

# Practical Concurrent and Parallel Programming

Martin Rønning Bech (mrob@itu.dk)

PRCPP E2014  
January 8, 2015

**I hereby declare that I have answered these exam questions myself without any outside help.**

Martin Rønning Bech - 2015-01-08

## 1 Question 1:

In this question we have to make the given *SimpleDeque* thread safe using locks. To make the *SimpleDeque* thread safe we can apply the Java Monitor Pattern, that is to make sure that any mutable state that is put in private fields are guarded by the *SimpleDeque* object lock.

So in our *SimpleDeque* we ensure that *items*, *bottom* and *top* are private and are *GuardedBy*("this"). We then make the public method's *push*, *pop* and *steal* *synchronized*. These changes makes the *SimpleDeque* objects thread safe by ensuring that only a single thread will ever be allowed to make updates to their individual internal mutable state.

The *GuardedBy*("this") annotations are added to all internal state that needs to be guarded by the objects lock, this is to communicate to others that any updates made to these fields must only be done when the *this* lock is taken, it is also useful in combination with tools that can be used to detect if the correct lock is always taken when access are made to the fields.

```
@GuardedBy("this")
private long bottom = 0;
@GuardedBy("this")
private long top = 0;
@GuardedBy("this")
private final T[] items;

public synchronized void push(T item) {...}
```

```
public synchronized T pop() {...}
public synchronized T steal() {...}
```

## 2 Question 2

The *SortTask* is an immutable object, this is done by making the public fields *final*. By being immutable we ensure that after its creation no one can update the instance, so we can safely pass it around in different threads. Any thread that needs to do an “update” of the *SortTask* will instead have to create a new instance with the updated values.

## 3 Question 3

In this question we implement a multi threaded Quicksort that uses a single shared queue to communicate sorting tasks. I have broken my code into three functions: *singleQueueMultiThread* that runs a small test case and prints it to the console, *sqmtWorkers* that starts the worker threads, *sqmtWorker* that contains the code for a single worker thread. Below I will explain how each function works, afterwards I will show a tests run and finally I will explain why the implementation is thread safe.

The *singleQueueMultiThread* implementation found below is quite simple, it creates a *SimpleDeque* instance and an array with random integers we want to sort (using the *randomIntArray* method given with the assignment). It then prints the array before sorting using the *IntArrayUtil.printout* method given with the assignment, runs the *sqmtWorkers* sorting method and finally prints the resulting array and the result of running *isSorted* helper method given with the assignment.

```
private static void singleQueueMultiThread(final int threadCount) {
    System.out.println("Running singleQueueMultiThread");
    SimpleDeque<SortTask> queue = new SimpleDeque<SortTask>(100000);
    //int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(size);
    System.out.println("Before:");
    int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(20);

    IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);

    queue.push(new SortTask(arr, 0, arr.length-1));
    sqmtWorkers(queue, threadCount);

    System.out.println("After:");
    IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);
}
```

```

        System.out.println("Sorted:");
        System.out.println(IntArrayUtil.isSorted(arr));
    }

```

The *sqmtWorkers* implementation takes a *Deque* and a *threadCount*. It then creates a *LongAdder* instance for keeping track of the amount of ongoing work. It then spawn *threadCount* amount of *sqmtWorker* threads and finally waits for them all to finish.

```

/**
 * Function for starting singleQueueMultiThread workers
 */
private static void sqmtWorkers(Deque<SortTask> queue, int threadCount) {
    //Initialize ongoing counter with the size of the queue
    //We assume the queue only has a single task
    LongAdder ongoing = new LongAdder();
    ongoing.increment();

    //Creating threads:
    Thread[] threads = new Thread[threadCount];
    for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
        //Start the thread
        threads[t] = new Thread()-> sqmtWorker(queue, ongoing);
        threads[t].start();
    }

    //Wait for the threads to finish
    for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
        try{
            threads[t].join();
        }catch(InterruptedException e){}
    }
}

```

The *sqmtWorker* implementation works by first getting a new task (using the helper *SortTask* helper method given by the assignment, that first tries to take a task from the queue, if there are none left it will see if there is any ongoing worker, if there is it yield the thread to wait for more work to appear in the queue, if there isn't any ongoing work it will return null).

