Streams API

09/12/2015 youenn/calvaris

What is it?

- Enabling I/O processing
 - Read chunks asynchronously
 - Write chunks asynchronously
 - Pipe from a stream to another
 - Automatic transformations
- Any kind of chunk
 - Strings
 - ArrayBuffers
 - Potatoes
 - Any JSValue cocktail

What is it good for?

- Get me this video segment ASAP
 - Without streams API: download it, then read it
 - With the streams API + MSE: start downloading it and pipe it to MSE
 - Using SourceBuffer.appendStream
- Get me this WebSocket-like connection on HTTP
 - ReadableStream/WritableStream to receive/send messages
 - With HTTP/2, just one TCP/IP connection for both WebSocketlike channels and regular HTTP content
- Any I/O use-case actually...
 - Wrapping of all data sources in a single clean model
 - HTTP, WebRTC, file system

What is in the spec?

- Stable
 - ReadableStream
 - Except pipe operations (related to WritableStream)
- Beta
 - WritableStream
- Experimental
 - TransformStream
 - ReadableByteArrayStream
 - May be almost merged with ReadableStream

What it is good for/bad for, internals?

- Promise based
- Async is good but
 - Still a bit expensive
- Use it for arrays, objects
 - Probably not to pass one byte after one byte

Where will it be?

- Fetch API
 - Retrieve data progressively
 - Send data progressively
- MSE API
 - Append stream
- WebRTC
 - Plan to use it

ReadableStream API remarks

- ReadableStream : locked, cancel, getReader, pipeThrough, pipeTo, tee.
- Underlying source : start, pull, cancel.
- Strategy: highWaterMark, size
- Controller: enqueue, close, error, desiredSize
- Reader: closed, cancel, read and releaseLock

How is it working?

```
function makeReadableBackpressureSocketStream(host, port) {
 const socket = createBackpressureSocket(host, port);
  return new ReadableStream({
   start(controller) {
      socket.ondata = event => {
        controller.enqueue(event.data);
        if (controller.desiredSize <= 0) {</pre>
          // The internal queue is full, so propagate
          // the backpressure signal to the underlying source.
          socket.readStop();
      } ;
      socket.onend = () => controller.close();
      socket.onerror = () => controller.error(new Error("The socket errored!"));
    },
    pull() {
     // This is called if the internal queue has been emptied, but the
      // stream's consumer still wants more data. In that case, restart
      // the flow of data if we have previously paused it.
      socket.readStart();
    cancel() {
     socket.close();
  });
```

```
httpResponseBody.pipeThrough(decom pressorTransform)
.pipeThrough(ignoreNonIm ageFilesTransform)
.pipeTo (m ediaGallery);
```

```
function readAllChunks(readableStream) {
  const reader = readableStream.getReader();
  const chunks = [];
  return pump();
 function pump() {
    return reader.read().then(({ value, done })=> {
      if (done) {
        return chunks;
      chunks.push(value);
      return pump();
    });
```

Where is it?

- Chrome
 - Shipped
 - ReadableStream tied to the Fetch API response
 - Ongoing
 - ReadableStream created by scripts
 - ReadableStream for progressive uploads using fetch
- Mozilla
 - Will start 25/12/2015 (roughly)
- IE
 - Support of an earlier version of stream/XHR as producer
- WebKit
 - ReadableStream fully implemented
 - pipeTo to be broken by the spec
 - WritableStream fully implemented

Implementation Story

First Approach – Initial steps

- C++ implementation
- Regular WebIDL to bind API with JavaScriptCore
 - Needed improved promise binding code
- Initial prototype supporting byte arrays
 - Nicely working
 - Not too complex

First Approach - second steps

- Support of any JavaScript value
- WebIDL
 - Starting to add special cases in the binding generator
- Adding a lot of JS code in WebCore/bindings/js
 - Storing JS values, making them not collectable
 - Calling JS functions
 - Handling of asynchronous behavior, JS promises
- Overall conclusion
 - Code difficult to relate with the specification
 - Difficult to keep proper reference counting
 - Templates to add further specialization for byte array

Second Approach – JS Builtins

- JS Builtin is a JavaScriptCore feature
 - Introduced a few years ago
 - Promise code is mostly JS builtin
- Enable JS Builtin into WebCore
 - Integrate it with WebIDL binding generator
- Streams API implementation
 - WebIDL code
 - JavaScript code
 - Some limited C++ code
 - 80 lines
 - Except for automatically generated code

JS Builtins tied to WebIDL

WebIDL

```
[
    Conditional=MEDIA_STREAM,
] partial interface Navigator {
    [JSBuiltin] void webkitGetUserMedia(Dictionary object, any successCallback, any errorCallback);
};
```

