# Inferential Statistics and Probability

#### Inferential Statistics

- Population: a set of examples
- Sample: a proper subset of a population
- Goal: Estimate some statistic about the population based on statistics about the sample
- •Key fact: If the sample is random, it tends to exhibit the same properties as the population from which it is drawn

#### An Example

 Given a single coin, estimate fraction of heads you would get if you flipped the coin an infinite number of times




How confident would you be about answering 1.0?

# Two Flips




Now confident would you be about answering 1.0?

# 100 Flips



How about now?

# 100 Flips



How do you feel about 52/100?

## Why the Difference in Confidence?

- Confidence in our estimate depends upon two things
- Size of sample (100 versus 2)
- Variance of sample (all heads versus 52 heads)
- •As the variance grows, we need larger samples to have the same degree of confidence

# Roulette



**CC-BY Antoinetav** 

## 10M Spins of the Wheel

```
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.03566\%
Expected return betting black = 0.03566%
Expected return betting 2 = 0.11312
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.00342\%
Expected return betting black = 0.00342%
Expected return betting 2 = 0.10628
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.07232\%
Expected return betting black = 0.07232%
Expected return betting 2 = -0.28792\%
```

## Law of Large Numbers

In repeated independent tests with the same actual probability *p* of a particular outcome in each test, the chance that the fraction of times that outcome occurs differs from *p* converges to zero as the number of trials goes to infinity



## Gambler's Fallacy

- If deviations from expected behavior occur, these deviations are likely to be evened out by opposite deviations in the future
- Probability of 15 consecutive reds
- 1/32,378
- Probability of 25 consecutive reds
- **1**/33,554,432
- Probability of 26 consecutive reds
- **1**/67,108,865
- Probability of 26 consecutive reds when previous 25 rolls were red
- **1/2**

6.00.2X LECTURE

11

#### Regression to the Mean

- Following an extreme random event, the next random event is likely to be less extreme
- •If you spin a fair roulette wheel 10 times and get 100% reds, that is an extreme event (probability = 1/1024)
- It is like that in the next 10 spins, you will get fewer than 10 reds
- So, if you look at the average of the 20 spins, it will be closer to the expected mean of 50% reds than to the 100% you saw in the first 10 spins

6.00.2X LECTURE

12

#### Francis Galton, 1885

TABLE I.

Number of Adult Children of various statures born of 205 Mid-parents of various statures.

(All Female heights have been multiplied by 1.08).

Heights of the Mid- parents in inches.	Heights of the Adult Children.														Total Number of		Medians
	Below	62.2	63-2	64.2	65.2	66.2	67:2	68.2	69.2	70.2	71.2	72.2	73.2	Above	Adult Children.	Mid- parents.	
Above	••							١	<b></b> .			1	3	••	4	5	
72.5	**							1 3	2	1	2	7	2	4	19	6	72.2
71.5					1	3	4		5	10	7	9	2	2	43	11	69.9
70.5	1		1	••	1	1	3	12	18	14		4	3	3	68	22	69.5
60.2			1	16	4	17	27	20	33	25	20	11	. 4	5	183	41	68.9
65.5	1		7	. 11	16	25	31	34	. 48	21	18	4	3	**	219	49	68.2
67.5	••	3	5	14	15	36	38	28	38	19	11	4		**	211	33	67.6
66.2	••	3	3	5	2	17	17	14	13	4	••			**	78	20	67.2
65.5	1		9	5	7	11		7	7	5	2	1		••	66	12	66.7
64.5	1	1	4	4	1	5	5		2	••	**			**	23	5	65.8
Below	1	••	2	4	1	2	2	, 1	1	••	••	••	**		14	1	
Cotals	5	7	32	59	48	117	138	120	167	99	61	41	17	14	928	205	
Medians			66.3	67.8	67.9	67.7	67.9	68.3	68.5	69.0	69.0	70.0		••	••		·

Note.—In calculating the Medians, the entries have been taken as referring to the middle of the squares in which they stand. The reason why the headings run 62.2, 63.2, &c., instead of 62.5, 63.5, &c., is that the observations are unequally distributed between 62 and 63, 63 and 64, &c., there being a strong bias in favour of integral inches. After careful consideration, I concluded that the headings, as adopted, best satisfied the conditions. This inequality was not apparent in the case of the Mid-parents.

#### **Back to Roulette**

```
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.03566\%
Expected return betting black = 0.03566%
Expected return betting 2 = 0.11312
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.00342\%
Expected return betting black = 0.00342%
Expected return betting 2 = 0.10628
10000000 spins of Fair Roulette
Expected return betting red = -0.07232\%
Expected return betting black = 0.07232%
Expected return betting 2 = -0.28792\%
```

## Casinos Not in the Business of Being Fare