If the worker gets a task it will take the information from the task, if the array needs to be partitioned ( $a < b$ ) it will do so and finally add two new sorting tasks to the queue, as well as increment the ongoing counter after each task has been added. Finally when so work have been done (partitioned or not) it will decrement the ongoing counter.

We are ensured that the entire thing will terminate because by the end all tasks should no longer need to be partitioned and the ongoing counter will therefore be decremented to zero.

```

/**
 * Function for a singleQueueMultiThread worker
 */
private static void sqmtWorker(Deque<SortTask> queue, LongAdder ongoing){
    SortTask task;
    while (null != (task = getTask(queue, ongoing))) {
        //We have a task now partition!
        final int[] arr = task.arr;
        final int a = task.a, b = task.b;
        if (a < b) {
            int i = a, j = b;
            int x = arr[(i+j) / 2];
            do {
                while (arr[i] < x) i++;
                while (arr[j] > x) j--;
                if (i <= j) {
                    swap(arr, i, j);
                    i++; j--;
                }
            } while (i <= j);

            //Increment the counter when pushing
            queue.push(new SortTask(arr, a, j));
            ongoing.increment();
            queue.push(new SortTask(arr, i, b));
            ongoing.increment();
        }
        //We have sorted something, time to decrement
        ongoing.decrement();
    }
}

```

Below output from running the *singleQueueMultiThread* method with 8 threads can be seen. As expected the array is sorted.

Running singleQueueMultiThread

Before:

38 1 12 30 27 19 15 18 33 6 13 11 28 25 12 4 38 33 29 7

After:

1 4 6 7 11 12 12 13 15 18 19 25 27 28 29 30 33 33 38 38

Sorted:

true

So the array gets sorted, the implementation is thread safe because (1) the queue object we are using is thread safe a task will never be delegated to more than one thread and multiple accesses to the queue will never break the queue, and (2) the worker threads will never access the same part of the array at the same time this is due to the nature of the quick sort algorithm, notice that at any given time there will only ever exist a task (recursive step in the normal algorithm) going from some  $a$  to some  $b$  and there will be no overlap.

## 4 Question 4

In this question we write tests for the *SimpleDeque* implementation made in Question 1. First we write a sequential test that tests that the *SimpleDeque* works as expected using only a single thread. Then we write a parallel test that tries to test if the implementation works when accessed by multiple threads. For the tests I have used the *assertEquals* and *assertTrue* methods given in the course material from week 9 as well as introduced two new helper methods *assertNull* and *awaitBarrier* all the helper methods can be found in appendix A.

In the *sequentialDequeTest* we test that the general functionality of the given *Deque* works. First we test that *pop* and *steal* will return *null* when the *Deque* is empty. We then test that putting a single element into the queue will make *pop* return it again and again testing that using *pop* now will yield *null* we do this for *steal* as well. Finally we fill the queue with 3 elements and test that *steal* will give the element first inserted and *pop* will give the element last inserted. These tests should cover the general functionality of the *Deque*.

```
static void sequentialDequeTest(Deque<Integer> queue) throws Exception{
    //Check that it only returns null
    assertNull(queue.pop());
    assertNull(queue.steal());

    //Check that pop/push works on single insert
    queue.push(42);
    assertEquals(42, queue.pop());
    assertNull(queue.pop());

    //Check that steal work on single insert
    queue.push(43);
    assertEquals(43, queue.steal());
    assertNull(queue.pop());

    queue.push(44);
    queue.push(45);
```

```

        queue.push(46);

        //Check that steal takes from the back
        assertEquals(44, queue.steal());

        //Check that pop takes from the front
        assertEquals(46, queue.pop());
    }

```

Now comes the *parallelDequeTest*. In this tests we start 4 kind of threads:

- Pushing threads that will *push* one million random integers between 0-9999 into the *Deque*
- Popping threads that will *pop* one million integers from the *Deque*
- Stealing threads that will *steal* one million integers from the *Deque*
- Main thread that starts all the threads, waits and checks the result.

