

# Real-time shader-based rendering of grass

Kin Liu  
Manuel Reinfurt



**Figure 1:** End result

## Abstract

Grass has always been an important factor when it comes to simulating realistic nature scenes. However, due to the sheer amount of individual grass blades and the need to animate them, it is very difficult to implement in real time applications. This paper tries to give an overview of the existing techniques that are used to render grass. It then goes in-depth about using the geometry shader to generate and render grass effectively in real time. Basics like grass vertex generation are explained, as well as animation using a simulated wind field. To conclude, the technique is being benchmarked as well as being looked at in terms of shortcomings and further improvements.

## 1 Introduction

Grass has always been an important factor when it comes to simulate realistic nature scenes. However, due to the sheer amount of individual grass blades and the need to animate them, it is very difficult to implement in real time applications. This paper tries to give an overview of the several techniques that are used in state of the art applications, as well as discussing a geometry-shader based approach in detail.[Park et al. 2006]

## 2 Related Work

There are different techniques on how to efficiently and realistically render grass in real time applications. In games, grass is usually simulated by rendering billboards that are laid our in a certain pattern. Animation can be done per vertex, per grass blade or even per cluster. A famous method has been described in GPU Gems 1. While this is a very simple approach that has been used in games for a long time since processing and graphics power is needed elsewhere, it does not yield stunning visuals.

Since DirectX 10, GPUs have been extended with a new shader

pipeline - the geometry shader. Using the geometry shader, it is possible to generate grass blades directly on the GPU. This eliminates the CPU as a bottleneck and provides enough power for much more detailed simulations. This paper is based on this approach and combines the work of Eddie Lee (SRC) and ?? (SRC).




**Figure 2:** Game "Flower" for PlayStation 3

The game "Flower", which was released for PlayStation 3, uses this method to render all of its grass. This shows that the approach can be used in real time applications with much success. While the scenes in "Flower" were not really complex and mostly consisted of grass only, the hardware is already very old for todays standards - leaving enough power for more complex scenes which include grass simulation.

### 2.1 The Method

The basic idea is to layout the grass field on the CPU by specifying the root points. This way, the CPU only has to send the position of each single root to the GPU - all other work is done exclusively on the GPU. In order to organize the root points, grass patches are created. Each patch has a certain number of root points and can be controlled individually. The grass patches together then make up the grass grid - which is the grass field.


The grass grid is generated by laying down root points as can be seen in Figure ?. First, a grid of patches is created and each patch generates its own root points. Using a density map Figure ? that is equal the size of the terrain height map, local density spots can be adjusted to control the distribution of the grass. All root points are pushed into a single vertex buffer object and can be, in theory, rendered with a single draw call. However, the patches are used to achieve several things:



**Figure 3:** Grass grid visualized

### Culling

A single patch can be visualized by a bounding box, which can then be easily checked against the view frustum to cull patches outside of it. It is important to take the grass animation into account when creating the bounding box - otherwise grass could be culled when moving into the frustum.



**Figure 4:** Culling of patches outside the frustum

### Level of detail

The distance from the camera to the mid point of a patch can be calculated and used to set the level of detail. This saves a few instructions as level of detail does not have to be calculated within the geometry shader, thus making it possible to use geometry shaders that are pre-compiled with a static vertex count, which will improve performance.



**Figure 5:** Vertices for level of detail

### Further individualization

It's possible to pass options into the shaders for rendering a patch, which can be used for wind animation, grass height or any other property of the grass.

While rendering the patches individually adds overhead compared to doing a single draw call for the vertex buffer object, the performance improvements using the methods above, as well as further individualization of the scene, more than make up for it.

## 2.2 Terrain

The terrain is generated out of a height map, which was generated by Perlin Noise. We can map the grass root position to our terrain grid to find the matching Y position. It is important to take the density of the grass into account when calculating lighting of the terrain. Using the density map, we can darken areas where grass is very dense - easily simulating ambient occlusion.

[INSERT picture of terrain with grass on it] (TODO KIN)

## 2.3 Generating the blade

The geometry shader needs to be able to generate grass blades with a different level of detail. Instead of writing separate geometry shaders, we decided to write one geometry shader that procedurally generates the blade. When generating the blade, we want to concentrate the vertices on the top half of the blade to have a smooth animation later on. It is also needed to calculate the UV-coordinate, normals and rotation, as well as vertex displacement for wind, which is described in chapter 4.



