signed by the employee, principal investigator, or responsible official(s) using suitable means of verification that the work was performed, stating that salaries and wages charged to sponsored agreements as direct charges, and to residual, F&A cost or other categories are reasonable in relation to work performed;
- After-the-fact Activity Records: For professorial and professional staff, the reports will be prepared each academic term, but no less frequently than every six months. For other employees, unless alternate arrangements are agreed to, the reports will be prepared no less frequently than monthly and will coincide with one or more pay periods; or
- Multiple-Confirmation Records: The reports will be prepared each academic term, but no less frequently than every six months.

Condition: Of twenty-five payroll expenditures tested, aggregating \$85,561, we noted the that for one employee (or 4%), the time and effort documentation does not clearly support that 50% of the employee's hours worked was spent on one federal program (reference no. 11190, charged to grant no. 2012-31100-06057). Time and effort documentation showed the employee worked to support academic, research and extension activities; however, no allocation of actual hours worked on each program/project to support 50% charged to the Hatch program is available. The estimated excess salaries charged to the Hatch program is \$10,956. No questioned costs result since the University subsequently corrected this amount through an adjustment dated December 29, 2013.

 $\underline{\text{Cause}}$: The cause of the above conditions is lack of oversight and of standard time and effort report documentation.

 $\underline{\text{Effect}}$: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable allowable costs/cost principles requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.:

2013-002, Continued

Federal Agency: Federal Agency:

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Defense

CFDA Program: Grant Number:

Research and Development (R&D) Cluster 2012-31100-06057 and N00014-10-1-0160

Area:

Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Questioned Costs:

ano wable costs/cost i im

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the University take reasonable steps to establish standard time and effort reporting documentation and improve monitoring procedures of compliance.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name and job title of responsible personnel: John Peterson, AVP, Office of Sponsored Programs, working with Unit Administrators/Directors, Principal Investigators, designated Timekeepers

Specific corrective procedures to be performed and date of performance: A Time and Effort (T&E) guideline will be approved and distributed in Spring 2014 under the leadership of the Office of Sponsored Programs (OSP). A standard form of reporting is already in place that can efficiently capture the necessary elements of the T&E Federal compliance requirements. Principal Investigators and Deans/Directors will be responsible for accuracy and compliance of submitted T&E forms. T&E records will be kept by the unit and by Payroll. OSP will be responsible for training and periodic compliance reviews.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.:

2013-003

Federal Agency: Federal Agency:

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Defense

CFDA Program: Area:

Research and Development (R&D) Cluster Equipment and Real Property Management

Questioned Costs:

2944121110 \$0

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable equipment management requirements, procedures for managing equipment, whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, until disposition takes place will, at a minimum, meet the following requirements:

 A physical inventory of equipment must be taken and the results reconciled with the equipment records at least once every two years.

• A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or

theft of the property. Any loss, damage, or theft shall be investigated.

Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition.

<u>Condition</u>: Inventory counts have been ongoing during fiscal year 2013 for current year purchases; however, a complete reconciliation with Procurement and Supply Management has not been finalized over the last two fiscal years.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the University is in the process of establishing required guidelines and is conducting required inventories and the process is ongoing.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the applicable equipment management requirements. See below for cumulative dollar amount of property and equipment acquired with program grant funds.

<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	Grand Total
\$ 152,193	\$ 264,453	\$ 206,875	\$ 623.521

<u>Recommendation:</u> We recommend that the University continue its extensive efforts to reconcile results of inventory counts with property and equipment records.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name and job title of responsible personnel: Sonny Perez, Chief Plant and Facilities Officer

Specific corrective procedures to be performed and date of performance: A property control officer (PCO) will be hired in 2014, reporting to Plant and Facilities. The PCO will work with the operational units, Business Office and Procurement to manage fixed assets at the University, including establish and maintain records with needed data; survey damaged, obsolete and stolen property; conduct and reconcile inventory counts. A full inventory will be conducted in 2014.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.: 2013-004

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Commerce

CFDA Program: Research and Development (R&D) Cluster

Grant Number: 11-8510-1466-CA, 12-8515-1200-CA and 0301.12.034503

Area: Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

Questioned Costs: \$0

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to grant terms and conditions, the grantee is required to maintain a level of local matching support for the grant, as follows:

<u>CFDA</u>	Account No.	Required Local Match
10.025 10.025 11.463	61-1F-243019-R-5 61-2F-243032-R-5 61-1F-243035-R-5	\$ 30,500 15,000 23,000
		\$ <u>68,500</u>

<u>Condition</u>: For three (or 38%) of eight R&D grants tested, a final determination that matching requirements have been met is not available. A memo identifying local matching prepared at the start of the grant was provided; however, no documentation was available to support actual matching details.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to document compliance with matching requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is potential noncompliance with matching requirements. No questioned costs reported since the final matching documentation was subsequently provided.