Each of the different kind of threads will have local *long* sum counter that they will continuously update when they have pushed, popped or stolen a value from the *Deque*. When they have completed their work they will add their local *long* sum value to a shared *LongAdder* between the threads. The threads are synchronized using a *CyclicBarrier* so they wait for all threads to have started and completed.

The main thread starts all the threads, it then waits first for all of them to start then for all of them to finish. After all threads have completed it empties the *Deque* and sums the remaining values. The implementation then sums the sum of the remaining values, the sum of the values popped and the sum of the values stolen and compares the result with the sum of the values pushed.

```

static void parallelDequeTest(Deque<Integer> queue,
    int threadCount) throws Exception {
    CyclicBarrier barrier = new CyclicBarrier((threadCount*3)+1);
    int pushedSum = 0;

    //Start pushing threads
    LongAdder pushed = new LongAdder();
    for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
        final int lt = t;
        new Thread(()->{
            awaitBarrier(barrier);
            long p = 0;
            for(int i = 0; i < 1_000_000; i++){
                Random random = new Random();

```

```

        int r = random.nextInt() % 1000;
        p += r;
        queue.push(r);
    }
    pushed.add(p);
    awaitBarrier(barrier);
}).start();
}

//Start pop threads
LongAdder popped = new LongAdder();
for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
    final int lt = t;
    new Thread()->{
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
        long pop = 0;
        for(int i = 0; i < 1_000_000; i++){
            Integer p = queue.pop();
            if(p != null){
                pop += p;
            }
        }
        popped.add(pop);
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
    }).start();
}

//Start stealing threads
LongAdder stolen = new LongAdder();
for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
    final int lt = t;
    new Thread()->{
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
        long s = 0;
        for(int i = 0; i < 1_000_000; i++){
            Integer p = queue.steal();
            if(p != null){
                s += p;
            }
        }
        stolen.add(s);
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
    }).start();
}

//Start test
awaitBarrier(barrier);

```

```
//Wait for the test to stop
awaitBarrier(barrier);

//Get the remaining sum
long remaining = 0;
Integer p = queue.pop();
while(p != null){
    remaining += p;
    p = queue.pop();
}

//Get the sum of the threads
long pushedsum = pushed.sum();
long retrievedsum = remaining + popped.sum() + stolen.sum();

//Check that sum matches
assertEquals(retrievedsum, pushedsum);
}
```

I have run the tests on my *SimpleDeque* implementation multiple times using different amount of threads and it seems to pass every time.

To see if these tests will actually be able to find errors in my implementation I have done the following mutations if my implementation:

- Removing the statement that adds the *item* to the *items* list in the *push* method, this gets caught by the sequential test.
- Removing the decrement of *bottom* in the *pop* method, this gets caught by the sequential test.
- Removing the increment of *top* in *steal*, this gets caught by the sequential test.
- Different permutations of removing the *synchronized* keyword from *push*, *pop* and *steal*. All permutations was found by the parallel test.

## 5 Question 5

In this question we measure the wall clock time of the sorting process in the single-queue multi-threaded Quicksort from Question 3. First we show the implementation of the measurement code and then what execution time it produces.

The *benchmarkSingleQueueMultiThread* method is a simple method that calls the benchmarking method with threadcount 1...8 as well as printing the result to the console in a table like format.



```

public static void benchmarkSingleQueueMultiThread(){
    System.out.println("Threads\tTime");
    for(int i = 1; i<9; i++){
        double time = sqmtBenchMarkVersion(i);
        System.out.println(i + "\t" + time);
    }
}