**Figure 6:** Vertices of the grass blade

To generate the blade, we start from the bottom and always generate a pair of vertices - one for the left and one for the right. We then move upwards, which is called a "step". In each step, we have to recalculate important variables. For example, we have to calculate the normal, UV-coordinate and lighting vectors (vertex to camera, vertex to light). However, it is not good enough to linearly go up and increase the values. Instead, we distribute the vertices so that there are more vertices on the top of the blade. Since the top of the blade is the part that will move the most (using wind animation), higher detail is much appreciated. Of course, this also means that other values, like normals, have to be adjusted as well. Our distribution is based on the simple function below.

$$y = x^2 \quad (1)$$

It's important to point out that our y values are ranging from 0 to 1 and thus we accumulate a lot of vertices on the top of the blade. Since we have a TriangleStrip, we iterate through each vertex and connect the next 3 vertices - until we hit the last vertex.

## 2.4 Pipeline

To summarize, this is a list of important components in our project and their respective job.

## CPU

The CPU will generate the root points out of the density map and create a single vertex buffer, that contains all roots. It will also slice the full grass grid into smaller patches, which can be controlled through constant buffers on the GPU.

Since we also have static terrain, the root points will already contain the correct displaced Y position. Additional improvements like culling will also be done on the CPU.

## Vertex shader

The vertex shader is, in essence, a pass-through shader.

## Geometry shader

All the hard work is done in the geometry shader. Since the geometry shader gets a single point as an input, it's job is to create a grass blade with a specified number of vertices. The geometry shader will also take care of calculating normals, level of detail, and animation.

## Pixel shader

To calculate the color, we use the basic Phong BDRF, combined with a texture and a randomized tint.

## 2.5 Flickering

A very prevalent problem while implementing this approach was flickering. Due to the high amount of very thin grass blades, blades were fighting for pixels and a lot of aliasing and flickering could be seen. In order to minimize this effect, several techniques were used:

### Grass blade proportions

Since grass blades far in the distant can not really be distinguished from each other due to having only a few pixels to be displayed, it is possible to increase the width of the grass blades. This way, less blades will be fighting for the pixel - having a clear winner in terms of which color to display, leaves less flickering.

### Density Control

Since the goal is to minimize the amount of blades fighting for the pixels, the grass density (number of root points in a patch) can be adjusted depending on the distance to the camera. Combined with the grass blade proportions, this leaves very few grass blades that will fill the space in the distance. However, it should be noted that this approach can lead to grass blades "popping" in and out of the user's view.

### Downsampling

The simplest approach is to increase the render target resolution. Rendering the scene at 4k or 8k resolutions and then downsampling it to Full-HD leaves a stunningly clear and flicker-free image behind. While being simple to implement and having very good results, it has the highest performance cost.

## 2.6 Randomization

The scene looks very artificial if the grass is laid out with exact distances, when each grass blade has the same tint, height or width. However, it is fairly difficult to generate random numbers on the GPU, which is why we have to use certain tricks to have fairly random grass properties. When laying out the root points, we can generate random positions using the CPU.

Since these root points are given to the geometry shader, and we know that these positions are random, we can generate a random



**Figure 7:** Scene without randomness: Seems artificial

number between -1 and 1 using the following equation.

$$r = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} * \text{frac}(\text{root}.x) + \frac{\pi}{2} * \text{frac}(\text{root}.z)\right) \quad (2)$$

Using this randomized value, several grass properties can be influenced. Some of those are: width, height, color tint, rotation, wind effect.

## 3 Animation

For animating the grass blades, a simulation of wind is used to achieve a realistic look. In order to maximize the interactivity, the user should be able to influence wind speed and direction.

In general, the wind simulation consists of two components:

### Vertex displacement

This component takes care of simulating the effect of wind hitting a single blade, which displaces the mesh.

### Wind field simulation

To simulate the effect of wind over a field of grass, a 2D wind field is used.

## 3.1 Vertex displacement

The grass blade vertex displacement depends on two components. The wind that is currently hitting the grass blade affects the displacement - however, if you only use this factor, then the animation will look very shallow and unrealistic. If you watch grass blades reacting to wind in real life, you can see that they don't smoothly bend in all directions over and over again, instead, they jitter, they oscillate.

The horizontal vertex displacement depending on the wind can easily be implemented by

$$\text{Position}.xz = \text{WindVector}.xy * \text{WindCoEff} \quad (3)$$

where WindVector is the vector of the wind that is hitting the grass blade in the current position. The WindCoEff is a factor that is introduced while generating the grass vertices. This is based upon the idea that the grass blade moves a lot at the top, but does not move at all at the root. Therefore the WindCoEff would be 0 in the root and then increases until it hits 1 at the top.

The vertical vertex displacement is then calculated by


$$\text{Position}.y = \text{WindForce} * \text{WindCoEff} \quad (4)$$

where WindForce is the length of the WindVector. This is needed to prevent the grass blade from stretching. If we displace vertices, we need to make sure that the overall length of the grass blade is still the same afterwards. Otherwise, the grass blade would get longer or shorter depending on the wind.

