QSD Interpretation of SN 1987A Neutrino Burst

Michael Bush

1 Introduction

In February 1987, neutrinos from Supernova 1987A (SN 1987A) were detected by multiple terrestrial observatories. The neutrino burst arrived several hours before visible light, lasted approximately 10–12 seconds, and exhibited a characteristic energy and flavor evolution: initial neutrinos were more energetic, while later neutrinos were softer and dominated by electron-flavor detections.

Standard astrophysical models explain aspects of these observations through mechanisms such as neutrino diffusion from a collapsing neutron star core and post-shock cooling processes. However, certain features—including the timing, energy profile, and flavor evolution—require multiple layered assumptions.

Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD) offers an alternative, coherent explanation based solely on substrate phase dynamics, without additional conjectures. Remarkably, the QSD model predicts the structure of the neutrino burst naturally, emerging directly from substrate tension relaxation following core collapse.

2 QSD Substrate Relaxation and Neutrino Emission

In QSD, a supernova core collapse represents the catastrophic relaxation of a highly tensioned mass-phase lattice embedded within a quantum fluid substrate. The collapse launches a superluminal scalar shockfront—the *Super-Ψ Boom*—carrying immense substrate coherence tension outward.

As the scalar shock expands, the substrate's coherence tension $T(r)$ relaxes, dropping with increasing radius (approximately as $1/r^2$). At specific critical tension thresholds, the substrate is capable of stabilizing new mass-phase nucleations (e.g., iron, silicon, oxygen nuclei).

However, **between these nucleation thresholds**, when local tension is too low for the previous stable mass phase but still too high for the next lighter phase to nucleate, the substrate must relieve coherence tension through another mechanism: *neutrino emission.*

Thus:

Substrate Condition	Physical Outcome
Tension exceeds heavy nucleation threshold	Mass-phase lattice (e.g., iron) forms
Tension drops but not yet to next nucleation threshold	**Neutrino burst emission**
Tension falls to lighter mass-phase nucleation	New element forms (e.g., silicon, oxygen)

3 Neutrino "Colors" and Energy Evolution

In the QSD model, neutrinos represent different "colors" of coherence relaxation modes, determined by the substrate tension at the moment of their emission:

- **Tau-like neutrinos**: arise from the highest tension drops.
- **Muon-like neutrinos**: emerge from mid-level tension relaxation.
- **Electron-like neutrinos**: dominate in the final stages as tension drops toward lower stability thresholds.

This naturally explains the observed **energy and flavor evolution** in SN 1987A:

Observation	QSD Interpretation
Early high-energy neutrinos	Tight coherence relaxation near core collapse
Later lower-energy neutrinos	Substrate cooling through intermediate tension zones
Dominant electron-flavor neutrinos	Emission from low-tension relaxation zones

4 Timeline Comparison: SN 1987A vs QSD Model

Time Since Core Collapse	SN 1987A Observation	QSD Prediction
0–1 s	Highest energy neutrinos	Tau/muon-like neutrino emission
1–5 s	Decreasing energy neutrinos	Transition to muon $\rightarrow$ electron neutrinos
5–10 s	Mostly low-energy neutrinos	Electron neutrino emission during relaxation
After 10 s	No neutrino detections	Substrate stabilized; EM emission dominates

5 Conclusion

The detection of neutrinos from SN 1987A, particularly their structured energy and flavor evolution, is naturally and elegantly explained by substrate coherence tension relaxation in the Quantum Substrate Dynamics framework.

Rather than requiring multiple layered models, the observed neutrino burst sequence—early energetic neutrinos followed by softer electron-flavor neutrinos—emerges as a direct physical consequence of tension-driven phase transitions in the quantum fluid substrate following catastrophic core collapse.

This retrospective match between QSD predictions and real observational data provides strong evidence that **substrate coherence dynamics, scalar**

wave propagation, and quantized mass-phase nucleation may underlie supernova processes at the deepest physical level.