```

The *sqmtBenchMarkVersion* is the actual benchmark methods. This method takes a thread count, creates an instance of the SimpleDeque, an array of 20 million random integers, the ongoing counter and then starts all of the *sqmtWorker* threads. It uses a *CyclicBarrier* to ensure that all of the threads starts at the same time and to start the timer after the threads have started execution and stopping after all threads are done, this is to avoid measuring the thread startup time. In the main thread we therefore wait for all threads to start, start the timer, wait for the threads to finish and stop the timer returning the resulting time.

```

public static double sqmtBenchMarkVersion(int threadCount){
    SimpleDeque<SortTask> queue = new SimpleDeque<SortTask>(100000);
    int[] array = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(20_000_000);
    queue.push(new SortTask(array, 0, array.length-1));
    CyclicBarrier barrier = new CyclicBarrier(threadCount+1);

    //Initialize ongoing counter with the size of the queue
    //We assume the queue only has a single task
    LongAdder ongoing = new LongAdder();
    ongoing.increment();

    //Creating threads:
    Thread[] threads = new Thread[threadCount];
    for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
        threads[t] = new Thread(()->{
            awaitBarrier(barrier);
            sqmtWorker(queue, ongoing);
            awaitBarrier(barrier);
        });
        //Start the thread
        threads[t].start();
    }

    //Waiting for threads
    awaitBarrier(barrier);
    //Threads started
    Timer t = new Timer();
}

```

```
    awaitBarrier(barrier);  
    //Threads done  
    return t.check();  
}
```

I have run the tests on a Linux machine with 2 cores (4 hyper-threaded), compiled and run with OpenJDK Java 8. Below is the resulting table of sorting 20 million integers using 1 to 8 threads. The measurements shows first a decrease in performance and then a slight increase, the extra overhead of all threads accessing the same queue does seem to some degree hinder the execution time of this implementation.

Threads	Time (Seconds)
1	5.163053139
2	7.496664894
3	6.961242125
4	7.567593447
5	5.036839369
6	4.811132867
7	4.600989057
8	4.53502937

The test is obviously no completely accurate, but it does show something about the performance of the implementation. There are however a few things to not:

- Its only a single sorting run. We do not repeat and average.
- Its only shows the execution time on my specific machine.
- It might be influenced by the software running on my machine.
- It might be influenced by JVM optimizations, especially in the later runs.

## 6 Question 6

In this question we create a multi-threaded multi-queue Quicksort. I will first show my implementation and explain why it works. I will then show the output of running the implementation.

The *multiQueueMultiThread* is very similar to the *singleQueueMultiThread* (see Question 3) method, but instead it creates a list of SimpleDequeues.

```
private static void multiQueueMultiThread(final int threadCount) {  
    System.out.println("Running multiQueueMultiThread");  
}
```

```

SimpleDeque<SortTask>[] queues = new SimpleDeque[threadCount];
for(int i = 0; i < threadCount; i++){
    queues[i] = new SimpleDeque<SortTask>(100000);
}
//int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(size);
System.out.println("Before:");
int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(20);
IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);

queues[0].push(new SortTask(arr, 0, arr.length-1));
mqmtWorkers(queues, threadCount);

System.out.println("After:");
IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);

System.out.println("Sorted:");
System.out.println(IntArrayUtil.isSorted(arr));
}

```

The *mqmtWorkers* is similar to *sqmtWorkers* (see Question 3). It now takes a list of *Deque* and assigns each thread worker a number thereby assigning each worker a *Deque* from the list. An interesting point here is that the first thread will initially be the only thread gets a task, it will then partition and the other threads will be able to steal the new tasks from it.

```

“java private static void mqmtWorkers(Deque[] queues, int threadCount)
{ //Initialize ongoing counter with the size of the queue //We assume
the queue only has a single task LongAdder ongoing = new LongAdder();
ongoing.increment();

//Creating threads:
Thread[] threads = new Thread[threadCount];
for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
    //Start worker thread
    final int myNumber = t;
    threads[t] = new Thread(()-> mqmtWorker(queues, myNumber, ongoing));
    threads[t].start();
}

//Wait for the threads to finish
for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
    try{
        threads[t].join();
    }catch(InterruptedException e){}
}