The oscillation factor can then be computed by

$$LerpCoEff = \frac{\sin(oS * dT + \sinSkewCoEff) + 1.0}{2}$$

where the oscillationStrength ( $oS$ ) is just a defined factor, which in our case is 5. The deltaTime ( $dT$ ) is the time in milliseconds that elapsed since the last frame (usual deltaTime used in games). The  $\sinSkewCoEff$  is derived from the random value we computed in chapter "Randomization". It's used to differentiate the oscillation pattern for each grass blade which makes the scene look much more natural. And then the value we get out of the  $\sin (-1 \text{ to } 1)$  is brought between 0 and 1.



**Figure 8:** Oscillation range of a grass blade

This oscillation factor now has to be used to influence the WindVector that is responsible for the vertex displacement. This is done using the formula below.


$$\begin{aligned} WindVector &= lerp(WindVector * (1.0 - oscillateDelta), \\ &WindVector * (1.0 + oscillateDelta), LerpCoEff) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In essence, this opens up a range depending on the current WindVector and the oscillation constant called `oscillateDelta`, which in our case is "0.35". The final position to take in the range is then defined by our `LerpCoEff`.

As a sidenote, it can be useful to further randomize the wind by adding a small random direction or force.

### 3.2 Wind field simulation

While the vertex displacement focuses on the impact of wind on a single grass blade independently, a system has to be used in order to get all grass blades into the same world - i.e. reacting to the same wind conditions. Since we have a grass field that consists of grass patches, it makes a lot of sense to build a wind field that contains of wind vectors. One wind vector is then assigned to one grass patch and it will be responsible for the wind impact in this grass patch. This wind vector is basically the wind vector that is described in the vertex displacement.



**Figure 9:** Example wind vector field

It is then possible to blow wind into one direction, so that the bottom vectors will have increased velocity and acceleration. For each frame, the acceleration of each vector has to be damped to avoid ever-increasing wind. Then, each vector has to be diffused with neighbour vectors, which means that the vectors will influence each other and the wind will travel from the bottom upwards. To calculate the current velocity of a wind vector, apply Euler Integration for each wind velocity vector.

$$Velocity = Velocity + Acceleration * dT \quad (6)$$

One problem still remains: We only have one wind vector per grass patch, meaning that all grass blades inside the patch will move the same way. There will also be breaks of the movement because the wind will change abruptly between patches. To solve this, interpolate linearly between the wind vectors to compute the velocity for the current root.



**Figure 10:** Interpolation of wind vectors

This will ensure a smooth transition between wind vectors and therefore results in a more realistically looking grass field.

## 4 Benchmarks

We kept randomized values to a minimum to avoid variations in the scene and to have a baseline. All tests were mainly done on the following two systems.


### System 1 (Desktop)

CPU: Intel Xeon E5-1230v3  
RAM: 8GB DDR3-1333  
GPU: nVidia GeForce GTX 780

### System 2 (Notebook)

CPU: Intel Core i7-4980HQ  
 RAM: 16GB DDR3-1333  
 GPU: Intel Iris Pro

Our first benchmark is run on System 1 and is running Ultra-HD resolution to prevent flickering. It has 5 levels of detail defined and contains a quarter million grass blades.



**Figure 11:** Benchmark on System 1

As you can see, without level of detail, the performance is abysmal. However, when adding level of detail the performance skyrockets, FPS being nearly 4 times as high. At the same time, it is nearly indistinguishable. Further adding culling does not impact the framerate as much, but it is still very noticeable. It has to be kept in mind that culling adds overhead if nothing can be culled, but can improve performance by a lot if many patches can be culled.

We've run the same benchmark with only Full-HD resolution on System 2, these are the results:

TODO INSERT ANOTHER GRAPH


As can be seen, performance improvements are quite similar. The most important thing to note here is that System 2 is a rather low-performance system in terms of GPU performance. Being an integrated graphics chip, it's still possible to run the application with more than 60 FPS.

## 5 Shortcomings and improvements

As shown in the section "Benchmarks", this approach does not need high-end processing power as of todays standards. Using a quarter million grass blades, the implementation can be run on integrated graphics cards on Notebooks with 60 FPS.

The main visual problem is flickering. Using native resolution of displays and no anti-aliasing, the thin blades cause a lot of flickering in the distance - even when using the tricks described in the paper to minimize it. However, when rendered on a high PPI screen or by using techniques like Downsampling, flickering vanishes very fast.

To conclude, using geometry shaders to render grass in real time applications is very possible and does not require high-end hardware. Nvidia already released a solution as part of their Gameworks framework. It's called Nvidia Turf Effects and features massive grass simulations with physical interaction. [Lee 2010]



**Figure 12:** Nvidia Turf Effects Demonstration

PARK, S. W., LINSEN, L., KREYLOS, O., OWENS, J. D., AND HAMANN, B. 2006. Discrete sibson interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 12, 2 (Mar./Apr.), 243–253.