

## **Solutions to Exercise Sheet 9**

**Please try and solve the questions yourself first before reading the solutions.**

**Really, once you know the solutions, it may seem easier than it actually is...**

**Seriously.**

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid from  $U(0, \theta)$  and consider  $\bar{X}$  as well as  $X_{(n)}$  as bases for estimators for  $\theta$ . Check whether they are unbiased:

$$E(\bar{X}) = E(X_i) = \frac{\theta}{2},$$

so that  $T_1 = 2\bar{X}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ . This seems plausible since  $\bar{X}$  gives the ‘middle’ of the data so that the upper bound should be two times  $\bar{X}$ .

To determine the expectation of  $X_{(n)}$ , first find its cdf and pdf. We have  $F_n(x) = P(\max(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq x) = \prod_i P(X_i \leq x)$  so that

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n, & 0 < x \leq \theta \\ 1, & x > \theta \end{cases}$$

The density is therefore  $f_n(x) = \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$  on  $0 < x < \theta$  (see lecture notes for distribution of sample maximum). Therefore the expectation is given by

$$E(X_{(n)}) = \int_0^\theta x f_n(x) dx = \int_0^\theta \frac{nx^n}{\theta^n} dx = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}.$$

This implies that  $T_2 = \frac{(n+1)X_{(n)}}{n}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ . Again, this is plausible because  $n$  observations from a uniform distribution are expected to divide the interval  $[0, \theta]$  into  $n+1$  equal parts so that the upper bound will approximately be  $X_{(n)} + X_{(n)}/n$ . Since both estimators are unbiased their mean squared errors are equal to their variances. For the first we find

$$\text{mse}(T_1) = \text{var}(2\bar{X}) = 4\text{var}(X_i)/n = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}.$$

The variance of  $X_{(n)}$  is found as follows:

$$E(X_{(n)}^2) = \int_0^\theta \frac{nx^{n+1}}{\theta^n} dx = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2}$$

so that  $\text{var}(X_{(n)}) = E(X_{(n)}^2) - \{E(X_{(n)})\}^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$ . This gives

$$\text{mse}(T_2) = \text{var}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}\right) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}.$$

For samples of size at least two, the second mse is smaller (since  $n(n+2) > 3n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ) so that  $T_2$  is to be preferred.

2. The density of  $X$  is

$$f(x; \theta) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \theta)^2\right\}$$

with log-density

$$l \equiv \log f(x; \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \theta)^2.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(x - \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

so that  $i(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ . The Cramér-Rao lower bound is therefore  $\text{var}(T) \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ . Consider the ‘obvious’ estimator  $T = \bar{X}$ . Then  $E(T) = \theta$  and  $\text{var}(T) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$  so  $T = \bar{X}$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

3. Since  $E(X) = \theta$  for  $\text{Poi}(\theta)$ , the method of moments simply gives

$$\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$$

Since  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$  the estimator is unbiased. Its variance is  $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta/n$  (variance of the sample mean).

The Cramér-Rao bound is derived as follows:

$$\log f(x; \theta) = x \log \theta - \theta - \log(x!)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x; \theta) = \frac{x}{\theta} - 1 \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x; \theta) = -\frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

so that the Fisher information is  $i(\theta) = -E(-X/\theta^2) = 1/\theta$ . The Cramér-Rao bound is therefore  $\theta/n$ .

This shows that  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$  is unbiased and attains the lower variance bound in the Poisson case.

4. If  $X \sim \text{Gam}(\alpha, \lambda)$  then the mean and variance of  $X$  are  $E(X) = \alpha/\lambda$ ,  $\text{var}(X) = \alpha/\lambda^2$ . The first two moments are therefore  $\alpha/\lambda$  and  $\alpha/\lambda^2 + (\alpha/\lambda)^2 = \alpha(1 + \alpha)/\lambda^2$ . Equate these to the sample moments  $\bar{X}$  and  $\sum_i X_i^2/n$  respectively to give two equations in the two unknowns  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ . The first equation gives  $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\alpha}/\bar{X}$ . Substituting this into the second equation gives  $(1 + \hat{\alpha})\bar{X}^2 = \hat{\alpha} \sum_i X_i^2/n$ . Solving this equation for  $\hat{\alpha}$ , noting that  $\sum_i X_i^2/n - \bar{X}^2 = \sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2/n$ , gives  $\hat{\alpha} = \bar{X}^2/S^2$  as required.