```

```
}
```

The *mqmtWorker* method is almost identical to the *sqmtWorker* (see Question 3). It however uses the overloaded *getTask* method and pushes tasks to its assigned *Deque*.

```
private static void mqmtWorker(Deque<SortTask>[] queues, int myNumber,
    LongAdder ongoing){
    SortTask task;
    while (null != (task = getTask(myNumber, queues, ongoing))) {
        //We have a task now partition!
        final int[] arr = task.arr;
        final int a = task.a, b = task.b;
        if (a < b) {
            int i = a, j = b;
            int x = arr[(i+j) / 2];
            do {
                while (arr[i] < x) i++;
                while (arr[j] > x) j--;
                if (i <= j) {
                    swap(arr, i, j);
                    i++; j--;
                }
            } while (i <= j);

            //Increment the counter when pushing
            queues[myNumber].push(new SortTask(arr, a, j));
            ongoing.increment();
            queues[myNumber].push(new SortTask(arr, i, b));
            ongoing.increment();
        }
        //We have sorted something, time to decrement
        ongoing.decrement();
    }
}
```

The new *getTask* method now first tries to *pop* a task from the threads own queue, if this returns empty it will try to steal from all the other queues if it fails it will yield, finally it will check the ongoing value and if this is zero it will return null otherwise it will try to steal again.

The reason why this will terminate at some point is that a worker will only ever push to it's own queue and we can therefore assume that we will have to try to stealing until we find something or there is not more work and then we can conclude that we are finished, because when we are in the stealing loop that directly means there are no tasks in our queue. Other than that the points from Question 3 still applies.

```

private static SortTask getTask(final int myNumber, final Deque<SortTask>[] queues,
    LongAdder ongoing) {
    final int threadCount = queues.length;
    SortTask task = queues[myNumber].pop();
    if (null != task)
        return task;
    else {
        do {
            //Lets try to steal a task from someone...
            for(int i = 0; i < queues.length; i++){
                if(i != myNumber){
                    task = queues[i].steal();
                    if(task != null){
                        return task;
                    }
                }
            }
            Thread.yield();
        } while (ongoing.longValue() > 0);
        return null;
    }
}

```

Below output from running the *multiQueueMultiThread* method with 8 threads can be seen. As expected the array is sorted.

Running multiQueueMultiThread

Before:

23 39 3 21 30 16 6 5 13 19 34 36 14 14 29 33 31 14 1 31

After:

1 3 5 6 13 14 14 14 16 19 21 23 29 30 31 31 33 34 36 39

Sorted:

true

## 7 Question 7

In this question we have to measure the wall clock running time of the multi-queue multi-threaded Quicksort implementation from Question 6. First I show my measurement code I then show the result of running the measurement code on my machine and finally I comment on the differences between the execution time of the multi-queue multi-threaded Quicksort with results of running the single-queue multi-threaded Quicksort in Question 5.

The *benchmarkMultiQueueMultiThread* method simply creates the list of *SimpleQueues* and calls the benchmarking method with threadcount from 1...8 and prints the result to the console in a table like format.

```
private static void benchMarkMultiQueueMultiThread() {
    System.out.println("Threads\tTime");
    for(int i = 1; i<9; i++){
        SimpleDeque<SortTask>[] queues = new SimpleDeque[i];
        for(int t = 0; t < i; t++){
            queues[t] = new SimpleDeque<SortTask>(100000);
        }
        double time = mqmtBenchMarkVersion(i, queues);
        System.out.println(i + "\t" + time);
    }
}
```

The *mqmtBenchMarkVersion* method is similar to the *sqmtBenchMarkVersion* method from Question 5. It uses a *CyclicBarrier* to synchronize the execution start the threads and only starts the timer when all the threads have been started to avoid measuring the thread start overhead. It waits for all the threads to finish and then returns the resulting time.

```
private static double mqmtBenchMarkVersion(int threadCount,
    Deque<SortTask>[] queues) {
    int[] array = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(20_000_000);
    queues[0].push(new SortTask(array, 0, array.length-1));
    CyclicBarrier barrier = new CyclicBarrier(threadCount+1);

    //Initialize ongoing counter with the size of the queue
    //We assume the queue only has a single task
    LongAdder ongoing = new LongAdder();
    ongoing.increment();

    //Creating threads:
    Thread[] threads = new Thread[threadCount];
    for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
        final int myNumber = t;
        threads[t] = new Thread(()->{
            awaitBarrier(barrier);
            mqmtWorker(queues, myNumber, ongoing);
            awaitBarrier(barrier);
        });
        //Start the thread
        threads[t].start();
    }
}
```

```

    //Waiting for threads
    awaitBarrier(barrier);
    //Threads started
    Timer t = new Timer();
    awaitBarrier(barrier);
    //Threads done
    return t.check();
}