Recommendation: We recommend that existing procedures be evaluated to assess compliance with matching requirements.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name and job title of responsible personnel: Dr. Lee Yudin, Dean/Director, College of Natural and Applied Sciences

Specific corrective procedures to be performed and date of performance: We concur with the finding. CNAS will implement monitoring steps to ensure that proper matching to these grants occur in a timely manner in FY2014.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.:

2013-005

Federal Agency: Federal Agency:

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Defense

CFDA Program: Grant Number:

Research and Development (R&D) Cluster 12-25-B-0918 and N00014-10-1-0160

Area:

Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

Questioned Costs:

\$18,982

<u>Criteria</u>: Applicable regulations state that all procurement transactions will be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. Grantees and subgrantees will use their own procurement procedures, which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations provided that the procurements conform to applicable federal law. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed currently at \$100,000. If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

Specifically, the UOG procurement regulations state the following:

- All sole source procurements require written explanation as to why no other bidder will be suitable or acceptable to meet the need for supplies or services. This method is allowed only in five (5) situations:
 - Compatibility of equipment, accessories, or replacement part is of paramount consideration;
 - Sole supplier's item is needed for trial use or testing;
 - Sole supplier's item is to be procured for resale;
 - Public utility services are to be procured; or
 - Supplies are offered thru bankruptcy or receivership sales, or other disposition at lower than prevailing market prices.
- Price quotations, solicitations or qualifications should be obtained from at least three potential vendors for contracts above \$1,500 and below \$15,000.

Condition: Of thirty-three nonpayroll expenditures tested aggregating \$379,503, the following was

- 1. A \$11,482 purchase charged to grant no. 12-25-B-0918 under PO #P1130170 qualified under the small purchase method and was procured through sole source with justification indicating that only the selected vendor was willing to deliver or that no other vendors were available. However, documentation that the program contacted other vendors, whether written or oral, was not evident or was not timely documented. Further, the items or services purchased do not appear to qualify under the five conditions allowed for sole source.
- 2. For one \$7,500 independent contract payment (reference no. BC120071 charged to N00014-10-1-0160), documentation of contractor evaluation and selection was not provided.

 $\underline{\text{Cause}}$: The cause of the above condition is that applicable procurement regulations and procurement files were not adequately maintained.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2013

Finding No.:

2013-005, Continued

Federal Agency: Federal Agency:

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Defense

CFDA Program: Grant Number:

Research and Development (R&D) Cluster 12-25-B-0918 and N00014-10-1-0160

Area:

Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

Questioned Costs:

\$18,982

Effect: The effect of the above condition is potential noncompliance with procurement regulations and questioned costs of \$18,982 exist.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the University comply with procurement regulations to provide full and open competition and that compliance with the entries be documented on file.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Specific corrective procedures to be performed and date of performance:

Condition 1.

Name and job title of responsible personnel: David O'Brien, Vice President for Administration and Finance (VPAF) and Comptroller Zeny Nace working with Vicente Borja, Supply Management Administrator, Centralized Procurement Office: Legal Counsel Victorina Renacia; and the operational units

The Vice President for Administration and Finance (VPAF) has re-issued the sole source procurement guidelines, first distributed in Q3 FY2013. Based on the guidelines and UOG Procurement Regulations, the Supply Management Administrator (SMA) will be responsible to ensure that purchase orders (Legal Counsel will do this for contracts) that call for sole sourcing are justified on the proper form and routed to VPAF or Comptroller for approval (or not) prior to any purchase or approval of contract.

Condition 2.

Name and job title of responsible personnel: John Peterson, AVP, Office of Sponsored Programs, working with Unit Administrators/Directors, Principal Investigators

The award of grant called for person selected to be the George Washington High School "environmental sustainability (sic) coordinator." The contractor was the only person in the designated position and was selected by Guam Department of Education (GDOE) following the GDOE procedures. Prospectively, we will ensure that proper support to document contractor selection and evaluation shall be filed appropriately in the University's records.

Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2013

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Findings relating to the financial statements, which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS:

Finding No. 2012 – 1, Coordination between Finance Office and Plant Facilities Office in Monitoring of Construction in Progress – Corrected.

Findings and questioned costs - Major Federal Award Programs Audit

Finding No. 2012 - 2, State Fiscal Stabilization Fund: Government Services – Not Corrected. Refer to Finding No. 2013-003.

Finding No. 2012 – 3, Area Health Education Centers Infrastructure Development Awards – Corrected.

No prior year questioned costs were reported.