JavaScript built-in

JS Builtins calling C++ methods

Private keyword

```
interface RTCPeerConnection {

// Private functions called by runQueuedOperation() (RTCPeerConnectionInternals.js)
[Private] Promise queuedCreateOffer(optional Dictionary offerOptions);
[Private] Promise queuedCreateAnswer(optional Dictionary answerOptions);
[Private] Promise queuedSetLocalDescription(RTCSessionDescription description);
[Private] Promise queuedSetRemoteDescription(RTCSessionDescription description);
[Private] Promise queuedAddIceCandidate(RTCIceCandidate candidate);

[Private] Promise privateGetStats(optional MediaStreamTrack selector);

[JSBuiltin] Promise createOffer(optional Dictionary offerOptions);
```

```
// @conditional=ENABLE(MEDIA_STREAM)

function createOffer()
{
    "use strict";
    return @createOfferOrAnswer(this, this.@queuedCreateOffer, "createOffer", arguments);
}
```

JS Builtins misc

- Conditional compilation
 - @conditional
- Constructor as JS built-in
- @assert
- JS built-in functions (helper routines) attached to the global object
 - @internal

JS Builtins build

- Update CMakeLists.txt
 - Add IDL file in WebCore_NON_SVG_IDL_FILES
 - Add JS file(s) in WebCore_BUILTINS_SOURCES
 - 1 file for WebIDL tied routines (stored in the prototype)
 - 0/1/+ files for helper routines (stored in the global object)
- Update Source/WebCore/bindings/js/WebCoreBuiltins.h and Source/WebCore/bindings/js/WebCoreBuiltins.cpp
 - When adding a new JS built-in file
 - To be automated soon hopefully

Overall experience

- Easier to write JS code then to write C++ binding code
 - No more crashes, no more memory leaks, no more refcounting cycles
- Performances is not really an issue
 - Apple made measurements on the Promise implementation and saw some improvements
- Everything is nice, except...
 - No JS built-in code debugger
 - Back to console.log("potato 1");
 - JS builtin security issues

Security issues

- JS builtins run in the same world with the same GlobalObject as user scripts
 - Modifying a prototype or a user object may affect JS built-in code
- First possibility: JS built-in code may break

this.mediaDevices.@getUserMediaFromJS(options).then(successCallback, errorCallback);

- What if mediaDevices is overridden by user scripts?
- What if mediaDevices prototype is changed.

Security issues – leaking information

```
unction enqueueOperation(peerConnection, operation)
  if (!peerConnection.@operations)
      peerConnection.@operations = [];
  var operations = peerConnection.@operations;
  function runNext() {
      operations.shift();
      if (operations.length)
          operations[0]();
  };
  return new @Promise(function (resolve, reject) {
      operations.push(function() {
          operation().then(resolve, reject).then(runNext, runNext);
      });
      if (operations.length == 1)
          operations[0]();
  });
```

```
const goodOldPush = Array.prototype.push;
Array.prototype.push = function () {
    makeMyEvilThingWithPotatoes(arguments);
    return goodOldPush.apply(this, arguments);
};
```

```
const goodOldThen = Promise.prototype.then;
Prototype.prototype.then = function () {
    stealingYourPotatoes(arguments);
    return myEvilPromise(this, arguments);
};
```

Security issues – current rules

Do not use functions under the control of the user

```
operations.push(...)
operations.@push(...)
```

Do not use prototype of objects under the control of the user

```
function processValue(promise) {
    promise.then(...)
}
function processValue(promise) {
    promise.@then(...) // ok but it may break
}
function processValue(promise) {
    @Promise.prototype. @then.@call(...) // ok but so unreadable
}
```

- Beware of Promise
 - Might want to use InternalPromise if you are doing chaining

Security issues – we need something better

- So easy to fall into that trap
 - Not so easy to find the holes
- How can we improve the situation?
 - Testing tool to catch these errors
 - JS builtin check style?
 - JS proxy object in Debug mode to control how are accessed objects
 - Sanitizers
- Should we change the infrastructure?
 - Run the built-ins in a more secure environment
 - Chrome is doing that in a completely separate world
 - Cannot pass promises, JS objects.... Between the worlds
- Input most welcome

Tentative conclusion

- Streams API is
 - Very maintainable
 - Fast enough (more study needed)
- But
 - Potential security issues
 - We fixed the ones we know of
- Need to improve JS built-in tooling
- Think about JS built-ins when adding WebCore/JSC specific code
 - Like in WebCore/bindings/js