```

I have run the tests on Linux machine with 2 cores (4 hyper-threaded), compiled and run with OpenJDK Java 8 (Same as in Question 5). Below is the resulting table of sorting 20 million integers using 1 to 8 threads. The table shows a decent performance gain from adding multiple threads until 4 threads are added it then stays around the same execution time.

Threads	Time
1	4.673261823
2	3.164645371
3	2.573688754
4	1.94583811
5	2.272075977
6	2.179852209
7	1.950004463
8	2.179962992

For a short discussion of reasons why this tests is not accurate see Question 5. From this benchmark we see a clear benefit from adding more threads to the multi-threaded multi-queued Quicksort in comparison to the single-queued multi-threaded approach. The reason for this is most likely that we have reduced the actual overhead from the threads having to access the same queue all the time, with this implementation we mostly access our own queue and then sometimes when we run out of work steal from someone else.

I will also note that this implementation shows better performance on a single thread, but this is most likely purely by chance.

## 8 Question 8

```

class ChaseLevDeque<T> implements Deque<T> {
    volatile long bottom = 0;
    final AtomicLong top = new AtomicLong(0);
    final T[] items;

```

```

public ChaseLevDeque(int size) {
    this.items = makeArray(size);
}

@SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
private static <T> T[] makeArray(int size) {
    // Java's @$#@?! type system requires this unsafe cast
    return (T[])new Object[size];
}

private static int index(long i, int n) {
    return (int)(i % (long)n);
}

public void push(T item) { // at bottom
    final long b = bottom, t = top.get(), size = b - t;
    if (size == items.length)
        throw new RuntimeException("queue overflow");
    items[index(b, items.length)] = item;
    bottom = b+1;
}

public T pop() { // from bottom
    final long b = bottom - 1;
    bottom = b;
    final long t = top.get(), afterSize = b - t;

    if (afterSize < 0) { // empty before call
        bottom = t;
        return null;
    } else {
        T result = items[index(b, items.length)];
        if (afterSize > 0) // non-empty after call
            return result;
        else { // became empty, update both top and bottom
            if (!top.compareAndSet(t, t+1)) // somebody stole result
                result = null;
            bottom = t+1;
            return result;
        }
    }
}

public T steal() { // from top
    final long t = top.get(), b = bottom, size = b - t;

```



```

        if (size <= 0)
            return null;
        else {
            T result = items[index(t, items.length)];
            if (top.compareAndSet(t, t+1))
                return result;
            else
                return null;
        }
    }
}

```

## 9 Question 9

```

static void runTestChaseLevDeque() throws Exception {
    System.out.println("Running ChaseLevDeque Tests");
    ChaseLevDeque<Integer> c1 = new ChaseLevDeque<Integer>(100_000_000);
    sequentialDequeTest(c1);
    ChaseLevDeque<Integer> c12 = new ChaseLevDeque<Integer>(100_000_000);
    parallelCLDequeTest(c12, 10);
    System.out.println("ChaseLevDeque Tests Completed");
}

```

```

static void parallelCLDequeTest(Deque<Integer> queue, int threadCount) throws Exception {
    CyclicBarrier barrier = new CyclicBarrier(threadCount+2);
    int pushedSum = 0;

    //Start pushing and popping thread
    LongAdder pushed = new LongAdder();
    LongAdder popped = new LongAdder();
    new Thread(()->{
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
        long p = 0;
        long pop = 0;
        for(int i = 0; i < 1_000_000; i++){
            Random random = new Random();
            if((random.nextInt() % 2) == 0){
                int r = random.nextInt() % 100;
                p += r;
                queue.push(r);
            }else{
                Integer pp = queue.pop();
                if(pp != null){
                    pop += pp;
                }
            }
        }
    }).start();
}

```

```

        }
    }

    }
    pushed.add(p);
    popped.add(pop);
    awaitBarrier(barrier);
}).start();

//Start stealing threads
LongAdder stolen = new LongAdder();
for(int t = 0; t < threadCount; t++){
    final int lt = t;
    new Thread(()->{
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
        long s = 0;
        for(int i = 0; i < 1_000_000; i++){
            Integer p = queue.steal();
            if(p != null){
                s += p;
            }
        }
        stolen.add(s);
        awaitBarrier(barrier);
    }).start();;
}

//Start test
awaitBarrier(barrier);
//Wait for the test to stop
awaitBarrier(barrier);

//Get the remaining sum
long remaining = 0;
Integer p = queue.pop();
while(p != null){
    remaining += p;
    p = queue.pop();
}

//Get the sum of the threads
long pushedsum = pushed.sum();
long retrievedsum = remaining + popped.sum() + stolen.sum();

//Check that sum matches
assertEquals(retrievedsum, pushedsum);

```

```
}

```

## 10 Question 10

```
private static void multiQueueMultiThreadCL(final int threadCount) {
    System.out.println("Running multiQueueMultiThreadCL");
    ChaseLevDeque<SortTask>[] queues = new ChaseLevDeque[threadCount];
    for(int i = 0; i < threadCount; i++){
        queues[i] = new ChaseLevDeque<SortTask>(100000);
    }
    //int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(size);
    System.out.println("Before:");
    int[] arr = IntArrayUtil.randomIntArray(20);
    IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);

    queues[0].push(new SortTask(arr, 0, arr.length-1));
    mqmtWorkers(queues, threadCount);

    System.out.println("After:");
    IntArrayUtil.printout(arr, 20);

    System.out.println("Sorted:");
    System.out.println(IntArrayUtil.isSorted(arr));
}
```

```
Running multiQueueMultiThreadCL
Before:
15 0 15 31 6 26 38 29 12 38 38 16 27 20 7 20 23 13 10 24
After:
0 6 7 10 12 13 15 15 16 20 20 23 24 26 27 29 31 38 38 38
Sorted:
true
```

## 11 Question 11

Threads	Time
1	4.304853945
2	2.73936218
3	2.046592741
4	1.850278707

---

Threads	Time
5	1.942862235
6	2.034372296
7	2.07867276
8	1.821243739

---

```
private static void benchMarkMultiCLQueueMultiThread() {
    System.out.println("Threads\tTime");
    for(int i = 1; i<9; i++){
        ChaseLevDeque<SortTask>[] queues = new ChaseLevDeque[i];
        for(int t = 0; t < i; t++){
            queues[t] = new ChaseLevDeque<SortTask>(100000);
        }
        double time = mqmtBenchMarkVersion(i, queues);
        System.out.println(i + "\t" + time);
    }
}
```

## References

## A Helper methods

```
public static void assertNull(Object o) throws Exception {
    if(o != null)
        throw new Exception(String.format("ERROR: assertNull"));
}

public static void awaitBarrier(CyclicBarrier c){
    //What is up with this checked exception madness
    try{
        c.await();
    }catch(Exception e){
        throw new RuntimeException(e);
    }
}

static void assertEquals(long x, long y) throws Exception {
    if (x != y)
        throw new Exception(String.format("ERROR: %d not equal to %d%n", x, y));
}

public static void assertTrue(boolean b) throws Exception {
    if (!b)
        throw new Exception(String.format("ERROR: assertTrue"));
}
```

## B Chase-Lev Output

Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -182875 not equal to -182817  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: 155085 not equal to 155018  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: 227353 not equal to 227306  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -668438 not equal to -668421  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -573121 not equal to -573045  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -552821 not equal to -552897  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: 663331 not equal to 663407  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: 847382 not equal to 847403  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -368363 not equal to -368236  
Failed: java.lang.Exception: ERROR: -531851 not equal to -531807